

University of Technology, Sydney

A cooperative design approach to the
design of interactive devices for small,
specialized user groups.

by
Stefan Lie

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment for the
degree of Masters by Research

in the
Faculty of Engineering & Information Technology
Centre for Intelligent Mechatronic Systems

August 2012

Declaration of Authorship

I, Stefan Lie, declare that this thesis titled, “A cooperative design approach to the design of interactive devices for small, specialised user groups”, and the work presented in it is my own. I confirm that:

- This work was done wholly while in candidature for a research degree at this University.
- No part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree or any other qualification at this University or any other institution.
- When I have consulted the work of others, this is always clearly attributed.
- Where I have quoted from the work of others the source is always given. With the exception of such quotations, this thesis is entirely my own work.
- I have acknowledged all main sources of help.
- Where the thesis is based on work done myself jointly with others, I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself.

Signed: _____

Dated: _____

Abstract

This research considers a cooperative design approach to the design, development and implementation of interactive devices catering to small, specialised user groups. Conventional methods of mass production used in the manufacture of interactive devices demand medium to large volume production runs of 10,000 to 100,000 + units for products to remain cost effective. This drives the need for products to appeal to large user groups, which means product implementation catering to small user groups is limited. However there is a need for interactive devices catering to small user groups in industries that require specialised devices to do specific tasks. Such industries include mining, health care and aged care to name a few. Recent advancements in Additive Manufacturing technology combined with the availability of Open Source Hardware + Software offer the possibility to develop and implement interactive devices for low-volume production starting as low as one unit produced. Conventional User Centred Design approaches used in Industrial Design are tailored towards high-volume production, however for small-volume production a cooperative design (co-design) approach may be more relevant.

To investigate this a study was conducted by devising a co-design approach and applying it to the design, development and implementation of an Operator Control Unit (OCU). This OCU was designed to control a semi-autonomous robotic Grit-blasting Assistive Device (GAD) that was deployed on the Sydney Harbour Bridge (SHB). The purpose of the SHB GAD is to remove old paint and rust from the Harbour Bridge steel structure by blasting it with grit. The development of the SHB GAD, including its OCU, is a joint project between Roads and Maritime Services (RMS¹) and the University of Technology Sydney's Centre for Autonomous Systems. The project was chosen for the study because the SHB GAD is a tool developed specifically for the Sydney Harbour Bridge and is to be used by a small user group of ten users. The study was conducted by designing and developing the OCU cooperatively with five users, who are employees of RMS.

¹ In November 2011 the RTA (Roads and Traffic Authority) of New South Wales, Australia, was renamed RMS (Roads and Maritime Services).

Upon implementation of the OCU resulting from the study, a review of the co-design approach was conducted, by interviewing the five users and asking them to reflect on the process. The results revealed that this research is able to make contributions that will assist in furthering knowledge in this area. Furthermore the results led to a set of conclusions, of which one is that a co-design approach adds value to a project at a personal, team and company level. The resulting OCU was also compared to two commercially available OCUs. This comparison demonstrated, that the resulting OCU could be identified as a robotic OCU even though the users involved in the co-design approach had no previous design or robotics experience. The contributions and conclusions may provide new ways of structuring Industrial Design and Human Robot Interaction approaches to the design of interactive devices for small, specialised user groups.

Acknowledgments

I would like thank my supervisor Professor Dikai Liu for his continued assistance throughout the course of my research and for providing me with an excellent project to base my thesis on.

Thanks to my co-supervisor Associate Professor Bert Bongers for many hours of stimulating conversation on interaction design and showing me how exciting research can be.

Thanks to our project team members in CAS, especially Gavin Paul, Gregory Peters, David Rushton-Smith and Andrew To for their kindness and patience towards the non-engineer in their midst.

Thanks to my friend Roderick Walden for giving up many hours of his time to discuss my research and for tirelessly providing me with critical feedback.

Thanks to RMS management for accommodating me whenever it was necessary and a special thanks to the grit-blasters who participated in the project.

Thanks to the Australian Postgraduate Awards and to the faculty of Engineering & Information Technology at UTS for providing me with scholarships.

Thanks to the faculty of Design Architecture & Building for allowing me to use the workshop facilities with a special thanks to technical officer Kenan Wang.

Above all I would like to thank my wife Nicola for her patience and continued encouragement and for understanding how important my research is to me.

Contents

Declaration of Authorship	i
Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgments	iv
List of Figures	vii
Abbreviations	ix
Glossary of Terms.....	x
Technologies utilized to conduct this research	xi
Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Statement of the problem.....	4
1.1.1 Design approaches.....	5
1.1.2 Interactive devices for small, specialized user groups.....	8
1.1.3 Additive Manufacturing and Open Source Hardware + Software	10
1.2 Background on the project and the researcher.....	12
1.3 Need	17
1.4 Research questions.....	18
1.5 Purpose of the Study.....	19
1.6 Significance to the field	20
1.7 Limitations	21
1.8 Ethical considerations	21
Chapter 2. Literature Review.....	22
2.1 Interaction Design and Human Robot Interaction.....	22
2.1.1 What is Interaction Design?.....	22
2.1.2 A brief history of Interaction Design	23
2.1.3 User Centered Design and Cooperative Design	25
2.1.4 Robotic systems comparable to the SHB GAD	27
2.1.5 Operator Control Units for robots such as the SHB GAD.....	28
2.1.6 Co-design to design the SHB GAD Operator Control Unit	29
2.1.7 User acceptance and degrees of autonomy.....	30
2.2 Small, Specialized User Groups	31
2.3 Additive Manufacturing, Open Source Hardware + Software.....	33
2.4 Working safely with robots.....	36
Chapter 3. Methodology	38
3.1 Setting of the study.....	38
3.2 Participant selection	39
3.3 Study	41
3.4 Measurement instruments	57
3.4.1 Measurement instrument for research question 1	57
3.4.2 Measurement instrument for research question 2	59
3.5 Data collection procedures	60
Chapter 4. Results.....	61
4.1 Data analysis of research question 1	61
4.2 Data analysis of research question 2	62
4.3 Results for research question 1	63
4.3.1 Pre-study impression results.....	63
4.3.2 Post-study impression results	65

4.4 Results for research question 2.....	72
Chapter 5. Discussion	73
5.1 Discussion of research question 1 results.....	73
5.2 Discussion of research question 2 results.....	75
5.3 Discussion of additional findings.....	76
5.4 Limitations	77
5.5 Future research	78
5.6 Contributions.....	79
5.7 Conclusions	80
Appendices.....	83
Appendix A	83
Appendix B	84
Appendix C	85
Appendix D	87
Appendix E	88
Appendix F	93
Appendix G	95
Bibliography	114

List of Figures

1.1	Illustration of the complete SHB GAD system set up on the Sydney Harbour Bridge.....	14
3.1	Sydney Harbour Bridge Southern Pylons. (Image courtesy of RMS).....	38
3.2	Blast enclosure suspended beneath the Harbour Bridge. (Image courtesy of RMS).....	38
3.3	Illustration of the SHB GAD system with operator, grit-blasting a section of the Harbour Bridge.....	39
3.4	Schematic representation of all stakeholders and their level of involvement in the project.....	40
3.5	Overview of the co-design approach and project time line.....	42
3.6	Stage 1. Knowledge building.....	43
3.7	OCU preliminary concept 1 with GUI paper prototype.....	44
3.8	Demonstration of GUI paper prototype function.....	44
3.9	Participant conducting Review 1.....	45
3.10	Participant conducting Review 1. The blue chair in the foreground represents the robot.....	45
3.11	OCU preliminary concept 2.....	45
3.12	All four OCU preliminary concepts.....	45
3.13	Review 2 with four participants.....	46
3.14	Algiz 7 tablet computer with GUI Version 1.....	46
3.15	Stage 2. Co-design.....	46
3.16	Cardboard housing to be used as a “starting point” around which to model the OCU.....	48
3.17	Co-design workshop set up.....	48
3.18	OCU model made by a participant.....	48
3.19	OCU model made by a participant.....	48

3.20	OCU model made by a participant.....	49
3.21	OCU model made by a participant.....	49
3.22	OCU model made by a participant.....	49
3.23	OCU model made by a participant.....	49
3.24	Front view of final OCU sketch model made by the researcher.....	50
3.25	Back view of final OCU sketch model.....	50
3.26	Sketch model of OCU Dock made by the researcher.....	50
3.27	Final OCU sketch model sitting in its Dock.....	50
3.28	Stage 3. OCU prototyping.....	51
3.29	Shell of OCU prototype 1 and Dock.....	52
3.30	Prototype of Dock made from PVC plastic.....	52
3.31	Fully assembled OCU prototype 1 and final version of the Dock made from alu.....	52
3.32	Final version of the Dock made from alu showing push on clip underneath.....	52
3.33	The complete SHB GAD system, set up in a blast enclosure on the Harbour Bridge.....	53
3.34	Fully assembled OCU prototype 2.....	54
3.35	OCU home screen, with main functions.....	54
3.36	OCU screen lock function.....	55
3.37	Stage 4. OCU implementation.....	55
3.38	Final version of the OCU, fully assembled and ready for implementation.....	56
3.39	Stage 5. Post-study impression.....	56
3.40	Non-linear interview map.....	58
4.1	Denso TP-RC7M-1.....	62
4.2	Siemens Simatic Mobile Panel 277.....	62
4.3	OCU comparison table.....	72

Abbreviations

ABS	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene
CAD	Computer Aided Design
Co-design	Cooperative Design
EOD	Explosive Ordnance Disposal
E-Stop	Emergency Stop (button)
GAD	Grit-blasting Assistive Device
HCI	Human Computer Interaction
HRI	Human Robot Interaction
IDE	Integrated Development Environment
IP Code	Ingress Protection rating Code
OCU	Operator Control Unit
PDA	Personal Digital Assistant
PPE	Personal Protection Equipment
RMS	Roads + Maritime Services
RTA	Road Traffic Authority
SHB	Sydney Harbour Bridge
SLS	Selective Laser Sintering
SWAT	Special Weapons And Tactics
UCD	User Centred Design
USAR	Urban Search And Rescue
UTS	University of Technology Sydney
3D	3 Dimensional

Glossary of Terms

Pot-master	Person controlling the supply of grit and compressed air to blast guns (the grit is supplied via large pots, hence the name).
Resistive	Resistive touch screen, reads input signals if pressure is applied.
Capacitive	Capacitive touch screen, reads input signals if an electric conductor such as bare human skin touches the screens surface.
Real-life	Life as it is lived in reality, involving unwelcome as well as welcome experiences, as distinct from a fictional world. In the context of this research the setting of the study is in real-life in that it is a real project and not an academically controlled study.
Dead Man Switch	A safety device that it used by grit-blasting Professionals to safeguard themselves against accidentally being hit by the blast stream of their blast gun.

Technologies utilized to conduct this research

Concepts Unlimited	CAD software used to create virtual 3D models of the OCU housing.
FDM	Fused Deposition Modelling was the Additive manufacturing technology used to manufacture OCU housing prototypes 1 and 2.
SLS	Selective Laser Sintering was the Additive Manufacturing technology used to manufacture the real OCU housing.
Qt Creator	Open Source IDE (Integrated Development Environment) software used to design and code the OCU GUI.
Nvivo 10	Qualitative data analysis software used to analyse interview data.
EndNote X3	Bibliography software used to manage the bibliography, citations and references.