

**THE ROLE OF CUE INTERCORRELATIONS IN THE
JUDGEMENT OF STUDENT INTEREST**

ELKANAHA OLUMIDE AIYEWALEHINMI

**BSc. Construction, Dip. Product Res & Dev., MSc. Eng. Structures,
PhD**

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Education, University of Technology, Sydney
2004.**

Faculty of Education University of Technology, Sydney 2004

CONTENTS

	Page
Figures	x
Tables	xi
Abstract	xii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
Background to the study	1
A framework for the study of a person's judgement of interest	3
Statement of the problem	5
Thesis outline	7
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	9
Judgement analysis	9
Single lens model	13
Policy capturing	16
Cue intercorrelations	20
Proposed study	23
Predictions	30
Conclusion	31
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
Case study 1- A Priori selected factors	37
Case study 2 – Subject Relevant factors	50
Case study 3 – Interest types	51
Case study 4 – Self- selected Interests	53

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS	54
Case study 1- A Priori selected factors	54
Case study 2 – Subject relevant factors	71
Case study 3 –Holland interest types	87
Case study 4- Self selected cues	103
CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSIONS AND SUMMARY	117
Multiple correlation and multicollinearity	118
Correlation between each item of information and the judgement under varying conditions of multicollinearity	125
The effect of selecting the most reliable participants	127
Cue-criterion correlations	128
Multiple correlation	128
Test-retest correlation	128
The Contribution of the study	129
Suggested areas for further studies	134
Concluding comments	136
BIBLIOGRAPHY	138
APPENDICES	146

TABLES

	Page	
Table 1.1	Some potential factors influencing educational interest	5
Table 2.1	Samples of multiple correlation under varying levels of multicollinearity and variations in cue-judgement correlations	29
Table 3.1	Cue intercorrelations (N=60)	41
Table 3.2	Values for the Variance Inflationary Factors (VIF)	46
Table 4.1	Frequency distribution, mean and standard deviation of judgements	56
Table 4.2	Model summary	58
Table 4.3	Standardised coefficients	64
Table 4.4	Frequency distribution, mean and standard deviation of judgements	72
Table 4.5	Model summary	74
Table 4.6	Standardised coefficients	81
Table 4.7	Frequency distribution, mean and standard deviation of judgements	88
Table 4.8	Model summary	90
Table 4.9	Standardised coefficients	97
Table 4.10	Frequency distribution, mean and standard deviation of judgements	104
Table 4.11	Model summary	106
Table 4.12	Standardised coefficients	111
Table 5.1	Multiple R and median multiple R	119

FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	A framework for the study of a person's judgement of interest	4
Figure 1.2	An outline of the thesis and its structure	8
Figure 2.1	Single lens models for studying judgements	14
Figure 2.2	Single lens model designs for use in this study	24
Figure 3.1	Schematic outline of the studies	34
Figure 3.2	Overall structure of the research	35
Figure 3.3	The single lens structure used in this study	36
Figure 3.4	Survey question booklet (part -only)	39
Figure 4.1	Distribution of interest judgements	57
Figure 4.2	Analysis of residuals	60
Figure 4.3	Analysis of multiple correlations between cues and judgement after each profile	63
Figure 4.4	Correlations of judgement and cues	66
Figure 4.5	Distribution of interest judgements	73
Figure 4.6	Analysis of residuals	76
Figure 4.7	Analysis of multiple correlations between cue and judgement after each profile	79
Figure 4.8	Correlations of judgement and cues	82
Figure 4.9	Distribution of interest judgements	89
Figure 4.10	Analysis of residuals	92
Figure 4.11	Analysis of multiple correlations between cues and judgement after each profile	95

Figure 4.12	Correlations of judgement and cues	98
Figure 4.13	Distribution of interest judgements	105
Figure 4.14	Analysis of residuals	107
Figure 4.15	Analysis of multiple correlations between cues and judgement after each profile	110
Figure 4.16	Correlations of judgement and cues	112
Figure 5.1	Judgement variations across the four case studies based on multiple R and the level of multicollinearity	120
Figure 5.2	Judgement variations across the four case studies based on the median cue-criterion correlation and the level of multicollinearity	125

ABSTRACT

This thesis seeks to broaden our understanding of how students combine and use information to make a judgement about a subject in which they may be interested. A review and assessment of previous studies is presented, including a discussion of Brunswik's single lens model. A simple idiographic analysis focusing on a person's behaviour across a large number of situations is presented. The emphasis is on the repeated sampling of a person across many situations. The situations in this study contain profiles or collections of information that involve educational descriptions. The profile is in the form of some ratings (or a set of cues) that describe a subject. The findings support earlier studies, which reported individual variation in perceptions of interest and differences in the ability to make accurate judgement of interest.

The purpose of this study was to (1) investigate how students use available information to make a judgement in a matter of educational interest; (2) how they perceive the world when it is organised in a particular way; and (3) how students construct their own reality and combine information to judge their own reality.

The research question was:

Does increasing the correlation between the items of information impact upon the nature of judgements made by people in terms of: (a) the correlation between each item of information and judgement or (b) the multiple correlation between all items and judgement?

In the past, interest was regarded as an undifferentiated motivational factor to learning and achievement, thus limiting the scope of the study of interest. This study, however, focuses on how students take into account the relationship between different factors when determining their level of interest in a subject they may be interested in studying. In this context, the ability of students to handle information effectively may positively affect the quality of their judgements in regard to their interest in the subject they are considering studying.

The research comprised four separate studies. Participants were university students from three different universities, the University of Technology Sydney, the University of Lagos and Obafemi Awolowo University Ile-Ife. Six different cues were used. Participants were given a booklet comprising 75 judgement profiles.

These profiles contained six different cues or informational details. Participants were asked, “ How interested would you be in taking a subject that has been described in this way?”

Participants were also asked to study the cues in the profile and judge their own interest on a scale of 0 (no interest) to 9 (high interest) based on the information described in the profile. Profiles (N=15) were repeated to determine the reliability or consistency of judgement for each participant. Both multiple correlations and cue utilities based on Brunswik's single lens model were computed. In each study, the four most reliable students based on test-retest reliability, were chosen for further analysis. The cues varied in intercorrelation from 0.0, 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8.

Generally the results showed that participants were different in terms of their judgments and also varied in their perceptions of the situation. There was a plethora of idiosyncratic responses to the various profiles and cues. This individual variation was consistent even across the four content areas.

Overall, the results of the four studies were not substantially different from each other. It shows that policy capturing involves not only attaining some useful measure of cue importance through the procedure of multiple regression but also gaining some knowledge of how cue values are functionally related to judgements. The primary interest is on nonlinear relationships which are additive in nature and addressed the issue of the cue-judgement relationships.

The overall depiction showed that multiple correlation and multicollinearity varied from one case study to another. However, the overall results tended to confirm the importance of individual variation in perceptions relating to judgement of interest in a subject as earlier and widely reported in the interest literature. More importantly this study continues to highlight the large individual difference in human judgement and perception of the world in determining whether educational interest or some other factor that may influence the ways in which components of the educational world are intercorrelated.

These findings tended to support earlier reports that individuals differ in their ways of making a judgement (Parkin 1993, Armelius & Armelius 1976). Indeed, the result of the study confirmed previous reports on the significant differences that exist in individual perceptions of interest.

Taken together, the results of this study support the view that judgement analysis may function as a very important aid to individual student learning and performance on the judgment task. Significantly, the outcome of these four studies, show how students have combined and used information to make a judgement in a subject including how they have constructed their own world of reality.