

**AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE ERODIBILITY OF EARTH
WALL UNITS**

by

Kevan Aubrey Heathcote

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
For the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

University of Technology Sydney
2002

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the valuable contributions of the following people.

- Dr Ravi Sri Ravindrarajah, for his understanding of the time constraints involved in carrying out part-time research and for his guidance during the course of the work.
- Gregory Moor, Building Laboratory Manager, Faculty of Design Architecture and Building, University of Technology Sydney . Mr Moor provided technical help and expertise in all the laboratory and field work.
- Bruce Longfoot, for his guidance on some of the experimental procedures and his willingness to talk over issues.

I would also like to acknowledge the contributions of Andy Eassey, Chris Andrews, Jeremy Simmonds, Laurence Tannous, Mathew Wagstaff, Steve Feros and William Adams, who carried out related experimental work under the author's guidance as part of their undergraduate work at UTS.

Finally, I would like to especially thank my wife, Coralie, and my children, Garth, Tamsy and Shannon, for putting up with my endless moments in front of the computer and for their understanding of the importance of this work to me.

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY

I certify that this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

PREFACE

The perceived lack of durability of earth has been a significant barrier to its acceptance as a modern building material. Major earth buildings that have survived over long periods are mainly located in areas of minimal annual rainfall, are protected by large overhanging eaves, or are covered with protective coatings.

Present standards for testing the durability of earth walls make little or no allowance for climatic conditions. In order for earth construction to be accepted in high rainfall areas in an unprotected state a performance based design criteria is needed that is linked to the climatic conditions relevant to the location of the intended structure.

This investigation examines the influence of climatic factors on field erosion, and relates these to the performance of test specimens in a laboratory spray test. It develops a theoretical framework whereby performance of earth walls in specific climatic locations can be predicted by the performance of specimens tested in the laboratory.

ABSTRACT

This investigation looked at the climatic variables affecting the durability of earth buildings and the relationship between these climatic variables and their laboratory counterparts, with the aim of providing a means whereby performance in the field under known climatic conditions can be predicted by performance in the laboratory

The investigation showed that the major climatic factors influencing the erosion of earth walls due to wind-driven rain are impacting rainfall volume, drop impact velocity (as determined by wind conditions), raindrop size and duration of rainfall. A vertical rain gauge was calibrated with climatic conditions at a test site in Sydney to enable accurate prediction of the volume of water impacting test specimens.

In the laboratory, a standard spray test was modified by introducing a commercially available nozzle, which produces a turbulent spray of individual drops, rather than a stream of water. Erosion rates using this apparatus were found to vary significantly with time, and a correction formula was derived from experimental results to enable comparison to be made between field and laboratory results. Erosion rates per unit volume of water were found to be proportional to impacting velocity raised to the power 2.5 and inversely proportional to the median drop diameter raised to the power 1.2. A material factor was defined as the 60 minute erosion mass loss divided by the 60 minute volume of impacting water spray.

Field tests were carried out over a period of four years and analysed in relation to the associated laboratory test results. Laboratory testing was carried out on one half of split specimens, the other half being subjected to exposure to the weather at Sydney's International Airport, with regular monitoring of wind and rain records.

An empirical model was developed and was used to compare field and laboratory results. This confirmed the importance of impacting volume of water and material factor but in this case the calculated correlation between field and laboratory erosions was not improved by the addition of impact velocity and drop size terms.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgements	i
Certificate of Authorship/Originality	ii
Preface	iii
Abstract	iv
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xvii
Index of Notations	xix

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1	Types of Earth Buildings	1
1.1.1	Mud brick (Adobe)	1
1.1.2	Rammed Earth (Pise)	4
1.1.3	Pressed Earth Brick	5
1.2	Resurgence of Earth Building	8
1.3	Background to this Investigation	9
1.4	Factors Affecting the Durability of Earth Wall Buildings	10
1.5	Aim of Investigation	12
1.6	Extent of Investigation	13
1.7	Methodology and Scope of the Investigation	13

Chapter 2 Review of Previous Research into the Durability of Earth Walls

2.1	Tests Relating to the Erosion Resistance of Earth Wall Specimens	15
2.1.1	Wire Brush Tests	16
2.1.1.1	<i>ASTM D559 Wire Brush Test</i>	16
2.1.1.2	<i>CraTerre Abrasion Test</i>	19
2.1.2	Spray Tests	19
2.1.2.1	<i>Cytryn Spray Test</i>	19
2.1.2.2	<i>Wolfskill Spray Test</i>	20
2.1.2.3	<i>Reddy & Jagadish Spray Test</i>	20

2.1.2.4	<i>Ola & Mbata Spray Test</i>	22
2.1.2.5	<i>Bulletin 5 Spray Test</i>	25
2.1.2.6	<i>Dad's Spray Test</i>	27
2.1.2.7	<i>Ogunye's Spray Test</i>	28
2.1.2.8	<i>Zavoni et al. Spray Test</i>	30
2.1.3	Drip Tests	31
2.1.3.1	<i>Yttrup Drip Test</i>	31
2.1.3.2	<i>Swinburne Accelerated Erosion Drip Test</i>	33
2.1.3.3	<i>Comparison Between Drip Tests and Bulletin 5 Spray Test</i>	35
2.1.4	Permeability Criteria and Slake Tests	36
2.1.4.1	<i>Webb et al.</i>	36
2.1.4.2	<i>Cytryn Immersion Test</i>	36
2.1.4.3	<i>Soaking Test – New Mexico Building Code</i>	37
2.1.4.4	<i>Cartem Soak Test</i>	37
2.1.4.5	<i>Sun-Dried Bricks Slake Test</i>	38
2.1.5	New Zealand Code	38
2.1.6	Strength Tests	41
2.1.6.1	<i>Wet to Dry Strength Ratio</i>	41
2.1.6.2	<i>Correlation Between Compressive Strength and Durability</i>	43
2.1.7	Surface Strength Tests	45
2.1.7.1	<i>Penetrometer Tests</i>	45
2.1.7.2	<i>Pendulum Schlerometer</i>	46
2.1.7.3	<i>Surface Pulloff Tests</i>	46
2.2	Effect of Material Properties on the Erosion Resistance of Earth Walls	47
2.2.1	Soil Composition	47
2.2.2	Effect of Density	49
2.2.3	Effect of Cement Content	52
2.2.4	Effect of Surface Coatings	55
2.2.5	Effect of Age at Time of Testing	56
2.3	Effect of Angle of Incidence of Water drops	58
2.4	Summary	59

Chapter 3 Theoretical Approaches to Erosion due to Liquid Impact

3.1	Introduction	60
3.2	Background to Fields Involving Erosion by Liquid Impact	60
3.3	Liquid Erosion in the Field of Physical Geography	61
3.3.1	Stream Erosion	61
3.3.2	Coastal Erosion	62
3.4	Soil Erosion	64
3.4.1	Detachment Process	65
3.4.2	Relationship Between Raindrop Size and Velocity	66
3.4.3	Variation of Drop Size Distribution with Rainfall Intensity	66
3.4.4	Variation of Median Drop Diameter with Rainfall Intensity	68
3.4.5	Other Parameters Affecting Soil Erosion	69
3.4.6	Variation of Kinetic Energy with Rainfall Intensity	70
3.4.7	Variation of Total Kinetic Energy with Annual Rainfall	71
3.4.8	Relationship between Kinetic Energy and Soil Loss	72
3.4.9	Relationship between EI_{30} and Rainfall	73
3.4.10	Soil Detachment Models	74
3.4.11	Single Raindrop Detachment Models	76
3.4.12	Time Dependence of Soil Erosion	77
3.4.13	Effect of Surface Water Layer	78
3.5	Erosion of Metals by Raindrops Impacting at High Speed	79
3.5.1	Mechanics of the Impact Process	79
3.5.2	Effect of Duration of Loading	80
3.5.3	Effect of Drop Size	82
3.5.4	Effect of Impact Velocity	82
3.5.5	Effect of Angle of Attack of Raindrops	82
3.5.6	Theories Relating to the Erosion Process	83
3.5.7	Standard Practice for Liquid Erosion Testing	85
3.6	Summary	88

4.	Characterisation of Climatic Factors	
4.1	Introduction	90
4.2	Rainfall	90
4.2.1	Introduction	90
4.2.2	Seasonal and Spatial Variation	92
4.2.2.1	<i>Annual Rainfall</i>	92
4.2.2.2	<i>Temporal Variation</i>	93
4.2.2.3	<i>Spatial Variation</i>	94
4.2.3	Classification of Rainfall	94
4.2.3.1	<i>Based on Intensity</i>	94
4.2.3.2	<i>Based on Drop Size</i>	95
4.2.3.3	<i>Based on Volumetric Drop Concentration</i>	96
4.2.3.4	<i>Based on Terminal Velocity of Raindrops</i>	96
4.2.4	Rainfall Measurement	97
4.2.5	Effect of Varying Rainfall Intensities on Total Kinetic Energy	100
4.2.6	Rainfall at Test Site	100
4.3	Wind	102
4.3.1	Introduction	102
4.3.2	Spatial and Temporal Variation	103
4.3.3	Wind at Test Site	104
4.4	Combinations of Wind and Rain	106
4.4.1	Introduction	106
4.4.2	Combination of Wind and Rain at Test Site	108
4.5	Driving Rain Index	109
4.5.1	General Theory	109
4.5.2	Driving Rain Factor (DRF)	112
4.5.2.1	<i>Driving Rain Indices at Test site</i>	114
4.5.2.2	<i>Calibration of Driving Rain Index</i>	117
4.5.2.3	<i>Correlation Between Recorded Rain And Measured Rainfall</i>	121
4.5.2.4	<i>Variation of Wind-Driven Rain Over Wall Surfaces</i>	122
4.6	Summary	124

Chapter 5 - Laboratory Simulation of Wind Driven Rain

5.1	Introduction	126
5.2	Rainfall Simulation in the Field of Soil Erosion	127
5.3	Differences Between Soil Erosion Testing and Testing for Earth Wall Durability	128
5.4	Accelerated Testing	129
5.5	Selection of Laboratory Test	131
5.6	Nozzle Calibration	136
5.6.1	Spray Volumes – Full Spray Impact	136
5.6.2	Spray Velocities	138
5.6.3	Spray Volumes – Partial Spray Impact	138

Chapter 6 - Incident Rainfall Characteristics and their Laboratory Simulation

6.1	Introduction	140
6.2	Effect of Time	141
6.2.1	Analysis of Experimental Results of Adams	142
6.2.2	Comparison with the results of Zavoni et al.	149
6.2.3	Comparison with the Results of Dad	150
6.2.4	Implication for Laboratory Testing	151
6.2.5	Implication for Field Testing	153
6.2.6	Effect of Erosion on Different Faces	154
6.3	Effect of Drop Velocity	154
6.3.1	Theoretical Considerations	154
6.3.2	Experimental Program	155
6.3.2.1	<i>First Series</i>	155
6.3.2.2	<i>Second Series</i>	157
6.3.2.3	<i>Third Series</i>	160
6.3.2.4	<i>Fourth Series</i>	160
6.3.2.5	<i>Fifth Series</i>	161
6.3.2.6	<i>Sixth Series</i>	161
6.3.2.7	<i>Seventh Series</i>	162

6.3.3	Analysis of Experimental Results	162
6.3.4	Comparison with Ola and Mbata Results	164
6.4	Effect of Drop Diameter	164
6.4.1	Drop Size Distribution	164
6.4.2	Theoretical Considerations	166
6.4.3	Experimental Investigation	166
6.5	Angle of Incidence of Water Drops	168
6.5.1	Effect on Impact Velocity	169
6.5.2	Effect of Angle of Incidence(ϕ)	169
6.6	Thickness of Liquid Film	170
6.6.1	Experimental Investigation	170
6.7	Effect of Water Spray on Material Properties	171
6.8	Effect of Moisture Condition of Specimens	172
6.8.1	Effect of Wetting and Drying	172
6.8.2	Effect of Antecedent Moisture Conditions	174
6.9	Conclusions	174

Chapter 7 - Field Testing of Earth Wall Specimens

7.1	Introduction	176
7.2	Location Of Field Test Specimens	176
7.3	Analysis Of Field Climatic Data	178
7.4	Preparation Of Test Specimens	178
7.5	Series A Tests (15th October 1998 - 3rd March 1999)	180
7.6	Series B Tests (5th March 1999 – 7th July 1999)	183
7.7	Series C Tests (7th July 1999 – 30th November 1999)	185
7.8	Series D Tests (20th August 1999 - 6th July 2000)	187
7.9	Series E Tests (30th November 1999 – 6th June 2000)	189
7.10	Series FB (14th August 1999 – 13th March 2001)	192
7.11	Series FT (6th July 2000 – 16th May 2001)	194
7.12	Series G (16th May 2001 – 10th December 2001)	196
7.13	Summary	199

Chapter 8 -Evaluation of Experimental Data

8.1	Introduction	200
8.2	Theoretical Considerations	200
8.2.1	Determination of Field Erosion Model	200
8.2.2	Alternative Derivation of Model	203
8.2.3	Determination of Impacting Water from Field Data	204
8.2.4	Choice of Representative Raindrop Diameters	205
8.2.5	Determination of Representative Impact Velocities	205
8.2.6	Choice of Representative Storm Duration (t_{Field})	206
8.3	Model Verification	207
8.3.1	Effect of Volume of Impacting Water	207
8.3.2	Effect of Material Factor	208
8.3.3	Analysis of Series A, B, D, E, FB, FT and G Data	211
8.4	Sensitivity of Results	213
8.4.1	Effect of Drop Diameter Exponent	213
8.4.2	Effect of Velocity Exponent	214
8.5	Discussion	215
8.6	Implications For Codification	218

Chapter 9 Conclusions and Recommendations for Further Research

9.1	Conclusions	220
9.2	Recommendations for Future Research	222

Chapter 10 References

Appendix A – Monthly Driving Rain Indices at Test Site	238
Appendix B - Analysis of Driving Rain Field Data	251
Appendix C - Analysis of Field Erosion Climatic Data	265