STUDIES IN THE BIOLOGY AND REPRODUCTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF *PSEUDOMUGIL SIGNIFER*.

EFFIE HELENA IRENE HOWE

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

University of Technology, Sydney Australia.

JUNE, 1995.



В



Photographs of the southern male (A) and female (B) Pseudomugil signifer. Photographs courtesy of Mr R. H. Kuiter.

CERTIFICATE.

I certify that this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being submitted as part of candidature for any other degree.

I also certify that this thesis has been written by me and that any help that I have received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

Effie Howe

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

I would like to thank Dr. R. Lim for accepting me as a student and for helpful discussion. I would also like to thank my co-supervisor, Associate Professor M. Burchett. I particularly wish to acknowledge her encouragement and patience during a long writing-up period.

The work contained in this thesis was made easier by the technical support at the University of Technology, Sydney. Thanks go to Ms. N. Richardson, Ms. B. Almond, Ms. S. Fenech and Mr P. Ralph who supported my laboratory work. Mr W. Hayes provided assistance in the analysis of nitrates in water. Associate Professor D. Cheng provided suggestions for the analysis of phytoplankton. Thanks also to Mr G. Hampshire from the University of Technology Library, Gore Hill, who provided me with many inter-library loans.

Both Ms. S. Doyle from the School of Biological Sciences, Macquarie Unversity and Mr P. Jamieson from the University of Technology, Sydney provided me with expert assistance, on the use of the scanning electron microscope. Mr R. Oldfield from the School of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University provided expert photographic assistance during early work.

I would also like to acknowledge the support of Dr. W. Ivantsoff for his support in the early part of this research.

I would like to acknowledge the advice of members of staff of NSW State Fisheries, especially Dr. D. Pollard and Dr. J. Harris on the field component of the thesis.

I would like to acknowledge the editorial assistance and advice of Dr. R. McGee, Dr. D. Booth and Dr. V. Mawson. The late Emeritus Professor F. Mercer provided editorial assistance and encouragement for the manuscript on embryology.

I am grateful to Associate Professor D. Handelsman from the University of Sydney for advice on statistical methods.

The Australian Geographic Society kindly provided me with a small grant that enabled me to purchase photomicrography equipment.

Mr C. Taylor and Mr G. Lane from CSIRO Food Science and Technology provided assistance with the colour printing.

Thanks to my colleagues at work, in particular Dr. Don Barnett and Dr. Margaret Tyler for their moral support.

Without the advice, encouragement and field assistance of my husband, Chris this thesis would not have been written. Thanks also to my children Sean and Gregory, who have patiently waited for its completion.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

| Chapter 1 | |
|---|----|
| General Introduction | 1 |
| Studies in the biology and reproductive characteristics of Pseudomugil | |
| signifer | 1 |
| | |
| 1.1 Context of project:- Australian freshwater fish fauna and the aims of the | |
| project | 1 |
| | |
| 1.2 Review of factors affecting growth and survival in Pseudomugil | 4 |
| 1.2.1 Water quality parameters | 4 |
| 1.2.1.1 pH | 4 |
| | 4 |
| ± | 5 |
| 1.2.1.4 Biological oxygen demand (BOD) | 5 |
| 1.2.1.5 Ammonia | 6 |
| 1.2.1.6 Nitrate | 8 |
| 1.2.1.7 Hardness | 8 |
| | 8 |
| 1.2.1.8 Suspended sediment | 9 |
| 1.2.2 The genus <i>Pseudomugil</i> | |
| - | 10 |
| · | 10 |
| | 11 |
| C , | 15 |
| | 18 |
| <u> </u> | 19 |
| 1.2.3.2 Exotic fish in Australia | |
| | 22 |
| 1.2.4 Members of the genus Gambusia:- Gambusia holbrooki and | |
| Gambusia affinis | 24 |
| 1.2.4.1 Taxonomy | |
| 1.2.4.2 Distribution | |
| 1.2.4.3 Description | |
| 1.2.4.4 Ecology: | 28 |
| 1.2.4.5 Reasons for the success of G. holbrooki and G. affinis | |
| following introduction | 32 |
| | |
| 1.3 Competition | 32 |
| - | |
| 1.4 Objectives of present study | 33 |
| 1.4.1 Implications for management | |
| | |
| 1.5 Experimental objectives | 34 |

| | | iv |
|---|------|------------|
| Chapter 2 | | 37 |
| Melanotaeniidae) | | |
| 2.2 Materials and Methods | | 39 |
| 2.2.1 Collection sites. | | |
| 2.2.2 Collection of fish | | |
| 2.2.3 Acclimation and maintenance of stock fish | | . – |
| 2.2.4 Egg production and handling | | |
| | | |
| 2.2.5 Surface structure of eggs | | |
| 2.2.6 Prespawning and spawning behaviour | | 42 |
| 2.2.7 Embryonic development. | | |
| 2.2.8 Larval development. | | 42 |
| 0.0 D 1 | | 40 |
| 2.3 Results | | |
| 2.3.1 Structure of the egg | | |
| 2.3.1.1 Chorionic filaments and surface structure | | |
| 2.3.2 Prespawning and spawning behaviour | | |
| 2.3.3 Embryonic development | | |
| 2.3.3.1 Hatching | | |
| 2.3.4 Larval development | | |
| 2.3.4.1 Finfold | | 59 |
| 2.3.4.2 Fins | | 60 |
| 2.3.4.3 Myomeres | | 6 1 |
| 2.3.4.4 Melanophores | | 61 |
| 2.3.4.5 Squamation | | 61 |
| 2.3.4.6 Secondary sexual characteristics | | |
| | | |
| 2.4 Discussion | | |
| 2.4.1 Egg characteristics | | |
| 2.4.2 Is a saline environment necessary for breeding? | | |
| 2.4.3 Review of <i>Pseudomugil</i> species | | 63 |
| | | |
| Chapter 3 | | |
| Field study of reproductive activity in P. signifer | | |
| 3.1 Introduction | | 68 |
| 2. 2. Matariala and Math. J. | | 60 |
| 3.2 Materials and Methods | | |
| 3.2.1 Sites | | |
| 3.2.2 Fish collection | | |
| 3.2.3 Gonad examination - light microscopy | | |
| 3.2.4 Water parameters | | |
| 3.2.5 Rainfall | | 75 |

| 3.3 Results | 77 |
|---|------------------------|
| 3.3.1 Abundance | |
| 3.3.2 Fish collection | |
| | 77 |
| 3.3.4 Gonads | 80 |
| | |
| 3.3.6 Rainfall. | 90 |
| | |
| 3.4 Discussion | 90 |
| 3.4.1 Abundance and reproductive condition | 90 |
| 3.4.2 Possible factors that might affect abundance | 91 |
| 3.4.3 Reproductive cycle | 92 |
| 3.4.4 Information obtained from different sites and laboratory study | 92 |
| 3.4.5 Factors that might affect growth and reproduction of <i>P. signifer</i> | 92 |
| Chamton 4 | 95 |
| Chapter 4 | 93 |
| Impact of Gambusia holbrooki on growth and reproduction of Pseudomugil | 05: |
| signifer (experimental study) | |
| 4.1 Introduction | 95 |
| 4.2 Materials and Methods | 98 |
| 4.2.1 Tank Experiment I - The impact of G. holbrooki on the | ,, |
| survival, growth and reproduction of P. signifer | 98 |
| 4.2.1.1 Duration of experiment. | 98 |
| 4.2.1.2 Tank set-up | 98 |
| 4.2.1.3 Water quality analysis. | 99 |
| | 102 |
| | 102 |
| | 102 |
| <u> </u> | 103 |
| - | 104 |
| 4.2.2 Tank Experiment II - Impact of G. holbrooki on the fecundity of | |
| | 104 |
| | 107 |
| | 107 |
| | 107 |
| | 107 |
| | 107 |
| g | 107 |
| | 109 |
| | 10 9 109 |
| | 10 9 |
| | LUY |
| 4.2.3.1 Behavioural observation I: Interactions of P. signifer | 111 |
| | 111 |
| 4.2.3.2 Behavioural observations II. Interactions between adult | 112 |
| P. signifer and G. holbrooki and larval P. signifer | 112 |

| | V1 |
|---|-----|
| 4.3 Results | 113 |
| 4.3.1. Tank experiment I - Impact of G. holbrooki on the survival, | |
| growth and reproduction of P. signifer | 113 |
| 4.3.1.1 Water quality | 113 |
| 4.3.1.2 Fish parameter measurements: P. signifer | 121 |
| 4.3.1.3 Summary of findings | 131 |
| 4.3.2. Tank experiment II - Impact of G. holbrooki on the fecundity | |
| of P. signifer, with and without augmented food supply | 134 |
| 4.3.2.1 Water quality | 134 |
| 4.3.2.2 Fish parameter measurements | 141 |
| 4.3.2.3 Synthesis of findings | 162 |
| Juvenile P. signifer and G. holbrooki | 162 |
| 4.3.3 Behavioural observations | 168 |
| 4.3.3.1 Behavioural observations I -interactions of P. signifer | |
| housed with and without G. holbrooki | 168 |
| 4.3.3.2 Laboratory behavioural experiment II - interactions | |
| between adult P. signifer and G. holbrooki to larval P. | |
| signifer | 170 |
| | |
| 4.4 Discussion | 170 |
| 4.4.1 Growth and behavioural patterns | 171 |
| 4.4.2 Water quality | 172 |
| 4.2.2.1 Temperature | 172 |
| 4.4.2.2 pH | 172 |
| 4.4.2.3 Dissolved oxygen | 173 |
| 4.4.2.4 BOD | 173 |
| 4.4.2.5 Ammonia, Nitrate and Hardness | 173 |
| 4.4.2.8 Invertebrates and phytoplankton | 174 |
| 4.4.3 Physiological mechanisms of growth inhibition | 174 |
| 4.4.4 Possible reasons for decline in reproductive activity of P. | |
| signifer when housed with G. holbrooki | 176 |
| 4.4.4.1 Interruption of normal behaviour patterns | 177 |
| 4.4.4.2 Endocrine response | 177 |
| 4.4.4.3 Physiological stress | 178 |
| 4.4.4.4 Stocking density | 179 |
| 4.4.4.5 Territoriality | 180 |
| 4.4.5 Food as a limiting resource in the test tank experiments of P . | |
| signifer when housed with G. holbrooki | 180 |
| 4.4.6 Effect of food restriction on G. holbrooki | 181 |
| 4.4.7 Possible reasons for the difference in response of the two | |
| species to supplementary feeding | 181 |
| 4.4.8 How exotic species may affect native species | 182 |
| 4.4.9 Replacement mechanisms | 182 |
| 4.4.9.1 Parasites and disease | 182 |
| 4.4.9.2 Hybridisation | 182 |
| 4.4.9.3 Competition | 183 |
| 4.4.9.4 Predation | 183 |
| 4.4.10 Future research and concluding remarks | 184 |

| | vii |
|---|---|
| Chapter 5 | 187 |
| of Gambusia holbrooki on Pseudomugil signifer | 187 |
| 5.1 Introduction. | 187 |
| | |
| 5.2 Materials and Methods | 188 |
| 5.2.1 Site selection | 188 |
| 5.2.2 Site descriptions:- Lane Cove and Narrabeen | 189 |
| 5.2.2.1 Homebush Bay | 195 |
| 5.2.3 Rainfall | 199 |
| 5.2.4 Water quality | 202 |
| 5.2.5 Sampling | 203 |
| 5.2.6 Statistical analysis. | 204 |
| 5.2.0 Sinustical analysis. | 204 |
| 5.3 Results | 206 |
| 5.3.1 Rainfall | 206 |
| 5.3.2 Water quality. | 206 |
| | 212 |
| 5.3.3 Measurements of fish | 212 |
| ` U / | 212 |
| 5.3.3.2 Total and standard length and weight (Fig. 5.14 A, B | 214 |
| and C) | |
| 5.3.3.3 Testis weight (Fig. 5.15A) | 216 |
| 5.3.3.4 Ovarian weight | 216 |
| 5.3.3.5 Gonadosomatic index (GSI) of male P. signifer | 220 |
| | |
| 5.3.3.6 Gonadosomatic index and fecundity of female P. | 220 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C) | 220 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C) | |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C) | 222 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C) | |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C) | 222 222 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. | 222 222 223 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. | 222 222 223 225 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. | 222 222 223 225 228 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. | 222 222 223 225 228 228 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis | 222 222 223 225 228 228 230 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. | 222 222 223 225 228 228 230 230 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis | 222 222 223 225 228 228 230 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis 5.4.4 Disturbed areas. | 222 222 223 225 228 228 230 230 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis 5.4.4 Disturbed areas. 5.4.5 The impact of exotic introductions. | 222 222 223 225 228 228 230 230 231 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis 5.4.4 Disturbed areas. 5.4.5 The impact of exotic introductions. 5.4.6 Impact of Gambusia on various species of fish. | 222 222 223 225 228 230 230 231 232 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis 5.4.4 Disturbed areas. 5.4.5 The impact of exotic introductions. 5.4.6 Impact of Gambusia on various species of fish. | 222 222 223 225 228 230 230 231 232 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis 5.4.4 Disturbed areas. 5.4.5 The impact of exotic introductions. 5.4.6 Impact of Gambusia on various species of fish. 5.4.7 Potential for restricted Pseudomugilidae. | 222 222 223 225 228 228 230 230 231 232 233 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis 5.4.4 Disturbed areas. 5.4.5 The impact of exotic introductions. 5.4.6 Impact of Gambusia on various species of fish. 5.4.7 Potential for restricted Pseudomugilidae. Chapter 6. General Discussion. | 222 222 223 225 228 230 230 231 232 233 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis 5.4.4 Disturbed areas. 5.4.5 The impact of exotic introductions. 5.4.6 Impact of Gambusia on various species of fish. 5.4.7 Potential for restricted Pseudomugilidae. Chapter 6. | 222 222 223 225 228 230 230 231 232 233 234 234 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis 5.4.4 Disturbed areas. 5.4.5 The impact of exotic introductions. 5.4.6 Impact of Gambusia on various species of fish. 5.4.7 Potential for restricted Pseudomugilidae. Chapter 6. General Discussion. | 222 222 223 225 228 230 230 231 232 233 234 234 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis 5.4.4 Disturbed areas. 5.4.5 The impact of exotic introductions. 5.4.6 Impact of Gambusia on various species of fish. 5.4.7 Potential for restricted Pseudomugilidae. Chapter 6. General Discussion. 6.1. Background. | 222 222 223 225 228 230 230 231 232 233 234 234 234 |
| signifer (Fig. 5.17 B and C). 5.3.3.7 Comparison of fecundity in tank experiment II (Chapter 4) and the field study. 5.3.4 Caudal fin. 5.4 Discussion. 5.4.1 Water quality. 5.4.2 Rainfall. 5.4.3 Abundance. Power Analysis 5.4.4 Disturbed areas. 5.4.5 The impact of exotic introductions. 5.4.6 Impact of Gambusia on various species of fish. 5.4.7 Potential for restricted Pseudomugilidae. Chapter 6. General Discussion. 6.1. Background. | 222 222 223 225 228 230 230 231 232 233 234 234 234 234 234 |

| | viii |
|---|------|
| 6.3.2 Comparative size of the two species and growth rates | 241 |
| 1 | 242 |
| , | 242 |
| | 243 |
| 6.3.6 Fitness of the species in relation to their evolutionary | 273 |
| development | 244 |
| 6.3.7 Genetic diversity. | 245 |
| | 245 |
| 6.3.8 Geographic isolation of Australia. | 240 |
| 6.3.9 Summary of possible survival advantages G. holbrooki has over P. signifer | 248 |
| 6.4 Need for further research | 249 |
| 6.5 Management | 251 |
| | |
| In summary. | 233 |
| References | 254 |
| | |
| Appendix I - Histological Methods (Chapter 2) | 282 |
| Appendix II - Interpolation of length/weight curves in P. signifer and G. | |
| holbrooki (Chapter 4) | 284 |
| Appendix III - Publications arising from this thesis | 287 |

"The fish in the creek said nothing. Fish never do. Few people know what fish think about injustice or anything else."

Catwings. Ursula Le Guin (1988)

LIST OF FIGURES.

| _ | 1.1 Drainage division boundaries on the Australian continent (Merrick and Schmida, 1984) and distribution of <i>Pseudomugil</i> species |
|--------|--|
| Figure | 1.2 Distribution range of Gambusia holbrooki in Australia 26 |
| _ | 2.1 Location of the sites where Pseudomugil signifer, Pseudomugil mellis, Pseudomugil gertrudae and Pseudomugil tenellus were sampled 40 |
| Figure | 2.2 Scanning electron microscope photomicrographs of eggs 44 |
| _ | 2.3 Scanning electron microscope photomicrographs of pattern of filaments on eggs |
| Figure | 2.4 Scanning electron microscope photomicrographs of a single filament . 46 |
| Figure | 2.5 Scanning electron microscope photomicrographs of surface sculpturing 47 |
| Figure | 2.6 Scanning electron microscope photomicrographs of the micropyles 48 |
| Figure | 2.7 Embryonic development |
| Figure | 2.8 Time course of embryological development |
| Figure | 3.1 Location of sampling sites |
| Figure | 3.2 Stages of testicular development |
| Figure | 3.3 Standard lengths |
| Figure | 3.4 Weight of fixed ovaries |
| Figure | 3.5 Ovarian photomicrographs |
| Figure | 3.6 Salinity of water at field sites 87 |
| Figure | 3.7 Average monthly rainfall at Sydney (A) and Newport (B) 88 |
| Figure | 3.8 Hours of daylight and water temperatures throughout the year 89 |
| _ | 4.1 Experiment I. Diagrammatic representation of the random allocation of treatments |
| Figure | 4.2 The eight tanks used in Experiment I prior to harvest 106 |
| Figure | 4.3. Establishment of the sixteen tanks used in Tank Experiment II 108 |

| Figure 4.4 Experiment I. pH (A) and temperature (B) 114 |
|--|
| Figure 4.5 Experiment I. Dissolved oxygen concentrations (A) and biological oxygen demand (B) |
| Figure 4.6 Experiment I. Un-ionised ammonia concentrations (A) and nitrate concentrations (B) |
| Figure 4.7 Experiment I. Hardness levels |
| Figure 4.8 Experiment I. Mean number of individual male (A) and female (B) Pseudomugil signifer |
| Figure 4.9 Experiment I. Total length (A) and standard length (B) of individuals of male Pseudomugil signifer |
| Figure 4.10 Experiment I. Total length (A) and standard length (B) of individuals of female Pseudomugil signifer |
| Figure 4.11 Experiment I. Weights of male (A) and female (B) individuals of Pseudomugil signifer |
| Figure 4.12 Experiment I. Mean number of individuals male (A) and female (B) Gambusia holbrooki |
| Figure 4.13 Experiment I. Total length (A) and standard length (B) of individual male Gambusia holbrooki |
| Figure 4.14 Experiment I. Total length (A) and standard length (B) of individual female Gambusia holbrooki |
| Figure 4.15 Experiment I. Weight of male (A) and female (B) individuals of Gambusia holbrooki |
| Figure 4.16 Experiment I. Mean number of juveniles of <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> and <i>Gambusia holbrooki</i> at harvest |
| Figure 4.17 Experiment II. pH (A) and temperature (B) |
| Figure 4.18 Experiment II. Dissolved oxygen concentrations (A) and biological oxygen demand (B) |
| Figure 4.19 Experiment II. Un-ionised ammonia (A) and nitrate (B) concentration \$38 |
| Figure 4.20 Experiment II. Hardness levels |
| Figure 4.21 Experiment II. Mean number of individuals male (A) and female (B) Pseudomugil signifer |

| | 22 Experiment II. Total length (A) and standard length (B) of individuals male <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> |
|------------|--|
| | 23 Experiment II. Total length (A) and standard length (B) of individuals female <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> |
| | 24 Experiment II. Weight of male (A) and female (B) individuals of eudomugil signifer |
| Figure 4.2 | 25 Experiment II. The ovary of Pseudomugil signifer at harvest 148 |
| _ | 26 Experiment II. Fecundity (A) and ovarian weight (B) of female eudomugil signifer |
| Figure 4.2 | 27 Fecundity plotted against body weight of <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> at harvest 150 |
| | 28 Ovarian weight plotted against body weight of <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> at rvest |
| Figure 4.2 | 29 Experiment II. Mean testis weight of male Pseudomugil signifer . 153 |
| _ | 30 Experiment II. Mean gonadosomatic index (GSI) of male (A) and nale (B) individuals of <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> |
| | .31 Experiment II. Numbers of individual male (A) and female (B) ambusia holbrooki |
| | 32 Experiment II. Total length (A) and standard length (B) of individuals male Gambusia holbrooki |
| | 33 Experiment II. Total length (A) and standard length (B) of individuals female Gambusia holbrooki |
| | 34 Experiment II. Weight of male (A) and female (B) individuals of ambusia holbrooki |
| Figure 4.3 | 35 Experiment II. Fecundity of Gambusia holbrooki 160 |
| _ | 36 Experiment II. Weight of male testis (A) and female ovaries (B) of ambusia holbrooki |
| | 37 Experiment II. Gonadosomatic index in male and female Gambusia lbrooki |
| | .38 Experiment II. Mean number of individuals of juvenile Gambusia |

| Figure | 4.39 Experiment II. Intact and damaged caudal fins of Pseudomugil signife | 16 9 |
|--------|--|--------------|
| Figure | 5.1 Location of the four sampling sites in the Sydney area | 190 |
| _ | 5.2 Location of the eight stations used for sampling <i>Pseudomugil signifes</i> the Lane Cove River site | r at 191 |
| Figure | 5.3 Two sampling stations at the Lane Cove site | 192 |
| Figure | 5.4 Location of the eight stations used for sampling <i>Pseudomugil signifer Gambusia holbrooki</i> at the Narrabeen site | and 193 |
| Figure | 5.5 Two sampling stations at the Narrabeen site | 194 |
| Figure | 5.6 Location of the eight stations used for sampling <i>Pseudomugil signifer Gambusia holbrooki</i> at the Homebush Bay site | and 196 |
| Figure | 5.7 Saline and freshwater sampling stations at the Homebush Bay site . | 197 |
| Figure | 5.8 Mangrove stations at the Homebush Bay site | 198 |
| Figure | 5.9 Location of the eight stations used for sampling <i>Pseudomugil signife</i> the Mooney Mooney Creek site | r at 200 |
| Figure | 5.10 Two sampling stations at the Mooney Mooney Creek site | 201 |
| Figure | 5.11 Temperature (A), pH (B) and salinity (C) at the four sampling sites | 209 |
| Figure | 5.12 Turbidity (A), un-onised ammonia (B) and dissolved oxygen (C) at four sampling sites | |
| Figure | 5.13 The total number of <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> and <i>Gambusia holbrooki</i> and average number of male and female <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> (B) at the sampling sites | |
| Figure | 5.14 Total lengths (A), standard lengths (B) and weights (C) of male (M) female (F) <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> at the four sampling sites | |
| Figure | 5.15 Average testis weight (A) and ovarian weight (B) of <i>Pseudom signifer</i> at the four sampling sites | |
| Figure | 5.16 Ovarian weight of <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> plotted against body weigh harvest from the four sampling sites | nt an 218 |
| Figure | 5.17 Average gonadosomatic index (GSI) of male (A), female (B) average fecundity (C) of <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> | |

| _ | 5.18 Fecundity of <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> plotted against body weight at harves from the four sampling sites | |
|---|--|--|
| _ | 6.1 Schematic sequence of the effects of environmental stress on estuarin animals | |

LIST OF TABLES.

| Table 1.1 Species of Pseudomugilidae occurring in Australia and Papua New Guinea |
|---|
| Table 2.1. Collection sites for species of <i>Pseudomugil</i> |
| Table 2.2 Comparison of the surface filaments of the eggs of P. signifer with P. mellis, P. tenellus and P. gertrudae |
| Table 2.3 Some characteristics of the micropyle in <i>Pseudomugil</i> species 51 |
| Table 2.4 Spawning colouration in males of four <i>Pseudomugil</i> species 52 |
| Table 2.5. Comparison of the eggs of P. signifer with P. mellis, P. tenellus and P. gertrudae |
| Table 2.6. Minimum lengths of larvae at which some major features were first observed |
| Table 3.1. Classification of oocytes in <i>P. signifer</i> ovaries |
| Table 3.2. Numbers of sexed <i>Pseudomugil signifer</i> caught 79 |
| Table 3.3 Numbers of other fish species caught at Lane Cove and Deep Creek |
| Table 3.4. Ovarian development in <i>P. signifer</i> , from February 1985 to January 1986 |
| Table 3.5. Fecundity |
| Table 3.6. Development of testes from February 1985-January 1986 in P. signifer collected from Deep Creek |
| Table 3.7. Development of testes from February 1985-January 1986 in P. signifer collected from Lane Cove River |
| Table 4.1 Numbers of male and female P. signifer caught at a variety of sites . 103 |
| Table 4.2. Methods used for measurements performed on fish 110 |
| Table 4.3. Experiment I. Abundance of invertebrates |
| Table 4.4. Experiment I. Abundance of phytoplankton |

| | xv |
|---|-----|
| Table 4.5. Experiment I. Summary of analysis | 133 |
| Table 4.6 Experiment II. Abundance of invertebrates | 140 |
| Table 4.7 Experiment II. Abundance of phytoplankton | 141 |
| Table 4.8 Experiment II. A summary of changes in growth parameters | 164 |
| Table 4.9 Experiment II. Summary of tests on reproductive parameters at harvest | 166 |
| Table 4.10 Observations of P. signifer with and without G. holbrooki | 168 |
| Table 5.1 Rainfall during 1993 at Sydney and Newport | 202 |
| Table 5.2 Dates of sampling at the four sites | 203 |
| Table 5.3 Mean water quality parameters at the four sites | 206 |
| Table 5.4 Water quality parameters at individual stations at the Homebush Bay site. | 207 |
| Table 5.5 Summary of significant analyses | 223 |

ABSTRACT.

The aims of this study were firstly to observe the breeding behaviour and embryology, and then to identify factors affecting the reproductive biology of the Australian native pseudomugilid *Pseudomugil signifer* (Pacific blue-eye) and the impact upon it of the presence of the exotic species *Gambusia holbrooki* (eastern gambusia). Six species of the genus *Pseudomugil*, and the related *Scaturiginichthys vermeilipinnis*, are found on the Australian continent. The normal breeding behaviour, egg surface morphology and embryology of four species of *Pseudomugil* (*P. signifer*, *P. gertrudae*, *P. tenellus* and *P. mellis*) were first investigated, using aquarium and microscopic (light and S.E.M.) studies. The four species were divided into two groups: *P. signifer* and *P. mellis*; and *P. tenellus* and *P. gertrudae*. The study provided further evidence for the view that the embryology of the genus *Pseudomugil* differs markedly from that of members of the family Melanotaeniidae, with which the pseudomugilids have previously been grouped.

The seasonal pattern of gonadal function in *P. signifer*, both in the field and in aquariums, was then investigated for populations of *P. signifer* from the Sydney region. It was found that *P. signifer* bred over the spring and summer months, commencing breeding as the temperature and daylength increased, and declining in breeding activity as daylength and temperature declined. There was no substantial difference in the pattern of reproductive activity between wild and captive stocks of *P. signifer* in the populations used.

The impact of the presence of the introduced G. holbrooki on P. signifer was then examined, first in open-air tank experiments, and then in the field. In the tank experiments the exotic species profoundly affected the breeding of the native species. When G. holbrooki were in the tanks P. signifer did not gain weight or grow in total length (except for females given supplementary feed); ovarian weight and fecundity was greatly reduced and the ovaries were morphologically undeveloped. No eggs from P. signifer were observed in tanks which also housed G. holbrooki. G. holbrooki were observed to actively hunt and eat young P. signifer and to nip the caudal fins of adult P. signifer. The results indicate clearly, that at least in a captive situation, the presence of the exotic species has a very deleterious effect on breeding and hence possible survival, of a native population.

A pilot study conducted at the same time as the harvest of the second tank study did not reveal such drastic consequences. However, even in the less confined field situation, some evidence of an interrelation between water quality, numbers of P. signifer and numbers of G. holbrooki were seen in one disturbed site (Homebush Bay). These findings suggest that a newly designed field experiment based on data collected from the power analysis of the pilot study could clarify whether G. holbrooki adversely affects P. signifer in the wild.

The information gained from these studies can be used in the management of P. signifer in the wild, and serve as a model of the possible effects upon other native species.