

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY  
SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

**A Model for Assessing the Perceived Value of  
Knowledge Based Systems**

Jeffrey Clark

A Dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of  
Philosophy

1999

# Certificate

I certify that this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being submitted as part of candidature for any other degree.

I also certify that this thesis has been written by me and that any help that I have received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

# Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my principal supervisor, Dr Fawzy Soliman. His extensive knowledge of the role of advanced technology in the management of organisations was of invaluable assistance and provided the seeds of inspiration for the thesis. Without his direction, encouragement, patience, and indomitable optimism the task would have been considerably more difficult if not impossible.

I am grateful for the guidance and support of my co-supervisor, Professor John Debenham. His initial guidance was instrumental in providing a coherent foundation and focus to my PhD studies. His continual support in the form of numerous industry contacts was instrumental in locating a suitable case study for analysis. I am also grateful for his feedback on the numerous papers and drafts associated with the thesis.

I would like to thank the management and staff at CSIRO Division of Information Technology, Sydney for their cooperation in providing me with access to their industrial clients which led to recruiting Organisation X as the case study upon which this thesis is based. I am also grateful for the cooperation and time of the key informant and the staff at Organisation X.

Thanks also to Associate Professor Jenny Edwards, head of the School of Computing Sciences, at UTS who provided a supportive and conducive work environment as did her predecessor Professor Igor Hawryszkiewicz. I am also grateful to Professor John Hughes for his valuable advice, support, and guidance throughout.

My family and friends have been patient and supportive throughout the years of study and to them I owe a great deal. I especially would like to thank my parents for instilling in me the will and determination needed for the task. Finally, thanks to my children Louise and Thomas who are two outstanding reasons for seeing this through.

# Table of Contents

List of Tables .....	x
List of Figures .....	x
Abstract .....	xi
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction and Specification of the Research Problem .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Organisational Impacts of Knowledge Based Systems .....	1
1.2.1 Unique Characteristics of KBSs .....	2
1.2.2 Organisational Impacts of KBS .....	2
1.2.3 The Importance of Assessing KBS Value in the Management of KBSs .....	4
1.3 A Focus Group Interview to Identify Managerial Issues in the Development of KBSs .....	6
1.4 Critical Overview of Traditional and Alternative Valuation Models When Applied to KBSs .....	9
1.4.1 Traditional Models.....	9
1.4.2 Alternative Valuation Models.....	11
1.4.3 Other Criticisms of Traditional and Alternative Valuation Models .....	13
1.5 Aims of the Thesis .....	14
1.6 Expected Contribution to Knowledge .....	14
<b>Chapter 2: Literature Review.....</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	15
2.2 Requirements of a KBS Valuation Model .....	15
2.3 Definitions of the Concept of Value .....	16
2.4 Disaggregation of KBS Costs and Benefits .....	18
2.4.1 Literature Review of the Costs and Benefits of KBSs .....	19
2.4.2 Categories for Classifying KBS Costs and Benefits.....	23
2.5 Using Employee Perceptions of Value as Input into KBS Investment Decisions .....	26
2.6 Assessing KBS Value During Prototype Phases .....	27
2.7 Measurement of Intangible Costs and Benefits .....	28

2.8	Use of a Psychological Model to Elicit Perceptions of KBS Value .....	28
2.9	Valuation in Each KBS Lifecycle Phase .....	28
2.10	Review of Valuation Models Relevant to KBSs .....	34
2.10.1	Scoring Models of Project Valuation .....	34
2.10.1.i	Troxler and Blank’s Scoring Model for Manufacturing Information Systems .....	35
2.10.1.ii	Pienaar’s Scoring Model .....	36
2.10.1.iii	Nelson’s Linear Additive Scoring Model .....	37
2.10.1.iv	Sullivan’s Profile Chart and Symbolic Scorecard .....	38
2.10.2	Value Analysis of Decision Support Systems .....	40
2.10.3	Criticisms of Scoring Models .....	42
2.10.4	Other Alternative Approaches to Measuring Intangibles .....	43
2.10.4i	Real-Options Analysis .....	43
2.10.4.ii	Simulation and Role Playing .....	43
2.11	A Model Specifically Designed for the Valuation of a KBS .....	44
2.11.1	Overview of the Theory of Reasoned Action .....	45
2.12	Critical Analysis of TRA .....	47
2.12.1	The Assumption of Volitional Control .....	47
2.12.2	Crossover Effects Between Attitude and the Subjective Norm .....	49
2.12.3	Past Behaviour and its Effect Upon Future Intention .....	51
2.12.4	Validity and Reliability of TRA .....	52
2.12.5	The Issue of the Normative Component .....	53
2.12.6	Review of the Use of TRA in Information Systems Research .....	54
2.13	Conclusion .....	55

**Chapter 3: A Proposed Model for Assessing the Value of KBSs .....57**

3.1	Introduction.....	57
3.2	Characteristic 1 Measuring Employee Perceptions of KBS Value .....	57
3.2.1	Reasons for Omitting the Normative Component .....	58
3.2.2	Crossover Effects .....	60
3.2.3	Variables Used in Measuring Perceived KBS Value .....	60
3.3	Characteristic 2 Using Employee Perceptions of KBS Value as Input into Investment Decisions .....	63
3.3.1	Key Employees and Their Behavioural Roles .....	63

3.3.2	Adherence to the Elements of Target, Behaviour, Time, and Context .....	64
3.3.3	The Assumption of Volitional Control .....	64
3.3.4	Other Key Employees .....	65
3.4	Characteristic 3 Definition of Perceived KBS Value .....	66
3.4.1	Perceived KBS Value Defined as a Trade off Between Costs and Benefits .....	66
3.4.2	Perceived KBS Value Assessed Via Costs and Benefits of Performing a Behaviour by Key Employees .....	66
3.4.3	Definition of Perceived KBS Value .....	67
3.5	Characteristic 4 Disaggregated Costs and Benefits Pertaining to the Value of a KBS .....	68
3.5.1	Categories for Classifying Perceived Costs and Benefits of KBS Value .....	68
3.5.2	Definitions for the Perceived KBS Value Categories .....	71
3.5.2.i	Perceived Time Category .....	71
3.5.2.ii	Definitions for the Perceived Financial Category .....	71
3.5.2.iii	Definitions for the Perceived Quality Category .....	72
3.6	Characteristic 5 Valuation in Prototype Phases .....	72
3.7	Characteristic 6 Valuation in Each Lifecycle Phase .....	73
3.7.1	Valuation With Differing Lifecycle Models .....	73
3.7.2	Timing of the Value Measurements .....	73
3.8	Characteristic 7 Provision of Information on Tangible and Intangible Perceived Costs and Benefits to Managers .....	74
3.9	Conclusion .....	78

**Chapter 4: Research Methodology.....79**

4.1	Introduction.....	79
4.2	Propositions of the KBS Perceived Value Model.....	79
4.2.1	Proposition 1 .....	79
4.2.2	Proposition 2 .....	80
4.2.3	Proposition 3 .....	80
4.2.4	Proposition 4 .....	81
4.2.5	Proposition 5 .....	81
4.2.6	Proposition 6 .....	81
4.2.7	Proposition 7 .....	81

4.3	Description and Justification for a Single Embedded Case Study	
	Design .....	82
4.3.1	The Organisation X Case Study .....	84
4.4	Overview of the Data Collection Method.....	86
4.4.1	Procedure 1 A Structured Interview with the Key Informant .....	87
4.4.2	Procedure 2 An Unstructured Interview / Demonstration with the Key Informant.....	87
4.4.3	Procedure 3 A Structured Interview for Managers, Experts, and Users .....	87
4.4.4	Procedure 4 An unstructured Informal interview with Managers, Users, and Experts .....	88
4.4.5	Procedure 5 Structured Questionnaires for Each Respondent .....	88
4.4.6	Procedure 6 Unstructured Interview with Key Informant .....	88
4.5	Validity and Reliability of the Data Collection Methodology.....	88
4.5.1	Construct Validity .....	89
4.5.2	Internal Validity .....	92
4.5.3	External Validity.....	96
4.5.4	Reliability .....	97
4.6	Conclusion .....	99

**Chapter 5: Presentation of Results .....100**

5.1	Introduction.....	100
5.2	Managerial Issues Across the KBSs lifecycle at Organisation X.....	100
5.3	The KBSs Studied at Organisation X.....	105
5.3.1	KBS A.....	105
5.3.2	KBS B.....	107
5.3.3	KBS C.....	109
5.4	Presentation of Results for Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behaviour.....	111
5.5	Conclusion .....	113

**Chapter 6: Analysis and Interpretation of Results.....115**

6.1	Introduction.....	115
6.2	Analysis of Results Using Pattern Matching .....	115

6.2.1	Pattern Matching Across, Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behaviour.....	115
6.2.2	Analysis of Threats to Internal Validity .....	118
6.3	Analysis of Employee Belief Data .....	123
6.3.1	Analysis of Results for Proposition 2 .....	124
6.3.2	Analysis of Results for Proposition 3 .....	124
6.3.3	Analysis of Results for Proposition 4 .....	124
6.3.4	Analysis of Results for Proposition 5 .....	125
6.3.5	Analysis of Results for Proposition 6 .....	125
6.3.6	Analysis of Results for Proposition 7 .....	127
6.4	Interpretation of Results .....	129
6.5	Other Interpretations of the Results for Managing KBSs.....	132
6.6	Qualifications.....	133
6.6.1	The Existence of Manager, User, and Expert Employees Involved in the Development of the KBS.....	134
6.6.2	Stable Manager, User, and Expert Employees .....	134
6.6.3	Voluntary Performance of KBS Behaviour by Employees .....	135
6.6.4	Volitional Control of Employees in Performing KBS Behaviour.....	135
6.6.5	Organisations which are Developing Two or More KBSs .....	136
6.6.6	Organisations Characterised by a Centralised Decision Making Body .....	137
6.6.7	Other Qualifications.....	138
6.7	Conclusion .....	138

**Chapter 7: Conclusions and Recommendations .....139**

7.1	Introduction.....	139
7.2	Justification for a KBS Valuation Model .....	139
7.3	Contributions of the KBS Valuation Model .....	140
7.4	Format of the Study's Design and its Results.....	140
7.5	Limitations of the Research .....	142
7.6	Avenues for Future Research.....	143

**Appendix A: Case Study Protocol.....147**

A.1	Introduction.....	147
A.2	Case Study Overview.....	147
A.3	Data Collection Procedures .....	148

A.3.1	Procedure 1 A Structured Interview with the Key Informant .....	148
A.3.2	Procedure 2 An Unstructured Interview / Demonstration With the Key Informant .....	149
A.3.3	Procedure 3 A Structured Interview for Managers, Experts, and Users .....	150
A.3.3.1	Elicitation of Beliefs and Evaluations .....	151
A.3.3.2	Elicitation of Actions Indicating Behavioural Performance .....	153
A.3.4	Procedure 4 An unstructured Informal interview with Managers, Users, and Experts .....	153
A.3.5	Procedure 5 Structured Questionnaires for Each Respondent .....	154
A.3.5.1	Procedures for Rating Beliefs and Belief Evaluations .....	154
A.3.5.2	Procedures for Rating Attitude .....	155
A.3.5.3	Procedures for Rating Intention .....	156
A.3.5.4	Procedures for Rating Performance of Behaviour.....	157
A.3.6	Procedure 6 Unstructured Interview With Key Informant .....	158

<b>Appendix B: Tabular Presentation of the Results Across the Variables for the Employees Studied .....</b>	<b>160</b>
Table B.1 Results for the KBS A Manager, and Expert Employees .....	161
Table B.2 Results for the KBS B Manager, and Expert Employees .....	162
Table B.3 Results for the KBS C Manager, and Expert Employees .....	163

<b>Appendix C: Pattern of Results Across the Variables for the Employees Studied .....</b>	<b>164</b>
Figure C.1 Pattern of Results for KBS A Manager .....	165
Figure C.2 Pattern of Results for KBS A Expert.....	166
Figure C.3 Pattern of Results for KBS B Manager .....	167
Figure C.4 Pattern of Results for KBS B Telephonist User .....	168
Figure C.5 Pattern of Results for KBS B Sales Representative User .....	169
Figure C.6 Pattern of Results for KBS B Expert .....	170
Figure C.7 Pattern of Results for KBS C Manager .....	171
Figure C.8 Pattern of Results for KBS C User .....	172
Figure C.9 Pattern of Results for KBS C Expert .....	173

<b>Appendix D: KBS Value Graphs.....</b>	<b>174</b>
Table D.1 KBS Value Graph for KBS A Manager .....	175
Table D.2 KBS Value Graph for KBS A Expert .....	176
Table D.3 KBS Value Graph for KBS B Manager.....	177
Table D.4 KBS Value Graph for KBS B Telephonist User .....	178
Table D.5 KBS Value Graph for KBS B Sales Representative User .....	179
Table D.6 KBS Value Graph for KBS B Expert .....	180
Table D.7 KBS Value Graph for KBS C Manager.....	181
Table D.8 KBS Value Graph for KBS C User .....	182
Table D.9 KBS Value Graph for KBS C Expert .....	183
 <b>Bibliography .....</b>	 <b>184</b>

## List of Tables

Table 2.1	KBS Value Categories .....	24-25
Table 3.1	Variables Used to Measure Perceived KBS Value .....	62
Table 3.2	KBS Value Categories .....	68-70
Table 3.3	Hypothetical KBS Value Graph for a Manager .....	77
Table 5.1	Objectives of the KBS Lifecycle Phases .....	101
Table 5.2	Organisational Groups Involved in KBS Development Phases, Support they Require, and Hindrances Faced in Each Phase .....	103-104
Table 6.1	Characteristics of Employees By Employee Type .....	119
Table 6.2	KBS Characteristics .....	122
Table 6.3	Literature Costs and Benefits Vs Case Study Costs and Benefits .....	126

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Causal Factors in the Determination of an Individual's Behaviour .....	46
Figure 2.2	Crossover Effects in TRA .....	50
Figure A.1	Scale Used to Measure Outcome Beliefs .....	154
Figure A.2	Scale Used to Measure Belief Evaluations .....	155
Figure A.3	Scales Used to Measure Attitude .....	155
Figure A.4	Scale Used to Measure Intention .....	156
Figure A.5	The Scale Used to Measure Performance of Behaviour .....	157

# Abstract

Knowledge Based Systems (KBSs) have the potential to automate a significant number of the decision making processes across organisations of all types. This represents a unique capability, not available to conventional information systems. It gives KBSs the potential to increase internal efficiency, and to enhance an organisation's competitive position. Despite these potential improvements, the impact of this capability upon an organisation introduces a host of new and complex management issues.

Strategic planning for the use of KBSs in organisations is recognised as an important, but neglected area of KBS management research. In practice, KBS development methodologies are used to guide KBS strategic planning. Historically, KBS strategic planning efforts have been poor and are linked to the very high incidence of KBS failure in organisations. While KBS development methodologies may be able to identify potential KBS projects, they are unable to identify which projects have the highest organisational value. The core of the strategic planning problem is that KBS development methodologies adopt current valuation models which do not adequately assess whether investment in a KBS is worthwhile. These valuation models are designed for use in the domain of conventional information systems, but are problematic when applied to KBSs. The unique capability of KBSs to make decisions generates numerous tangible and intangible costs and benefits which cannot be captured by these current valuation models. In addition, these current valuation models fail in three key areas that are critical for adequately assessing KBSs value. First, they do not provide disaggregated information on costs and benefits, many of which are peculiar to KBSs. Second they do not classify these costs and benefits into categories that are meaningful to managers making KBS investment decisions. Third, despite the fact that current valuation models cannot measure intangible costs and benefits, they do not utilise the perceptions of KBS employees to measure them. Using employee perceptions to measure intangible costs and benefits is valid if a recognised psychological model is used to measure perceptions of value.

A valuation model specifically designed for KBSs, which addresses these key areas, is needed by managers planning for an organisation's KBS strategy to enable them to identify KBS investments with the highest organisational value. The aim of this thesis is to propose and verify such a model. To achieve this, the case study research methodology was used. The chosen case is a large sales and manufacturing organisation. At the time of study this

organisation was developing three KBSs and was interested in being able to measure the relative value of the systems.

The study found that the proposed KBS valuation model presented in this thesis overcame the inadequacies of current valuation techniques. First, the results indicate that value of a KBS to an organisation can be assessed by measuring KBS value perceptions of three key employee groups involved in the KBS lifecycle. These groups were found to be: KBS project managers; knowledge domain experts; and KBS users. Employee perceptions of KBS value were measured by adapting the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) which reliably produced valid measures of perceived KBS value. Second, the results indicate that the KBS value perceptions were able to be expressed as disaggregated tangible and intangible costs and benefits. Third, these disaggregated costs and benefits were able to be classified into three categories of value found to be common to all KBSs and meaningful to management. These categories are: time; finances; and quality. Finally, a new graphical technique, termed a “KBS value graph”, designed to visually portray to managerial decision makers, the perceived value of a KBS was developed. It lucidly portrays perceived KBS value while supporting the three critical areas of KBS valuation.