

A strengths perspective on social  
accountability: informing citizen and  
state action for improved services  
and development

Keren Winterford

Institute for Sustainable Futures

University of Technology, Sydney

Doctor of Philosophy in Sustainable Futures

2013

## **CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

---

Date:

## **Acknowledgement**

This research and my interest in this topic started long before I enrolled in the postgraduate program. Initially it was my own experiences and reflections on development with colleagues that sparked my curiosity. I want to thank my friends and colleagues whom I have worked with, and who have encouraged, inspired and challenged my ideas. I would like to thank Deborah Rhodes and Chris Dureau in particular. They were early protagonists for this journey.

To my many support crews, I could not have done this without you. Thank you. Whilst writing a thesis is done alone, it is not a solo pursuit.

To my Mum and Dad, thank you so much for your boundless love and support, for instilling in me a concern for the world, for encouraging me to contribute, and for believing in my capacity to do this.

I feel part of so many communities throughout the world, and they have all encouraged and supported me. Especially to my friends in Sydney and Melbourne, I can't thank you enough for your support and encouragement during this journey. I also want to thank everyone at Athletics East, particularly the Thursday night track group who have encouraged me to push beyond my known limits. Staff and students at the Institute for Sustainable Futures (ISF) have also been so encouraging and supportive. Thank you. I give special acknowledgement to Professor Cynthia Mitchell for fostering such a collegial group and for providing wise counsel and co-supervision for this research. I would especially like to thank past students Dr Jane Palmer, Dr Dana Cordell, and Dr Tanzi Smith for their wisdom, encouragement, and friendship. To my fellow student, Dena Fam – I could not have imagined doing this without you. Thank you so much for your friendship and for providing Vitamin D when it was most needed. Thank you to John Revington who provided editorial advice and proofread the draft thesis.

I especially want to acknowledge and thank my principal supervisor Associate Professor Juliet Willetts. The contribution she provided has been immense. I experienced a complete package of supervision and sponsor support for which I am so grateful. She

provided an interest and genuine concern in the subject matter that matched my own, the necessary critical review to ensure academic rigour, and friendship that has nourished and sustained me through this journey.

Last, but in no means least, I want to thank the practitioners and participants of Citizen Voice and Action throughout the world who inspired this research. Thank you for your continued dedication and passion to make the world a better place.

I also need to acknowledge Haruki Murakami. He wrote in his book *What I Talk About When I Talk About Running*, that the three most important qualities of a novelist are: talent, focus and endurance. He suggests that whilst natural talent is a finite quality, focus can be sharpened and endurance can be increased through training. I read this book in preparation for running my first marathon in New York in 2009. I used the mantra ‘focus-stamina-focus-stamina’ as part of my training. I also used this mantra to write this thesis. It was by sitting every day and writing that I learned focus and endurance. I am still learning.

## Contents

Acknowledgement.....	i
Abstract .....	iv
Chapter 1 Introduction .....	1
1.1 Research focus.....	1
1.2 Curiosity and interest.....	1
1.3 Values, world view and research approach .....	3
1.4 Research questions .....	5
1.5 Contribution of the thesis .....	5
1.6 Thesis structure.....	6
Chapter 2 Research Approach .....	8
2.1 Positioned as an embedded researcher .....	8
2.2 Informed by a philosophical foundation .....	12
2.2.1 Social construction .....	14
2.2.2 Appreciative Inquiry .....	15
2.2.3 Pragmatism.....	17
2.3 Guided by pluralist methodological design.....	19
2.3.1 An inquiry which is appreciative .....	20
2.3.2 Action Research .....	23
2.3.3 Grounded Theory .....	26
2.3.4 Dialectic and integrative research design.....	27
2.4 Conducted with research methods.....	29
2.4.1 Learning workshops .....	29
2.4.2 Case study reports .....	30
2.4.3 Semi-structured interviews.....	33
2.4.4 Grounded Theory analysis .....	37
2.5 Quality in research process and outcomes .....	39
Chapter 3 Research Context .....	44
3.1 Defining social accountability.....	44
3.2 How change happens in social accountability.....	45
3.2.1 Citizen-led change.....	46
3.2.2 Citizen voice.....	47

3.2.3	Dimensions of state accountability .....	48
3.2.4	Relationships of voice and accountability .....	49
3.2.5	Multiple sets of discourse to describe change.....	51
3.2.6	Changing the balance of power.....	53
3.2.7	Recent empirical evidence of how change happens.....	57
3.3	What change is valued as a result of social accountability .....	59
3.3.1	Contributions to poverty reduction and development outcomes.....	59
3.3.2	Contribution to local change outcomes.....	63
3.4	Citizen Voice and Action: a case study of social accountability.....	64
3.4.1	Citizen Voice and Action – social accountability in practice .....	64
3.4.2	Introducing Citizen Voice and Action .....	65
3.4.3	Citizen Voice and Action – an INGO initiative.....	70
3.4.4	Citizen Voice and Action – the pilot programs.....	71
3.4.5	Citizen Voice and Action – the country contexts.....	73
Chapter 4	Demonstration of a strengths perspective in <i>Citizen Voice and Action</i> ...	82
4.1	Discovering ‘a strengths perspective’ .....	83
4.2	Introducing dimensions of a strengths perspective .....	84
4.3	Illustrating a strengths perspective .....	85
4.3.1	Valuing the presence of strengths .....	85
4.3.2	Voice in dialogue .....	89
4.3.3	Achieving ‘quick wins’ .....	97
4.3.4	Collaborative action of citizens and states .....	100
4.3.5	Multiple accountabilities.....	103
4.3.6	Using citizen strengths to advocate.....	106
4.3.7	Improved relations .....	108
4.3.8	Positive sense of self and the future.....	113
4.4	How and why a strengths perspective is incorporated in CVA.....	115
Chapter 5	Explaining a strengths perspective in <i>Citizen Voice and Action</i> .....	119
5.1	Situating CVA in social accountability literature.....	119
5.2	Explaining CVA in strengths-based literature.....	128
5.2.1	Introducing strengths-based approaches .....	129
5.2.2	A critique of deficit-based change .....	133
5.2.3	A critique of strengths-based change .....	134
5.2.4	A strengths-based approach to creating change.....	138

5.2.5	Explaining CVA through a strengths-based theory of change.....	145
5.3	Positive psychology – why a strengths perspective matters.....	150
5.4	Explaining CVA in dialogue literature.....	154
5.4.1	Definitions of dialogue.....	155
5.4.2	The practice of dialogue.....	158
5.4.3	Outcomes of dialogue .....	162
5.4.4	Power in dialogue.....	165
5.5	Opportunity to redescribe social accountability .....	167
Chapter 6	Strengths-based social accountability .....	169
6.1	Conceptualising strengths-based social accountability .....	169
6.1.1	An enabling space for citizen and the state participation.....	170
6.1.2	Revealing strengths and unrealised resources.....	172
6.1.3	Citizen and state action for change .....	173
6.1.4	New cultures and practice of generative change.....	175
6.2	Causal mechanisms for change .....	177
6.3	Redescribing concepts for SBSA .....	179
6.3.1	Dialogue, not simply voice .....	180
6.3.2	Cultures of accountability .....	180
6.3.3	Citizenship .....	181
6.3.4	Power .....	181
6.4	Necessary conditions and limitations .....	185
Chapter 7	Guiding practice of strengths-based social accountability.....	191
7.1	Strengths-based social accountability: a development initiative.....	192
7.2	Designing.....	196
7.3	Facilitating.....	200
7.4	Assessing .....	203
7.4.1	Questions to guide assessment.....	204
7.5	Policy and practice implications for the development sector.....	211
Chapter 8	Conclusion .....	215
8.1	Thesis summary.....	215
8.2	Reflections on research approach.....	219
8.3	Key contributions of this thesis .....	220
8.4	Future research directions .....	221
Appendices	.....	224

Appendix A: Learning workshop programs .....	225
Appendix B: Case study guide .....	228
Appendix C: Interview guides.....	256
Appendix D: Consent form and information sheet.....	266
Appendix E: Strengths-based practice working definition .....	270
Appendix F: Guide to advanced memoing .....	271
References .....	272

**List of Tables:**

Table 2.1: Key principles of the methodological design.....	20
Table 2.2: Semi structured interviews conducted .....	34
Table 3.1: Citizen Voice and Action – country program goals.....	72
Table 3.2: Corruption Perception Index 2010.....	79
Table 7.1: Simple, complicated and complex interventions .....	194

**List of Figures:**

Figure 2.1: Data collection and analysis through Action Research Cycles .....	24
Figure 3.1: The relationship between voice, accountability and other key concepts.....	50
Figure 3.2: Citizen Voice and Action practice.....	69
Figure 5.1: Conceptual framework - a strengths-based approach to creating change ..	138
Figure 6.1: Strengths-based social accountability: theory of change.....	170



## **Abstract**

Informed by practical knowledge of research participants and theoretical understandings from strengths-based approaches and dialogue literature, this thesis proposes a redescription of social accountability.

The research is focused on social accountability, an approach within the field of international development, which seeks to increase citizen participation in demanding accountability from the state. In this thesis the notion of strengths-based change is explored and drawn on to offer explanation of social accountability practice.

Citizen Voice and Action (CVA), implemented by an international non-government organisation (INGO), has been used as a case study of social accountability. Data from three sources: learning workshops with INGO staff, case study reports of programs in Uganda and Armenia and interviews with citizens, service providers, local government officials and INGO staff from these two countries, analysed through Grounded Theory, informed the research findings. Action research methodology and an appreciative stance to inquiry framed the research focus.

Drawing on experiences of CVA participants, this thesis demonstrates that social accountability practice includes a strengths perspective to creating change. Eight dimensions of change which incorporate a strengths perspective within the practice of CVA are identified. Valuing the presence of strengths, the practice of dialogue and improving relations between citizens and state workers are described as key enablers of change. A review of social accountability literature highlights that more recent understandings of social accountability resonate with the research findings, though don't offer a complete explanation. Literature on strengths-based approaches, positive psychology and dialogue are explored and are found to provide a theoretical explanation of the experiences of CVA described by the research participants.

The research identifies that whilst a strengths perspective is demonstrated in social accountability practice there is an opportunity for this perspective to be further developed. Founded on the practical knowledge of the research participants, theoretical

foundations of strengths-based approaches and dialogue, social accountability informed by a strengths perspective is conceptualised. Consideration of how this could work in practice is provided along with implications for the development sector more broadly.

This thesis presents the first use of a strengths perspective as a way to explain the practice of social accountability. Based on the practice of CVA revealed in this research and theoretical explanation, the thesis concludes that a strengths perspective is complementary to the practice of social accountability and provides an effective means of transforming citizen–state relationships to support the delivery of quality public services.