

**Children as e-designers:
How do they understand learning?**

A thesis
submitted in fulfilment
of the requirements for the Degree
of
Doctor of Philosophy
at the
University of Technology, Sydney
by
Nerida Anne McCredie

University of Technology, Sydney

2007

Certificate of authorship/originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Acknowledgements

The recent learning journeys of the university researchers, school partners and children who formed Team GENESIS are woven together throughout this thesis.

Now that I have seen and tasted learning as children know it, I recognise more clearly and appreciate more deeply the value of my recent travels. The children's view of learning made known to me the significance and worth of seeking understanding as a part of a learning community. I wish to make known my appreciation and regard for the communities that I was fortunate enough to be a member of during the past four years.

Working with the UTS Research Team enabled me to participate in many exhilarating conversations with Associate Professor Dr. Lynette Schaverien, Professor Shirley Alexander and Dr. Robin Hall. Their commitment to children's learning and their vision for the evolution of education is an inspiration to me. It was my particular privilege to again become Lyn's research student. Her committed involvement in my learning journey strengthened and refined my ideas and understanding.

Each month, when the school partners met, we not only wrestled with the challenges such a project brings, but shared our growing interest in learning - the children's and our own. I would like to thank Karen Cuthbert, Norm Nicholson, Serena Vecchiet, Cathy Hill and John Tomkins for their willingness to share, listen to and engage with so many ideas about learning and school. Warren Poole's resolute support of innovative learning opportunities for his students and staff is both a personal and professional encouragement.

Working with Team GENESIS introduced me to more than 400 remarkable children. Cameron, Hamish, Shaun, Max, Josh, Natalie, Hannah, Alyssa, Melody, Marie Claire, Matt, Kirsten and Ashleigh's stories are the few I chose to share in detail of so many important and interesting journeys.

The story this thesis doesn't tell is of the community of people who shared a very different journey with me. The love, support and prayers of my parents, Heather, Jon, Scott, Rochelle, Megan, Lisa, Scott, Lucy, Di, Doug and Ruth have taught me the strength of patience, the warmth of encouragement, the comfort of service and the truth of God's goodness. To have you walk alongside me was my very great privilege. Thank you.

Nerida McCredie, Sydney, 2007

Preface

The following publications and conference papers are related to this thesis:

Alexander, S. (2004). Learners creating the learning environment. In M. Selinger (ed.) *Connected Schools - Thought Leaders: Essays from innovators* (pp. 26-33). London: Premium Publishing.

Schaverien, L., Hall, R., and Genesis Team. (2006). A Case Study - GENERating Learning Systems in Schools (The GENESIS Project). An Australian Research Council Linkage collaboration between the University of Technology, Sydney (UTS) and three partner schools in Sydney, NSW, In Chapter Six Assessment and Reporting with ICT: How do teachers know what students have learned? (pp. 209-212) In G. Finger, G. Russell, R. Jamieson-Proctor, and N. Russell, (2006). *Transforming Learning ICT: Making It Happen*. Frenchs Forest NSW: Pearson Education Australia.

McCredie, N. (2004). e-Design for a learning journey: A contemporary encounter with students' ideas about how and why we think. Paper presented at the pre-conference doctoral consortium of the International Conference of the Learning Sciences, Santa Monica, Ca, June.

Schaverien, L., Hall, R., McCredie, N., Alexander, S., Hill, C., Tomkins, J., Nicholson, N., Cuthbert, K. and Vecchiet, S. (2005). Can we help e-learning to scale up in schools by casting students as e-designers? The GENESIS Project. Paper presented to the Australian Association for Research in Education annual meeting, University of Western Sydney, Parramatta Campus, 27 November - 1 December. [accessed on 3 March 2006 at <http://www.aare.edu.au/05pap/alpha.htm>].

Hill, C., Alexander, S., Cuthbert, K., McCredie, N., Nicholson, N., Schaverien, L., Tomkins, J. and Vecchiet, S. (2005). GENERating E-learning Systems in Schools: School-university e-learning research partnerships for scaling up innovation. In S. Lee, P. Warning, D. Singh, E. Howe, L. Farmer, & S. Hughes. IASL Reports, 2005: *Information Leadership in a Culture of Change*. Selected papers from the 34th annual conference of the International Association of School Librarianship and ninth International Forum on Research in School Librarianship, Hong King, China, 8-12 July 2005 [CD-ROM], (Section 19: pp. 1-7). Erie, PA, USA: International Association of School Librarianship.

Note

In this thesis, reference is made to several sources which were written before authors were conscious of the need for inclusive language. I acknowledge this collectively in advance.

Many of the contributions that children posted online were written in shortened text format. While spelling mistakes have been corrected, words and phrases written in their abbreviated form have been left intact.

Since there is a wealth of short quotations from a large range of sources, including discussion boards, brainstorm, videos, reports, interviews, posters and other work samples, precise details of time and date have not been included for every instance. Instead, children's names are given, acknowledging their contributions, and in most cases, the source of the quotation is identified.

Table of Contents

Chapter One

Justifying and preparing for this study: Why should we be interested in children's understandings of learning?		1
1.1	Overview of Chapters One and Two	3
1.2	Why should we be interested in children's views of learning?	4
1.2.1	Reasons for the neglect of children's views	5
1.2.2	On what grounds, if any, might there be a compelling argument for researching children's views of learning?	9
1.3	The challenge of eliciting children's views of learning	12
1.4	A biologically based generative theory of learning	13
1.5	Summary of Chapter One	14

Chapter Two

Justifying and preparing for this study: Why should we choose to study such understandings by engaging children in an e-learning design challenge?		16
2.1	The worth of e-learning design contexts for investigating children's views of learning	16
2.1.1	Computer Clubhouse	16
2.1.2	Kaleidostories	17
2.1.3	Radio Gune Yi	18
2.1.4	The International Children's Digital Library	18
2.1.5	Rediscovering Culture	19
2.1.6	CSILE Knowledge Forum: Multiple Perspectives	19
2.1.7	Thailand: Project Lighthouse	20
2.1.8	Exploring Octagon Deluxe	21
2.1.9	Speed Zero	23
2.1.10	Mayors of the City	23
2.2	Examining the technological legitimacy of these e-learning design contexts	25
2.3	Examining the educational power of these e-learning design contexts	28
2.4	The context of this study	32
2.4.1	The GENESIS Project	33
2.5	Summary of Chapter One and Chapter Two	34

Chapter Three

Developing a Research Design and Methodology for investigating children's views of learning in an e-learning design context		36
3.0	The story so far	36
3.1	Overview of Chapter Three	36
3.2	The methodological foundation of this study	37
3.2.1	Papert's research design	37
3.3	Steps in Papert's design	37
3.3.1	Step One: Select a theory of education	38
3.3.2	Step Two: Developing this theory's consequences for the intellectual growth of children	38
3.3.3	Step Three: Implementing these conditions	39
3.4	Ownership, adaptability and diversity: Ethical considerations of this study	42
3.4.1	Data collection	44
3.5	Step Four: Equipping the research	45

3.5.1	People	45
3.5.2	Time	46
3.5.3	Equipment	46
3.6	Step Five: Running the experiment and determining success or failure	46
3.6.1	Determining success or failure: examining my data	47
3.7	Characterising my research approach: A design-based case study	49
3.8	Data collection, analysis and reporting	51
3.9	Summary of Chapter Three	52

Chapter Four

Students set out on The GENESIS Journey		53
4.0	The story so far	53
4.1	What scientific and technological question did students want to address?	54
4.2	How did students approach the task of investigation?	57
4.3	Which design features did children value in e-learning environments?	58
4.4	What content would the students develop for their e-learning environment?	63
4.5	Selected parts of The GENESIS Journey in detail: Four learning journeys	65
4.6	Summary of Chapter Four	67

Chapter Five

Gender differences: The story of The Obstacle Course House and The Toy Choice House		69
5.1	What questions did the boys generate and select for investigation?	69
5.2	What approaches did the boys choose and develop for their investigation?	70
5.3	What methods did the boys employ to make sense of information and findings?	71
5.3.1	Obstacle Course Findings	71
5.3.2	Toy Choice Findings	72
5.4	What decisions did the boys make concerning how to represent their ideas and inform others? How did they anticipate the response and reaction of the wider community?	74
5.4.1	Please give me a reason, please keep responding	75
5.4.2	Where we look, what we see	77
5.4.3	There must be a reason	78
5.4.4	Out of their own free will	79
5.5	Summary of Chapter Five	80

Chapter Six

How people learn: Building and visiting The Chatterbox House and The Recall and Recognition House		82
6.1	What questions did the girls generate and select for investigation?	82
6.2	What approaches did the girls choose and develop for this investigation?	82
6.2.1	Experiment One: Recall vs. Recognition	83
6.2.2	The second experiment: The Chatter Box	86
6.3	What methods did the girls employ to make sense of information and findings?	88
6.4	What decisions did the girls make concerning how to represent their ideas and inform others? How did they anticipate the response and reaction of the wider community?	91
6.4.1	Honest responses: but to tell you da truth...	92
6.4.2	Discussing The Genesis Journey: a place to say whateva u want without feeling embarrassed	93
6.5	Summary of Chapter Six	95

Chapter Seven

What actually is a dream: Building and visiting The Dream House	97
7.0 The story so far	97
7.1 What questions did Matt generate and select for investigation?	97
7.2 What approaches did Matt choose and develop for his investigation?	99
7.3 What methods did Matt employ to make sense of information and findings?	101
7.4 What decisions did Matt make concerning how to represent his ideas and inform others? How does he anticipate the response and reaction of the wider community?	103
7.4.1 Excerpt One: Is that right? I'm not sure: It depends	105
7.4.2 Excerpt Two: I agree but I disagree: Debating, developing and disputing beliefs	106
7.4.3 Excerpt Three: Is that true? It can be true: Identifying a need for new knowledge	107
7.4.4 Excerpt Four: This is the REAL question: Holding on to core beliefs	109
7.4.5 An attentive designer: Matt's reaction	110
7.4.6 Drawing conclusions and making comparisons	111
7.5 Summary of Chapter Seven	111

Chapter Eight

The Nature of Uniqueness: The story of The Drawing Test House	113
8.0 The story so far	113
8.1 What questions did Kirsten and Ashleigh generate and select for investigation?	113
8.2 What approaches did Kirsten and Ashleigh choose and develop for their investigation?	114
8.3 What methods did Kirsten and Ashleigh employ to make sense of information and findings?	116
8.4 What decisions did Kirsten and Ashleigh make concerning how to represent their ideas and inform others? How did they anticipate the response and reaction of the wider community?	118
8.4.1 Depending on life experiences	119
8.4.2 Unique in different ways	120
8.4.3 What makes different thoughts?	121
8.5 Summary of Chapter Eight	121

Chapter Nine

Gauging success (Part 1): Did the children consider this initiative successful?	123
9.0 The story so far	123
9.1 Papert's model has driven this study so far	124
9.2 The outcomes	124
9.3 The first layer - Learners' success	125
9.4 How did the students gather evidence on which to gauge the success of their e-learning design?	125
9.4.1 Laying a foundation for gauging the worth of their e-learning environment	125
9.4.2 What worth did the children perceive in their e-learning environment?	127
9.5 The children's criteria for successful e-learning environments	129
9.5.1 Successful e-learning initiatives are engaging	129

9.5.2	Successful e-learning initiatives equip individuals' inclinations	130
9.5.3	Successful e-learning initiatives inspire ideas and discussion	132
9.6	In their view, did the children successfully meet their criteria for success?	134
9.7	Summary of Chapter Nine	136

Chapter Ten

Gauging success (Part 2): Can I find out how children understand learning by engaging them with an e-Learning design challenge?

10.0	The story so far	137
10.1	Overview of Chapter Ten	137
10.2	Did the children learn?	138
10.3	Did this experiment reveal children's understandings of learning, and, if so, what is the nature of their views?	140
10.3.1	Children understand learning to involve generating, testing and modifying ideas, and appreciate that these ideas, tests and modifications are influenced by each learner's values. Moreover, they recognise great value in undertaking this knowledge gaining activity as part of a learning community.	141
10.3.2	Children explicitly enact opportune learning experiences, particularly technologically	145
10.3.3	Answering my research question and situating the findings within the educational research field	147
10.4	Why did my study succeed? Two key factors contributing to this success	148
10.4.1	The value of a design context for uncovering children's views of learning	148
10.4.2	The value of pursuing a similar research question to the children	149
10.5	Affirming the design-based nature of Papert's research approach and of this investigation	151
10.6	Summary of Chapter Ten	152

Chapter Eleven

	Summary and Implications	154
11.1	Summary of this study	154
11.2	Considering the findings and the possible limitations of this study	156
11.3	Situating this study's findings and considering its implications for future educational research	158
11.3.1	The three pillars on which this study was based	159
11.3.2	Examining the current prevalent educational research focus on students' argumentation (deriving from Toulmin's (1958) framework)	162
11.4	Concluding Comments	164
	References	167

Appendices

Appendix One	The Investigation Booklet	178
Appendix Two	DVD of The GENESIS Journey (included on back cover)	
Appendix Three	The discussion boards from the Toy Choice House, Obstacle Course House, Recall and Recognition House, Chatterbox House, Drawing Test House and the Dream House.	189

List of Tables

Table 3.1: An overview of The GENESIS Project	41
Table 4.1: Questions from Year Four Students at North Primary School	55

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Octagon	21
Figure 2.2 Nested octagons	21
Figure 2.3 Nested squares	21
Figure 2.4 Rotated nested squares	21
Figure 2.5 Starman	22
Figure 2.6 Octagon Deluxe	22
Figure 2.7 Active Triangle	22
Figure 2.8 Wow Triangle	22
Figure 2.9 Schaverien and Cosgrove's model of technology-and-science learning, with the addition of a sixth act of learning	31
Figure 4.1 Boys from West Grammar School explore The GENESIS Webpage	57
Figure 4.2 Two Children from North Primary School present their classes' investigations.	59
Figure 4.3 Design Team Children explore and evaluate existing e-Learning environments	60
Figure 4.4 Children from all three schools discuss the design of the GENESIS Environment	61
Figure 4.5 A video children made of an experiment on a brain	63
Figure 4.6 Design Team Children explore and approve the prototype	65
Figure 4.7 The GENESIS Journey is launched at North Primary School	65
Figure 5.1 A section of the obstacle course	70
Figure 5.2 Graph made by the boys showing their findings	72
Figure 5.3 Graph the boys made showing children's preferred toys	73
Figure 5.4 Graph the boys made showing the percentage of children who play with their preferred toy	73
Figure 6.1 The girls demonstrate their experiment and refer to their findings	83
Figure 6.2 Natalie Y demonstrates the Recall Recognition experiment	84
Figure 6.3 The instruction video for travellers to watch in The Chatterbox House	88
Figure 6.4 A page from the report found in The Recall and Recognition House	89
Figure 7.1 Matt reports his group's thoughts and questions about dreams	98
Figure 7.2 Matt researches Crick and Mitchison's theory online	100
Figure 7.3 An example of Matt's findings	101
Figure 7.4 Inside Matt's Dream House, showing the theories on the wall and Freud and Jung's theories explained	104
Figure 8.1 The video of Kirsten conducting The Drawing Test for travellers to watch	119

Abstract

This thesis reports an investigation into children's understanding of learning, as they engage with an e-Learning design challenge.

It begins by making a case that children's views of learning are of crucial significance, not only because of their position as pre-eminent learners in families and societies, but also because their learning is at the heart of our culture's aspirations for education. Then, it examines a selection of prior studies of learning in e-design contexts in order to gauge the advantages of seeking the views of children about learning in an e-design context. This consideration revealed the technological and educational potential of e-design, suggesting that such a context would be opportune here.

Fortuitously, a large, ARC-funded Linkage Project (GENESIS - Generating e-Learning Systems in Schools) provided just such an e-design context. In this project, researchers were keen to investigate whether the slowness of schools in appropriating e-Learning might be offset when students have a sustained opportunity to conceive, design and, as far as possible, build an e-Learning environment in which they and other students could explore questions they were passionately curious about. As a case study within the GENESIS Project, this study followed Papert's (1973) five-step process of educational research. First, a theory of education (a biologically based generative theory) was selected. Next, the ensuing set of conditions for the intellectual growth of children (the e-Learning design challenge itself) was laid out. These conditions were then implemented within the context of The GENESIS Project: the children were equipped with the opportunity and resources to design an e-Learning environment to explore a science-and-technology topic of their choice (*How and why do we think? How come we're not born with the knowledge we know now?*).

Of the large set of project data, six accounts were selected as representative of the diversity and commonality of children's learning and their understanding of learning in this study. Findings revealed that these children understand learning as generating, testing and thereby modifying ideas, they appreciate that these events are influenced by each learner's values and they recognise value in undertaking this knowledge gaining activity as part of a learning community. Furthermore, these children explicitly enact opportune learning experiences, particularly technologically, demonstrating their fluency as technological thinkers, capable of having technological ideas about learning.

The findings of this study reveal that these children are able to make their views of learning known, both in conversation and by way of sophisticated characteristics of their e-designs. They highlight the need to canvas and hear children's views about the nature of an education that is in their best interests, and to support their demonstrated capacity to shape such an education technologically for their era.