

The Relief of Growth Stresses in Young Hardwood Logs

By

Nassif Mahrous Nassif

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment
of the requirement for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

University of Technology Sydney

Faculty of Engineering

May 2008

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP /ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not been previously submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of this thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Nassif Mahrous Nassif

May 2008

ABSTRACT

The efficient utilisations of broadleaved plantation logs, mainly the 20 to 35 year old eucalyptus, require the relief of growth stresses before sawing. Analysis of the effect of growth stresses shows that the presence of longitudinal stresses causes bending of boards, encountered when sawing a log longitudinally because the fibres closer to the pith of the tree expand, and those closer to the outside contract.

The new engineering approach used in this research project is based on well known engineering principles. The growth stresses create forces to push the wood to the outside direction resulting in the distortion of the board sawn longitudinally. Consequently, if a force is created in the inside direction to balance the force exerted by the growth stresses, then boards can be sawn straight. This was possible by creating a minimal amount of drying acting on the layer of the cambium, where most nutrients and moisture exist in the tree, hence the largest amount of water.

The drying process used was a microwave drying that dries first the area which contains the largest amount of water. The microwave heating causes the sapwood to dry before other areas of the tree trunk, which balance the growth stresses.

The research project was carried out in two stages. Initially, in the preliminary research stage, the technique was applied to small samples, 350 mm long specimens of young trees to prove the concept before embarking on commercial sized samples. Finally, commercial sized specimens, 2.5 meter in length were tested.

The measuring technique developed by Yoshida & Okuyama (2002) combined with hand sawn grooves inserted to relieve the stress instead of holes was used to measure the strain in samples.

The results of the preliminary research showed that 70.6% reduction in the strain could be obtained by a microwave drying treatment on the samples by reducing the sample weights by only 0.5% of the original green weight.

Logs were dried by about 2% of their green weight during the commercial sized specimens' research. This did not change the moisture content of the logs by much and treated logs were above the 50% moisture content and nearly considered as green condition. Additionally, the treatment had no adverse effects on the rigidity of the boards sawn from treated logs. The average MOE (Modulus of Elasticity) of five

treated boards was found to be higher by 10% than the average MOE of five untreated boards which confirmed that the integrity of the natural wood was not compromised in the treatment process.

The treatment reduced the strain by 132%. Therefore, since the strain is a function of stress, it follows that the treatment had successfully reduced the stress in logs of timber as well as the strain.

Furthermore, treatment had also reduced distortion in 50 mm boards by 145% and by 200% in 25 mm boards.

The technique developed during this research program was carried out as one process and consumed only 137kW/m³. Furthermore, it relieved the growth stresses effects by comparing the strain before and after treatment. Also, the distortions measured in the treated boards were far less than the distortions measured in the untreated boards.

The great advantage of this treatment is in its simplicity and practicality. The treatment procedure is to reduce the weight of the logs by only 2%. In general, sawmills receive their supply of logs with known weights, so to reduce it by 2% would be a simple mathematical exercise.

A mathematical model of a polynomial function based on the experimental results was developed and used in this research project to express the distortion at the middle of the board as a function of major variables, namely, length, diameter, area, weight, density, moisture content, loss of moisture content during treatment and time of treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This PhD project could not have been possible without the generosity and valuable assistance, understanding and guidance provided by numerous people throughout my work. The author would like to express his deepest gratitude and appreciation to his principal supervisor, Professor Bijan Samali for his invaluable advice, encouragement and assistance throughout the course of study and preparation of this thesis. Special gratitude is forwarded to Dr Ali Saleh for his precious guidance and advice in developing a mathematical model to express distortions of boards; to Professor Keith Crews who lent his helping hand in various aspects of this project; to Associate Professor Peter Petocz who reviewed my statistical plan for this project from the initial stage; to Dr Narelle Smith who followed my statistical analysis to the results and guided me all the way to the end; and to Dr Ravi Sri Ravindrarajah, Dr Jianchun Li and Associate Professor Ananda Sanagavarapu for their encouragement and academic support. The author would also like to acknowledge and express his gratitude for the financial assistance provided by the University of Technology Sydney and the Centre for Built Infrastructure Research (CBIR) of the Faculty of Engineering, University of Technology Sydney.

Special acknowledgment is due to the State Forest of NSW who provided logs of wood required for the commercial sized specimens from compartment 48 at South Brooman, part of Batemans Bay management area, Southern Region of State Forests of New South Wales.

Special thanks go to all staff members of the Structures Laboratory, for their kind help and assistance, in particular David Hooper, Laurence Stonard, David Dicker, Warwick Howse, Peter Brown, as well as Mario Benitez and Wolfgang Stengl who helped me to get logs for the samples of my preliminary research, those logs were cut from a private land owned by Mr Stengl, also to Rami Haddad, Marika Mullerova, Peter Noakes, Ian Hutchings, George Wynn, Zeid Shleef and Nima Abdi.

With deep gratitude, the author would also like to thank all academic and support staffs in the Faculty of Engineering for all the help rendered, especially to

Professor Hung Nguyen, Gunasman Lye, Phyllis Agius, Anya Van Eeuwen, Joanne Cunningham-Lang, Rosa Tay, Rosie Hamilton and Sharmain Gewohn.

Special acknowledgment is also due to friends and colleagues at UTS, in particular the author would like to express his sincere thanks to senior colleagues Associate Professor Joko Widjaja, Associate Professor Reza Fathollaha, Dr Dominic Dowling, Dr Fook Choon Choi, Dr Christophe Gerber, Dr Stevens Mbogho, Dr Arasu Kannapiran, Dr Rong Zhang and Dr Fabio Cumbe for sharing their knowledge and time which helped the author in many ways.

Additionally, to those who on the way to finish up their research degrees: thank you all for your friendship, support and encouragement you gave me, Suppannika Wittana, Alison Dunphy, Peter Brady, Thitima Pitanondha, Yujue Wang, Janitha Wijesinghe, Ulrika Dackermann,, Jiping Niu, Dang Ho, Wade Smith, Tien Minh Do, Greg Gibbes, Aoki Yukari, Kifayah Amar, Debbie Marsh, Srichattra Chaivongvilan, Javeed Mohammed Abdul, Khorshed Jahan Chinu, Laslo Eedei, Othman Al Mashaqbeh.

To members of my family and friends, specially my son Ross and his family who directly and indirectly contributed with their love and support, particularly during my illness, and finally but above all thanks are due to GOD who gave me the wisdom, ability and strength to carry out this research.

The author would like to dedicate this thesis to his beloved grandchildren Jesse, Micah and Hannah and wish them all the best in their endeavours.

Nassif Mahrous Nassif

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP /ORIGINALITY</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>ABSTRACT</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>TABLE OF CONTENTS</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>LIST OF FIGURES</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>LIST OF TABLES</i>	<i>xii</i>
<i>LIST F NOMENCLATURE</i>	<i>xiv</i>
CHAPTER 1 <i>Introduction</i>	1
1.1 Wood variety	1
1.2 Eucalyptus world wide	2
1.3 Eucalyptus in Australia	2
1.4 Eucalyptus in New South Wales	4
1.5 Engineering approach to the growth stresses problem	4
1.6 Other microwave treatment	5
CHAPTER 2 <i>Literature Review</i>	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Literature on origin of growth stresses	10
2.3 Literature on measurement of growth strain	11
2.4 Literature on the effect of growth stresses	12
2.5 Literature on mathematical models of growth stresses	12
2.6 Literature on the relief of growth stresses	14
2.7 Literature on microwave and wood	15
CHAPTER 3 <i>Wood and Microwave Heating</i>	17
3.1 Introduction	17
3.2 Wood Structure	19

3.3	Hard and Soft Wood.....	19
3.4	Testing of Wood Physical Properties	22
3.5	Microwave Heating.....	23
3.6	Summary	24
CHAPTER 4 Preliminary Research.....		27
4.1	Introduction	27
4.2	Objectives of Preliminary Research.....	28
4.3	Samples for Preliminary Research.....	28
4.4	Preliminary Test Procedures	30
4.4.1	Experiment 1	30
4.4.2	Experiment 2	33
4.4.3	Experiment 3	34
4.4.4	Experiment 4	38
4.4.5	Experiment 5	40
4.4.6	Experiment 6	41
4.4.7	Experiment 7	43
4.4.8	Experiment 8	45
4.4.9	Experiment 9	46
4.4.10	Experiment 10	47
4.4.11	Experiment 11	48
4.5	Statistical analyses	55
4.6	Summary and conclusions	57
CHAPTER 5 Research on Commercial Sized Specimens.....		59
5.1	Introduction	59
5.2	Research Procedure.....	63
5.3	Commercial Sized Samples	63
5.4	Detailed Research Procedure.....	63
5.5	Statistical Analyses	107
5.6	Summary and conclusions	110
CHAPTER 6 Mathematical Modelling of Distortion.....		111
6.1	Introduction	111

6.2	Objectives of Mathematical Modelling	112
6.3	Samples for the Mathematical Modelling.....	113
6.4	Procedure for Mathematical modelling.....	113
6.5	Summary and conclusions	138
CHAPTER 7	<i>Results and Discussions</i>	139
7.1	Introduction	139
7.2	Objectives of the Program	140
7.3	Overview and Discussions.....	140
CHAPTER 8	<i>Conclusions and Recommended Further Works</i>	147
8.1	Conclusions.....	147
8.2	Recommended Further Works.....	150
	<i>BIBLIOGRAPHY</i>	153
	<i>APPENDICES</i>	171
	<i>Appendix A Original Data of Preliminary Research</i>	172
	<i>Appendix B Original Data for Research on Commercial Sized Specimens</i>	192
	<i>Appendix C Original Data for Mathematical Modelling of Distortion</i>	241

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 - A cross section in tree trunk (Ritter (1990) quoted in (Choi 2007))	17
Figure 3.2 - The three principal axes of wood with respect to grain direction and growth rings (Ritter 1990).	18
Figure 3.3 - The basic elements of softwood structure (Bootle 1971).....	20
Figure 3.4 - The basic elements of hardwood structure (Bootle 1971).....	21
Figure 3.5 - Set up for the four point MOE test (Choi 2007).....	23
Figure 4.1 - A diagram shows the sections of each segment	28
Figure 4.2 - Sample 0102 D (treated) and sample 0102E (untreated)	32
Figure 4.3 - Sample 1139D (treated) and sample 1139E (untreated)	32
Figure 4.4 - Strain vs. time using 5 mm drill followed by 10 mm drill showing the effect of the diameter size on the magnitude of strain	33
Figure 4.5 - Strain vs. time with top hole then adding lower hole.....	34
Figure 4.6 - Arrangements of the two axes A and B and the strain gauges on tested samples.....	35
Figure 4.7 - Strain vs. time showing the effect of drilling order for the holes in.....	36
Figure 4.8 - Strain vs. time showing the effect of drilling order for the holes in sample 1035 D before and after treatment	37
Figure 4.9 - Strain vs. time showing the effect of drilling order for holes in sample 1035 E before and after treatment and their absolute values	38
Figure 4.10 - Graphical presentation of third procedure for strain before and after drying in sample 0829D &E.....	39
Figure 4.11 - Strain gauge attached to the Cambium surface.	40
Figure 4.12 - Profile of strain across the radial direction for treated part A and untreated part B in sample 1241D.....	42
Figure 4.13 - Profile of moisture content across the radial direction for treated part A and untreated part B in sample 1241D.....	43
Figure 4.14 - Strain gauge attached to the xylem surface as recommended in the Yoshida and Okuma new technique.....	44
Figure 4.15 - Strain before drying using Yoshida and Okuyama technique in sample 1344H.....	45
Figure 4.16 - Strain vs. time showing the effect of using chisel to insert grooves in	

sample 1036 D.....	46
Figure 4.17 - Strain vs. time showing the effect of using a hand saw to insert grooves and drying to 2% in sample 1036 E	47
Figure 4.18 - Strain vs. time for sample 1240 D before and after drying to about 0.5% using two gauges on different axes	48
Figure 4.19 - Strain vs. time for sample 1343 E before and after drying to about 0.5% using two gauges on different axes	49
Figure 4.20 - Strain vs. time for sample 1344E before and after drying to about 0.5% using two gauges on different axes	50
Figure 4.21 - Strain vs. time for sample 1445D before and after drying to about 0.5% using gauges on different axes	51
Figure 4.22 - Strain vs. time for sample 1445E before and after drying to about 0.5% using two gauges on different axes	52
Figure 4.23 - Grooves blocked and an external force applied manually	53
Figure 4.24 - Strain vs. time for sample 1343D before and after drying using two gauges on different axes and at point 158 after drying grooves were blocked and	54
Figure 4.25 - Stress-strain cycles for repeated loading and unloading with increasing plasticity, From BARKAS (1949)	55
Figure 5.1 - A sample log hanging inside the microwave oven with a rotating mechanism during treatment	61
Figure 5.2 - The saw mill facility and equipment	62
Figure 5.3 - Strain before and after treatment in initial log I-6.....	65
Figure 5.4 - Strain before and after treatment in initial log I-7.....	66
Figure 5.5 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-2.....	69
Figure 5.6 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-3.....	70
Figure 5.7 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-4.....	71
Figure 5.8 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-5.....	72
Figure 5.9 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-6.....	73
Figure 5.10 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-7.....	74
Figure 5.11 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-8.....	75
Figure 5.12 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-9.....	76
Figure 5.13 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-10.....	77
Figure 5.14 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-11.....	78
Figure 5.15 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-12.....	79

Figure 5.16 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-13.....	80
Figure 5.17 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-14.....	81
Figure 5.18 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-15.....	82
Figure 5.19 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-16.....	83
Figure 5.20 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-17.....	84
Figure 5.21 - Strain vs. time before and after treatment for log T-18.....	85
Figure 5.22 - The set up to measure the distortion of boards.....	88
Figure 5.23 - A digital vernier and set square used to line up the edge of distorted board with the straight edge.	89
Figure 5.24 - Average distortion along the board length for treated and untreated.....	94
Figure 5.25 - Average distortion along the board length for treated and untreated 25 mm boards.....	95
Figure 5.26 - Treated board T13B25A and untreated board UT17B25A demonstrate the enhancement achieved by the treatment process	96
Figure 5.27 - Treated (T11Q25B) and untreated (UT3B25A) 25 mm boards.	97
Figure 5.28 - Treated (T14Q25A) and untreated (UT1B25B) 25 mm boards.....	98
Figure 5.29 - Treated (T13B25A) and untreated (UT9B25A) 25 mm boards.....	99
Figure 5.30 - Treated (T13Q50A) and untreated (UT6Q50A) 50 mm boards.....	100
Figure 5.31 - Treated (T13Q50A) and untreated (UT13B50A) 50 mm boards.....	101
Figure 5.32 - Treated (T12Q50A) and untreated (UT1B50D) 50 mm boards.....	102
Figure 5.33 - Four point bending set up for MOE testing.....	105
Figure 6.1 - Average distortion in treated and untreated 50 mm boards at the 1st quartile, middle point and 2nd quartile along the length of the board.	114
Figure 6.2 - The mathematical models representing the average distortion of 50 mm treated and untreated boards.....	115
Figure 6.3 - Average distortion of treated and untreated 25 mm boards at the 1st quartile, middle point and 2nd quartile along the length of the board.	117
Figure 6.4 - The mathematical models representing the average distortion of 25 mm treated and untreated boards.....	118
Figure 6.5 - Radii of treated and untreated 50 mm boards.....	126
Figure 6.6 - Radii of treated and untreated 25 mm boards.....	127
Figure 6.7 - Frequency of ratio between treated and untreated radii of 50mm boards .	129
Figure 6.8 - Frequency of ratio between treated and untreated radii of 25 mm boards	130

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 - Area of broadleaved plantations in Australia	3
Table 1.2 - Logs harvested from broadleaved plantations	3
Table 1.3 - Area of broadleaved plantations in New South Wales	4
Table 4.1 - The length of each section in the segment.....	29
Table 4.2 - Summary of samples properties tested by Jacobs’s method.....	31
Table 4.3 - Strain data using the Yoshida & Okuyama technique.....	56
Table 4.4 - Paired T test for Strain Before and After Drying	57
Table 5.1 - Properties of Initial Commercial Sized Logs	64
Table 5.2 - Properties of Treated Commercial-Sized Logs	67
Table 5.3 - Properties of Untreated Commercial-Sized Logs	68
Table 5.4 - Strain before and after treatment and the level and time of treatment for logs T-2 to T-18.	87
Table 5.5 - Distortion of T50 and UT50 at 1st Quartile, Middle Point and 2nd Quartile for Treated and Untreated Logs 1-18	91
Table 5.6 - Distortion of T25 and UT25 at 1st Quartile, Middle Point &	92
Table 5.7 - Distortion reduction due to treatment for 50 mm and 25 mm boards	93
Table 5.8 - Distortion of some treated (T) and untreated (UT) boards at first quartile (1st Q), middle point (MidP) and second quartile (2nd Q).....	103
Table 5.9 - Properties of samples tested for MOE and MOR	106
Table 5.10 - Paired T-Test for strain before and after treatment	108
Table 5.11 - Results of T–Test for distortion in 50 mm treated and untreated.....	108
Table 5.12 - Results of T–Test for distortion in 25 mm treated and untreated.....	109
Table 5.13 - Paired T-Test for MOE of treated and untreated samples	109
Table 6.1 - Average distortion in 50 and 25 mm treated & untreated boards.....	112
Table 6.2 - Radii of 50 mm treated and untreated boards.....	122
Table 6.3 - Radii of 25 mm treated and untreated boards.....	123
Table 6.4 - The radii ratio of treated/untreated 50 and 25 mm boards.....	128
Table 6.5 - Data of distortion at middle point and independent variables for 50 mm treated boards	131
Table 6.6 - Data of distortion at middle point and independent variables for 50 mm ..	132
Table 6.7 - Data of distortion at middle point and independent variables for 25 mm treated boards	133

Table 6.8 - Data of distortion at middle point and independent variables for 25 mm untreated boards	134
---	-----