# An action research study with health care professionals aiming to improve preparation for childhood hospitalisation.

## Bronwyn K. Gordon

RN, RM, M Nursing (UTS), Pæd. Cert.

Submitted in total fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

# **Doctor of Philosophy**



#### **CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student

#### Acknowledgements

Thank you to my husband, Les, for his unending support throughout this lengthy process. For his belief in me, that has sometimes been the only thing that has sustained me, I am unendingly grateful. Thank you to Claire and Martin, our adult children, whose existence has kept me going, especially when the "going got tough". Finally, thank you to my late Mum and Dad for our lives together, and to Isabelle and Olivia, our granddaughters, for our future together. Without these four, this thesis would have been finished long ago!

Thank you to my three supervisors for their guidance and support. To my primary supervisor, Professor Jackie Crisp, who has been a mentor to me and has encouraged me to speak in my own voice. To my co-supervisor, Professor Lynnette Chenoweth, thank you for piloting my course through action research and for reviewing my writing. Finally, thank you to my site supervisor, Adjunct Professor Phyllis Moran who has been a nurse leader supporting me and the action group throughout the various stages of this journey, both practically and theoretically. My supervisors and I have had a long, some would say too long, relationship that is part of my life and I hope part of theirs.

Thank you to the health care professionals who joined the action group and who cared enough about preparation for childhood hospitalisation that they endured and celebrated our time together.

## Table of contents

Ch	apter One – Introduction to this thesis	1
	1.1 Introduction to this chapter of the thesis	2
	1.2 The field of study	4
	1.3 Situating myself in this field of study	8
	1.4 Situating the action group in this field of study	15
	1.5 Overview of this thesis	17
	apter Two – Literature Review – Preparation of children and their families	
2	2.1 Introduction to this chapter and key concepts reviewed	21
2	2.2 Literature search strategy	25
	2.3 The hospital experience for children and their families	
	2.4 Preparation for hospitalisation of children and their families	
	2.4.1 A definition	
	2.4.2 Trends in recognition of the impact of hospitalisation on children	39
2	2.5 Differences in preparation for childhood hospitalisation practices	. 44
	2.5.1 The needs of children and their families for preparation for childho	od
	hospitalisation	. 46
	2.5.2 Preparation approaches	. 53
	2.5.2.1 Information provision	55
	2.5.2.2 Preparation for procedures	
	2.5.2.3 Coping skill training	
	2.5.3 The outcomes for the preparation participants and for the health ca	
	professionals	. 60
	2.5.3.1 The evidence supporting the preparation for hospitalisation in achievi optimal outcomes for children and their families	-
	2.5.3.2 The outcomes for health care professionals	63
	2.5.4 The current situation in Australian children's hospitals	. 65
2	2.6 Summary	. 70

С	Chapter Three – Literature review – Practice change in health care setting	js 71
	3.1 Introduction to this chapter and key concepts reviewed	71
	3.2 Literature search strategy	72
	3.3 Change for improvement	77
	3.3.1 Organisational change	77
	3.3.2 Change involving innovation dissemination	79
	3.4 Practice improvement change process in health care settings	81
	3.4.1 Commonly adopted practice change processes	83
	3.4.2 Enablers and barriers to practice improvement change	84
	3.4.3 Evidence for group work in practice improvement change	89
	3.4.4 Collaborative group practice change concepts to improve prepa of children and their families for hospitalisation	
	3.5 Areas of preparation for hospitalisation practice improvement that w benefit Australian children and their families	
	3.6 Aims of the study	97
	3.7 Summary	97
С	Chapter Four – Methodology	99
	4.1 Introduction to the study	99
	4.1.1 Overview of chapter structure and content	100
	4.2 Potential research approaches to meet the study objectives	102
	4.3 Action methodology	104
	4.3.1 Historical overview of action methodology	104
	4.3.2 Action learning	107
	4.3.3 Action research	109
	4.4 Theoretical foundations for action methodology	111
	4.4.1 Critical social theory	111
	4.4.2 Employing critical social theory in action research	113

	4.4.3 Influence of critical social theory on health care change	116
	4.5 Study methodology - action research	119
	4.5.1 Justification for the selection of action research	120
	4.5.2 Action method adopted for the present study	122
	4.5.3 Action research implementation procedures adopted for the study	125
	4.6 Summary of the research methodology used in this study	126
С	hapter Five – Study methods	128
	5.1 Study design	128
	5.2 Study setting for the improvement of preparation for childhood	
	hospitalisation	130
	5.3 Study population and participant recruitment procedures	134
	5.3.1 Study population of this major children's hospital community	135
	5.3.2 Recruitment procedures	136
	5.4 Ethical considerations in participant recruitment	138
	5.5 Data collection tools and procedures	139
	5.5.1 Researcher field notes	140
	5.5.2 Hospital preparation surveys for children and their parents	141
	5.5.3 Hospital preparation practice audit	143
	5.6 Data collection procedures	143
	5.6.1 Recording researcher field notes	144
	5.6.2 Surveying children and their parent/guardian	146
	5.6.3 Auditing hospital staff	147
	5.7 Analysis of the data collected in the present study	148
	5.7.1 Qualitative data analysis	150
	5.7.2 Quantitative data analysis	151
	5.8 Summary	151

Chapter Six - Action research Cycle One - Mobilisation of engagement o	f the
hospital community in the study – October 2004 to January 2006	153
6.1 Introduction	153
6.2 Planning	156
6.2.1 Applying for institutional approval to conduct the study	156
6.2.2 Engaging support for the study from the hospital community	157
6.2.2.1 The hospital management committee	158
6.2.2.2 Stakeholders in hospital activities	159
6.2.2.3 Potential consultants to the action group	160
6.2.3 Mobilisation of the action group	161
6.2.4 The researcher's role in mobilising the action group	162
6.2.5 Initial action group planning meetings	164
6.2.5.1 Engaging action group participants	166
6.2.5.2 Developing proposed plans and procedures	166
6.2.5.3 Field note reflections on the action process	167
6.2.5.4 Documenting the meeting minutes and decisions made	168
6.3 Acting to engage the hospital community in the study	170
6.3.1 Obtaining institutional approval to conduct the study	170
6.3.2 Setting up communication with the hospital community	172
6.3.2.1 The hospital management committee	172
6.3.2.2 Other stakeholders in hospital activities	173
6.3.2.3 Potential consultants to the action group	174
6.3.3 Call for expressions of interest in joining the action group	174
6.3.4 Reaching action group consensus on study objectives	175
6.3.5 Facilitating action group meetings	176
6.4 Observing mobilisation of engagement of the hospital community in	the
study	177
6.4.1 Observing each process of the action	178
6.4.1.1 Observing institutional approval processes	178

6.4.1.2 Observing engagement of support for the study	. 179
6.4.1.3 Observing establishment of the action group	. 180
6.4.2 Observing critical social theory in action	181
6.4.3 Observing action group cohesion and coming to consensus	181
6.5 Reflecting	. 182
6.5.1 Action group reflection	. 183
6.5.1.1 What does this mean for the group action?	. 183
6.5.1.2 The decision to work collaboratively in pursuing change	. 184
6.5.2 Personal reflection on the action process	185
6.5.2.1 Obtaining institutional approval	. 185
6.5.2.2 The process of engaging support	. 187
6.5.2.3 Establishing the action group	. 189
6.6 Summary	190
Chapter Seven – Action research Cycle Two – The steps towards practice	
change – January 2006 to February 2008	. 192
7.1 Introduction	. 192
7.2 Planning	. 193
7.2.1 Action group planning procedures	. 193
7.2.2 Survey of children and their parents/guardians	196
7.2.2.1 Confirming what children ask about being in hospital	. 197
7.2.2.2 Designing the survey	. 198
7.2.3 The audit of strategies currently used at the hospital	199
7.2.3.1 Planning the implementation of the audit	. 200
7.2.3.2 Engaging the audit respondents	. 201
7.2.4 Reinstatement of the "MCU" booklet	202
7.2.5 Maintaining agreed communication procedures with the steering	
committee	204
7.2.6. Anticipating the stages of group development	205
7.2.6.1 Maintaining the membership, collaboration and work of the action gro	oup
	. 206

7.3 Acting to change practice	207
7.3.1 Implementing the survey	207
7.3.1.1 Reviewing children's questions about coming to hospital	207
7.3.1.2 Developing the survey	208
7.3.1.3 Applying for funding to administer the survey	210
7.3.1.4 Collecting survey data	211
7.3.2 Developing the staff audit	212
7.3.2.1 Designing the staff audit	212
7.3.2.2 Piloting the staff audit	213
7.3.2.3 Administering the staff audit	213
7.3.3 Reinstating the MCU booklet	215
7.3.3.1 Investigating successful implementation procedures	216
7.3.3.2 Obtaining approval to reinstate the booklet	218
7.3.3.3 Printing and distributing the booklet	219
7.3.4 Communicating with the steering committee	219
7.3.5 Maintaining cohesion and commitment in the action group.	221
7.3.5.1 Action group development processes	221
7.3.5.2 Responding to the stages of group development	223
7.4 Observing	224
7.4.1 Observing influential concepts when taking action on action	n group
decisions	225
7.4.1.1 Observing the survey	225
7.4.1.2 Observing the audit	
7.4.1.3 Observing the MCU booklet	228
7.4.2 Observing the outcomes of collegial action	229
7.4.3 Observing group cohesion and coming to consensus	230
7.4.4 Observing critical social theory in action	231
7.5 Reflecting	233
7.5.1 Action group reflection	234
7.5.1.1 What does this mean for group action?	234

7.5.1.2 What was found and deciding to continue	. 235
7.5.2 Personal reflection	237
7.5.2.1 Reflection on the activities occurring in cycle two	. 238
7.5.2.1.1 The survey	. 238
7.5.2.1.2 The disappointment of the audit	. 239
7.5.2.1.3 The MCU booklet	. 241
7.5.2.2 Group work to achieve change	
7.6 Summary	. 244
Chapter Eight – Action research Cycle Three – Mobilisation of collective act	ion
for change – March 2008 to December 2008	247
8.1 Introduction to the third action research cycle	247
8.1.1 Overview of chapter structure and content	248
8.2 Planning to mobilise collective action to improve practice	250
8.2.1 Planning analysis of the children and parent/guardian survey and	
dissemination of the survey findings	250
8.2.2 Planning the use of the audit response	251
8.2.3 Planning continued use of the MCU booklet	251
8.2.4 Planning closure of the relationship with the steering committee	252
8.2.5 Anticipating the future actions of the action group	253
8.3 Acting on plans to implement improved hospital preparation practices	253
8.3.1 Analysing the survey data for children and their parent/guardian	254
8.3.2 Applying the lessons learned from conducting the audit	257
8.3.3 Evaluating the utility of the MCU booklet	259
8.3.4 Communicating with the steering committee and closing the formation	al
relationship	260
8.3.5 Terminating the action group activities	262
8.4 Observing planned action on hospital preparation	264
8.4.1 Analysis of what working together had achieved	264

	8.4.2 Consideration of group cohesion and coming to consensus	. 266
	8.4.3 Relevance of critical social theory in taking collective action to	
	improve hospital preparation	. 267
	8.5 Reflection on the process of changing practice	. 269
	8.5.1 Action group reflection	. 269
	8.5.1.1 Working together to develop new understandings	270
	8.5.1.2 What does this mean for group action?	272
	8.5.1.3 Achievements	273
	8.5.2 Personal reflection	. 275
	8.5.2.1 The activities	275
	8.5.2.2 The process	277
	8.6 Summary	. 278
С	Chapter Nine - Discussion	. 282
	9.1 Introduction to discussion of the findings and implications of this study	y 282
	9.2 Study findings	. 284
	9.2.1 Summary of key study findings	. 285
	9.3 Link between study aims and findings	. 288
	9.3.1 Findings that were not anticipated or different to those expected .	. 289
	9.4 Study findings compared with and contrasted to research of others in	the
	area of preparation for childhood hospitalisation	. 290
	9.5 Consideration of possible reasons for the study findings and exceptio	ns,
	in relation to the findings of other studies	. 293
	9.5.1 Context/ Culture	. 294
	9.5.2 Hospital departments	. 294
	9.5.3 Steering committee	. 297
	9.5.4 Hospital staff	. 299
	9.6 Link of study findings with critical social theory framework concepts	. 300

9.7 Link of study findings with the literature that has used critical approach	ches
to set about making change that the group/ community considers is needed	
	303
9.8 Strengths and limitations of the study	305
9.8.1 Strengths	305
9.8.2 Limitations	307
9.8.3 Extension of findings reported in the literature by this study	310
9.9 Important findings and their implications	312
9.9.1 The need for inclusive models of collaboration	313
9.9.2 Transformational leadership to support staff engagement and	
collaboration	317
9.9.3 Transformational facilitation which enables person-centred	
collaboration and shared professional power and responsibility	319
9.10 Conclusion	323
Bibliography:	324
Appendices:	349

## List of Tables:

Table 2-1 Electronic database keyword search strategy	28-29
Table 2-2 Cochrane reviews electronic database keyword strategy	31
Table 2-3 NICE levels of evidence for intervention studies	32
Table 2-4 Search outcomes according to four areas of complexity	46
Table 2-5 Information provision strategy formats	56
Table 2-6 Procedural preparation strategies	58
Table 2-7 Australian studies grouped according to the NICE levels of	evidence
guidelines	67
Table 3-1 Electronic database keyword search strategy	75
Table 3-2 Summaries of studies using the PARiHS framework in healt	h care 89
Table 4-1 Key elements of action learning and action research	107
Table 4-2 Action research action strategy criteria from Raelin (1999, p	p. 120-
121)	122
Table 7-1 Thirteen hospital preparation strategies previously or curren	ıtly used
at this hospital	199
Table 7-2 Events leading to consideration of reinstatement of the MCU	J booklet
	204
Table 7-3 Sequence of events in the funding application related to the	survey
	210
Table 7-4 Sequence of actions related to collection of survey data	211
Table 7-5 Sequence of events related to implementation of the audit	215
Table 7-6 Events related to the reinstatement of the MCU booklet	218
Table 7-7 Action group reports to the steering committee in cycle two	220
Table 8-1 Summary of the results of a survey of children and their	
parent/guardian regarding preparation for hospitalisation at a major ch	nildren's
hospital	255
Table 8-2 Action group reports to the steering committee in cycle three	e261
Table 8-3 Action group comments regarding surveying children and the	eir
parents about the experience of preparation for hospitalisation at this	hospital
	261

# List of Figures:

Figure 2-1 Variables that contribute to children's psychological responses
depicted according to three developmental science domains (Vessey, 2003, p.
179)24
Figure 2-2 Selection process (adapted from Jeon et al., 2010, p. 56) * indicates
approximate numbers are due to time iterative nature of the review over a
prolonged period26
Figure 3-1 Selection process (adapted from Jeon, Merlyn & Chenoweth, 2010,
p. 56)73
Figure 4-1 Action research cycles for this study126
Figure 5-1 Components of the action cycles130
Figure 5-2 Process of data collection and analysis to address the aims of the
present study149
Figure 6-1 Cycle One - Mobilisation of engagement of the hospital community in
the study – October 2004 to January 2006155
Figure 7-1 Cycle Two - The steps towards practice change – January 2006 to
February 2008193
Figure 8-1 Cycle Three - Mobilisation of collective action for change – March
2008 to December 2008249

## List of Appendices:

Appendix A: Approval from the Area Health Service Human Research Eth	CS
Committee (Protocol No. 05/264)	350
Appendix B: Approval from the University Human Research Ethics Commi	ttee
(Protocol No. 2005-188)	351
Appendix C: Expressions of interest flyer	355
Appendix D: Preparing children for hospital action group information pack.	356
Appendix E: Subject information and consent form, Preparing children for	
hospital: an action research study. Protocol No. 05/264	359
Appendix F: Journal sample	361
Appendix G: Meeting format	364
Appendix H: Study synopsis	365
Appendix I: Brief literature review of preparation for childhood	
hospitalisation	366
Appendix J: The Clinicians' Table	368
Appendix K: Approved from the Area Health Service Human Research Eth	nics
Committee (No. 07/010)	369
Appendix L: Subject information statement and consent form (survey)	370
Appendix M: Children and Parent/guardian survey	372
Appendix N: Audit of hospital staff regarding preparation strategies	377
Appendix O: Quality Improvement Project Form	383
Appendix P: The MCU booklet	388
Appendix Q: Published paper	394

APA 5<sup>th</sup> edition referencing has been used in this thesis.

#### Abstract

Preparation for hospitalisation is a right of all children and its practice requires improvement. Preparation benefits children and their families because it provides information and appropriate support known to be crucial for positive outcomes. Optimal preparation practice is both difficult to define and challenging to provide. This thesis reports on an action research study undertaken when the concerns of a group of health care professionals at one major children's hospital presented me with an opportunity to work with these staff to improve preparation for childhood hospitalisation. Applying critical social theory as a model of change helped the action group to understand how to negotiate the bureaucratic structures that can inhibit but also enable change in a hospital setting. The processes of engaging as a group with a shared goal of improving preparation practice highlighted some important challenges and opportunities in relation to the realities of collaborative action within health care settings. A survey of children and their parent/guardian regarding preparation for childhood hospitalisation and an audit of hospital staff's preparation practices helped to identify children's and families' preparation practice experiences and preparation practices occurring at the hospital. As a way of mobilising collective action for desired change the action group facilitated the reinstatement of an approved preparation for childhood hospitalisation booklet.

The most important findings of this study fall into three broad areas: the need for inclusive models of collaboration in complex and dynamic health care settings, the need for transformational approaches to leadership that consistently and explicitly support the engagement of staff in collaborative processes of ongoing practice improvement; and the need for transformational approaches to facilitation, which enable person-centred ways of working together and shared professional power and responsibility. To enable sustainable, evidence-based change the workplace must explicitly support staff's continued engagement in critical reflection on their practice and provide staff with opportunities for taking collaborative action on issues of concern. Collaborative, evolving workplace cultures need to be sustained by inspired

leadership at all levels of the organisation. Training and support are critical factors for attaining the inspired leadership required to transform the health care setting into an effective, efficient and supportive workplace.

Keywords: preparation for childhood hospitalisation, critical social theory, action research, inclusive models of collaboration, transformational leadership, transformational facilitation.