

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

**Model Predictive Control and Stabilisation of
Interconnected Systems**

by

Tri Tran-Cao

A THESIS SUBMITTED
IN FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

Doctor of Philosophy

Sydney, Australia

2011

Certificate of Authorship/Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not been previously submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as a part of the requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that this thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research and in the preparation of the thesis itself has been fully acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature quoted are indicated in the thesis.

TRI TRAN-CAO

ABSTRACT

Model Predictive Control and Stabilisation of Interconnected Systems

by

Tri Tran-Cao

The attraction of having higher efficiency and quality, as well as increasing reliability and flexibility for industrial plants and network systems has created opportunities for new research in the control and optimisation fields. Among various design methods, model predictive control (MPC) strategies have proved to be effective in industrial applications. Whilst found widespread used with stand-alone controllers in the refining and many other industries, the field of orchestrating non-centralised MPCs and distributed MPCs is evaluated as still in its infancy.

The work in this thesis is concerned with stabilising methods for the control of complex interconnected systems with mixed connection configurations employing distributed and decentralised model predictive control schemes. Inheriting the advantage of the MPC strategy, the control and state constraints are naturally dealt with by the employed methods. As a result, the novel concept of asymptotically positive realness constraint (APRC) and the segregation and integration constructive methods for the constrained stabilisation of interconnected systems are introduced and developed. The MPC is formulated with state space models and stabilising constraints within the open-loop paradigm in this thesis. By having the control inputs entirely decoupled between subsystems and no additional constraints imposed on the interactive variables rather than the coupling constraint itself, the proposed approaches outreach various types of systems and applications. For parallel connections that emulate parallel redundant structures and have unknown splitting ratios, a fully decentralised

control strategy is developed as an alternative to the hybrid approaches. For the semi-automatic control systems, which is involved with both closed-loop and human-in-the-loop regulatory controls, the stability-guaranteed method of decentralised stabilising agents which are interoperable with different control algorithms is germinated and implemented for each single subsystem.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to my PhD program advisors, Associate Professor Quang Phuc Ha and Professor Hung T. Nguyen, for their supports and assistances in correcting the theoretical developments and Thesis writings.

I would like to express my gratitude to the University of Queensland scholarship sponsors, whose organisation has given the opportunity for studying in Australia; University of Queensland scholarship students from Vietnam, including An, Thanh, Quan, Hoang, Hung and Vinh, who I have made friend with, and thanks for the inspired talks about their research, dedications and commitments.

This work is dedicated to my dear Mother who has taught her children about the importance of studies in life, and sacrificed for us.

Tri Tran-Cao

(Tri Tran)

Sydney, Australia, 2011.

Contents

Certificate	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgments	v
List of Illustrations	xi
Notation	xvii
Abbreviation	xix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Thesis Objectives	5
1.3 Thesis Contributions	6
1.3.1 Asymptotically Positive Realness Constraint (APRC) and Novel Stabilisability Conditions - An Open-Loop Paradigm . .	7
1.3.2 Parallel Connections with Unknown Splitting Ratios - A Fully Decentralised Approach	8
1.3.3 Stabilising Constraints for Distributed and Decentralised MPCs - Integrated Constructive Method	9
1.3.4 Stabilising Agents for Semi-Automatic Control Systems - Segregated Constructive Method	10
1.3.5 Semi-Automatic Control Method for Networked-Control-System	12
1.3.6 Decentralised MPC for Coupling-Delayed Interconnected Systems with State and Input Constraints	13
1.4 Significance and Innovation	13

1.5	Outline of the Thesis	14
2	Model Predictive Control - A Survey	18
2.1	General	18
2.2	Industrial Model Predictive Control	20
2.3	Stabilising Methods for Distributed and Decentralised Model Predictive Control	25
3	Asymptotically Positive Realness Constraint and Stabil- ising Constraint for Model Predictive Control	32
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Control and System Models	33
3.3	Interconnection Stabilisability Condition	35
3.3.1	Asymptotically Positive Realness Constraint	36
3.3.2	Bounded Control Feasibility of Asymptotically Positive Realness Constraints	38
3.3.3	Dissipativity and APRC-Based Stabilisability Conditions . . .	40
3.4	APRC-Based Decentralised Model Predictive Control	44
3.5	Flexible Stabilisability Conditions	48
3.5.1	With Non-Conservative Multiplier Matrices	48
3.6	Parallel Splitting Subsystems	50
3.6.1	Interconnection Stabilisability Condition	52
3.6.2	Decentralised MPC for Parallel Splitting Systems	55
3.6.3	With Parallel Masking Dissipativity Criterion	57
3.7	Numerical Simulations	66
3.7.1	Illustrative Example 1	66
3.7.2	Illustrative Example 2	89
3.8	Concluding Remarks	93

4 Extended Implementation Methods

Semi-Automatic Control with Stabilising Agent and

Receding-Horizon Stabilising Constraint for

Distributed Model Predictive Control **94**

4.1	Introduction	94
4.1.1	Stabilising Agent for Semi-Automatic Control Systems	94
4.1.2	Receding-Horizon Stabilising Constraint	96
4.2	Stabilising Agent	97
4.2.1	Stabilising Agent Operation	97
4.2.2	Constructive Procedure for Stabilising Agents	99
4.2.3	Graphical Presentation	101
4.3	Illustrative Example	103
4.3.1	Concluding Remarks	108
4.4	Distributed Model Predictive Control Problem with Receding-Horizon Stabilising Constraint	109
4.4.1	Optimisation Objective Function	110
4.4.2	Constraint on Decision Variables	111
4.4.3	APRC-Based Receding-Horizon Stabilising Constraint	111
4.4.4	Distributed MPC with Receding-Horizon Stabilising Constraint	113
4.4.5	Decentralised MPC with Receding-Horizon Stabilising Constraint	114
4.4.6	Optimising Feasibility	114
4.4.7	Illustrative Examples	118
4.5	An Energy Dissipation View Point on the Equilibrium-Independent Dissipativity	124
4.5.1	Preliminary	124

4.5.2	Experimental Predictive PID for Equilibrium Independent Dissipativity	130
4.5.3	Concluding Remarks	134

5 Networked-Control Interconnected Systems with Asymptotically Positive Realness Constraint 136

5.1	Introduction	136
5.2	System and Networked Control Models	139
5.3	Stabilisability Conditions for Networked Control Systems	142
5.4	Illustrative Example	146
5.4.1	Case Study 1	146
5.4.2	Case Study 2	150
5.5	Distributed Model Predictive Control with Perturbed State Feedback for Multiple Network Topologies	152
5.5.1	Introduction to DeMPC and PSF	152
5.5.2	Communication Networks	155
5.5.3	System and Control Model	156
5.5.4	Data Loss Process	159
5.5.5	State Constraint	159
5.5.6	Asymptotic Quadratic Constraint	160
5.5.7	Subsystem Data-Lost Robust Dissipativity	161
5.5.8	Task Description	162
5.6	Concluding Remarks	164

6 Coupling Delayed Interconnected Systems with Asymptotically Positive Realness Constraint 165

6.1	Control System Models	167
6.2	Interconnection Stabilisability Conditions with Coupling Delay	169

6.2.1	Accumulatively Quadratic Dissipativity	169
6.2.2	Delay-Robust Quadratic Dissipativity	172
6.2.3	Quadratic Constraint	176
6.2.4	$\beta\tau$ –Asymptotically Positive Realness Constraint	177
6.2.5	$\beta\tau$ –APRC Based Stabilisability Conditions	178
6.2.6	APRC-Based Stabilisability Conditions	179
6.3	Decentralised Model Predictive Control	182
6.3.1	MPC Stability Constraint	186
6.3.2	$\beta\tau$ –APRC Stability Constraint	187
6.3.3	Constraint Feasibility for $\beta\tau$ –APRC Stability Constraint . . .	188
6.4	Illustrative Example	189
6.5	Concluding Remarks	192
7	Conclusion	193
	Bibliography	195

List of Figures

1.1	Mixed Connection Topology of a Network System.	1
3.1	Mixed Connection Structure of an Interconnected System.	50
3.2	Parallel Splitting of a Unit \mathcal{G}_j having Three Subsystems.	60
3.3	Block Diagram of the Large-Scale System Σ on the Basis of Units \mathcal{G}_j	61
3.4	Decentralised MPCs for the Pre-Desilication Unit Without both Stabilising Constraints and Control Bounds - Time Responses.	68
3.5	Decentralised MPCs for the Pre-Desilication Unit Without Stabilising Constraints - Time Responses.	69
3.6	APRC-Based Decentralised MPCs of the Pre-Desilication Unit with Online Updated Multiplier Matrices - Time Responses.	70
3.7	APRC-Based Decentralised MPCs of the Pre-Desilication Unit with Flexible Multiplier Matrices - Time Responses.	71
3.8	Parallel Splitting Systems - Decentralised MPC without both Stabilising Constraints and Control Bounds - Time Responses.	73
3.9	Parallel Splitting Systems - Decentralised MPC without Stabilising Constraints - Time Responses.	73
3.10	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure - Decentralised MPC without Stabilising Constraints - Unknown Splitting Ratios.	74

3.11	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure - Decentralised MPC without Stabilising Constraints - Unknown Splitting Ratios.	74
3.12	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure - Equal Splitting Ratio - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC	75
3.13	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Simulation 1.	76
3.14	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Simulation 2.	77
3.15	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with an Equal Splitting Ratio - Smooth Control.	78
3.16	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Smooth Control - Simulation 1.	78
3.17	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Smooth Control - Simulation 2	79
3.18	Parallel Splitting Systems - Parallel Connection Structure Only - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with an Equal Splitting Ratio. . .	80
3.19	Parallel Splitting Systems - Parallel Connection Structure Only - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratio - Simulation 1.	80
3.20	Parallel Splitting Systems - Parallel Connection Structure Only - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratio - Simulation 2.	81

3.21	Parallel Splitting Systems - Serial Connection Structure Only - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with an Equal Splitting Ratio. . .	82
3.22	Parallel Splitting Systems - Serial Connection Structure Only - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Simulation 1.	82
3.23	Parallel Splitting Systems - Serial Connection Structure Only - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Simulation 2.	83
3.24	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure Case 2 - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with an Equal Splitting Ratio. . .	84
3.25	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure Case 2 - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Simulation 1.	84
3.26	Parallel Splitting Systems - Mixed Connection Structure Case 2 - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Simulation 2.	85
3.27	Parallel Splitting Systems - Parallel Connection Structure Only Case 2 - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with an Equal Splitting Ratio.	86
3.28	Parallel Splitting Systems - Parallel Connection Structure Only Case 2 - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Simulation 1.	86
3.29	Parallel Splitting Systems - Parallel Connection Structure Only Case 2 - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Simulation 2.	87
3.30	Parallel Splitting Systems - Serial Connection Structure Only Case 2 - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with an Equal Splitting Ratio. .	87

3.31	Parallel Splitting Systems - Serial Connection Structure Only Case 2 - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Simulation 1.	88
3.32	Parallel Splitting Systems - Serial Connection Structure Only Case 2 - ASPRC-Based Decentralised MPC with Unknown Splitting Ratios - Simulation 2.	88
3.33	Decentralised MPCs for the Washing Circuit Without Stabilising Constraints - Time Responses.	91
3.34	APRC-Based Decentralised MPCs of the Counter-Current Washing Circuit with Online Updated Multiplier Matrices - Time Responses. .	91
3.35	APRC-Based Decentralised MPCs of the Counter-Current Washing Circuit with Online Updated Multiplier Matrices - Time Responses. .	93
4.1	Stabilising Agent Activities.	98
4.2	The Control Plane Plot with APRC Ellipsoids and the Stabilising Bounds.	102
4.3	Control of the Washing Circuit without Stabilising Agents - Plant-Output Time Responses.	105
4.4	Decentralised Stabilising Agents for the Washing Circuit - Time Responses.	106
4.5	Decentralised Stabilising Agents for the Washing Circuit - Time Responses.	107
4.6	Decentralised Stabilising Agents with a Non-zero Set Point and Unmeasured Disturbance - Plant-Output Time Responses.	108
4.7	Distributed MPCs with APRC-Based Receding-Horizon Stabilising Constraint - Offline Multiplier Matrices - Simulation 1.	119
4.8	Distributed MPCs with APRC-Based Receding-Horizon Stabilising Constraint - Online Multiplier Matrices - Simulation 1.	120

4.9	Distributed MPCs with APRC-Based Receding-Horizon Stabilising Constraint - Offline Multiplier Matrices - Simulation 2.	122
4.10	Distributed MPCs with APRC-Based Receding-Horizon Stabilising Constraint - Online Multiplier Matrices - Simulation 2.	123
4.11	Absolute Passive (AP) responses.	125
4.12	One-Overshoot Response (OOS) Trajectory.	126
4.13	OOS and Passive, but Not AP.	126
4.14	A Stable Response.	127
4.15	An Energy Viewpoint for Stable and Passive Responses.	128
4.16	Illustrative Predictive PID based on Dissipativity.	130
4.17	Illustrative Predictive PID based on Dissipativity - Smooth Adjustment for OOS.	131
4.18	Illustrative Predictive PID based on Dissipativity - Non-smooth Adjustments.	133
5.1	Conceptual Block Diagram of the System Architecture.	137
5.2	Decentralised Stabilising Agents for the Washing Circuit with Networked Control Systems having MATI = 5 steps - Plant-Output Time Responses.	148
5.3	Decentralised Stabilising Agents with Intermittent Data Losses - Plant-Output Time Responses, $y_i(k)$	149
5.4	APRC-Based Decentralised Model Predictive Control with Intermittent Data Losses - Strong Dynamic Coupling System - Time Responses.	151
5.5	APRC-Based Decentralised Model Predictive Control with Intermittent Data Losses - Strong Dynamic Coupling System - Input and Output Trajectories.	151

5.6	Partially Decentralised Model Predictive Control with Two Communication Networks and a Subsystem Coupling Network.	153
6.1	Decentralised MPCs with Coupling Delay without Stability Constraints - Plant-Output Trends $(y_1(k), y_2(k), y_3(k))$	189
6.2	Decentralised MPCs with a Coupling Delay of $\tau_{max} = 7$ steps, Delay-Dependent Dissipativity and Stability Criteria - Plant-Output Trends $(y_1(k), y_2(k), y_3(k))$ with Local Input Disturbances $\ d_i(k)\ \leq 1$	190
6.3	The Average Optimising Cost Trend. The Coupling Delay time $\tau_{max} = 7$ steps.	191

Nomenclature and Notation

Capital letters denote matrices. Lower-case alphabet and Greek letters denote column vectors and scalars, respectively.

\mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^+ , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}^+ , \mathbb{N} denote the field of real numbers, the set of non-negative reals, the set of integers, the set of non-negative integers and the set of natural numbers, respectively.

The notation $\Omega \subseteq \Phi$ is used to denote that Ω is a subset of Φ and $\Omega \subset \Phi$ denotes that Ω is a proper subset of Φ . For two arbitrary sets $\mathcal{P}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2$ denotes the relative complement of \mathcal{P}_2 in \mathcal{P}_1 , i.e. their set difference.

$(.)^T$ denotes the transpose operation.

I_n is the identity matrix of dimension $n \times n$. 0_n is the zero matrix of dimension $n \times n$.

$\text{diag}[A_i]_1^N$ is the block diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, while $[M_{ij}]_{i,j=1,2,\dots,h}$ is the matrix of sub-blocks M_{ij} .

$\text{diag}[Q_{ji}]_{j=1\dots h, i=1\dots g_j}$ is the block diagonal matrix with diagonal entries Q_{ji} , where $j = 1, 2, \dots, h$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, g_j$.

$\|u_i\|_2$ and $\|u_i\|$ denote the ℓ_2 -norm of the vector u_i .

$\|Q\|_2$ is the induced 2-norm of the matrix Q , which is defined as

$$\|Q\|_2 = \max \{ \|Qv\|_2 : v \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|v\|_2 \leq 1 \}.$$

$Q \preceq 0$ means Q is positive semi-definite i.e. $x^T Q x \leq 0 \ \forall x$. $Q \prec 0$ means Q is positive definite i.e. $x^T Q x < 0 \ \forall x \neq 0$.

A function $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ belongs to class \mathcal{K} if it is continuous, strictly increasing

and $\gamma(0) = 0$.

A function $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ belongs to class \mathcal{KL} if for each fixed $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\alpha(\cdot, \tau) \in \mathcal{K}$ and for each fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\alpha(s, \cdot)$ is decreasing and $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(s, \tau) = 0$.

In symmetric block matrices or long matrix expressions, we use $*$ as an ellipsis for terms that are induced by symmetry, e.g.,

$$(*) \begin{bmatrix} (*) + R & S \\ * & Q \end{bmatrix} K = K^T \begin{bmatrix} R^T + R & S \\ S^T & Q \end{bmatrix} K, \text{ or}$$

$$K^T \begin{bmatrix} R^T + (*) & * \\ S^T & Q \end{bmatrix} (*) = K^T \begin{bmatrix} R^T + R & S \\ S^T & Q \end{bmatrix} K.$$

$\lambda_{\min}(\cdot)$ and $\lambda_{\max}(\cdot)$ indicate the minimum and maximum eigenvalues of the argument, respectively.

The function $\text{sgn}(\cdot)$ is defined by

$$\text{sgn}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \xi > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi = 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } \xi < 0. \end{cases}$$

In a proof, when the time index k is omitted for conciseness, $v(-\tau)$ denotes the vector $v(k - \tau)$.

\hat{u} denotes a sequence of predictive vectors of $u(j)$ starting from the current time step.

\check{u} denotes a sequence of $u(-j)$ representing historical data of u .

The ℓ^{th} element of a vector $u_i(k)$ is denoted as $u_i^{(\ell)}(k)$.

In the discrete-time domain, the time index is denoted by $k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For signals belonging to \mathcal{L}_2 space, $k \geq 0$.

The boldface style for letters is used in optimisation formulations to emphasise that they are variables.

Abbreviation

APRC - Asymptotically Positive Realness Constraint.

ASPRC - Asymptotically Surely Positive Realness Constraint.

DeMPC - Decentralised Model Predictive Control.

DMPC - Distributed Model Predictive Control.

DRD - Delay-Robust Dissipativity.

IQC - Integral Quadratic Constraint.

LMI - Linear Matrix Inequality.

MATI - Maximum Allowable Transmission Interval.

MPC - Model Predictive Control.

NMPC - Nonlinear Model Predictive Control.

NCS - Networked Control System.

ODE - Ordinary Differential Equation.

PRC - Positive Real (or Realness) Constraint.

QP - Quadratic Programming.

SDP - Semi-Definite Programming.