



**BIOACCUMULATION OF HEAVY METALS IN
THE SYDNEY ROCK OYSTER,
SACCOSTREA COMMERCIALIS
(IREDALE & ROUGHLEY)**

by

Benjamin Pearson

September 1993

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of
Master of Science

School of Biological and Biomedical Sciences

Department of Applied Biology

University of Technology , Sydney

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In thanks, I would firstly like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Dr Ken Brown not only for his input (however bizarre at times), but also for his friendship, encouragement, good humour, and unfailing optimism in the face of adversity.

Sincere thanks are also extended to my fellow post-graduate students: Andrew Le Brocque, Ian Anderson, Robert Paterson, Warwick Hayes, and Dwaine Laxdal all of whom provided invaluable advice and support. I am also indebted to Ian Anderson, Brett Nudd and Ross McPherson for their help in sample collection.

Thanks must also go to Dr David Morrison for his advice regarding matters of a statistical nature and also for his comments on an earlier draft of this thesis. For the latter I would also like to express my appreciation to Dr John Nell and Andrew Le Brocque. I would also like to thank the technical staff in the Department, especially Narelle Richardson for her assistance with methodology development.

This project would not have been possible without the support of those oyster growers in the Georges River/Botany Bay and Port Stephens estuaries who kindly provided lease space for the deployment of oysters. In Port Stephens these included: Rod Moffat, George Lilley, Robert Bailey, and the Barclay brothers (Trevor and Jack) and in Georges River/Botany Bay: Les Dale, Andy Derwent, Ken Castle, Reggie Richards, Clive Bowmaker, and Alan Humbly.

Financial support for part of the study (ie: Effect of Body Size Upon Metal Content in *S. commercialis*) was provided by the Sydney Water Board through the New South Wales Environment Protection Authority.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, Keith and Wendy for their tremendous support and encouragement.

TECHNICAL NOTE

This thesis was prepared using an Apple Macintosh[®]. All applications were the property of the Department of Applied Biology, University of Technology. Word processing was performed using Microsoft Word[®]. Maps were prepared using Superpaint[®] and graphs using Cricket Graph[®]. Data processing were performed using Microsoft Excel[®] and all statistical analyses were carried out using SYSTAT[®]: The System for Statistics (Wilkinson 1989). All significance tests were calculated at the 5% level unless stated otherwise. All error values are given as the 95% confidence limits.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	i
Technical Note	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Plates	xiii
Abstract	xiv

1.0. INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW.

1.1 Sources of Heavy Metal Pollution	1
1.1.1 Industrial Sources	2
1.1.2 Urban Stormwater	3
1.1.3 Agricultural Runoff	3
1.1.4 Atmospheric Input	3
1.1.5 Natural <i>versus</i> Anthropogenic Metal Sources	4
1.2 Assessment of Metal Contamination in Estuaries	5
1.2.1 Water Analysis	5
1.2.2. Sediment Analysis	6
1.2.3 Biological Indicator	7
<i>1.2.3.1 Effects of Season/Condition</i>	9
<i>1.2.3.2 Effects of Growth</i>	10
<i>1.2.3.3 Effects of Temperature and Salinity</i>	11
<i>1.2.3.4 Effects of Body Size/ Age</i>	13
<i>1.2.3.5 Effects of Tidal Height</i>	16
<i>1.2.3.6 Effects of Sex</i>	17
1.3 Bioaccumulation of Heavy Metals	18
1.3.1 Uptake from Particulates	19
1.3.2 Uptake from Solution	19
1.3.3 Uptake from Food	20

1.3.4 Strategies of Accumulation	20
1.3.5 Metal Excretion	22
1.4 Design of Monitoring Programs	23
1.4.1 Determining Sample Size	25
1.4.2 Minimizing Size Effects	26
1.4.3 Wild <i>versus</i> Transplanted Organisms	27
1.4.4 Choice of Collecting Season	28
1.4.5 Sampling Position on the Shoreline	29
1.4.6 Removal of Sediment from the Intestinal Tract	29
1.5 Summary	30
2.0 CASE STUDY I- EFFECT OF BODY SIZE UPON METAL CONTENT IN SACCOSTREA COMMERCIALIS.	
2.1 Background and Objectives	32
2.2 Materials and Methods	33
2.2.1 Sampling Design	33
2.2.2 Analysis of Heavy Metals in Oysters	35
2.3 Results	36
2.4 Discussion	47
2.5 Conclusions	52
3.0 CASE STUDY II- HEAVY METAL LEVELS IN GEORGES RIVER/BOTANY BAY AND PORT STEPHENS.	
- EFFECTS OF GROWTH ON METAL CONCENTRATIONS.	
3.1 Background and Objectives	53
3.1.1 Heavy Metal Levels in Georges River/Botany Bay and Port Stephens	53

3.1.2 Effect of Growth on Metal Concentrations	5 9
3.2 Materials and Methods	6 0
3.2.1 Sampling Design	6 0
3.2.2 Analysis of Heavy Metals in Oysters	6 3
3.3 Results	6 3
3.3.1 Metal Concentrations in Georges River/Botany Bay and Port Stephens	6 3
3.3.2 Effect of Growth on Metal Concentrations	7 2
3.3.2.1 <i>Within-site Differences</i>	7 2
3.3.2.2 <i>Temporal Effects on Concentration</i>	7 7
3.4 Discussion	9 1
3.4.1 Heavy Metals in Georges River/Botany Bay and Port Stephens	9 1
3.4.2 Effects of Growth on Metal Concentrations	9 8
3.5 Conclusions	1 0 3
3.5.1 Heavy Metals in Georges River/Botany Bay and Port Stephens	1 0 3
3.5.2 Effect of Growth on Metal Concentrations	1 0 4
4.0 RECOMMENDED SAMPLING PROTOCOL FOR <i>S. COMMERCIALIS</i> AS A MONITOR OF HEAVY METAL POLLUTION.	
5.0 REFERENCES	1 0 8
6.0 APPENDICES	1 2 8
6.1 Hawkesbury River Estuary	1 2 9
6.2 Port Stephens Estuary	1 4 1
6.3 Georges River/Botany Bay	1 5 7

6.4 Range-Standardized Graphs

List of Figures

1. <i>Mechanisms of trace metal sequestration in bivalves.</i>	19
2. <i>Map of N.S.W showing location of estuaries studied.</i>	31
3. <i>Map of Hawkesbury River estuary showing position of sampling sites.</i>	34
4. <i>The inter-relationship between total trace metal content (ug) and concentration (ug/g) for an independent relationship.</i>	39
5. <i>The inter-relationship between total trace metal content (ug) and concentration (ug/g) for a size-dependent relationship with proportionally greater concentrations in the larger organisms.</i>	40
6. <i>The inter-relationship between total trace metal content (ug) and concentration (ug/g) for a size-dependent relationship with proportionally greater concentrations in the smaller organisms</i>	41
7. <i>Map of Port Stephens estuary showing position of sampling sites.</i>	56
8. <i>Map of Georges River/Botany Bay estuary showing position of sampling sites.</i>	57
9. <i>Concentrations (ug/g wet weight) of a. Copper and b. Zinc in S. commercialis sampled from the Georges River/Botany Bay estuary sampled between 1975-1989.</i>	58
10. <i>Concentrations of copper in the tissues of S. commercialis sampled from the Port Stephens estuary.</i>	66
11. <i>Concentrations of zinc in the tissues of S. commercialis sampled from the Port Stephens estuary.</i>	67
12. <i>Average Monthly Rainfall Data for Port Stephens (Williamtown), from August 1990-June 1991.</i>	68
13. <i>Concentrations of copper in the tissues of S. commercialis sampled from the Georges River/Botany Bay estuary.</i>	70

14. *Concentrations of zinc in the tissues of S. commercialis sampled from Georges River/Botany Bay.* 7 1
15. *Average Monthly Rainfall Data for Georges River/ Botany Bay (Oatley), from June 1990-July 1991.* 7 2
16. *Regression of copper concentrations versus dry weight for S. commercialis sampled from Still Creek (Georges River/Botany Bay) on 28.12.90.* 7 3
17. *Regression of zinc concentrations versus dry weight for S. commercialis sampled from Boogie Boogie Island (Port Stephens) on 29.3.91.* 7 4
- 18a. *Carters Island. Percent (%) relative change in dry weight and total body burden of copper in S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 8 1
- 18b. *Carters Island. Copper concentrations in S. commercialis between 29.9.90 and 25.7.91.* 8 1
- 18c. *Carters Island. Percent (%) relative change in dry weight and total body burden of zinc in S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 8 2
- 18d. *Carters Island. Zinc concentrations in S. commercialis between 29.9.90 and 25.7.91.* 8 2
- 19a. *Salt Pan Creek. Percent (%) relative change in dry weight and total body burden of copper in S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 8 3
- 19b. *Salt Pan Creek. Copper concentrations in S. commercialis between 29.9.90 and 25.7.91.* 8 3
- 19c. *Salt Pan Creek. Relationship between total copper body burden and dry weight for all individuals of S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 8 4

- 19d. Salt Pan Creek. *Percent (%) relative change in dry weight and total body burden of zinc in S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 84
- 19e. Salt Pan Creek. *Zinc concentrations in S. commercialis between 29.9.90 and 25.7.91.* 85
- 19f. Salt Pan Creek. *Relationship between total zinc body burden and dry weight for all individuals of S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 85
- 20a. Lime Kiln Bay. *Percent (%) relative change in dry weight and total body burden of copper in S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 86
- 20b. Lime Kiln Bay. *Copper concentrations in S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 and 25.7.91.* 86
- 20c. Lime Kiln Bay. *Relationship between total copper body burden and dry weight for all individuals of S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 87
- 20d. Lime Kiln Bay. *Percent (%) relative change in dry weight and total body burden of zinc in S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 87
- 20e. Lime Kiln Bay. *Zinc concentrations in S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 and 25.7.91.* 88
- 20f. Lime Kiln Bay. *Relationship between total zinc body burden and dry weight for all individuals of S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 88
- 21a. Garden Island. *Percent (%)relative change in dry weight and total body burden of copper in S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 89
- 21b. Garden Island. *Copper concentrations in S. commercialis sampled between 1.9.90 and 4.6.91.* 89

- 21c. *Garden Island. Percent (%) relative change in dry weight and total body burden of zinc in S. commercialis sampled between 29.9.90 (day=0) and 25.7.91 (day=299).* 90
- 21d. *Garden Island. Zinc concentrations in S. commercialis sampled between 1.9.90 and 4.6.91.* 90

List of Tables

1. <i>World-wide inputs of trace elements into aquatic systems.</i>	2
2. <i>Relationship between metal concentration and sex for various bivalve species.</i>	18
3. <i>Results of triplicate analysis of standard reference material N.B.S.-1566a (oyster tissue).</i>	36
4. <i>Pearson correlation coefficients calculated for shell dimensions versus soft tissue dry weight of <i>S. commercialis</i> sampled from the Hawkesbury River estuary.</i>	36
5. <i>Size-range of <i>S. commercialis</i> collected on four sampling occasions in the Hawkesbury River estuary.</i>	42
6. <i>Information relating total body burden of copper to body size for populations of <i>S. commercialis</i> sampled from the Hawkesbury River estuary.</i>	44
7. <i>Information relating total body burden of zinc to body size for populations of <i>S. commercialis</i> sampled from the Hawkesbury River estuary.</i>	45
8. <i>Information relating total body burden of iron to body size for populations of <i>S. commercialis</i> sampled from the Hawkesbury River estuary.</i>	46
9. <i>Coefficients of variation (CV x 100) calculated from all sites in Port Stephens on each sampling occasion</i>	63
10. <i>Coefficients of variation (CV x 100) calculated from all sites in Georges River/Botany Bay on each sampling occasion</i>	63
11. <i>Model for two factor comparison of sites for each estuary.</i>	64
12. <i>Percentage of variation ($r^2 \times 100$) in metal concentrations explained by growth rate differences in <i>S. commercialis</i> sampled from Port Stephens.</i>	75
13. <i>Percentage of variation ($r^2 \times 100$) in metal concentrations explained by growth rate differences in <i>S. commercialis</i> sampled from Georges River/Botany Bay.</i>	76

14. *Coefficients of variation (CV x 100) calculated for S. commercialis sampled from the Hawkesbury River, Port Stephens, and Georges River/Botany Bay estuaries.* 92
15. *Comparison of copper and zinc concentrations in oysters sampled from oceanic waters throughout the world.* 97

List of Plates

1. *Standard-sized oyster tray used for deployment of S. commercialis in Georges River/Botany Bay estuaries.* 6 1
2. *Oyster tray deployed at the mid-tide level (Winda Woppa-Port Stephens).* 6 1
3. *Sampling and culling of oysters from replicate trays.* 6 2

ABSTRACT

The suitability of the Sydney rock oyster (*Saccostrea commercialis*) as a monitor of heavy metal contamination in estuarine and coastal waters was assessed. Case study I examined the influence of body size (*ie: soft tissue dry weight*) on the tissue concentrations of Cu, Zn, and Fe. Sampling was replicated both spatially and temporally in order to determine whether the size-metal relationship for these elements varied with geographical location (*ie: differing levels of environmental contamination*) or season. All oysters were collected from 'wild' populations in the Hawkesbury/Nepean estuary. Least-squares regression analysis indicated that Cu concentrations were independent of body size at all times. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) showed that this relationship did not change with either geographical location or season. Size-metal relationships for Zn indicated that concentrations for this element varied from being independent of size to being size-dependent with proportionally greater concentrations present in the larger individuals. Yet tests for homogeneity of slopes (ANCOVA) showed that no differences in regression coefficients occurred with geographical location or season. Iron concentrations were size-dependent with proportionally greater concentrations in the smaller individuals. ANCOVA revealed that homogeneity of slopes with geographical location occurred only on two of the four sampling occasions. Further examination of data revealed that the size-range of the individuals sampled may be important in determining size-metal relationship for iron.

Case Study II examined the concentrations of Cu and Zn in *S. commercialis* deployed into the Georges River/Botany Bay and the Port Stephens estuaries. The influence of tissue assimilation/loss on metal concentrations was also examined. Organisms of a homogenous genetic stock, of a similar size and age, and transplanted to a similar tidal height were utilized. As all organisms were of a similar size and age at the time of their deployment any differences in size during sampling were attributed to growth. Results indicated that tissue loss (*ie: 'degrowth'*) not accompanied by a similar loss of metal resulted in an increase in metal concentrations. The assimilation of new tissue at a greater rate than metal accumulation (*ie: 'dilution'*) resulted in decreased metal concentrations. From these relationships it was apparent that measures must be taken to reduce, account for, or eliminate the effects of growth in bivalve monitoring programs. Unless this problem is addressed, metal concentration values in *S. commercialis* are likely to give an inaccurate estimation of the level of environmental contamination and confound attempts to isolate pollution point sources.