Forensic comparison of unevaporated and evaporated automotive gasoline samples from Australia and New Zealand

by

Paul Mark Lyne Sandercock

A thesis

submitted for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (Science)

University of Technology, Sydney

December, 2002

Certificate of authorship and originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as acknowledged within the text.

I certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

P. Mark L. Sandercock

November, 2002

Acknowledgements

Before I could undertake a major project like this one I needed financial support and time away from my duties at the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) Forensic Laboratory, Winnipeg. Thanks are due my Master's supervisor, Professor Josef Takats, University of Alberta, Edmonton, who supported my bid for a scholarship from the Natural Sciences and Research Engineering Council (NSERC), Canada. I am indebted to NSERC for granting me a two year post-graduate scholarship. I wish to thank my supervisor at the RCMP Forensic Laboratory Services, Winnipeg, Mr. Ron Hrynchuk, who wholeheartedly supported my desire to take an unpaid leave from the RCMP and pursue research in this area.

A big thank you is extended to Mr. Gary Bunio, Imperial Oil (ESSO) Canada, Calgary whose genuine desire to assist me led to my first research grant. Mr. Bunio put me in contact with Dr. Roger W. Cohen at Exxon Research and Engineering Company, Annandale, New Jersey, USA. Through Dr. Cohen, ExxonMobil generously gave me a research grant to support this project.

Associate professor Claude Roux, University of Technology, Sydney, was instrumental in guiding my application for an International Postgraduate Research Scholarship (IPRS) through the university bureaucracy. I was awarded this full fee paying scholarship so generously provided by the Department of Education, Training and Youth Affairs (DETYA), Commonwealth of Australia.

Although money is important in seeing my project to completion, my acknowledgements are not limited to the amount of money awarded. The people my family and I have had contact with have demonstrated that God can turn seemingly impossible situations into times of blessing. The people who come to mind when we first arrived in Australia are Mal and Heather York, and Emma and Ric Van Wachem. Then there is our family in Christ at St. Andrew's Abbottsford: Nicola and Eric Lewis, Rev. Jacinth Myles, Rev. Jackie Stoneman, Barbara and Tony Robinson, Greg and Carmel Jarmain, Dr. Coral Chamberlain, and Bill (the dairy man) Steele, to name only a few, all of whom gave generously of

themselves in time, possessions and often both. I would like to thank the entire congregation for their prayers at specific times of need.

Thanks are due to Mr. Kevan Walsh from the New Zealand forensic science service, ESR. Kevan kindly offered to drive around Auckland and collect gasoline samples for this project. I would also like to thank Dr. Peter Petocz, Department of Mathematical Sciences, UTS for contributing to my understanding of PCA and the use of Minitab, and Dr. Philip Doble for his encouragement during this project.

I would like to acknowledge the work of Dr. Eric Du Pasquier, colleague, friend and research supervisor. Eric brought a calm, sober influence to this project. I am indebted to the hard work he did supporting me with research grants, helping me keep the project focused and reviewing this thesis. Thanks, Eric!

I could never have even gotten up the courage to pursue my dream of doing full time research (and completing a PhD) without the encouragement of my best friend, my wife Sandi. To say "thank you" does not capture my gratitude towards you, Sandi, for always supporting me, even in a move half-way around the world. No acknowledgement would be complete without mentioning my children, Evan (7) and Claire (4). No one else can lay the seriousness of life aside and remind an adult that there is more to life than work. Yes, Claire, we are here to swing. Thank you to you both.

I dedicate this research to the One who is the Author of all inspiration. To God be the glory.

"In his heart a man plans his course, but the Lord determines his steps."

Proverbs 16:9 (NIV)

Preface

July, 1994. It was early afternoon on a fine summer day in a small city in Western Canada. The sidewalk cafes were doing a brisk business, and residents were coming and going from the neighbouring apartment buildings. Within this bustling block was a laundromat and drycleaning shop, closed for a lunch break. A natural gas leak was reported by passers-by and the gas company was called in. The leak was traced to the back of the laundromat/drycleaner where it was discovered that the gas mains had been tampered with, filling the shop with natural gas. The area was evacuated, the gas supply shut off and the gas allowed to dissipate. Meanwhile, the Fire Commissioner and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) were called in to investigate the tampering. The investigation led to the discovery of a failed arson attempt at the laundromat. Thirty liquid filled bottles, some stoppered and others with cloth wicks, were found in the crawl space beneath the business. The investigators believed that had the fire not gone out soon after it was lit, the entire city block would have been destroyed by the inevitable gas explosion. The bottles were found to contain automotive gasoline, aviation gasoline, tetrachloroethylene, or combinations of these three liquids. It was likely that the mixtures of tetrachloroethylene and gasoline had caused the fire to go out shortly after it was lit. At the suspect's residence two jerry cans, one with automotive gasoline and one with aviation gasoline, were found. Clearly, it was important to make a comparison between the two types of gasoline found at the suspect's residence and the gasoline present in the bottles. The lack of a scientific method (validated by the RCMP Forensic Laboratory Service) for the comparison of liquid gasoline samples prevented a meaningful comparison being made between the known liquids and those recovered from the scene. Thus began my interest in comparing refined petroleum products, and in particular, comparing automotive gasoline.

Table of contents

Cert	tificate of authorship and originality	ii
Ack	knowledgements	iii
Pref	face	v
List	of Figures	xii
List	of Tables	xix
Abb	previations	xxiii
Abs	stract	xxv
Cha	apter 1. Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction	
1.2	Fire and arson	3
1.3	Forensic comparison of fuels and other petroleum products	10
	discrimination of petroleum products	12
1.4	Conclusions	14
1.5	References	15
Cha	apter 2. Gasoline distribution network in Australia	20
2.1	Introduction	20
2.2	Materials and methods	20
2.3	Gasoline distribution network	21
	2.3.4 Service stations	20 28

2.4	Caltex	Banksmeadow distribution terminal	32
	2.4.1	Number of deliveries and delivery locations	33
	2.4.2	Delivery frequency	
	2.4.3	Delivery volumes	43
	2.4.4	Example of fuel distribution to five service stations in one local	
		council area	
		2.4.4.1 The service stations	
		2.4.4.2 Delivery frequency and volumes	54
2.5	Concl	usions	54
2.6	Refere	ences	60
Cha	pter 3.	Data analysis	62
3.1	Overv	iew of chemometrics and principal component analysis (PCA)	62
3.2	Data r	ore-treatment	63
		Heteroscedastic and homoscedastic error	
	3.2.2	Normalisation of the data set	
	3.2.3	Closure of the data set	
3.3	Scope	of principal component analysis	67
	_	Description of principal component analysis	
3.4	Mater	ials and methods	71
	3.4.1	Sample preparation	71
	3.4.2	Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry	72
	3.4.3	Data analysis	72
3.5	The ef	fects of normalisation on a simulated data set	73
	3.5.1	Simulated data	73
	3.5.2	Normalisation methods	
	3.5.3	Comparison of normalisation methods	78
		3.5.3.1 Changes to the relative error	84
		3.5.3.1.1 Changing the relative error between the same samples	84
		3.5.3.1.2 Increasing the relative error of the largest peak	
		3.5.3.2 Differences in dilution between samples	
		3.5.3.3 Effects of evaporation	
3.6	Applic	cation to real data	97
3.7	Concl	usions	105
2 0	Dafar	waas	106

Cha	pter 4.	Sampling protocol	109
4.1	Introd	uction	109
4.2	Materi	ials and methods	110
	4.2.1	Sample collection	
	4.2.2	Instrument conditions	
	4.2.3	Data analysis	
4.3	Result	s and discussion	113
4.4	Sampl	ling Protocol	114
	4.4.1	Safety standards	116
	4.4.2	Record keeping	118
4.5	Conclu	usions	119
4.6	Refere	ences	120
Cha	pter 5.	Trace compounds in gasoline	122
5.1	Introd	uction	122
	5.1.1	Trace polar compounds in crude oils and petroleum products	
	5.1.2	Trace polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in crude oils and	
		petroleum products	124
5.2	Materi	ials and methods	128
	5.2.1	Samples	128
	5.2.2	Solid phase extraction (SPE)	129
	5.2.3	Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry	129
	5.2.4	Analysis of extracts	
	5.2.5	Selected ion monitoring (SIM) of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	130
	5.2.6	Retention index standards	131
	5.2.7	Data analysis	132
	5.2.8	Quantitation of naphthalene, anthracene and phenanthrene	
5.3	Polar o	compounds	133
	5.3.1	Results	133
	5.3.2	Discussion	134
		5.3.2.1 Solid phase extraction of polar compounds	134
		5.3.2.2 Alumina activation	
		5.3.2.3. Analysis for polar compounds by gas chromatography	135

5.4		<i>f</i>	natic hydrocarbons (PAHs)	
	5.4.1			
		5.4.1.1	Solid phase extraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	138
		5.4.1.2	Analysis for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by gas	
			chromatography	
	- 40	5.4.1.3	Data analysis by principal components	
	5.4.2		ion	
		5.4.2.1 5.4.2.2	Solid phase extraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons Analysis for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by gas chromatography	
		5.4.2.3	Retention index systems for gas chromatography	
		5.4.2.4	Data analysis by principal components	
5.5	Concl	usions		158
5.6	Refere	ences		159
Cha	pter 6.	Study of	f unevaporated gasoline over time	165
6.1	Introd	uction		165
	3.5			1.66
6.2			nethods	
	6.2.1			
	6.2.2 6.2.3		omatography-mass spectrometryalysis	
	0.2.3	Daia an	aiysis	100
6.3	Analy	sis of sam	nples by brand and fuel type	168
0.0	6.3.1		from the analysis of BP regular unleaded and	100
		-	n unleaded gasoline	168
	6.3.2	Results	from the analysis of Caltex regular unleaded and	
		premiun	n unleaded gasoline	175
	6.3.3	Results	from the analysis of Shell regular unleaded and	
			n unleaded gasoline	
	6.3.4	Discussi	ion	188
6.4	Analy	sis of all s	samples and weekly differences	189
6.5	Concl	usions		193
6.6	Refere	ences		194
Cha	pter 7.	Compar	rison of unevaporated and evaporated gasoline samples	195
7 1	T., 4.,			105

7.2	Mater	ials and methods	196
	7.2.1	Unevaporated and evaporated samples	196
	7.2.2	Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry	
	7.2.3	Data analysis	
7.3	Uneva	aporated and evaporated gasoline samples	199
	7.3.1	Overview of results for unevaporated and evaporated gasoline samples	
	7.3.2	Detailed examination of results for gasoline samples collected on	
		two different days	219
	7.3.3	Examination of all gasoline samples at all evaporation levels	
7.4	Discu	ssion	232
7.5	Concl	usions	234
7.6	Refere	ences	235
Cha	pter 8.	Analysis and comparison of gasoline samples from New Zealand	237
8.1	Introd	uction	237
	8.1.1	Overview of petroleum refining and distribution in New Zealand	237
	8.1.2	General comparison of the Auckland, New Zealand and Sydney,	
		Australia gasoline markets	237
8.2	Mater	ials and methods	240
	8.2.1	Samples	240
	8.2.2	Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry	241
	8.2.3	Retention index standards	
	8.2.4	Data analysis	242
8.3	New 2	Zealand gasoline samples	242
	8.3.1	Results	
	8.3.2	Discussion	
8.4	Comp	arison of Australian and New Zealand gasoline samples	257
	8.4.1	Results	
	8.4.2	Discussion	258
8.5	Concl	usions	260
8.6	Refere	ences	261
Cha	pter 9.	Final Conclusions	263

Appendices	267
Appendix 3.1	
Appendix 3.2	270
Appendix 4.1	
Appendix 5.1	
Appendix 6.1	277
Appendix 7.1	

List of figures

Cho	nter	1

Figure 1.1	The occurrence of selected petroleum products found in fire debris	. 11
	Chapter 2	
Figure 2.1	Flow diagram of distribution network for gasoline in Australia	22
Figure 2.1	Location of Australian refineries and seaboard distribution terminals	
Figure 2.2	Diagram of maxi barrel trailer for transporting liquid fuels	
Figure 2.4	Map showing districts of NSW into which retail and commercial	ر کے .
1 iguic 2.4	deliveries of gasoline and diesel fuel were made from the Caltex Banks-	
	meadow distribution terminal from August 31 to October 1, 2001	35
Figure 2.5	Number of deliveries of gasoline and diesel fuel made each day of the	. 50
1 1guic 2.3	week from the Caltex Banksmeadow distribution terminal from Friday,	
	August 31 to Monday, October 1, 2001	37
Figure 2.6	Number of deliveries made per truck per shift from the Caltex Banks-	
116416 2.0	meadow distribution terminal from August 31 to October 1, 2001	
	(gasoline and diesel fuel deliveries)	30
Figure 2.7	Frequency of the number of days between deliveries of fuel, by fuel type,	
118010 217	for all retail sites supplied by the Caltex Banksmeadow distribution	
	terminal from August 31 to October 1, 2001	41
Figure 2.8	Frequency of the number of days between deliveries of fuel, by fuel type,	
8	for all commercial sites supplied by the Caltex Banksmeadow distribution	
	terminal from August 31 to October 1, 2001	42
Figure 2.9	Proportion of each fuel type delivered from the Caltex Banksmeadow	
C	Distribution terminal from August 31 to October 1, 2001	44
Figure 2.10	Total volumes of gasoline and diesel fuel (retail and commercial)	
	delivered each day from the Caltex Banksmeadow distribution terminal	
	from August 31 to October 1, 2001	45
Figure 2.11	Number of deliveries, by volume, of different fuels to retail service	
	stations from the Caltex Banksmeadow distribution terminal between	
	August 31 and October 1, 2001	46
Figure 2.12	Number of retail deliveries of single and multiple fuel types from the	
	Caltex Banksmeadow distribution terminal between August 31 and	
	October 1, 2001	48
Figure 2.13	Service station forecourt details, site number 1	49
Figure 2.14	Service station forecourt details, site number 2	. 50
	Service station forecourt details, site number 3	
	Service station forecourt details, site number 4	
	Service station forecourt details, site number 5	. 53
Figure 2.18	Deliveries to service station site number 1 from the Caltex Banksmeadow	
	distribution terminal from August 31 to October 1, 2001	. 55

Figure 2.19	Deliveries to service station site number 2 from the Caltex Banksmeadow distribution terminal from August 31 to October 1, 2001
Figure 2.20	Deliveries to service station site number 3 from the Caltex Banksmeadow
E: 2.21	distribution terminal from August 31 to October 1, 2001
Figure 2.21	Deliveries to service station site number 4 from the Caltex Banksmeadow distribution terminal from August 31 to October 1, 2001
Figure 2.22	Deliveries to service station site number 5 from the Caltex Banksmeadow
11guic 2.22	distribution terminal from August 31 to October 1, 2001
	Chapter 3
Figure 3.1	An n x m data matrix, noted A
Figure 3.2	The principal component model
Figure 3.3	(a) Plot of mean against standard deviation for ten simulated chromatograms
	from Samples 1A and 1B without prior treatment.
	(b) Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated
	chromatograms from Samples 2A and 2B without prior treatment75
Figure 3.4	(a) Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated
	chromatograms from Samples 1A and 1B after MS transformation.
	(b) Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated
	chromatograms from Samples 2A and 2B after MS transformation
Figure 3.5	(a) Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated
	chromatograms from Samples 1A and 1B after CS transformation.
	(b) Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated
Eigene 2.6	chromatograms from Samples 2A and 2B after CS transformation
Figure 3.6	(a) Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated chromatograms from Samples 1A and 1B after Autoscale transformation.
	(b) Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated
	Chromatograms from Samples 2A and 2B after Autoscale transformation 81
Figure 3.7	(a) Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated
rigure 3.7	chromatograms from Samples 1A and 1B after Weight Factor transformation.
	(b) Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated
	chromatograms from Samples 2A and 2B after Weight Factor
	transformation
Figure 3.8	(a) Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated
C	chromatograms from Samples 1A and 1B after LN transformation.
	Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for ten simulated
	chromatograms from Samples 2A and 2B after LN transformation
Figure 3.9	(b) Change in relative error: Samples 1A and 2A have $\pm 2\%$ relative error,
	Samples 1B and 2B have ±4% relative error. Plots of first two PC scores
	using the following data transformations: MS (a); CS (b); Autoscale (c);
	Weight Factor (d); and LN (e)
Figure 3.10	Change in relative error: all peaks in all samples have a ±2% relative
	error except peak 10 which has a relative error between ±2% and ±4%.
	Plots of first two PC scores using the following data transformations:
	MS (a); CS (b); Autoscale (c); Weight Factor (d); and LN (e)

Figure 3.11	Differences in dilution: Samples 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B have ±2% relative error. Samples 1B and 2B have been diluted by 10% relative to samples 1A and 2A, respectively. Plots of first two PC scores using the following
	data transformations: MS (a); CS (b); Autoscale (c); Weight Factor (d); and LN (e)
Figure 3.12	Differences in dilution: Samples 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B have ±2% relative error. Samples 1B and 2B have been diluted by 50% relative to samples 1A and 2A, respectively. Plots of first two PC scores using the following data transformations: MS (a); CS (b); Autoscale (c); Weight Factor (d); and LN (e)93
Figure 3.13	Effects of evaporation: Samples 1A, 2A, 1B and 2B have ±2% relative error. Samples 1B and 2B have undergone a simulated evaporation relative to samples 1A and 2A, respectively. Plots of first two PC scores using the following data transformations: MS (a); CS (b); Autoscale (c); Weight Factor (d); and LN (e)
Figure 3.14	Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) of selected aromatic ions from a regular unleaded gasoline sample
Figure 3.15	Mean extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) of an unevaporated and evaporated gasoline sample
Figure 3.16	Plot of mean peak area against standard deviation for simulated extracted ion chromatograms obtained from the six evaporation levels of a regular
Figure 3.17	unleaded gasoline sample
Figure 3.18	Effects of evaporation on real and simulated data. Plots of first two PC scores after the following transformations: Autoscale (a); and LN (b) 104
	Chapter 4
Figure 4.1	PCA score plot of selected aromatics in regular and premium unleaded Gasoline samples taken from a service station pump that had been idle for a minimum of 12 hours, after 1 litre of gasoline was pumped, and after 4.8 litres of gasoline were pumped
Figure 4.2	Illustration of polyethylene plastic box with high density polyurethane foam liner used in the collection of gasoline samples from service stations. Inner packaging (glass bottles) and outer packaging (plastic box with liner) conforms with Australian code for the transport of dangerous goods. Polyethylene bottles, with their bases cut off, were used as disposable funnels and are shown in the foreground
	Chapter 5
Figure 5.1	Chromatogram of C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes obtained by selected ion monitoring of the three isomer groups
Figure 5.2	PC score plot of 35 unleaded gasoline samples collected between March and September, 2001 from 26 service stations in metropolitan Sydney,
	Australia

Figure 5.3	Dendogram resulting from cluster analysis performed on first three PCs using "nearest neighbour" linkage between groups (clusters)
	based on Euclidean distance 144
Figure 5.4	PC score plot of 35 unleaded gasoline samples collected between March and September, 2001 from 26 service stations in metropolitan Sydney,
	Australia. Five gasoline samples collected from four service stations on
	April 18, 2001 are highlighted
Figure 5.5	PC score plot of 35 unleaded gasoline samples collected between March
8	and September, 2001 from 26 service stations in metropolitan Sydney,
	Australia. Seventeen gasoline samples collected from 14 service stations
	on April 24, 2001 are highlighted
Figure 5.6	PC score plot of 35 unleaded gasoline samples collected between March
	and September, 2001 from 26 service stations in metropolitan Sydney,
	Australia. Sample designation by fuel grade (lead replacement, premium
	and regular unleaded)
Figure 5.7	PC score plot of 35 unleaded gasoline samples collected between March
	and September, 2001 from 26 service stations in metropolitan Sydney,
	Australia. The five clusters that contain more than one gasoline sample
	are highlighted. Clusters identified by cluster analysis
	Chapter 6
Figure 6.1	PC score plot of BP premium unleaded and regular unleaded gasoline
	samples removed from the larger data matrix and treated independently 170
Figure 6.2	PC score plot of BP premium unleaded and regular unleaded gasoline
	samples. Each fuel type (regular or premium) was removed from the
	larger data matrix and treated independently
Figure 6.3	PC score plot of BP premium unleaded gasoline samples. These samples
E' ()	were removed from the larger data matrix and treated independently 173
Figure 6.4	PC score plot of BP regular unleaded gasoline samples. These samples
F' (5	were removed from the larger data matrix and treated independently 174
Figure 6.5	PC score plot of Caltex premium unleaded and regular unleaded gasoline
Eigung 6.6	samples removed from the larger data matrix and treated independently 176
Figure 6.6	PC score plot of Caltex premium unleaded and regular unleaded gasoline samples. Each fuel type (regular or premium) was removed from the
	larger data matrix and treated independently
Figure 6.7	PC score plot of Caltex premium unleaded gasoline samples. These
riguic 0.7	Samples were removed from the larger data matrix and treated
	independently
Figure 6.8	PC score plot of Caltex regular unleaded gasoline samples. These
118010 010	samples were removed from the larger data matrix and treated
	independently
Figure 6.9	PC score plot of Shell premium unleaded and regular unleaded gasoline
5	samples removed from the larger data matrix and treated independently 183
Figure 6.10	PC score plot of Shell premium unleaded and regular unleaded gasoline
C	samples. Each fuel type (regular or premium) was removed from the
	larger data matrix and treated independently

Figure 6.11	PC score plot of Shell premium unleaded gasoline samples. These samples were removed from the larger data matrix and treated
E' (12	independently
Figure 6.12	PC score plot of Shell regular unleaded gasoline samples. These samples
E' (12	were removed from the larger data matrix and treated independently 187
Figure 6.13	PC score plot of BP, Caltex and Shell premium unleaded and regular
Figure 6.14	unleaded gasoline samples collected over a 16 week period
riguic 0.14	unleaded gasoline samples collected over a 16 week period. Four pairs
	of misclassified samples are highlighted and labelled by week
	1)2
	Chapter 7
Figure 7.1	Regular unleaded gasoline sample RU02 total ion chromatograms (TICs)
	at five different levels of evaporation (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90%
	by weight)
Figure 7.2	Premium unleaded gasoline sample PU12 total ion chromatograms (TICs)
	at five different levels of evaporation (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90%
E' 50	by weight)
Figure 7.3	Regular unleaded gasoline sample RU02 at five different levels of
	evaporation (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% by weight). Chromatograms
Figure 7.4	obtained from selected ion monitoring (SIM) of C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes 204 Premium unleaded gasoline sample PU12 at five different levels of
rigule 7.4	evaporation (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% by weight). Chromatograms
	obtained from selected ion monitoring (SIM) of C_0 - to C_2 -naphthalenes 205
Figure 7.5	PC score plot of 175 chromatograms from 35 unevaporated gasoline
118010 / 10	samples (0% evaporated by weight)
Figure 7.6	PC score plot of 175 chromatograms from 35 gasoline samples
_	evaporated to 25% (by weight)
Figure 7.7	PC score plot of 175 chromatograms from 35 gasoline samples
	evaporated to 50% (by weight)
Figure 7.8	PC score plot of 175 chromatograms from 35 gasoline samples
E' 7.0	evaporated to 75% (by weight)
Figure 7.9	PC score plot of 175 chromatograms from 35 gasoline samples
Figure 7.10	evaporated to 90% (by weight)
rigule 7.10	(PU17, RU25, RU26, RU34), homogeneity of evaporation levels within
	a sample (RU25, RU26), heterogeneity of evaporation levels within a
	sample (RU13, RU36), and large scatter of data points (RU13, PU39) 213
Figure 7.11	PC score plot of unevaporated (0%) and evaporated (25%, 50%, 75%
8	and 90% by weight) samples PU17 and RU34. Plots illustrate a typical
	small scatter of data points
Figure 7.12	PC score plot of unevaporated (0%) and evaporated (25%, 50%, 75%
	and 90% by weight) samples RU25 and RU26. Plots illustrate a typical
	small scatter of data points and homogeneity of evaporation levels within
	a sample

Figure 7.13	PC score plot unevaporated (0%) and evaporated (25%, 50%, 75% and 90% by weight) samples RU13 and RU36. Plots illustrate	
	heterogeneity of evaporation levels within a sample	. 216
Figure 7.14	PC score plot of unevaporated (0%) and evaporated (25%, 50%, 75%	
	and 90% by weight) samples RU13 and PU39. Plots illustrate a typical	017
E: 7 15	large scatter of data points	. 217
Figure 7.15	PC score plot of five gasoline samples at five evaporation levels (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% by weight) collected from four service stations	220
F' 7.16	in one Sydney, Australia suburb on April 18, 2001	. 220
Figure 7.16	PC score plot of 17 gasoline samples at five levels of evaporation (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% by weight) collected from 14 service stations	222
E' 7.17	in south central Sydney on April 24, 2001	. 222
Figure 7.17	PC score plots of PU07, RU23, RU24, PU28 and PU31 demonstrating the	
Figure 7.18	separation of groups in the third dimension (score 3)	
E: 7 10	two samples form one group	. 227
Figure 7.19	PC score plots of samples RU02, RU11, RU13, RU22, RU27 and RU32	
	Demonstrating that these six samples form one group. Sample RU18 has been included for comparison	228
Figure 7.20		. 220
rigule 7.20	two samples form one group	. 229
Figure 7.21	PC score plots of samples PU39, RU40, PU41 and RU42 demonstrating	
1 15410 7.21	that these four samples form one group. Sample PU12 has been included	
	for comparison	. 230
Figure 7.22		
	evaporation (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% by weight)	. 231
	Chapter 8	
Figure 8.1	PC score plot of 28 samples collected in Auckland, New Zealand	. 244
Figure 8.2	PC score plot of 28 samples collected in Auckland, New Zealand.	
T' 0.0	BP samples are highlighted	. 247
Figure 8.3	PC score plot of 28 samples collected in Auckland, New Zealand.	2.40
E' 0.4	Caltex samples are highlighted	. 248
Figure 8.4	PC score plot of 28 samples collected in Auckland, New Zealand.	240
Eigung 9.5	Challenge samples are highlighted	. 249
Figure 8.5	PC score plot of 28 samples collected in Auckland, New Zealand.	250
Figure 8.6	Gull samples are highlighted	. 230
riguic 6.0	Mobil samples are highlighted	. 251
Figure 8.7	PC score plot of 28 samples collected in Auckland, New Zealand.	. 231
riguic o.,	Shell samples are highlighted	. 252
Figure 8.8	Total ion chromatograms of Mobil summer gasoline samples collected	
0	in Auckland, New Zealand in February, 2002	. 253
Figure 8.9	Total ion chromatograms of Mobil winter gasoline samples collected in	
<i>5</i>	Auckland, New Zealand in August, 2002	. 254

Figure 8.10	Mobil summer gasoline samples collected in Auckland, New Zealand in	
	February, 2002. Chromatograms obtained from selected ion monitoring	
	(SIM) of C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes	255
Figure 8.11	Mobil winter gasoline samples collected in Auckland, New Zealand in	
	August, 2002. Chromatograms obtained from selected ion monitoring	
	(SIM) of C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes	256
Figure 8.12	PC score plot of 14 gasoline samples collected in Auckland, New Zealand	
_	and 24 gasoline samples collected in Sydney, Australia during February,	
	2002	259

List of tables

Chapter 1

Table 1.1	Order of seriousness of offence types	1
Table 1.2	Arson clearance rates for selected Australian states and the ACT	
Table 1.3	Estimated property loss (\$'000) resulting from incendiary (arson) and	
	suspicious fires, as well as all fires reported in Australia between July 1,	
	1992 and June 30, 1994	4
Table 1.4	Number of incendiary (arson) and suspicious fires, as well as all fires	
	reported in Australia, by type, between July 1, 1992 and June 30, 1994	5
Table 1.5	Number of incendiary (arson) and suspicious residential structure fires,	
	as well as all structure fires reported in Australia between July 1, 1992	
	and June 30, 1994	6
Table 1.6	Fires and arson in Canada	7
Table 1.7	Fires and arson in the United Kingdom	8
Table 1.8	Fires and arson in the United States of America	
Table 1.9	Comparison of fire and arson data from Australia, Canada, the United	
	Kingdom and the United States of America	9
	Chapter 2	
Table 2.1	Top ten countries from which Australia imported crude oil and other	
	refinery feedstock, 1995 to 1998	23
Table 2.2	Oil refineries in Australia (data current as of December 31, 1998)	25
Table 2.3	Refinery conversion processes used to make gasoline	26
Table 2.4	Gasoline bulk distribution terminals along the Australian seaboard	27
Table 2.5	Number of rural and urban service stations in Australia by state/territory	
	(current as of January 1, 2001)	
Table 2.6	Gasoline consumption in Australia by state/territory, 1995-1998	31
Table 2.7	Market share of gasoline consumed in Australia by type, including total	
	volume sold through service stations (retailers)	32
Table 2.8	Retail fuel deliveries (gasoline and diesel) made from the Caltex	
	Banksmeadow distribution terminal into local council areas from	
	August 31 to October 1, 2001	34
Table 2.9	Commercial fuel deliveries (gasoline and diesel) made from the Caltex	
	Banksmeadow distribution terminal into local council areas from	
	August 31 to October 1, 2001	36
Table 2.10	Average number of deliveries of gasoline and diesel fuel made each day	
	of the week from the Caltex Banksmeadow distribution terminal from	
	August 31 to October 1, 2001	38
	Chapter 3	
Table 3.1	Basis sets for two different samples, 1A and 2A, used for simulations	73
Table 3.2	PCA results from each normalisation method for simulated data where	
	peaks in Samples 1A and 2A have a relative error of $\pm 2\%$ and peaks in	_
	Samples 1B and 2B have a relative error of ±4%	
Table 3.3	Relative standard deviations for Sample 1 and Sample 2	87

Table 3.4	PCA results from each normalisation method for simulated data where	
	peaks 1 through 9 have a relative error of $\pm 2\%$ and peak 10 has a relative	0.0
	error between ±2% and ±4% for all samples	
Table 3.5	Simulated data sets, undiluted and 10% diluted, for two different samples	90
Table 3.6	PCA results from each normalisation method for simulated undiluted	
	Samples 1A and 2A and 10% diluted Samples 1B and 2B	
Table 3.7	Simulated data sets, undiluted and 50% diluted, for two different samples	92
Table 3.8	PCA results from each normalisation method for simulated undiluted	
	Samples 1A and 2A and 50% diluted Samples 1B and 2B	94
Table 3.9	Simulated data sets, unevaporated and evaporated, for two different	
	samples	95
Table 3.10	PCA results from each normalisation method after simulated evaporation	
	of Samples 1A and 2A to produce Samples 1B and 2B respectively	95
Table 3.11	Selected peaks from aromatic extracted ion chromatograms	97
Table 3.12	PCA results from each normalisation method for real and simulated data	
	based on a regular unleaded gasoline sample at the following evaporation	
	levels: 0%, 7.2%, 9.0%, 13.2%, 17.5%, and 21.7% by weight	. 102
	Chapter 4	
Table 4.1	Retail station pump sampling regime	
Table 4.2	Selected aromatic peaks from extracted ion chromatograms	. 113
Table 4.3	PCA results for the regular unleaded and premium unleaded gasoline	
	samples taken from idle pumps	. 114
Table 4.4	Information recorded for each gasoline sample collected	. 118
	Chapter 5	
Table 5.1	Maximum sulphur concentration regulations for gasoline from selected	
	industrialised countries and regions	. 127
Table 5.2	Summary of 35 unleaded gasoline samples collected between March and	
	September, 2001 from 26 service stations in metropolitan Sydney,	
	Australia	. 128
Table 5.3	Selected ion fragments for each isomeric group of polycyclic aromatic	
	hydrocarbons found in unleaded gasoline samples	. 131
Table 5.4	Selected gas chromatographic conditions used to analyse for polar	
14010 3.1	compounds	137
Table 5.5	Isomeric groups of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons identified in	107
14010 3.3	unleaded gasoline samples	138
Table 5.6	Concentration of naphthalene, phenanthrene and anthracene in 35 unleaded	
14010 3.0	gasoline samples	
Table 5.7	Retention indices for C_0 - to C_2 -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM)	
Table 5.7	Results of PCA for C_0 - to C_2 -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM)	
Table 5.8	Results of LDA with cross-validation: the number of aliquots from the	, 1 + 3
1 aute 3.9	five misclassified samples	1/12
Table 5 10	Selected gas chromatographic conditions used over the past 25 years to	. 143
1 4016 3.10	analyse for PAHs	152
	AUALYNG DU F ALIN	1 1/.

	Chapter 6
Table 6.1	Selected ion fragments for each isomeric group of the two-ring poly-
	cyclic aromatic hydrocarbons found in unleaded gasoline samples
Table 6.2	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): BP
	regular unleaded and premium unleaded samples collected over a 16
	week period
Table 6.3	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): BP
	premium unleaded samples collected over a 16 week period
Table 6.4	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): BP
	regular unleaded samples collected over a 16 week period
Table 6.5	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): Caltex
14010 010	regular unleaded and premium unleaded samples collected over a 16
	week period
Table 6.6	Results of LDA with cross-validation: number of misclassified aliquots
14010 0.0	of Caltex premium unleaded and regular unleaded gasoline from the six
	misclassified samples
Table 6.7	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): Caltex
14010 0.7	premium unleaded samples collected over a 16 week period
Table 6.8	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): BP
14010 0.0	regular unleaded samples collected over a 16 week period
Table 6.9	Results of LDA with cross-validation: number of misclassified aliquots
14016 0.5	of Caltex regular unleaded gasoline from the two misclassified samples 180
Table 6.10	Results of PCA for C_0 - to C_2 -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): Shell
14010 0.10	regular unleaded and premium unleaded samples collected over a 16
	week period
Table 6.11	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): Shell
14010 0111	premium unleaded samples collected over a 16 week period
Table 6.12	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): Shell
14010 0112	regular unleaded samples collected over a 16 week period
Table 6.13	Results of PCA for C_0 - to C_2 -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): all brands
14010 0110	(BP, Caltex, Shell) and both fuel types (regular unleaded and premium
	unleaded) collected over a 16 week period
Table 6.14	Results of LDA with cross-validation: number of misclassified aliquots
	from the eight misclassified samples
	Chapter 7
Table 7.1	Summary of 35 unleaded gasoline samples collected between March and
	September, 2001 from 26 service stations in metropolitan Sydney,
	Australia
Table 7.2	Summary of degree of evaporation achieved for 35 gasoline samples at
	each targeted evaporation level
Table 7.3	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM)
Table 7.4	Results of LDA with cross-validation: number of aliquots from
	misclassified gasoline samples at different evaporation levels
Table 7.5	Results of PCA for C_0 - to C_2 -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): five
	samples collected on April 18, 2001 in one Sydney, Australia suburb 219

Table 7.6	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): seventeen samples collected on April 24, 2001 in south central Sydney	1
Table 7.7	Results of LDA with cross-validation: number of aliquots at five	
	evaporation levels (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% by weight) from the	
	eleven misclassified gasoline samples	1
Table 7.8	Results of LDA with cross-validation: number of aliquots at five	
	evaporation levels (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% by weight) from the	
	24 misclassified samples	5
	Chapter 8	
Table 8.1	Volume of gasoline exported from Australia to New Zealand	8
Table 8.2	Volume of gasoline imported from all sources by New Zealand239	9
Table 8.3	Volume of gasoline imported from all sources by Australia	9
Table 8.4	Summary of 28 unleaded gasoline samples collected in Auckland, New	
	Zealand during February and August, 2002	0
Table 8.5	Results of PCA of C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM): 28 New	
	Zealand gasoline samples	3
Table 8.6	Results of LDA with cross-validation: number of aliquots from the nine	
	misclassified samples	3
Table 8.7	Summary of the 12 samples of the three grades of gasoline obtained from	
	BP and Mobil service stations in Auckland, New Zealand	6
Table 8.8	Summary of 24 unleaded gasoline samples collected from three service	
	stations in Sydney, Australia during February, 2002	7
Table 8.9	Results of PCA for C ₀ - to C ₂ -naphthalenes by GC-MS (SIM) for Australian	
	and New Zealand gasoline samples collected in February, 2002	8

Abbreviations

ADG Australian Dangerous Goods

AFIRS Australian Fire Incident Reporting System

ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

BP British Petroleum

bp boiling point

cf. Latin: confer, "compare"

ci confidence interval

CSIRO Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation

e.g. Latin: exempli gratia, "for example"

EIC extracted ion chromatogram

et al. Latin: et alia, "and others"

FTIR Fourier transform infrared

g gram

GC gas chromatography

GC-MS gas chromatography-mass spectrometry

HPLC high performance liquid chromatography

i.d. inside diameter

i.e. Latin: id est, "that is"

ISO International Organisation for Standardisation

km kilometre

L litre

LDA linear discriminant analysis

m metre

mg milligram

mL millilitre

mm millimetre

MS mass spectrometry

ms millisecond

m/z⁺ mass to charge ratio

NFIRS National Fire Incident Reporting System

NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology

o.d. outside diameter

PAH polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon

PC principal component

PCA principal component analysis

ppm part per million

RON research octane number SIM selected ion monitoring

SIMCA soft independent model classification analogy

v/v volume per volumew/v weight per volumew/w weight per weightUK United Kingdom

μL microlitre

USA United States of America (also abbreviated as US)

Abstract

The comparison of two or more samples of gasoline (petrol) to establish a common origin is a difficult problem in the forensic investigation of arsons and suspicious fires. The highboiling fraction of the gasoline was targeted with a view to apply the techniques described herein to evaporated gasoline samples in the future. A novel micro solid phase extraction technique using activated alumina was developed to isolate the polar compounds and the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from a 200 μL sample of gasoline. This technique was applied to 35 randomly collected samples of unevaporated gasoline, covering three different grades (regular unleaded, premium unleaded and lead replacement), collected in Sydney, Australia. The samples were analysed using full-scan GC-MS; potential target compounds identified were the C₀- to C₂-naphthalenes. The samples were then re-analysed directly, without prior treatment, using GC-MS in selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode for target compounds that exhibited variation between gasoline samples. Multivariate statistical analysis (principal component and linear discriminant analysis) was applied to the chromatographic data. The first two principal components described approximately 90% of the variation in the data and showed that the majority of the 35 samples could be differentiated using the method developed. A comparison of unevaporated samples collected in Auckland, New Zealand to those collected in Sydney was also made. Most of the samples could be differentiated based on their country of origin.

The variation of unevaporated regular unleaded and premium unleaded gasoline over time at three different service stations was studied. Ninety-six samples of gasoline were collected over a 16 week period and analysed for their C_0 - to C_2 -naphthalene content using the GC-MS (SIM) method that was developed. In most cases it was found that the C_0 - to C_2 -naphthalene profile in gasoline changed from week to week, and from station to station.

Samples of 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% evaporated gasoline (w/w) were generated from the 35 randomly collected samples of unevaporated gasoline. The C₀- to C₂-naphthalene content of all unevaporated and evaporated gasoline samples was determined using the GC-MS (SIM) method. Analysis of the data by principal components followed by linear

discriminant analysis showed that the 35 samples formed 18 unique groups, irrespective of the level of evaporation. The application of the method to forensic casework is discussed.