A Knowledge-Based Strategic Theory Framework for Knowledge Management: Knowledge Creation and Transfer in Businesses

Glen William Duncan

School of Management
Faculty of Business
University of Technology, Sydney
P.O. Box 123
Broadway NSW 2007
Australia

Supervisor: Dr. Thekla Rura-Polley

Co-supervisor: Professor Thomas Clarke

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) at the University of Technology, Sydney.

October 2006

A Knowledge-Based Strategic Theory Framework for Knowledge Management: Knowledge Transfer a.nd Creation in Businesses

Glen William Duncan
School of Management
Faculty of Business
University of Technology, Sydney
PO Box 123, Broadway, NSW, 2007, Australia

Supervisor: Dr. Thekla Rura-Polley

Co-supervisor: Professor Thomas Clarke

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) at the University of Technology, Sydney

October 2006

Certificate of Authorship / Originality

I certify that this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

Glen William Duncan

Acknowledgements

Little did I realise what I was getting myself into when I started researching my thesis in 1998. The journey that I have been on has been extremely arduous. In a sense, this thesis has been my own persona] psychological Mount Everest. During this time my wife and I had two children, I changed jobs four times and we moved house twice. What I have learned is not what I expected to learn when I set out. However, despite the hardship, the process has been worthwhile. The process has taught me the importance of intellectual rigour, the value of revision in writing and to strive for quality in all aspects of the research process.

Throughout all the PhD years, Suzan has been the one constant. Thankyou sweetheart, for your love, your unwavering support, patience and encouragement. Your belief in me is even greater than my own and never ceases to amaze me. Now Aden and Lua, you can have a father who no longer has the weight of a PhD thesis hanging over his head. To my dear baby, I am looking forward to your arrival and getting to know you.

I was very fortunate to have been introduced to organisation studies by Professor Stewart Clegg and Dr. Thekla Rura-Polley. I am grateful to them both for instilling within me a passion for sociology, organisation studies, theorising and the pursuit of excellence in research. They also were responsible for giving me great confidence in my research ability. Thankyou Thekla for saving my candidature when it looked under threat.

I am indebted to Professor Thomas Clarke for his kind and gentle supervision. His patience, encouragement, unwavering support and ultimately his belief in me was a consistent source of confidence and motivation. His approach to supervision is one that hope to emulate in the future.

I am grateful for the motivatory support of Dr. Katrina Williams. Katrina, you are a true friend.

I am indebted to the Universal House of Justice for its encouragement to complete my thesis which was a great source of motivation.

I acknowledge the generous assistance of **Pauline Graf** who was responsible for copy editing and proof reading the entire thesis, and **Janene Wright** who text-processed these changes. I acknowledge the assistance of **Associate Professor Jennifer Peat** and **Dr. Andrew Hayen** who provided guidance on statistical analysis.

Finally, I am greatly appreciative of the services of **Dr. Marjorie Tidman** in the final stages of my thesis who assisted me to keep everything balanced and in perspective.

Preface

"The third Tajalli is concerning arts, crafts and sciences. Knowledge is as wings to man's life, and a ladder for his ascent. Its acquisition is incumbent upon everyone. The knowledge of such sciences, however, should be acquired as can profit the peoples of the earth, and not those which begin with words and end with words. Great indeed is the claim of scientists and craftsmen on the peoples of the world. Unto this beareth witness the Mother Book on the day of His return. Happy are those possessed of a hearing ear. **In** truth, knowledge is a veritable treasure for man, and a source of glory, of bounty, of joy, of exaltation, of cheer and gladness unto him. Thus hath the Tongue of Grandeur spoken in this Most Great Prison."

Tablets of Baha'u'lHih, pp. 51-52)

"Man is the supreme Talisman. Lack of a proper education hath, however, deprived him of that which he doth inherently possess."

(Baha'u'llah, Tablets of Baha'u'llah, p. 161)

"The Great Being saith: Regard man as a mine rich in gems of inestimable value. Education can, alone, cause it to reveal its treasures, and enable mankind to benefit therefrom."

(Baha'u'llah, Tablets of Bahá'u'lláh, p. 162)

Table of Contents

rledgements	ii		
Acknowledgements			
	iv		
f Contents	V		
Ilustrations	Х		
ables	xi		
Acronyms	xii		
t	xiii		
1 Introduction	1		
Introduction and Research Question	2		
Background to the Research	3		
Justification for the Research	9		
Research Processes	10		
Outline of the Thesis	11		
Definitions	12		
Limitations and Key Assumptions	13		
Conclusion	14		
r 2 Theory and Literature Review	15		
Introduction	16		
The Role of Knowledge Management in Management Thought			
 2.2.1 The New External and Internal Organisational Environments 2.2.2 The Role of Organisational and Management Theories 2.2.3 Nature and Sources of Organisational and Management Knowledge 2.2.4 Cycles of Management Thought 2.2.5 The Position of Knowledge Management in Management Thought 	19 21 23 24 27		
Relevant Organisational and Strategic Management Theories	31		
2.3.1 Transaction Cost Economics (Industrial Economics of Organising) 2.3.2 Resource-Based View of the Firm 2.3.3 Knowledge-Based View of the 2.3.4 Information Processing Model 2.3.5 Critique of Organisational and Strategic Management Theories	31 32 36 38 41		
Knowledge-Based Theories of the Firm	45		
 2.4.1 Perspectives on Knowledge 2.4.2 Influence of Knowledge-Based Theories 2.4.3 Dynamic Theory of Organisational Knowledge Creation 2.4.4 Knowledge-Based Theory of the Firm 2.4.5 Ortigue and Limitations 	46 52 55 56 61		
	Background to the Research Justification for the Research Research Processes Outline of the Thesis Definitions Limitations and Key Assumptions Conclusion r2 Theory and Literature Review Introduction The Role of Knowledge Management in Management Thought 2.2.1 The New External and Internal Organisational Environments 2.2.2 The Role of Organisational and Management Theories 2.2.3 Nature and Sources of Organisational and Management Knowledge 2.2.4 Cycles of Management Thought 2.2.5 The Position of Knowledge Management in Management Theories 2.3.1 Transaction Cost Economics (Industrial Economics of Organising) 2.3.2 Resource-Based View of the Firm 2.3.3 Knowledge-Based View of the 2.3.4 Information Processing Model 2.3.5 Critique of Organisational and Strategic Management Theories Knowledge-Based Theories of the Firm 2.4.1 Perspectives on Knowledge 2.4.2 Influence of Knowledge-Based Theories 2.4.3 Dynamic Theory of Organisational Knowledge Creation		

2.5	Innovation and Organisational Learning			
	2.5.1	Definition of Innovation	64	
	2.5.2	Perspectives on Innovation	65	
	2.5.3	Theories of Organisational Innovation	67	
	2.5.4	Sources of Organisational Innovation	68	
	2.5.5	Organisational Learning	68	
	2.5.6	Critical Perspectives on Innovation and Organisational Learning	71	
	2.5.7	Relevance to Organisation Theory	73	
	2.5.8	Learning to Innovate	74	
2.6	Processes of Knowledge Creation and Transfer			
	2.6.1	Knowledge Creation	76	
	2.6.2	Knowledge Transfer	78	
2.7	Knowl	edge Management Initiatives	80	
	2.7.1	Changing Boundaries	81	
		2.7.1.1 Alliances (Interorganisational Collaboration and Networks)	83	
		2.7.1.2 Downscoping and Focus	88	
		2.7.1.3 Outsourcing	91	
	2.7.2	Changing Processes	92	
		2.7.2.1 Benchmarking	93	
		2.7.2.2 Electronic Data Interchange	97	
		2.7.2.3 Horizontal Networking (Internal Collaboration)	99	
		2.7.2.4 Human Resource Practices	101	
		2.7.2.5 Information and Communication Technologies and Strategies	104	
		2.7.2.6 Organisational Integration	108	
		2.7.2.7 Research and Development	112	
		2.7.2.8 Training	114	
	2.7.3	Changing Structures	120	
		2.7.3.1 Decentralisation, Delayering and Project-Based Structure	120	
		2.7.3.2 Operational Strategic	121	
2.8	Organ	nisational Performance	123	
2.9	Organisational Demographics			
	2.9.1	Age	126	
	2.9.2	Industry Type	126	
	2.9.3	Legal Type	128	
	2.9.4 Number of Locations			
	2.9.5 Size			
2.10	Resea	arch Model	130	
2.11	Hypotheses			
	2.11.1 Main Hypotheses for Knowledge Transfer and Creation Relationship			
	2.11.2 Main Hypothesis for Knowledge Creation and Performance Relationship			
	2.11.3 Null Hypotheses for Controls			
2.12	Conclusion			

Chapter 3 Research Methods 13			135	
	3.1	Introduction		
	3.2	Justific	cation for the Paradigm and Methods	136
		3.2.1	Justification for the Paradigm	136
			Justification for the Methods	140
	3.3	Busine	ess Longitudinal Survey / Data Sample	142
		3.3.1	Scope of Survey	143
			Unit of Analysis	144
			Justification of the Use of BLS CURF	144
	3.4	Measures for Each Construct		146
		3.4.1	Changing Boundaries	146
			3.4.1.1 Alliances	146
			3.4.1.2 Downscoping and Focus	147
			3.4.1.3 Outsourcing	148
		3.4.2	Changing Processes	149
			3.4.2.1 Benchmarking	149
			3.4.2.2 Electronic Data Interchange	150
			3.4.2.3 Horizontal Networking	152
			3.4.2.4 Human Resource Practices	152
			3.4.2.5 Information and Communication Technologies and Strategies	152
			3.4.2.6 Research and Development	153
			3.4.2.7 Training	154
		3.4.3	Changing Structures	155
			3.4.3.1 Decentralisation, Delayering and Project-Based Structure	155
			3.4.3.2 Operational Strategic	156
		3.4.4	Organisational Demographics	156
			3.4.4.1 Age	156
			3.4.4.2 Industry Type	156
			3.4.4.3 Legal Type	156
			3.4.4.4 Number of Locations	156
			3.4.4.5 Size	157
		3.4.5	Innovation (KnOWledge Creation)	157
		3.4.6	Organisational Performance	157
	3.5		Manipulation Procedures and Statistical Techniques	158
		3.5.1	Implications of ASS De-identification Process	158
			Data Manipulation	158
		3.5.3	Statistical Techniques	159
	3.6		ility and Validity	160
		3.6.1	Reliability	161
			3.6.1.1 Sampling Error	161
		0.00	3.6.1.2 Non-Sampling Errors	162
			Validity	162
	3.7	Ethica	l Considerations	164
		3.7.1	Ethics Approval	164
		3.7.2	Adherence to Conditions of Release and Conditions of sale	165
	3.8	Concl	usion	165

Chapter 4 Results, Analysis and Discussion 167			
4.1	Introduction		
4.2	Subjects		
4.3	Patterns of Data for Each Research Question or Hypothesis		
		g Boundaries	172
	4.3.1.1		173
	4.3.1.2	1 0	175
	4.3.1.3	<u> </u>	177
	4.3.2 Changin		179
	4.3.2.1 4.3.2.2	3	180 181
	4.3.2.3	<u> </u>	182
	4.3.2.4	•	183
	4.3.2.5		184
	4.3.2.6		185
	4.3.2.7	-	185
	4.3.3 Changin	g Structures	188
	4.3.3.1	Decentralisation, Delayering and Project-Based Structure	189
	4.3.3.2	Operational Strategic	190
	_	ational Demographics	190
	4.3.4.1	Age	191
	4.3.4.2	3 31	192
	4.3.4.3	5 7.	192
	4.3.4.4 4.3.4.5	Number of Locations Size	193 193
		ational Change Model	193
	_	on and Performance (Profit)	195
	4.3.7 Conclus	·	195
Chapter 5 Summary, Conclusions and Implications			
5.1	Introduction		198
5.2	Conclusions Ab	oout Each Research Question or Hypothesis	198
	5.2.1 Knowled	dge Creation (Innovation) and Organisational Performance (Profit)	198
	5.2.2 Changin	g Boundaries and Knowledge Creation	199
		g Processes and Knowledge Creation	200
	•	g Structures and Knowledge Creation	200
	5.2.5 Organisa	ational Demographics and Knowledge Creation	201
5.3	Conclusions Ab	pout the Research Problem	201
5.4	Contributions o	f Study	202
	5.4.1 Implicati	ions for Theory	202
	5.4.2 Implicati	ions for Methodology	205
5.5	Implications for	Practice	206
5.6	Limitations		207
5.7	Further Resear	ch	210
5.8	Conclusion		213

App	Appendices		
	Appendix 1 - Definitions of Conceptual Terms	216	
	Appendix 2 - Definitions of Methodological Terms	217	
	Appendix 3 - Definitions of Statistical Terms	219	
	Appendix 4 - Legislative Authority	220	
	Appendix 5 - Business Growth and Performance Survey 1995-1996,1996-1997,1997-1998	222	
	Appendix 6 - Generation of Sample	274	
	Appendix 7 -Relative Standard Errors for Business Growth and Performance Survey 1996-1997	275	
	Appendix 8 - Advice from Ethics Officer Regarding Need for Ethics Approval	277	
	Appendix 9 - Conditions of Release	278	
	Appendix 10 - Conditions of Sale	279	
	Appendix 11 - Tables of Results	282	
Bib	Bibliography		

List of Illustrations

Figure 2.1:	Pictorial Representation of Organisational and Strategic Theories	18
Figure 2.2:	Number of Citations per Year by Article (1995-2004)	55
Figure 2.3:	Research Model	131
Figure 3.1:	Typology of Four Paradigms (Burrell and Morgan 1979)	138
Figure 4.1:	Businesses by Industry	172
Figure 4.2:	Odds Ratios for the Changing Boundaries Variables and Innovation Relationship	173
Figure 4.3:	Odds Ratios for the Changing Processes Variables and Innovation Relationship	179
Figure 4.4	Odds Ratio for the Changing Structures Variable and Innovation Relationship	189
Figure 4.5:	Odds Ratios for the Organisational Demographics Variables and Innovation	
	Relationship	191
Figure 4.6:	Organisational Change Model	194

List of Tables

Table 2.1:	Number of Citations to 2004 in Web of Science Database	54
Table 2.2:	Innovation Literature Perspectives (Slappendel1996, p. 108)	66
Table 2.3:	Organisational Innovation Literature Streams (Wolfe 1994)	68
Table 2.4:	Old and New Organisational Learning (Turner 1991)	72
Table 3.1:	Contrasting Implications of Positivism and Social Constructionism (Easterby-Smith, Thorpe et al. 2002, p. 30)	139
Table 4.1:	Organisational Demographics	168
Table 4.2:	Other Characteristics of the Study Sample	170
Table 4.3:	Businesses by Industry	171
Table 5.1:	Knowledge Dimensions of Training	213
Table A7.1	Select Relative Standard Errors for Other Small Businesses	275
Table A7.2:	Select Relative Standard Errors for Medium Businesses	276
Table A11.1:	Changing Boundaries	282
Table A11.2:	Partners for Alliances	283
Table A11.3:	Reasons for Downscoping and Focus	284
Table A11.4:	Changing Processes	285
Table A11.5:	Areas of Benchmarking	286
Table A11.6:	Types of Electronic Data Interchange	287
TableA11.7:	Information and Communication Technology Strategies	288
Table A11.8:	Content of Training	289
Table A11.9:	Provider of Training	290
Table A11 .10:	Changing Structures	291
Table A 11 .11 :	Organisational Demographics	292
A11.12:	Organisational Change Model	293
TableA11.13:	Relationship Between Innovation and Profit	294
Table A11.14:	Partners for Alliances Linear-by-Linear Association Chi-Square Trend Analysis	295
TableA11.15:	Content of Training Linear-by-Linear Association Chi-Square Trend Analysis	296
Table A11.16:	Partial Changing Processes Linear-by-Linear Association Chi-Square Trend Analysis	297

List of Acronyms

ABS Australian Bureau of Statistics

ATO Australian Taxation Office

AVCC - Australian Vice Chancellors Committee

BLS Business Longitudinal Study
CURF - Confidentialised Unit Record File

EDI Electronic Data Interchange

HR Human Resource

HRM Human Resource Management

I&CT Information and Communication Technology

KBV Knowledge-Based View
 KM Knowledge Management
 O0 Organisational Development
 OL Organisational Learning
 R&D Research and Development

RBV Resource-Based View

TAFE - Technical and Further Education
TCE Transaction Cost Economics
TQM Total Quality Management

Abstract

The discourse on knowledge management (KM) has emerged relatively recently in the field of management. Organisational and strategic theories have been developed which seek to explain the existence, boundaries, structure, management and competitive advantage of organisations based on knowledge. These theories are consistent with one thread from the overall discourse which argues that the management of knowledge within an organisation is an important activity required for maintaining competitive advantage in a hypercompetitive external environment. Despite the extensive interest in this area, there is stilllimited agreement even about some of its fundamental principles. This plurality of perspectives is due to the diversity of disciplines, theories and objectives with which the area is enriched by both academics and practitioners alike.

Many independent KM initiatives have also been proposed for managerial use under the KM umbrella. Such activities include meetings, mentoring schemes, training, job rotation, site visits, conferences and exhibitions, and internal and external collaborations. Many of these initiatives are relatively autonomous and independent concepts. Generally these concepts have been first identified in the practitioner literature and it is unclear where they fit within academic frameworks. In particular, the relationship between KM initiatives and important related academic concepts such as knowledge transfer, organisationalleaming, knowledge creation and innovation is not clear. This lack of agreement, clarity and cohesion in the KM discourse naturally suggests the following research problem: What is the relationship between KM initiatives, organisationalleaming, knowledge transfer, knowledge creation and innovation?

Taking an organisation and strategic management theory perspective, an exploratory framework for understanding and explaining KM is developed within this thesis. Theoretically, this framework draws together perspectives from the resource-based view of the firm, organisational learning and innovation literatures to propose that the two fundamental KM processes are knowledge transfer and creation. Knowledge transfer supports learning and the utilisation of available internal and external knowledge resources within an organisation. Through knowledge transfer and learning, new knowledge can be created and organisational innovation takes place. These two

processes are then integrated into Whittington, Pettigrew et al.'s (1999) framework for organisational change. Various disparate KM initiatives are also positioned within the developed framework based on whether they facilitate knowledge transfer by altering organisational boundaries, processes or structures.

The essence of the developed framework is represented pictorially by a research model. This research model depicts the framework's key concepts and the relationships between them. It also begins to represent some of the complex dimensionality inherent in the framework. The research model is explored empirically using data from the Business Longitudinal Survey conducted by the Australian Bureau of Statistics. Responses from 3014 Australian businesses surveyed yearly from 1994 to 1998 are analysed using chi-squared and logistical regression statistical techniques. This sample consists of 1344 small and 1670 medium businesses across eleven industries. In empirically exploring the research model, some of the dimensionality of the framework is explored. However, the process also provides a confirmatory function by providing empirical support for many of the aspects of the framework.

Statistical support was found for all elements of the research model. Odds ratios for the various KM initiatives examined ranged from 1.292 to 3.554. These results confirm the value of these initiatives for facilitating the transfer of knowledge. They also suggest that the dimensionality of these constructs represented in the model should be explored further. Of all the KM initiatives, research and development emerged as the most effective initiative for facilitating knowledge transfer and creation. This finding suggests that research and development should be the very first initiative considered by managers looking to implement a KM program. It also suggests that future research on knowledge transfer and creation should pay particular attention to this particular initiative. The logistical regression analysis demonstrated that changing organisational boundaries, processes and structures all contribute to facilitating knowledge transfer which lead to knowledge creation. These results provided support for the most important contribution of the thesis. This contribution was the development of an integrated and exploratory conceptual framework which can serve as a foundation stone for the field of KM.