

**Potential for Phytoremediation
of a
Metalliferous Mine Site at
Mt. Costigan, NSW**

Hylton B. Hobday (B.Sc.)

Submitted February, 2003

In fulfillment of requirement for the Degree of Master of Science
by Research at the University of Technology, Sydney

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

8/10/02

Hylton B. Hobday

Date

Acknowledgments

Many people offered their guidance and advice during the course of this study. In particular, my thanks go to Mr. David Johnson of the NSW Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) for his advice on derelict mines and his initial recommendation of the Mt. Costigan area as a suitable study site; to Narelle Richardson who spent many hours guiding me on the preparation and execution of sample analyses; to Kerry Brooks of the DMR laboratories who performed additional analyses for this project; to Omar Seychell and Paul Watt for their willingness to participate in field trips and collection of samples; to the staff of the Royal Botanical Gardens, Sydney, who identified some of the eucalypt samples; to my father Dr. David Hobday for geological assessment of the site and for editorial advice, and my mother Eugenia Hobday for her editing, encouragement and faith in me; Professor Greg Skilbeck for his recommendation of phytoremediation studies and U.T.S.; and, most importantly, Prof. Margaret Burchett and Dr. Alex Pulkownik, my supervisors, for their patience, ideas, motivation and ongoing support during my studies. Without their unfailing encouragement, this thesis would never have been completed.

Table of Contents

	page
Certificate of Authorship/Originality	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vii
List of Abbreviations	viii
Abstract	ix
Chapter 1. Overview	1
1.1 Project aims	1
1.2 Project rationale: remediation of contaminated sites	1
1.2.1 Problems presented by contaminated sites	3
1.2.2 Contaminated soils	4
1.2.3 Remediation of abandoned mine sites	4
1.2.4 Current methods of remediation	5
1.2.5 Development of alternative remediation methodologies	6
1.3 Phytoremediation	7
1.3.1 Definition	7
1.3.2 Origins of phytoremediation	9
1.3.3 Application of phytoremediation to metal-contaminated sites	9
1.3.4 Advantages of phytoremediation	11
1.3.5 Practical limitations of phytoremediation	11
1.4 Metals in soils and plants	11
1.4.1 Factors influencing metal availability	11
1.4.2 Metals in plants	17
1.4.3 Metal tolerance in plants	20
1.4.4 Metal uptake and tolerance mechanisms	22
1.4.5 Characteristics of toxic response	28
1.5 Ecotoxicological methods	31
1.5.1 Toxicity testing	31
1.5.2 The triad approach	32
1.6 This study	33
1.6.1 The problem	33
1.6.2 The approach	33
1.6.3 Experimental objectives	34
<i>Section A: Field Studies</i>	
Chapter 2. Field studies: Introduction	35
2.1 Background	35
2.2 Study-site region	36
2.2.1 Geology	36
2.2.2 Landscape of the area	39
2.2.3 History of mining in the area	39
2.3 Mt. Costigan site	39
2.3.1 Site description	39
2.3.2 Metal ores at Mt. Costigan	41
2.3.3 Mining at Mt. Costigan	44
2.4 The need for remediation of abandoned mine sites	46
2.4.1 Ecological and environmental concerns	46
2.4.2 Remediation objectives	47
2.4.3 Regional remediation case studies	48

2.5	Outline of field studies at Mt. Costigan	52
2.5.1	Rationale	52
2.5.2	Experimental design	52
2.5.3	Experimental objectives	53
Chapter 3.	Field studies: materials and methods	54
3.1	Field measurements	54
3.1.1	Sampling design	54
3.1.2	Soil sampling	56
3.1.3	Vegetation sampling and analysis	57
3.2	Soil analysis	59
3.2.1	Procedures for analysis	59
3.2.2	Determination of soil pH	60
3.2.3	Determination of soil salinity	60
3.2.4	Determination of soil organic content	61
3.2.5	Determination of soil metal content	61
3.3	Materials	62
3.4	Data analysis	62
Chapter 4.	Field studies: results and discussion	63
4.1	Soil characteristics prior to site remediation	63
4.1.1	Soil quality	63
4.1.2	Soil metal content	64
4.2	Vegetation at the site	68
4.2.1	Woodland vegetation	68
4.2.2	Barren-site vegetation	74
4.2.3	Soil quality as a determining factor in site vegetation	74
4.3	Evaluation of site remediation	77
4.3.1	Barren-site soils after remediation	78
4.3.2	Barren-site vegetation after remediation	78
4.3.3	Biosolid amelioration	82
<i>Section B: Glasshouse/Laboratory Studies</i>		
Chapter 5.	Laboratory studies: introduction	84
5.1	Aims and rationale	84
5.2	The role of toxicity tests (bioassays) in pollution assessment	85
5.3	Phytotoxicity testing	87
5.3.1	OECD Guideline	88
5.3.2	Species selection	89
5.4	The use of Australian plants for phytotoxicity testing	90
5.4.1	Treatments to improve germination success	90
5.5	Rationale for the experimental design	91
5.5.1	Modification of the OECD Guideline	91
5.5.2	Plant and soil analysis	92
5.5.3	Selection of suitable plant species	92
5.6	Experimental objectives	93
Chapter 6.	Laboratory studies: materials & methods	
6.1	Materials	95
6.1.1	Seed sources	95
6.1.2	Growth media	95
6.1.3	Other materials	95
6.2	Methods	98
6.2.1	Screening of Australian native seeds for germination potential	98
6.2.2	Testing the effect of seed pre-treatment on germination success in <i>Acacia</i> spp.	99

6.2.3	Preparation of soils for bioassays	99
6.2.4	Setting up bioassays	101
6.2.5	Soil and plant analyses	104
Chapter 7. Laboratory studies: results & discussion		105
7.1	Germination and growth of eucalypt and acacia species	105
7.1.1	Eucalypts	105
7.1.2	Acacias	108
7.1.3	Selection of test species for bioassays	113
7.2	Bioassay of site soils: soil characteristics	113
7.3	Bioassay of site soils: germination and growth	113
7.3.1	Eucalypts	113
7.3.2	Acacias	121
7.3.3	Acacia and eucalyptus growth characteristics	123
7.3.4	<i>Avena sativa</i> (oats)	128
7.4	Bioassay of site soils: Metal accumulation and partitioning in native plants and in oats	129
7.4.1	Copper in native species	129
7.4.2	Zinc in native species	129
7.4.3	Iron in native species	131
7.4.4	Lead in native species	131
7.4.5	Manganese in native species	131
7.4.6	Cadmium in native species	132
7.4.7	Metal uptake in oats	132
7.5	Bioassay of site soils: influence of biosolid addition	132
7.5.1	Soil characteristics	132
7.5.2	Germination and growth of <i>E. sideroxylon</i> and <i>A. salicina</i> with biosolid	134
7.5.3	Metal accumulation in plants	136
7.6	Evaluation of soil toxicity	140
7.7	Outcomes	141
<i>Section C: Project Outcomes</i>		
Chapter 8. Outcomes and future directions		143
8.1	Overall significance of the results	143
8.1.1	The mine site assessment of soils and vegetation	143
8.1.2	Australian native plants at phytotoxicity test species	145
8.1.3	Phytotoxicity testing as a measure of bioavailability of metals	146
8.1.4	Advantages and disadvantages of biosolid use	147
8.2	Possible measures to improve the efficiency of plant-based remediation	148
8.3	Recommendations for further work	150
8.3.1	Improved site characterization and monitoring	151
8.3.2	Assessing the broader impact	151
8.3.3	Improved species selection and phytotechnology	152
8.3.4	Soil microbiology	152
8.3.5	Physical conditions at the site	154
8.3.6	Soil geochemistry	155
8.3.7	Hydrogeological studies	157
8.3.8	Engineering methods	158
8.4	Conclusions: recommended measures	159
Appendix		
1a	Pre-remediation assessment, September 1999	160
1b	Post-remediation assessment, May 2000	161
References		162

List of Tables

	page	
Table 1.1	Benefits and limitations of phytoremediation	12
Table 1.2	Major problems associated with mine sites and their treatments	13
Table 1.3	Principal functions of selected metals in plants	18
Table 1.4	Metal concentrations in shoots of hyperaccumulating plants	29
Table 1.5	Metal levels in plants and their effects on plant functions	30
Table 2.1	Composition and relative abundance of minerals in the Mt. Costigan-Peelwood district of NSW	43
Table 2.2	Ore extracted from Mt. Costigan	46
Table 3.1	Nested quadrat dimensions, in metres	56
Table 4.1	Soil characteristics of the study sites	63
Table 4.2	Metal levels in woodland and pre- and post-remediation barren-site soils	65
Table 4.3	A comparison of metal levels in natural and impacted soils	66
Table 4.4	Woodland vegetation: tree species	70
Table 4.5	Ground cover in the woodland, Mt Costigan	72
Table 4.6	Woodland vegetation: variation among locations	73
Table 4.7	Characteristics of barren-site and woodland soils from Peelwood mine site	73
Table 6.1	Species used in glasshouse trials and their natural distribution	96
Table 7.1	<i>Eucalyptus</i> and <i>Acacia</i> spp. seedling characteristics	107
Table 7.2	Bioassay of site soils: copper	114
Table 7.3	Bioassay of site soils: zinc	115
Table 7.4	Bioassay of site soils: iron	116
Table 7.5	Bioassay of site soils: lead	117
Table 7.6	Bioassay of site soils: manganese	118
Table 7.7	Bioassay of site soils: cadmium	119
Table 7.8	Maximum germination and seedling survival of <i>E. sideroxylon</i> in a bioassay of site soils	120
Table 7.9	Maximum germination and seedling survival of <i>A. salicina</i> in a bioassay of site soils, and <i>A. hakeoides</i> in woodland soil	122
Table 7.10	Bioassay of site soils	124
Table 7.11	Bioassay of site soils: growth characteristics of <i>Avena sativa</i>	128
Table 7.12	Bioassay of site soils: metal levels in roots and leaves of <i>Avena sativa</i>	130
Table 7.13	Bioassay of site soils: soil characteristics after addition of biosolid	133
Table 7.14	Effect of biosolid on plant growth	135
Table 7.15	Bioassay of site soils: influence of biosolid addition: acacias	137
Table 7.16	Bioassay of site soils: influence of biosolid addition: eucalypts	138

List of Figures

	page
Figure 1.1	2
Figure 1.2	8
Figure 1.3	15
Figure 1.4	21
Figure 1.5	23
Figure 2.1	37
Figure 2.2	38
Figure 2.3	40
Figure 2.4	40
Figure 2.5	42
Figure 2.6	42
Figure 2.7	45
Figure 2.8	45
Figure 2.9	51
Figure 2.10	51
Figure 3.1	55
Figure 3.2	58
Figure 3.3	58
Figure 4.1	67
Figure 4.2	69
Figure 4.3	69
Figure 4.4	75
Figure 4.5	75
Figure 4.6	79
Figure 4.7	79
Figure 4.8	80
Figure 4.9	80
Figure 6.1	97
Figure 6.2	103
Figure 7.1	106
Figure 7.2	109
Figure 7.3	111
Figure 7.4	111
Figure 7.5	112
Figure 7.6	126
Figure 7.7	127

List of Abbreviations

CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act
CF	Concentration factor
DLWC	Department of Land and Water Conservation (NSW)
DMR	Department of Mineral Resources (NSW)
dwt	Dry weight
EC	Electrical conductivity
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority (NSW)
ER	Enrichment ratio
g	Gram
GBH	Tree girth at breast height
ha	Hectare
LOI	Loss on ignition
N.P.K.	Nitrogen:phosphorus:potassium ratio
NSW EPA	New South Wales Environmental Protection Authority
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
OM	Organic matter
RG	Reagent grade
SO ₄	Sulphate
t	Tonne
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USBM	United States Bureau of Mines
± se:	Standard error of the mean
μS	microSiemen

Abstract

Mt. Costigan mine, on the Western Slopes of the Great Dividing Range, NSW, was worked intermittently (1887 – 1928) for copper, lead, zinc, silver and gold. The entire mine site was originally cleared and contaminated with mining wastes, but had naturally revegetated with eucalypt woodland in parts. However, a barren section remains despite recent remediation efforts by the NSW Department of Mineral Resources (DMR), and problems of metal contamination, acid saline seepage, erosion and the threat of contaminated runoff into the catchment persist.

This study utilized the triad approach of field ecological and chemical-impact assessment at the barren site, using revegetated woodland as a reference site, and glasshouse toxicity trials of soils from both the barren and reference sites. Copper, lead, zinc and cadmium levels in barren site soils all exceed NSW Environmental Protection Agency (residential) limits in soil. Remediation by the DMR of the barren site using biosolid amelioration while this project was being carried out resulted in decreased metal contamination at the site, without significant changes in salinity. Vegetation analysis of the barren site before and after remediation did not indicate significant changes, though this may have been due to seasonal variation in plant growth.

A glasshouse bioassay using neat site soils and several dilutions with river sand was designed to determine the dose-response relationships in native plants *Eucalyptus sideroxylon*, *Acacia hakeoides* and *A. salicina*, endemic to Mt. Costigan. The objective was to evaluate soil toxicity and the potential of native species for phytoremediation at Mt. Costigan. *Avena sativa* (oats) was included as a standard test species for phytotoxicity studies, and was the only species to survive in all soils. *A. salicina* proved well suited to much of the barren site, but *E. sideroxylon* did not grow well, and was better adapted to woodland soils. Acacias and eucalypts both showed strong accumulator tendencies for copper, zinc and manganese in diluted site soils. The reverse was true for cadmium, however, with plant-tissue concentrations of this metal increasing in proportion to soil content. Most metals were selectively concentrated in root tissue, but acacias leaves showed high copper and manganese content.

Phytoremediation is likely to prove effective in a multifaceted program of physical, chemical and biological characterization and remediation. It is suggested that phytostabilization of the severely contaminated parts of the barren site be initiated by planting adaptable species such as *A. sativa*, and that less-contaminated areas be planted with *A. salicina*. This could be followed by amelioration with biosolid, mixed into top layers, before further planting with the less-tolerant *E. sideroxylon*. The resulting humic buildup and reduction in soil toxicity would allow other indigenous plant communities to return and restore ecological balance at Mt. Costigan site.