

Phosphorus Removal Mechanisms in Soil and Slag Media

LEE, SEUNG HWAN
PhD Thesis
Submitted 1995

ABSTRACT

Excessive phosphorus (P) is one of the major pollutants in natural water that are responsible for algal blooms and eutrophication. P removal by soil and slag is an attractive solution if the P sorption capacity of soil or slag is significant. To design an efficient land treatment facility, basic information on the behaviour of P in the media-water environment is required. In this study, detailed experiments were conducted to study P removal under static and dynamic conditions, and mathematical models were developed to describe these processes.

The kinetic studies on P sorption onto a sandy loam soil from North Sydney, Australia, and dust and cake waste products from the BHP steel industry revealed that P sorption is a slow process. More than 90% of the P was adsorbed within 70, 12 and 60 hours in a mixing system for soil, dust and cake respectively while it was within 240, 24 and 120 hours respectively in a static (no stirring) system. Dust adsorbed P the most, compared to the other adsorbents (220-225 times and 4-5 times of the sorptivity of soil and cake respectively). P sorption in the batch experiments was described better by an equation using the Langmuir isotherm than one using the Freundlich isotherm. The kinetics of P sorption were satisfactorily explained by a static, physical, non-equilibrium sorption model (SPNSM).

The pH of the P solution played a critical role in the extent of removal and the removal mechanisms of P. Removal was at a minimum at pH 2. The effect of pH on P removal varied depending on the type of adsorbents and the initial P concentration. The dominant removal mechanism of P at pH<8 was physical sorption, while it was chemical precipitation at pH>10. Batch flocculation experiments revealed that the P removal efficiency increased with an increase of adsorbent dose, flocculation (contact) time and mixing rate. P sorption is affected by the presence of NH₄ which competes for available sites on the adsorbents. The amount of P adsorbed by dust and cake in the presence of NH₄ was less than that in a single solute system. The reduction percentage of P for dust ranged from 33 to 57%.

Detailed column experiments conducted with soil, dust and cake as media indicated that dust and cake have much higher sorption capacities than soil. The solid phase P concentrations on dust and cake calculated from batch experimental isotherm constants are substantially higher than those estimated

from the dynamic column experiments. A program to fit a generalised, non-linear, advective, dispersion model (MCMFIT) was used to estimate the optimal model parameter values. All the columns exhibited characteristic S-shaped or curvilinear breakthrough curves. The simulated results from a dynamic physical non-equilibrium sorption model (DPNSM), based on Freundlich isotherm constants K and N (obtained from column experimental results) satisfactorily matched the corresponding experimental breakthrough data. P removal under dynamic conditions was explained better as a physical non-equilibrium process than an equilibrium one. The mobility of P is restricted by the adsorbing medium and it is proportional to the sorption capacity of the adsorbents. The DPNSM predictions were better than those of the equilibrium sorption model (ESM). The ESM predictions were similar to DPNSM predictions at high influent P concentrations for dust and cake.

An increase of pH value occurred at the initial stage of P removal. This is due to the liberation of Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} ions into the solution from the dust and cake media. The sorption capacity of P in dust and cake columns decreased in the presence of NH_4 due to the competition for sorption sites.

Dust and cake can be applied (i) as an adsorbent in sewage treatment plants, (ii) as a substratum in constructed wetland systems and (iii) as a suppressing material for the release of P from sediments due to their high sorption capacity. The effluent concentrations of heavy metals in the dust and cake column were low enough not to harm any living organisms.



University of Technology, Sydney

CERTIFICATE

I certify that this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being submitted as part of candidature for any other degree.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me and that any help that I have received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

"The Lord will fulfil his purpose for me ; your love, O Lord, endures for ever - do not abandon the works of your hands (Ps 138:9)."

The author wishes to express his profound gratitude to his supervisor A/Prof. S. Vigneswaran for his sincere guidance, invaluable suggestions, sharp criticisms and continual encouragements. Without his unstinted help in both academic and personal concerns throughout the years of the author's postgraduate studies at the University of Technology, Sydney, this research work could not have been completed. Sincere thanks and appreciation are due to Dr. Kiran Bajracharya, Dr. Hee Moon and A/Prof. G. O'Loughlin for their valuable comments and suggestions. A note of appreciation is also extended to Prof. Yong Chung for his encouragement and interest in this study.

The author thankfully acknowledges the scholarships grant provided by the Australian Government which made possible the completion of his doctoral program. He would like to express his sincere appreciation to the technical staffs in the School of Civil Engineering for their kind help, especially Mr. Hao Ngo and Mr. David Hooper, for providing the author with the necessary facilities needed in conducting the experiments. He is also very grateful to the special chemist from NSW Dept. of Agriculture, Dr. M. Abdullah for his supports in analysing the soil, dust and cake samples.

Heartfelt thanks and appreciation are due to his brothers and sisters in the Korean Navigators and the Sydney Asian Navigators, especially brother James Lee, for their prayers and encouragements throughout his period of study. A word of thanks also goes to his friends, Mr. Illungkoo, Ms Hagare, Mr. Kwon and Mr. Jega for sharing the burden together in times of need.

A very special debt of deep gratitude is offered to his parents and parents-in-law for their unceasing love and encouragement. Finally to his beloved wife Houkum for her untiring love, constant prayers and sacrificial supporting. His beloved daughter Haejin has been a source of joy and strength. It is to his beloved wife and daughter that this piece of work is lovingly dedicated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Page
	Title Page	i
	Abstract	ii
	Certificate of Originality	iv
	Acknowledgments	v
	Table of Contents	vi
	Notation	xi
	List of Tables	xiii
	List of Figures	xviii
I	INTRODUCTION	1.1
1.1	General	1.1
1.2	Objectives of the Study	1.5
1.3	Scope of the Study	1.5
1.4	Organisation of the Report	1.6
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	2.1
2.1	Phosphorus	2.1
	2.1.1 Nature of Phosphorus	2.1
	2.1.2 Main Sources of Phosphorus	2.4
	2.1.2.1 Agricultural and Animal Husbandry Run Off	2.4
	2.1.2.2 Sewer Overflows	2.5
	2.1.2.3 Effluents from Sewage Treatment Plant	2.5
	2.1.3 Problems Caused by Elevated Phosphorus Concentrations	2.6
	2.1.4 Phosphorus in New South Wales Waterways	2.8
2.2	Physico-chemical Phosphorus Removal Process	2.12
	2.2.1 Principle of Sorption	2.13
	2.2.2 Sorption - Desorption	2.14
	2.2.3 Sorption Equilibrium	2.14
	2.2.3.1 Langmuir Sorption Isotherm	2.15
	2.2.3.2 Freundlich Sorption Isotherm	2.16
	2.2.4 Kinetic Sorption Theory	2.17
	2.2.4.1 Kinetics of Soil and Slag Reactions	2.19

2.2.4.2	Phosphorus Sorption Kinetics	2.19
2.2.5	Factors Affecting the Rate of P Sorption	2.20
2.2.5.1	Hydrogen Ion Concentration (pH)	2.21
2.2.5.2	Temperature	2.22
2.2.5.3	Liquid Phase Concentration	2.22
2.2.5.4	Molecular Size and Configuration of the Adsorbate	2.22
2.2.5.5	Characteristics of the Waste	2.23
2.2.5.6	Agitation	2.23
2.2.5.7	Characteristics of the Adsorbent	2.24
(a)	Iron and Aluminium Oxides and Hydroxides	2.24
(b)	Clay	2.25
(c)	pH and Supporting Electrolytes	2.25
(d)	Organic Matter	2.26
(e)	Free Iron	2.27
2.2.6	Kinetic Equations	2.27
2.2.7	Precipitation-Dissolution	2.29
2.2.7.1	Chemistry of Phosphate	2.30
2.2.7.2	Al Compounds as P Precipitants	2.32
2.2.7.3	Fe Compounds as P Precipitants	2.32
2.2.7.4	Ca Compounds as P Precipitants	2.33
2.2.8	Surface Interaction between the Solid and Liquid Phase Solution	2.33
2.2.8.1	The Surface Charge of the Solid Phase	2.34
2.2.8.2	Properties of Liquid Layer	2.34
2.2.9	Ion Exchange	2.35
2.2.9.1	Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)	2.36
2.2.9.2	Anion Exchange Capacity (AEC)	2.36
2.3	Past Studies on P Removal Using Soil	2.37
2.4	Past Studies on P Removal Using Slag and Other Media	2.39
2.5	Constructed Wetland Systems (CWS)	2.42
2.5.1	Factors Contributing to Efficiency of Constructed Wetland Systems	2.43
2.5.1.1	Plant Species	2.43
2.5.1.2	Substrata	2.44
2.5.1.3	Microorganisms	2.45
2.5.1.4	Temperature	2.46
2.5.2	Phosphorus Removal in CWS	2.46

III	THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS	3.1
3.1	P Transport in Static Systems	3.1
	3.1.1 Kinetic Non-equilibrium Sorption	3.2
	3.1.2 Static Physical Non-equilibrium Sorption Model (SPNSM)	3.2
3.2	P Transport in Dynamic Systems	3.6
	3.2.1 Equilibrium Sorption Model (ESM)	3.10
	3.2.2 Dynamic Physical Non-equilibrium Sorption Model (DPNSM)	3.13
	3.2.3 MCMFIT: A Program to Fit a Generalised Non-linear Advective - Dispersion Model	3.14
	3.2.4 Effect of Model Parameters on Breakthrough Curve	3.16
IV	EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION	4.1
4.1	Materials Used	4.1
	4.1.1 Adsorbents Analysis	4.1
	4.1.2 Chemicals Used in Preparing Synthetic Wastewater	4.4
4.2	Design of Batch Experiments	4.5
	4.2.1 Batch Sorption Experiments of P in the Mixing System	4.5
	4.2.1.1 Experiments to Study the Kinetics of P Sorption	4.6
	4.2.1.2 Batch Sorption Experiments at Different pH Levels	4.9
	4.2.1.3 Batch Precipitation Experiments at Different pH Levels	4.9
	4.2.1.4 Batch Sorption Experiments in the Presence of Foreign Material	4.10
	4.2.2 Batch Sorption Experiments of P in the Static System	4.10
	4.2.3 Batch Flocculation Experiments	4.11
4.3	Design of Column Experiments	4.13
	4.3.1 Column Experiments with Soil	4.19
	4.3.2 Column Experiments with Dust	4.20
	4.3.3 Column Experiments with Cake	4.21
V	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	5.1
5.1	Characteristics of the Media	5.1
	5.1.1 Physical Characteristics of the Media	5.1
	5.1.2 Chemical Characteristics of the Media	5.4

5.2	P Removal in Batch Experiments	5.5
5.2.1	P Sorption in the Mixing System at Equilibrium	5.6
5.2.2	Kinetics of P Sorption in the Mixing System	5.13
5.2.3	P Sorption in the Static System at Equilibrium	5.14
5.2.4	Kinetics of P Sorption in the Static System	5.23
5.2.5	pH Changes in the Static System	5.27
5.2.6	Effect of pH on P Removal	5.30
5.2.7	Effect of Precipitation on P Removal	5.34
5.3	Batch Flocculation Experiments	5.37
5.3.1	Effect of Flocculant (Adsorbent) Dose	5.38
5.3.2	Effect of Flocculation (Contact) Time	5.40
5.3.3	Effect of Mixing	5.42
5.3.4	pH Changes at Batch Flocculation Experiments	5.43
5.4	P Sorption in the Presence of Foreign Material	5.44
5.4.1	Effect of NH ₄ on P Sorption in Dust	5.44
5.4.2	Effect of NH ₄ on P Sorption in Cake	5.46
5.4.3	P Sorption in the Synthetic Wastewater	5.46
5.5	P Removal in Column Experiments	5.48
5.5.1	P Removal in the Soil Column	5.48
5.5.1.1	Effect of Influent P Concentration	5.48
	(a) Column Sorption Isotherm of P in Soil	5.48
	(b) Model Validation	5.50
5.5.1.2	Effect of Pore Water Velocity	5.55
5.5.1.3	Effect of Adsorbent Depth	5.59
5.5.1.4	Effect of Water Level	5.61
5.5.1.5	Mechanism of P removal in the Soil Column	5.62
5.5.2	P Removal in the Dust Column	5.63
5.5.3	P Removal in the Cake Column	5.70
5.5.4	pH changes in Column Experiments	5.75
5.5.5	Effect of NH ₄ on P Removal	5.78
5.6	Field Application	5.80
5.6.1	Upgrading of Sewage Treatment Plants (STPs) with CWS Using Dust	5.82
5.6.1.1	Sewage Treatment Plant (STP)	5.83
5.6.1.2	Constructed Wetland System (CWS)	5.83
5.6.2	Suppression of P Liberation from Sediments Using Dust and Cake	5.86
5.6.3	Toxicity of the Dust and Cake	5.88

VI	CONCLUSIONS	6.1
VII	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	7.1
	REFERENCES	R.1
	APPENDICES	A.0
	A1. Definitions of Abbreviations, Terms and Units	A.1
	A2. FORTRAN Listing of ALPA	A.5
	A3. FORTRAN Listing of SPNSM	A.9
	A4. Program Description of MCMFIT	A.12

NOTATION

A	Cross sectional area of column (m ²)
C _r	Courant number
C	Liquid phase concentration (mg/L)
C _f	Liquid phase concentration in the film (mg/L)
C _i	Liquid phase concentration of solute i in the mixture (mg/L)
C _m	Liquid phase concentration in mobile water (mg/L)
C _o	Influent liquid phase concentration (mg/L)
D _s	Dispersion / Diffusion coefficient (cm ² /s)
D(Θ)	Diffusivity as a function of water content
D _s (Θ,w)	Diffusion coefficient as a function of water content (cm ² /s)
f(C)	Function of C (sorption isotherm)
H	Column filter depth (cm)
K	Freundlich isotherm constant, [mg/g(L/mg) ^N]
K(Θ)	Permeability as a function of water content (cm/s)
N	Freundlich isotherm constant (dimensionless)
Q	Flow rate (m ³ /d)
R	Solid particle radius (m)
R _d	Retardation factor (dimensionless)
ROH	Ratio of bulk density to porosity
S	Solid phase concentration at any instant (mg/g)
S ₁	Solid phase concentration on equilibrium sites (equivalent liquid phase) (mg/g)
S ₂	Solid phase concentration on kinetic non-equilibrium sites (equivalent liquid phase) (mg/g)
S _{2e}	Equilibrium solid phase concentration on kinetic nonequilibrium sites (equivalent liquid phase) (mg/g)
S _f	Solid phase concentration in equilibrium with the influent liquid phase concentration (mg/g)
S _m	Maximum capacity of the filter medium to adsorb P solute (mg/g)
S _i	Sorption site i (mg/g)
X	Normalised liquid phase concentration
Y	Normalised solid phase concentration
a	Langmuir isotherm constant (mg/g)
α	Mass transfer coefficient (day ⁻¹)
α _i	Isotherm fitting parameter (i=1,2,3,4)
α ₅	Kinetic sorption rate constant (day ⁻¹)

α_6	Fraction of the sites available for instantaneous sorption
b	Langmuir isotherm constant (L/mg)
β	Mass of the medium (g)
$c(i)$	Parameter values, $i=1,NVAR$.
$c_{max}(i)$	Maximum of the i^{th} parameter
$c_{min}(i)$	Minimum of the i^{th} parameter
d_{max}	Maximum observed concentration (mg/L)
d_{min}	Minimum observed concentration (m/gL)
ϵ	Porosity
Θ	Amount of liquid (water) (L)
Θ_i	Initial water content in the column (L)
Θ_m	Water content in the mobile phase (L)
Θ_{im}	Water content in the immobile phase (L)
k_r	Rate constant (day^{-1})
p	Column Peclet number
ρ	Bulk density (g/cm^3)
t	Time (s)
t_1	Pulse period (m)
Δt	Time step (s)
v	Darcy velocity (cm/s)
w	Pore water velocity (cm/day)
w_m	Pore water velocity in the mobile phase (cm/day)
w_s	Pore solute velocity (cm/day)
z	Distance (m)
Δz	Spatial step (m)

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
Table 2.1	Approximate Concentrations of Phosphate Forms in a Typical Raw Domestic Sewage (Jenkins et al., 1971)	2.4
Table 2.2	EPA Licence Limits, Actual Effluent Quality and Loading Rate for the Discharge of Phosphorus in the Hawkesbury-Nepean Catchment, New South Wales (Thomas, 1991)	2.10
Table 2.3	Effluent Total Phosphorus Concentration According to Treatment Process (Thomas, 1991)	2.11
Table 2.4	Predicted Future P Loads to the Hawkesbury-Nepean River (Thomas, 1991)	2.12
Table 2.5	Summary of Kinetic Equations used for P Reaction with Soil Constituents (Bolan et al., 1985)	2.28
Table 2.6	Phosphate Equilibrium (Sillen and Martell, 1964)	2.31
Table 4.1	Analytical Sources of Physical Properties of Soil, Dust and Cake	4.3
Table 4.2	Analytical Sources of Chemical Properties of Soil, Dust and Cake (NSW Department of Agriculture, 1994)	4.3
Table 4.3	Characteristics of the Synthetic Wastewater (Stock Solution)	4.4
Table 4.4	Experiments on Kinetics of P Sorption in the Mixing System	4.7
Table 4.5	Batch Sorption Experiments of P at Different pH Levels	4.9
Table 4.6	Batch Precipitation Experiments at Different pH Levels	4.10

Table 4.7	Batch Sorption Experiments of P in the Presence of NH ₄	4.11
Table 4.8	Batch Sorption Experiments of P in the Synthetic Wastewater	4.11
Table 4.9	Experiments on Kinetics of P Sorption in the Static System	4.12
Table 4.10	Summary of Batch Flocculation Experiments	4.14
Table 4.11	Summary of Soil Column Experiments	4.20
Table 4.12	Summary of Dust Column Experiments	4.21
Table 4.13	Summary of Cake Column Experiments	4.22
Table 5.1	Physical Characteristics of Soil, Dust and Cake	5.3
Table 5.2	Chemical Composition of Dust and Cake	5.4
Table 5.3	Chemical Properties of Soil, Dust and Cake	5.5
Table 5.4	Equilibrium Concentration of P in the Mixing System for Various Initial Concentrations (V=200 mL, pH=5-6)	5.11
Table 5.5A	Freundlich Isotherm Constants (K, N) in the Mixing System	5.12
Table 5.5B	Langmuir Isotherm Constants (a, b) in the Mixing System	5.12
Table 5.6	Correlation coefficients (R ²) for Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm constants for various adsorbents (Amount of adsorbent = 20 g, C ₀ =5,10, 20, 50 and 100 mg/L at room temperature) (Mann and Bavor, 1993)	5.13
Table 5.7	The Film Transfer Constant (α) of the Adsorbents for Various Initial P Concentrations (in the Mixing System)	5.14
Table 5.8	Equilibrium Concentration of P in the Static System for Different Initial Concentrations (V=400 mL, pH=5-6)	5.18

Table 5.9A	Freundlich Isotherm Constants (K, N) for Different Adsorbents in the Static System	5.22
Table 5.9B	Langmuir Isotherm Constants (a, b) for Different Adsorbents in the Static System	5.23
Table 5.10	The Film Transfer Constant (α) of the Different Adsorbents for Various Initial Concentrations in the Static System	5.27
Table 5.11	The Amount of P Adsorbed at Different pH levels in the Mixing System (V=200 mL)	5.30
Table 5.12	Amount of Ionic P Removed by Precipitation at Different pH (C_o for Soil=20.9 mg/L, C_o for Dust=20.4 mg/L, V=200 mL)	5.37
Table 5.13	Major Cations Found in Dust and the Possible Insoluble Compounds Formed in the Solution.	5.42
Table 5.14	Solid Phase Concentration of P and the Percentage of P Reduction in the Presence of NH_4 for Dust ($NH_4=40$ mg/L, V=200 mL, $\beta=0.1$ g, pH=5-6)	5.45
Table 5.15	Freundlich and Langmuir Isotherm Constants of P in the Presence of NH_4 for Dust ($NH_4=40$ mg/L, V=200 mL, $\beta=0.1$ g, pH=5-6)	5.46
Table 5.16	Solid Phase Concentration of P and the Percentage of P Reduction in the Presence of NH_4 for Cake ($NH_4=40$ mg/L, V=200 mL, $\beta=0.1$ g, pH=5-6)	5.47
Table 5.17	Freundlich and Langmuir Isotherm Constants of P in the Presence of NH_4 for Cake ($NH_4=40$ mg/L, V=200 mL, $\beta=1.0$ g, pH=5-6)	5.47
Table 5.18	Solid Phase P Concentration in the Presence of Synthetic Wastewater for Soil and Dust	5.47

Table 5.19	Amount of P Adsorbed in Soil in the Batch and Column Experiments	5.49
Table 5.20	Freundlich and Langmuir Isotherm Constants for Soil in the Dynamic System	5.50
Table 5.21	The Optimal Parameter Values of MCMFIT for Different Influent Concentrations of P	5.51
Table 5.22	Observed and Predicted Relative Darcy Velocities of P	5.54
Table 5.23	The Model Parameters of DPNSM at Various Pore Water Velocities	5.56
Table 5.24	The Best Curve Fitting Parameter Values of MCMFIT at Various Pore Water Velocities	5.58
Table 5.25	Comparison of Soil Column Parameters at Different Pore Water Velocities	5.58
Table 5.26	Soil Column Parameters at Different Adsorbent Depths	5.61
Table 5.27	Amount of P Adsorbed in the Batch and Column Experiments for Dust	5.68
Table 5.28	Freundlich and Langmuir Isotherm Constants for Dust	5.68
Table 5.29	Observed and Predicted Relative Darcy Velocities in Dust	5.69
Table 5.30	Amount of P Adsorbed in the Batch and Column Experiments for Cake	5.74
Table 5.31	Freundlich and Langmuir Isotherm Constants in the Batch and Column Experiment for Cake	5.74
Table 5.32	Observed and Predicted Relative Darcy Velocities of P Solution in Cake	5.75

Table 5.33	Concentration of Mg and Ca ions from Effluent P Solution	5.77
Table 5.34	Concentrations of Several Heavy Metals in Slag (Yamada et al., 1987 ;*Yamagata, 1977)	5.89
Table 5.35	Concentration of Total Elements from Effluent P Solutions in the Column Experiment	5.90
Table A.1	Input Data File for the Example (Soil Column Experiment, $C_0=5$ mg/L)	A.13
Table A.2	Format of Input File as Required by MCMFIT	A.14
Table A.3	Output File for the Example (Soil Column Experiment, $C_0=5$ mg/L)	A.15

LIST OF FIGURES

Table No.	Title	Page
Figure 2.1	The Phosphorus Cycle (Reiners, 1984)	2.2
Figure 2.2	Time Scale of Different Processes (Borggaard, 1990)	2.17
Figure 2.3	Transport Processes in Solid-Liquid Soil Reactions (Borggaard, 1990).	2.20
Figure 2.4	pH Concentration Diagram for Phosphate Species of Typical Raw Wastewater (Jenkins et al., 1971)	2.31
Figure 3.1	Comparison of Numerical (Eqns. 3.7 &3.8) and Analytical (Eqn.3.6) Solutions of Sorption Kinetics for Freundlich Isotherm Constant N=1	3.7
Figure 3.2	The Shape of Breakthrough Curves (Kjeldsen, 1986)	3.8
Figure 3.3	Equilibrium Sorption Process	3.12
Figure 3.4	Effect of Pore Water Velocity (w) on the Breakthrough Curve ($K=4.26 \text{ mg/g(L/mg)}^N$, $N=1.11$, $D_s=1.20 \text{ cm}^2/\text{day}$, $\alpha_5=0$, $\alpha_6=1.0$, $\text{ROH}=3.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $C_o=10 \text{ mg/L}$, $H=5 \text{ cm}$)	3.17
Figure 3.5	Effect of Dispersion Coefficient (D_s) on the Breakthrough Curve ($K=4.26 \text{ mg/g(L/mg)}^N$, $N=1.11$, $w=1.50 \text{ cm/day}$, $\alpha_5=0$, $\alpha_6=1.0$, $\text{ROH}=3.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $C_o=10 \text{ mg/L}$, $H=5 \text{ cm}$)	3.18
Figure 3.6	Effect of Kinetic Mass Transfer Coefficient (α_5) on the Breakthrough Curve ($K=4.26 \text{ mg/g(L/mg)}^N$, $N=1.11$, $w=1.50 \text{ cm/day}$, $D_s=1.20 \text{ cm}^2/\text{day}$, $\alpha_6=0$, $\text{ROH}=3.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $C_o=10 \text{ mg/L}$, $H=5 \text{ cm}$)	3.18
Figure 3.7	Effect of Freundlich Isotherm Constant K on the Breakthrough Curve ($N=1.11$, $w=1.50 \text{ cm/day}$, $D_s=1.20 \text{ cm}^2/\text{day}$, $\alpha_5=0$, $\alpha_6=1.0$, $\text{ROH}=3.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $C_o=10 \text{ mg/L}$, $H=5 \text{ cm}$)	3.19

Figure 3.8	Effect of Freundlich Isotherm Constant N on the Break-through Curve ($K=4.26 \text{ mg/g(L/mg)}^N$, $w=1.50 \text{ cm/day}$, $D_s=1.20 \text{ cm}^2/\text{day}$, $\alpha_5=0$, $\alpha_6=1.0$, $\text{ROH}=3.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $C_o=10 \text{ mg/L}$, $H=5 \text{ cm}$)	3.19
Figure 3.9	Effect of Fraction of Equilibrium Site (α_6) on the Break-through Curve ($K=4.26 \text{ mg/g(L/mg)}^N$, $N=1.11$, $w=1.50 \text{ cm/day}$, $D_s=1.20 \text{ cm}^2/\text{day}$, $\text{ROH}=3.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $C_o=10 \text{ mg/L}$, $H=5 \text{ cm}$)	3.20
Figure 3.10	Effect of Influent Concentration (C_o) on the Break-through Curve ($K=4.26 \text{ mg/g(L/mg)}^N$, $N=1.11$, $w=1.50 \text{ cm/day}$, $D_s=1.20 \text{ cm}^2/\text{day}$, $\alpha_5=0$, $\alpha_6=1.0$, $\text{ROH}=3.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $H=5 \text{ cm}$)	3.20
Figure 4.1	Soil Texture Classification (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1964)	4.2
Figure 4.2	Batch Sorption Experimental Set-up in the Mixing System	4.6
Figure 4.3	Batch Sorption Experimental Set-up in the Static System	4.12
Figure 4.4A	Batch Flocculation Experimental Set-up	4.14
Figure 4.4B	Sketch of Batch Flocculation Experimental Set-Up	4.15
Figure 4.5	Column Experimental Set-up	4.17
Figure 4.6	Details of Column Structure	4.18
Figure 5.1	Particle Size Distribution of Soil	5.2
Figure 5.2	Compaction Test for Soil (Blows/Layers=25, No. of Layers=3, Mass of Hammer=2.7 kg, Mould diameter=10.5 cm, Mould height=11.5 cm, $V=1 \text{ L}$)	5.3
Figure 5.3A	Kinetics of P Sorption in Soil in the Mixing System ($C_o = 5, 10, 15$ and 20 mg/L , $\beta=50.0 \text{ g}$, $V=200 \text{ mL}$, $\text{pH}=5-6$)	5.7

Figure 5.3B	Kinetics of P Sorption in Soil in the Mixing System ($C_o = 25,30,35$ and 40 mg/L, $\beta=50.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.7
Figure 5.4A	Kinetics of P Sorption in Dust in the Mixing System ($C_o = 5,10,15$ and 20 mg/L, $\beta=0.1$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.8
Figure 5.4B	Kinetics of P Sorption in Dust in the Mixing System ($C_o = 25,30,35$ and 40 mg/L, $\beta=0.1$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.8
Figure 5.5A	Kinetics of P Sorption in Cake in the Mixing System ($C_o = 5,10,15$ and 20 mg/L, $\beta=1.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.9
Figure 5.5B	Kinetics of P Sorption in Cake in the Mixing System ($C_o = 25,30,35$ and 40 mg/L, $\beta=0.1$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.9
Figure 5.6A	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Soil in the Mixing System ($C_o = 5,10,15$ and 20 mg/L, $\beta=50.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.15
Figure 5.6B	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Soil in the Mixing System ($C_o =25,30,35$ and 40 mg/L, $\beta=50.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.15
Figure 5.7A	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Dust in the Mixing System ($C_o = 5,10,15$ and 20 mg/L, $\beta=0.1$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.16
Figure 5.7B	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Dust in the Mixing System ($C_o =25,30,35$ and 40 mg/L, $\beta=0.1$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.16
Figure 5.8A	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Cake in the Mixing System ($C_o = 5,10,15$ and 20 mg/L, $\beta=1.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.17
Figure 5.8B	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Cake in the Mixing System ($C_o =25,30,35$ and 40 mg/L, $\beta=1.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.17

Figure 5.9A	Kinetics of P Sorption in Soil in the Static System ($C_o = 5, 10$ and 15 mg/L, $\beta=50.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.19
Figure 5.9B	Kinetics of P Sorption in Soil in the Static System ($C_o = 20, 25$ and 30 mg/L, $\beta=50.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.19
Figure 5.10A	Kinetics of P Sorption in Dust in the Static System ($C_o = 5, 10$ and 15 mg/L, $\beta=0.1$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.20
Figure 5.10B	Kinetics of P Sorption in Dust in the Static System ($C_o = 20, 25$ and 30 mg/L, $\beta=0.1$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.20
Figure 5.11A	Kinetics of P Sorption in Cake in the Static System ($C_o = 5, 10$ and 15 mg/L, $\beta=1.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.21
Figure 5.11B	Kinetics of P Sorption in Cake in the Static System ($C_o = 20, 25$ and 30 mg/L, $\beta=0.1$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.21
Figure 5.12A	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Soil in the Static System ($C_o = 5, 10$ and 15 mg/L, $\beta=50.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.24
Figure 5.12B	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Soil in the Static System ($C_o = 20, 25$ and 30 mg/L, $\beta=50.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.24
Figure 5.13A	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Dust in the Static System ($C_o = 5, 10$ and 15 mg/L, $\beta=0.1$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.25
Figure 5.13B	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Dust in the Static System ($C_o = 20, 25$ and 30 mg/L, $\beta=0.1$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.25
Figure 5.14A	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Cake in the Static System ($C_o = 5, 10$ and 15 mg/L, $\beta=1.0$ g, $V=200$ mL, $pH=5-6$)	5.26

Figure 5.14B	Observed and Predicted Kinetics of P Sorption in Cake in the Static System ($C_o = 20, 25$ and 30 mg/L, $\beta = 1.0$ g, $V = 200$ mL, $pH = 5-6$)	5.26
Figure 5.15A	pH changes in the Static System for Soil Solution ($C_o = 5, 10$ and 15 mg/L, $\beta = 100.0$ g, $V = 400$ mL)	5.28
Figure 5.15B	pH changes in the Static System for Dust Solution ($C_o = 5, 10$ and 15 mg/L, $\beta = 0.4$ g, $V = 400$ mL)	5.29
Figure 5.15C	pH changes in the Static System for Cake Solution ($C_o = 5, 10$ and 15 mg/L, $\beta = 2.0$ g, $V = 400$ mL)	5.29
Figure 5.16	Effect of pH on P Removal in the Mixing System for Soil Solution ($C_o = 20$ mg/L, $\beta = 50.0$ g, $V = 200$ mL, Sorption Time = 120 hours)	5.31
Figure 5.17	Effect of pH on P Removal in the Mixing System for Dust Solution ($C_o = 20$ mg/L, $\beta = 0.3$ g, $V = 200$ mL, Sorption Time = 120 hours)	5.31
Figure 5.18	Influence of Removal Mechanism on Final pH in the Mixing System (Amount of Soil = 50 g, Amount of Dust = 0.3 g, $C_o = 20$ mg/L, $V = 200$ mL, Sorption Time = 120 hours)	5.32
Figure 5.19	Effect of pH on P Removal Mechanism (Mixing System ; Soil as Adsorbent, $C_o = 20$ mg/L, $\beta = 50$ g, $V = 200$ mL, Sorption Time = 120 hours)	5.33
Figure 5.20	Effect of pH on P Removal Mechanism (Mixing System ; Dust as Adsorbent, $C_o = 20$ mg/L, $\beta = 0.3$ g, $V = 200$ mL, Sorption Time = 120 hours)	5.34
Figure 5.21	Effect of pH on P Removal by Precipitation in the Mixing System ($C_o = 20.9$ mg/L for Soil, $C_o = 20.4$ mg/L for Dust, $V = 200$ mL, Precipitation Time = 120 hours)	5.36

- Figure 5.22 Effect of Precipitation on Final pH in the Mixing System
($C_o=20.9$ mg/L for Soil, $C_o=20.4$ mg/L for Dust,
 $V=200$ mL, Precipitation Time=120 hours) 5.37
- Figure 5.23A Effect of Flocculant (Dust) Dose and Initial P Concentration
on P Removal Efficiency ($T_f=30$ min., Mixing velocity =
60 rpm, $C_o=10, 20, 30$ mg/L, pH=5-6) 5.39
- Figure 5.23B Effect of Flocculant (Dust) Dose and Flocculation Time on
P Removal Efficiency (Mixing Velocity = 60 rpm,
 $C_o= 20$ mg/L, pH=5-6) 5.39
- Figure 5.24A Effect of Flocculation Time at High Flocculant (Dust) Dose
(Mixing velocity = 60 rpm, Dust Dose = 30 g, $C_o=10, 20,$
30mg/L, pH=5-6) 5.41
- Figure 5.24B Effect of Flocculation Time at Low Flocculant (Dust) Dose
(Mixing velocity = 60 rpm, Dust Dose = 2 g, $C_o=5$ and
10 mg/L, pH=5-6) 5.41
- Figure 5.25 Effect of Mixing Velocity on P Removal ($T_f = 30$ minutes,
Dust Dose= 30 g, $C_o=10, 20, 30$ mg/L, pH=5-6) 5.43
- Figure 5.26 pH Changes at Different Flocculation (Mixing) Times
(Mixing Velocity = 60 rpm, $C_o= 20$ mg/L, pH=5-6) 5.44
- Figure 5.27(A)(B): Phosphorus Concentration History Curves in Soil
($w=1.53$ cm/day, $D_s=0.58$ cm²/day, $\alpha_s =0.23$ day⁻¹ for $C_o=$
10 mg/L, $\alpha_s =0.18$ day⁻¹ for $C_o=20$ mg/L, $K=4.55 \times 10^{-3}$
mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.11$, $H=5$ cm, pH=6-7) 5.52
- Figure 5.27(C)(D): Phosphorus Concentration History Curves in Soil
($w=1.53$ cm/day, $D_s=0.58$ cm²/day, $\alpha_s =0.13$ day⁻¹ for $C_o=$
30 mg/L, $\alpha_s =0.10$ day⁻¹ for $C_o=40$ mg/L, $K=4.55 \times 10^{-3}$
mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.11$, $H=5$ cm, pH=6-7) 5.53

- Figure 5.28A Observed and DPNSM Predicted Concentration History Curves of P for Different Pore Water Velocities in Soil ($C_o=30$ mg/L, $D_s=0.58$ cm²/day, $\alpha_s = 0.25$ day⁻¹, $K=4.55 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.11$, $H=5$ cm, $pH=6-7$) 5.57
- Figure 5.28B Observed and MCMFIT fitted Concentration History Curves of P for Different Pore Water Velocities in Soil ($C_o=30$ mg/L, $D_s=1.19$ cm²/day, $H=5$ cm, $pH=6-7$) 5.57
- Figure 5.29A Phosphorus Concentration History Curves at Different Soil Depths ($C_o=50$ mg/L, $H=2$ and 3 cm, $w=38.0$ for 2 cm, $w=11.8$ cm/day for 3 cm, $D_s=0.58$ cm²/day, $\alpha_s=0.33$ day⁻¹ for 2 cm, $\alpha_s=0.65$ day⁻¹ for 3 cm, $K=4.55 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.11$, $pH=6-7$) 5.60
- Figure 5.29B Phosphorus Concentration History Curves at Different Soil Depths ($C_o=50$ mg/L, $H=4$ and 5 cm, $w=9.21$ cm/day for 4 cm, $w=4.95$ cm/day for 5 cm, $D_s=0.58$ cm²/day, $\alpha_s=0.98$ day⁻¹ for 4 cm, $\alpha_s=1.49$ day⁻¹ for 5 cm, $K=4.55 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.11$, $pH=6-7$) 5.60
- Figure 5.30 Phosphorus Concentration History Curves for Different Water Levels above Soil Column ($C_o=30$ mg/L ; Water Level= $5, 15$ and 25 cm ; $K=4.55 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.11$; $D_s=0.58$ cm²/day; $H=5$ cm ; $pH=6-7$; $w=1.18$ cm/day and $\alpha_s=0.28$ day⁻¹ for 5 cm ; $w=1.91$ cm/day and $\alpha_s=0.35$ day⁻¹ for 15 cm ; $w=2.89$ cm/day and $\alpha_s=0.43$ day⁻¹ for 25 cm) 5.62
- Figure 5.31(A)(B)(C)(D) Phosphorus Concentration History Curves in Dust ($w=305$ cm/day, $D_s=13.68$ cm²/day, $K=2.61 \times 10^{-1}$ mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.13$, $\alpha_s=6.24$ day⁻¹, $H=1$ cm, $pH=6-7$) 5.65
- Figure 5.31(E)(F) Phosphorus Concentration History Curves in Dust ($w=37$ cm/day for $C_o=40$ mg/L, $w=43$ cm/day for $C_o=50$ mg/L; $D_s=13.68$ cm²/day, $K=2.61 \times 10^{-1}$ mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.13$, $\alpha_s=6.24$ day⁻¹, $H=1$ cm, $pH=6-7$) 5.67

- Figure 5.32(A)(B) Phosphorus Concentration History Curves in Cake
($w=10.2$ cm/day, $D_s=1.42$ cm²/day, $K=8.17 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.09$, $\alpha_s=0.37$ day⁻¹, $H=3$ cm, $pH=6-7$) 5.71
- Figure 5.32(C)(D) Phosphorus Concentration History Curves in Cake
($w=15.6$ cm/day for $C_o=20$ mg/L, $w=46.0$ cm/day for $C_o=30$ mg/L, $D_s=1.42$ cm²/day, $K=8.17 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.09$, $\alpha_s=0.37$ day⁻¹, $H=3$ cm, $pH=6-7$) 5.72
- Figure 5.32(E)(F) Phosphorus Concentration History Curves in Cake
($w=54.0$ cm/day for $C_o=40$ mg/L, $w=60.0$ cm/day for $C_o=50$ mg/L; $D_s=1.42$ cm²/day, $K=8.17 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/g(L/mg)^N, $N=1.09$, $\alpha_s=0.37$ day⁻¹, $H=3$ cm, $pH=6-7$) 5.73
- Figure 5.33 pH Changes in the Dynamic System for the Different Adsorbents ($C_o=40$ and 50 mg/L, $H=5$ cm, Initial $pH=8.1$) 5.76
- Figure 5.34A Observed Concentration History Curves and pH Change of P Solution in Dolomite Column Experiments ($C_o=25$ mg/L, $pH=7.5-8.5$) (Roques et al., 1991) 5.79
- Figure 5.34B Changes of NH_4-N , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} with Time in Dolomite Column Experiments (Roques et al., 1991) 5.79
- Figure 5.35A Observed Concentration History Curves of P in the Presence of NH_4 (Dust as the Adsorbent ; $C_o=20$ mg/L, $w=305$ cm/day, $H=1$ cm, $pH=6-7$) 5.81
- Figure 5.35B Observed Concentration History Curves of P in the Presence of NH_4 (Cake as the Adsorbent ; $C_o=20$ mg/L, $w=890$ cm/day, $H=1$ cm, $pH=6-7$) 5.81
- Figure 5.36 Schematic Diagram of Extended STP with CWS for Wastewater Treatment 5.85