

**The development of a multi-criteria approach for
the measurement of sustainable performance
for built projects and facilities**

Grace K C DING
BSc., MSc (Thesis)

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the award of Doctor of Philosophy of the
University of Technology, Sydney
February, 2004

CERTIFICATE

I certify that this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being submitted as part of candidature for any other degree.

I also certify that this thesis has been written by me and that any help that I have received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Signature of Candidate

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many people who have helped and guided me through my doctoral thesis at the University of Technology, Sydney. I would like to thank my supervisors Dr. John Twyford and Dr. Glen Searle for their overall support and assistance with the doctoral research process.

A special thanks to Professor Craig Langston for his overall support, inspiration and direction, reading my manuscript, and providing continuous encouragement from the very beginning of this research till the end.

I would also like to give my special thanks to Professor Goran Runeson who read my manuscript and provided valuable comments on my research, particularly on the aspects of the research findings, and provided advice associated with the statistical analysis.

Many thanks are also due to my colleagues in Construction Economics at UTS for their advice, support and encouragement; to the Department of Commerce, NSW for providing me with the data and information for the case studies; to John Li & Architects, and G. Vasilareas & Assoc. Pty. Ltd for providing professional assistance in the case studies; and to all those who participated in the surveys in this research.

Ms Carey Martin is gratefully acknowledged for her valued editorial assistance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	ii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xi
Abstract	xiii

Chapter One - Introduction

1.1	Foundation for the research	1
1.2	Problem definition	2
1.3	Research hypothesis	4
1.4	Research aims and objectives	5
1.5	Research methodology	6
1.6	Thesis structure	7

Chapter Two - Economic development and the environment

2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	The environment and the economy	
2.2.1	Introduction	12
2.2.2	The role of the natural environment	12
2.2.3	Environmental problems	16
2.2.4	Summary	21
2.3	Overview of environmental valuation techniques	
2.3.1	Introduction	21
2.3.2	The concept of sustainability	22
2.3.3	Environmental valuation techniques	28
2.3.4	The limitations of environmental valuation techniques	31
2.3.5	Summary	34

2.4	Cost benefit analysis and multi-criteria analysis	
2.4.1	Introduction	34
2.4.2	Cost benefit analysis as an evaluation tool	35
2.4.3	The limitations of cost benefit analysis in environmental valuation	36
2.4.4	The principles of multi-criteria analysis	43
2.4.5	Multi-criteria analysis – a non-monetary appraisal techniques	45
2.4.6	Summary	47
2.5	Conclusion	48

Chapter Three - The construction industry and the environment

3.1	Introduction	50
3.2	Sustainable construction and environmental issues	
3.2.1	Introduction	51
3.2.2	The construction industry and its impacts on the environment	52
3.2.3	The concept of sustainable construction	55
3.2.4	ISO14000 and environmental management systems in construction	57
3.2.5	A way forward	60
3.3	Energy and the built environment	
3.3.1	Introduction	62
3.3.2	Energy and the construction industry	65
3.3.3	Life-cycle energy analysis	68
3.3.4	The importance of embodied energy	69
3.3.5	Embodied energy modelling	73
3.3.6	Embodied energy measurement tools	81
3.3.7	Critique of current methods of measuring embodied energy	83
3.3.8	Operational energy	87
3.3.9	Embodied energy versus operational energy	90
3.4	Conclusion	92

Chapter Four - Conceptual framework of a sustainability model for project appraisal

4.1	Introduction	93
4.2	Environmental building assessment methods	
4.2.1	Introduction	94
4.2.2	An overview of environmental building assessment methods	95
4.2.3	The role of environmental building assessment methods in the construction industry	100
4.2.4	Critique on the environmental building assessment methods	102
4.2.5	Summary	108
4.3	The conceptual development of a sustainability model for project appraisal	
4.3.1	Introduction	109
4.3.2	Single or multiple dimensional assessment approaches	109
4.3.3	The multiple dimensional model of project appraisal	114
4.3.4	Summary	120
4.4	Conclusion	121

Chapter Five - The development of sustainability index for project appraisal

5.1	Introduction	122
5.2	The identification of project appraisal criteria	
5.2.1	Introduction	123
5.2.2	Research methodology	123
5.2.3	Pilot study	124
5.2.4	Extensive questionnaire survey	126
5.2.5	Rationale for data analysis	127
5.2.6	Observation and analysis	128
5.2.7	Summary	138
5.3	The development of a sustainability index for project appraisal	
5.3.1	Introduction	139
5.3.2	The derivation of project appraisal criteria	140
5.3.3	Rationale of project appraisal criteria	141
5.3.4	The conceptual framework of sustainability index	152

5.3.5 Summary	170
5.4 Conclusion	171

Chapter Six - Research Methodology and Data Collection

6.1 Introduction	172
6.2 Research methodology	173
6.3 Research hypotheses	175
6.4 Research design	177
6.5 Overview of case study projects	179
6.6 Data collection	
6.6.1 Financial return	183
6.6.2 Energy consumption	187
6.6.3 External benefits and environmental impact	192
6.7 Conclusion	198

Chapter Seven - Data Analysis and Discussions

7.1 Introduction	200
7.2 Building cost	
7.2.1 Construction and building life costs	201
7.2.2 Comparing construction and building life costs by elements	204
7.3 Energy consumption	
7.3.1 Initial and recurrent embodied energy	210
7.3.2 Operational energy	218
7.3.3 Comparing embodied energy and operational energy	221
7.4 External benefits	224
7.5 Environmental impact	227
7.6 Analysis of projects by age and location	
7.6.1 Introduction	229
7.6.2 Analysis of projects by age	230
7.6.3 Analysis of projects by location	236
7.6.4 Summary	242

7.7	Analysis of relationships between building cost and energy consumption with gross floor areas	
7.7.1	Introduction	243
7.7.2	Analysis of relationships for building cost and gross floor area	243
7.7.3	Analysis of relationships for energy consumption and gross floor area	246
7.7.4	Summary	249
7.8	Conclusion	250

Chapter Eight -Developing the decision models and verifying the sustainability index

8.1	Introduction	251
8.2	Developing the linear regression models and testing the hypotheses	
8.2.1	Introduction	252
8.2.2	Regression model (Model 1) for building cost and energy consumption and test of hypothesis (H1)	253
8.2.3	Regression model (Model 2) for building cost and external benefits and test of hypothesis (H2)	256
8.2.4	Regression model (Model 3) for building cost and environmental impact and test of hypothesis (H3)	258
8.2.5	Regression model (Model 4) energy consumption and external benefits and test of hypothesis (H4)	260
8.2.6	Regression model (Model 5) for energy consumption and environmental impact and test of hypothesis (H5)	262
8.2.7	Regression model (Model 6) for external benefits and environmental impact and test of hypothesis (H6)	263
8.3	Developing the multiple regression model and testing the hypothesis	
8.3.1	Introduction	267
8.3.2	Multiple regression model (Model 7) for the prediction of energy consumption and test of hypothesis (H7)	267
8.3.3	Summary	271
8.4	Verifying the sustainability index	
8.4.1	Introduction	272
8.4.2	Proposed development	272
8.4.3	Data collection and analysis	274
8.4.4	Calculating the sustainability index	277
8.5	Conclusion	278

Chapter Nine - Developing SINDEXTM – A benchmarking tool in construction

9.1	Introduction	280
9.2	The need for environmental benchmarking in construction	281
9.3	The development of a sustainability benchmarking tool – SINDEXTM	
9.3.1	Introduction	284
9.3.2	Maximise wealth (Financial return)	286
9.3.3	Minimise resource (Energy consumption)	287
9.3.4	Maximise utility (External benefits)	288
9.3.5	Minimise impact (Environmental impact)	289
9.3.6	Sustainability Index as an environmental benchmarking tool	291
9.4	The benefits of using SINDEXTM in construction	293
9.5	Conclusion	294

Chapter Ten - Summary and Conclusions

10.1	Introduction	295
10.2	Review of aims and objectives	
10.2.1	Impacts of development	296
10.2.2	Aid to decision-making	297
10.2.3	Identifying sustainable determinants for project appraisal and modelling decision-making	297
10.2.4	Verifying the decision-making model	298
10.2.5	Concluding remarks	299
10.3	Summary of research	299
10.4	Conclusions	302
10.5	Policy implications	303
10.6	Limitations of this research	305
10.7	Recommendations for further research	306

	References and Bibliography	312
--	------------------------------------	-----

Appendices

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Thesis structure	10
3.1	The components of life cycle energy analysis	67
4.1	The PICABUE principle	113
4.2	Multiple dimensional decision model of project appraisal	115
5.1	Distribution of respondents by professionals	129
5.2	Distribution of respondents by age	130
5.3	Distribution of respondents by education	131
5.4	The proposed sustainability index model	162
5.5	Two-dimensional evaluation matrix	163
6.1	Locations of the 20 public high school projects	181
6.2	Criteria and sub-criteria of external benefits	194
6.3	Criteria and sub-criteria of environmental impact	195
7.1	Comparison of construction cost and building life cost (60-year life span)	203
7.2	Composition of superstructure for construction and building life costs	206
7.3	Composition of external fabric for construction and building life costs	207
7.4	Composition of internal finishes for construction and building life costs	208
7.5	Composition of building services for construction and building life costs	209
7.6	Average initial and recurrent embodied energy by elements	215
7.7	Comparison of initial and recurrent embodied energy by major elements	216
7.8	Comparison of annual initial and recurrent embodied energy	218
7.9	Annual operational energy consumption per square metre of gross floor area	220
7.10	Summary of energy consumption for 20 high schools in NSW	223
7.11	Life-cycle energy analysis of 20 high schools in NSW	224
7.12	Comparison of weighted value score of external benefits	226
7.13	Comparison of weighted value score of environmental impact	229
7.14	Comparison of weighted value score of external benefits by age	234
7.15	Comparison of weighted value score of environmental impact by age	235
7.16	Comparison of weighted value score of external benefits for by location	240
7.17	Comparison of weighted value score of environmental impact by location	241

8.1	Regression analysis of external benefits (value score/m ²) and Environmental impact (value score/m ²)	266
9.1	Input screen of maximise wealth	287
9.2	Input screen of minimise resources	288
9.3	Input screen of maximise utility	289
9.4	Input screen of minimise impact	290
9.5	Main page of SINDEXTM	292
9.6	Summary of characterised faces to show evaluation outcome	292

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Summary of environmental valuation techniques	30
3.1	Summary of initial embodied energy studies per unit quantity of gross floor area	72
3.2	Summary of percentage allowance of on-site construction energy	76
3.3	Summary of recurrent embodied energy per unit quantity of gross floor area	78
3.4	Summary of percentage allowance of embodied energy of building services	79
5.1	Distribution of survey participants	127
5.2	Summary of relative importance ranking of environmental impact in construction	133
5.3	Ranking of economic and environmental criteria by professions	135
5.4	Final ranking of economic and environmental criteria	136
5.5	Project selection techniques used by building professionals	137
6.1	Summary of information for the 20 public high schools in New South Wales	180
6.2	Summary of life cycle data used in the case studies	185
6.3	Summary of construction and building life costs over a 60-year life cycle	187
6.4	Summary of embodied energy coefficient from different sources for the major materials used in the case studies	188
6.5	Summary of embodied and operational energy	192
6.6	Summary of the weighted value score of external benefits and environmental impact for 20 high schools in NSW	198
7.1	Summary of construction and building life costs	201
7.2	Summary of construction and building life costs per annum and per square metre of gross floor area	202
7.3	Summary of average construction cost and building life cost by elements and by proportion	205
7.4	Summary of initial and recurrent embodied energy	211
7.5	Annual initial and recurrent embodied energy by elements	214
7.6	Summary of initial and recurrent embodied energy per annum (60-year life span)	217

7.7	Summary of operational energy by fuel types over a 60-year life span	219
7.8	Summary of operational energy	220
7.9	Summary of embodied and operational energy	222
7.10	Summary of weighted value score of external benefits	225
7.11	Summary of weighted value score of environmental impact	228
7.12	Summary of projects completed before 1997	230
7.13	Summary of projects completed between 1997 and 2002	231
7.14	Summary of annual operational energy by age	232
7.15	Summary of two-sample 't' test for differences in two means by age	236
7.16	Summary of projects in Sydney region	237
7.17	Summary of projects in the country region	237
7.18	Summary of operational energy by fuel types and by location	239
7.19	Summary of annual operational energy by location	239
7.20	Summary of two-sample 't' test for differences in two means by location	242
7.21	Results of regression analysis for construction cost and gross floor area	244
7.22	Summary of results of regression analysis for building cost	245
7.23	Results of regression analysis for embodied energy and gross floor area	247
7.24	Summary of results of regression analysis for energy consumption	248
8.1	Results of regression analysis for building cost and energy consumption	253
8.2	Summary of results of regression analysis for Model 2 to 6	256
8.3	Results of curvilinear regression analysis for external benefits and environmental impact	265
8.4	Results of multiple regression analysis	268
8.5	Summary of design details for the proposed development	273
8.6	Summary of gross floor areas (GFA) for the proposed development	274
8.7	Summary of financial return for the proposed development	274
8.8	Summary of net present value (NPV) and benefit cost ratio (BCR) for the proposed development	275
8.9	Summary of energy consumption, external benefits and environmental impact for the proposed development	276
8.10	Two-dimensional evaluation matrix for the proposed development	277

ABSTRACT

It has long been recognised that environmental matters are important to the survival of the construction industry. Yet, in general, the construction industry continues to degrade the environment, exploiting resources and generating waste, and is reluctant to change its conventional practices to incorporate environmental matters as part of the decision-making process.

Building development involves complex decisions and the increased significance of external effects has further complicated the situation. Cost benefit analysis (CBA) is one of the conventional tools used widely by public and private sectors when appraising projects. It sets out to measure and compare the total costs and benefits of different projects that are competing for scarce resources in monetary terms. However, there are growing concerns that the values of environmental goods and services are often ignored or underestimated in the CBA approach which has led to the overuse and depletion of environmental assets. Consequently, CBA's usefulness and relevance in this respect is increasingly controversial.

Project development is not just concerned with financial return, but is also conscious of the long-term impacts on living standards for both present and future generations. Sustainable development is an important issue in project decision-making and environmental effects need to be incorporated into the evaluation process. A multi-dimensional evaluation approach attracts increasing attention around the world as the way to incorporate environmental issues in the decision-making process. This approach uses the conventional market approach to monetarise economic aspects of a development, whilst using a non-monetary approach to evaluate the environmental matters.

The purpose of this thesis is to critically examine the impact of construction activities on the environment and methods of quantifying environmental matters. This thesis also evaluates the principal sustainable development determinants for modelling, and evaluating long-term environmental performance of buildings during the project appraisal stage. Projects can be assessed using an index system that combines the principal determinants of sustainable development.

The four criteria as identified in this research are financial return, energy consumption, external benefits and environmental impact. The derived sustainability index combines the four identified attributes into a single decision-making tool. The attributes are each expressed in units that are best suited to their quantitative assessment. The development of a sustainability index is a way of combining economic and environmental criteria into the decision-making framework.

The sustainability index has also been developed into computer software called SINDEX to be used as a benchmarking tool to aid design and the sustainability assessment of projects. SINDEX is a sustainability modelling tool used to calculate and benchmark sustainable performance of proposed buildings, new and existing facilities.

Conventional project appraisal techniques measure net social gain to select a project, whilst the sustainability index measures the relative ranking of projects from a sustainable development view. Buildings have a long life, so any improvement in appraisal techniques for choosing the best option amongst the alternatives will significantly reduce their future environmental impact. As such, a methodology that embraces various criteria in relation to project development is crucial in this respect. The development of a sustainability index is a way to combine multiple criteria measured using different units. Using the sustainability index will greatly assist the construction industry to realise sustainable development goals, and thereby make a positive contribution to identifying optimum design solutions.