

**University of Technology Sydney**

**COUPLED ONE and TWO-DIMENSIONAL MODELING in URBAN  
CATCHMENTS – REDUCING UNCERTAINTY in FLOOD ESTIMATION**

Author - Stephen Don Gray

Supervisor – Assoc. Prof. James Ball

## Certificate of Authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree, nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for another degree.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of this thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

---

**Stephen Gray B. E.(Res Eng)**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

ACT Electricity and Water

Australian Capital Territory Government

Allen Goyen is acknowledged for his PhD dissertation and the data he collected and so readily offered to share which facilitated this study

Supervisor Assoc Prof James Ball

Pavel Kozarovski, Grantley Smith and Robert Carr for ideas relevant to the genesis of this study

Strathfield City Council

Burwood City Council

Richard Dewar

DHI Water and Environment

University of New South Wales

University of Technology Sydney

The Australian Government

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>2</b>
Background to Urban Stormwater Modeling .....	2
1d/2d models in urban stormwater modeling .....	7
Research Goals .....	8
Background to Goal 1.....	8
Background to Goal 2.....	9
Background to Goal 3.....	10
Thesis Layout.....	10
<b>CHAPTER 2: MODELING BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>12</b>
Introduction .....	12
History of Urban Stormwater Modeling.....	13
History of coupled 1d/2d modeling in application to Urban Stormwater Modeling.....	22
Introduction.....	22
History of development.....	22
Summary of lessons learnt from previous studies .....	31
<b>CHAPTER 3: SITE LOCATION, CHARACTERISTICS AND DATA DESCRIPTION.....</b>	<b>33</b>
Site Description .....	33
Lot 12 Description.....	36
Lot 14 .....	36
Data Review and Collection .....	39
Selected Events .....	40
<b>CHAPTER 4: METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>44</b>
Introduction .....	44
Data.....	45
Model Build .....	45

Introduction.....	45
Models Used.....	46
Model Elements.....	46
<b>1d Pipe Model Build – Lot 12 and 14 Catchments .....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>Two-Dimensional Model Build – Lot 14 Catchment .....</b>	<b>49</b>
Digital Elevation Model .....	49
Impervious/Pervious Map .....	51
Losses .....	52
Roughness .....	53
<b>Coupling the 1d and 2d models .....</b>	<b>55</b>
Mass Balance Check.....	57
<b>CHAPTER 5: RESULTS.....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Preface to Results.....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Lot 12 (ROOF) .....</b>	<b>60</b>
CALIBRATION .....	61
VALIDATION.....	62
January 3 1993 19:00 .....	62
January 3 1993 22:00 .....	63
April 5 1993 17:00.....	64
<b>Lot 14 (ROOF, ROAD and YARD).....</b>	<b>67</b>
CALIBRATION ROAD .....	67
May 13 1995 11:00 am.....	67
CALIBRATION YARD.....	69
January 5 1995 19:00 .....	69
VALIDATION.....	76
Early January 3 1993 19:00.....	76
January 3 1993 22:00 .....	77
April 5 1993 17:00.....	78
January 28 1995 18:00 .....	79
Summary of Results for Lot 14.....	80
<b>SENSITIVITY RUNS .....</b>	<b>81</b>
No pre-wet of yard or road and no break line (green solid line) .....	81
No pre-wet of yard or road (blue dash line) .....	81
Pre-wet but no break line (green dot dash line) .....	82
Relatively Rough Road (n of 0.013 as compared to final adopted value of 0.008) .....	83
Rough Yard (n = 0.1 rather than 0.04).....	84
Mass Balance Check.....	86
<b>CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>87</b>

<b>Preface .....</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>Lot 12 .....</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>Lot 14 .....</b>	<b>89</b>
Routing in the 2d 1m grid .....	89
Loss values - Road .....	90
Loss values – Yard .....	91
Sensitivity testing - roughness .....	94
Sensitivity testing - losses .....	94
Sensitivity runs – pre-wet and break line .....	95
Impact on results .....	96
The calibration process .....	96
<b>CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Further Work.....</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>100</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1: System Components in Typical Stormwater Model .....	3
Figure 2: Lumped Sub-catchment example.....	4
Figure 3: System Components in 1d/2d coupled Stormwater Model .....	5
Figure 4: Distributed Sub-catchment example.....	6
Figure 5: Site Location.....	33
Figure 6: 3d catchment with Cadastre and catchment boundary for wider Giralang catchment.....	34
Figure 7: Street Map of Study Area with study areas shown .....	34
Figure 8: Study Catchments - Lot 12 and Lot 14 with Cadastre and Inlet Pits .....	35
Figure 9: Property in Lot 14 at western edge with high impervious percentage .....	37
Figure 10: Example of pervious easement and front garden area. ....	38
Figure 11: Lot 14 - Looking from upstream to downstream .....	38
Figure 12: Event Rainfall Depths in 5 min increments .....	43
Figure 13: Lot 12 and Lot 14 Pipe Model Plan.....	47
Figure 14: Lot 12 and Lot 14 Pipe Model Plan Zoom .....	48
Figure 15: 3d view of Lot 14 Catchment and Surrounds .....	50
Figure 16: Impervious/Pervious Map – red areas are impervious .....	51
Figure 17: Loss Map for 2d Surface Flow Model .....	53
Figure 18: Roughness Map for 2d Surface Flow Model .....	54
Figure 19: Surface flow entering the pipe system .....	56
Figure 20: Flow surcharging from the pipe system to the surface .....	56
Figure 21: Lot 14 Catchment with Coupling Point Locations .....	57
Figure 22: Lot 12 May 95 Calibration Plot.....	61
Figure 23: Lot 12 Early Jan 93 Validation Plot .....	62
Figure 24: Lot 12 Jan 93 Validation Plot.....	63
Figure 25: Lot 12 April 93 Validation Plot.....	64

Figure 26: Lot 12 January 1995 Validation Plot .....	65
Figure 27: Lot 14 Validation Plot for Event May 1995 .....	67
Figure 28: Lot 14 Calibration Plot for Event 5 Jan 1995 .....	69
Figure 29: Rainfall, inlet hydrograph at pit and 2d plot of surface flow for 5 Jan 1995 .....	71
Figure 30: Prior to the event - note pre-wet water on ground .....	72
Figure 31: Immediately prior to hydrograph rise .....	73
Figure 32: Overland flow shown at time of peak flow Lot 14 .....	74
Figure 33: Following Main Peak.....	75
Figure 34: Lot 14 Validation Plot for Event Early Jan 1993 .....	76
Figure 35: Lot 14 Validation Plot for Event Jan 1993 .....	77
Figure 36: Lot 14 Validation Plot for Event April 1993 .....	78
Figure 37: Lot 14 Validation Plot for Event Jan 28 1995 .....	79
Figure 38: Sensitivity to Pre-Wet and Break line .....	81
Figure 39: Sensitivity to roughness change in road .....	83
Figure 40: Sensitivity to roughness Change in Yard.....	84

## List of Tables

Table 1: Lot 14 Catchment Characteristics Derived from Land Use Map Analysis	39
Table 2: Events modeled	42
Table 3: Hydrological Parameters applied to each roof	49
Table 4: Values of Manning's 'n' for Overland Flow	54
Table 5: Coupling Parameters	55
Table 6: Lot 12 Fit Statistics Compiled	66
Table 7: Lot 14 Calibration, Validation and Sensitivity Run Statistics	80
Table 8: Sensitivity to Break line and Pre-Wet Statistics	82
Table 9: Sensitivity to Roughness Statistics	85
Table 10: Mass Balance Check for 5 Jan 1995 Event	86

## **ABSTRACT**

### **COUPLED ONE and TWO-DIMENSIONAL MODELING in URBAN CATCHMENTS – REDUCING UNCERTAINTY in FLOOD ESTIMATION**

KEY WORDS: Urban Stormwater, spatial, coupled, two-dimensional, rainfall, runoff, models, hydrology, hydraulics, conceptual, distributed, calibration, validation, pipe, design, drainage

ABSTRACT: A recent trend in urban stormwater modeling projects is the application of coupled one and two dimensional models whereby a two dimensional model routes rainfall excess overland and interfaces with a one dimensional representation of a pipe drainage system.

Two principle advantages are sought in utilising the 1d/2d model. These are:

- 2d routing of surface flow means that flow paths do not need to be known prior to model run; and
- The 2d surface flow model can replace conceptualised lumped hydrology with a physical process based distributed approach.

Numerous studies have been carried out which demonstrate the 1d/2d methodology. Few however have been able to demonstrate model performance against gauged data. Also few such applications have separated out hydrological response from different areas in the urban catchment, such as road, roof and yard response.

This study aims to test the 1d/2d coupled modeling approach on a data set which includes numerous gauged events which separate out three main hydrological processes: roof, road and yard runoff. The data set was compiled as part of PhD dissertation work undertaken by Goyen (2000) for a catchment in the A.C.T, Australia.

It is found that the 1d/2d model system examined, given specific inclusions in the methodology, does demonstrate an ability to reproduce gauged flows extremely well without need for variation of model parameters other than proportional loses applied.