Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences

RESTOR(Y)ING POWER, INTIMACY AND DESIRE IN ACADEMIC WORK: RELATIONAL ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT AND LEARNING DEVELOPMENT PRACTICE

A thesis presented in fulfillment of the requirements for the

award of the degree

Doctor of Education

from the

University of Technology, Sydney

Ву

Adrian Peter KELLY, BA, MEd in Adult Education, Dip TEFLA, ATCL

2012

Restor(y)ing power, intimacy and desire in academic work: relational academic development and learning development practice

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP

I Adrian Peter KELLY certify that the work in this thesis, submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Education in the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, University of Technology, Sydney, has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

September 2012

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank my mother Joan Kelly, who fed, clothed and tirelessly took care of the household of nine or so people I grew up in. Throughout our school years she coached my siblings and me in our 'lessons' around the kitchen table while she cooked and tended to a multitude of domestic duties. I have a vivid memory of an occasion when my mother withdrew services and proclaimed 'I'm on strike' and I hope spent a happy hour doing something she wanted to do; I salute her all too infrequent militancy. I also remember her enjoyment at enrolling in university studies in her 50s having, in her words, 'put six children through university.' Words cannot express my gratitude for her undying love for me. Another member of this household of nine was Alice Drake (b.1886-d.1979) my maternal grandmother who in 1898 aged 12 had left school to work in the mills in Halifax, Yorkshire. In 1909 she set sail from Liverpool to New Zealand to marry her fiancé, my grandfather John McCabe, whom she had not seen for two years. Accompanying my grandmother on this journey was a wooden chest containing, among other possessions, a bone china tea set and a very large tapestry. My grandmother and I mashed endless pots of Ceylon tea for one another and anyone else who cared to join us. We talked a lot about all manner of things and sometimes she would get me to read to her. I loved her very dearly; if I have any strength and courage perhaps I have her to thank.

Noel Cameron Kelly (b.1922-d.2012), my father, died during my doctoral candidature. He was a learned man and both an idealist and a pragmatist. He completed a master's degree in Trust Law at a time when access to postgraduate study was more highly restricted than it is today. He authored several editions of Butterworth's (later LexisNexis) *Garrow & Kelly Law of Trusts and Trustees* and was described on the flyleaf of one edition as 'the country's greatest living trust expert' - I was very proud of him. He told long repetitive stories and I now wish I had been more patient with him. One of his reminiscences was of his own father Ernest Francis Kelly (b.1883-d.1941) who had probably had limited educational opportunities himself but who exhorted my father to 'get all the education you can, for it will never be a burden to carry around with you.' I never met my grandfather but I hope he would be as pleased with my achievements as my father was.

This thesis emanates from the opportunities these and other people made available to me often at the cost of their own ease. I am grateful for the educational opportunities I was given by my parents, opportunities that many of my forebears could only have dreamed of. With that in mind, I would like to dedicate this thesis as my humble contribution to their legacy. I had the good fortune to be able to access free undergraduate and doctoral education and the fees for my master's degree were highly subsidised by the government. For this I am grateful and regret that the same privileges are not still being extended to the same extent to my compatriots.

I have five siblings: Chris, Greg, Martin, David and Helen. Each of them has taught me different things in different ways and I am grateful to each of them. Each has a partner and children: I acknowledge Stefanie, Ann, Claudine, Bobbi (RIP), Kath, Nick Woolf, Paul Henley, Nick, Louise, Rebecca, Caroline, Sarah, Francis, Christina, Joanna, Danielle, Anthony, Laureen, Genevieve, Benedict,

Restor(y)ing power, intimacy and desire in academic work: relational academic development and learning development practice

Gabriel, Lucia, Erin and Megan. There are currently 30 of us in this clan and our all too infrequent times together are a great treasure.

Alison Lee was one of my supervisors during my doctoral journey and as I prepare to submit this thesis, I am coming to terms with the sad news that Alison has died. There is so much in this thesis that would not have been possible without her input and feedback. I don't remember leaving a meeting with Alison without an armload of reading to do and my head swimming with ideas and new possibilities. When I had writer's block, she got me writing again by suggesting I make a picture of my thesis. I presented her with a crazy diagram with pictures of Tarot cards annotated with quotes and she praised my use of non-rational thought processes. It eased the block. She once told me Derrida had said, 'Seek not to understand too soon' but I have never been able to find a published reference of this; I sometimes think that she made it up. It was great advice at the time. The last message I got from her was a couple of months ago suggesting we meet for coffee. We never had that coffee and she never got to read or hear about the final version of this study. For that I am sad because I miss her and also because I was so looking forward to hearing what she would say about it. I try to take joy at having had the privilege to work with someone with such a wonderful intellect who was more than a supervisor, more than a colleague: someone who was a very dear friend.

Dave Boud has been my supervisor for the thesis production phase of my doctoral candidature. What has amazed me by Dave has been his calm, unflappable demeanor. Often I have presented ill-formed and half-baked versions of these chapters and Dave has provided me with encouraging feedback that enabled me to find solutions to the problematic aspects of the chapter drafts. His supervision was truly facilitative in this regard and never enfeebling. There were times when my dependency default setting – one that I believe we all develop in relation to the governmentality regimes of our cultures – led me to desire greater direction or rectification. I am now grateful this was not the tenor of our supervisorial interactions and that Dave facilitated the opening up of a discursive space for an altogether more sustaining and ennobling subjectivity to emerge.

I acknowledge and thank Nick Hopwood for reading some of the following chapters, providing critical feedback and also for being most supportive.

I thank the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences at UTS - and its predecessor the Faculty of Education – for nurturing my interest in myself and my education. In particular, from when I worked towards my Master's degree, I acknowledge Professor Alastair Pennycook for his on-going interest in my learning career, Kath Copley for her encouragement and advice, and Chris Nesbitt for his warmth, brilliance and embodiment of the teaching subject. During my candidature for this degree I thank Jenny Hammond and Kitty Te Riele for their interest, direction and most valuable feedback and Sue Hood for stopping in the café to ask me how my doctoral thesis was proceeding.

I had the privilege to belong to some writing groups and theory reading groups and to work with other doctoral candidates in various forums. I thank Cynthia

Nelson from the ELSSA Centre and Cate Poynton for their masterly facilitation of the writing groups. I learned so much from my doctoral student peers and in particular thank Kate Bower, Ros Carter, Michelle Melick, Teena Clerke, Stephen Murphy and Marion Burford.

I thank my colleagues from UTS: Insearch, ELSSA and Jumbunna, in particular Michelle Salmona, Deborah Nixon, Lori Parish and Michael McDaniel, for their constant support and belief in me.

I thank those dear friends who have had dinner with me, asked me how my research is going and maintained an interested expression while I explicated at length the arcana of the theory that gripped me at the time. In particular I thank Brent Mackie, Liz Craven, Pauline Dunne, Janet Gibson, Richard Stewart, Norma Morgan and Mark Payne. I particularly thank Jen Anderson and Helena Spirou for reading draft chapters and providing me with their valuable opinions.

They say that it takes a village to raise a child; the people acknowledged here are some of the members of my 'village' who have raised me and continue to nurture me. Lastly, I thank anyone who reads this. I hope you will let me know what you think and if you disagree with me I hope you will be kind.

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP		
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	III	
ABSTRACT	1	
FOUNDATION CHAPTERS	4	
CHAPTER 1 CONTEXTUALIZING RELATIONS	6	
Australian Higher Education	8	
ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT & LEARNING DEVELOPMENT	12	
THE HUMBOLDTIAN IDEAL	18	
THE NEOLIBERAL TURN	20	
THE ETHICAL, THE AESTHETICAL, THE CRITICAL AND MEDITATIVE THINKING	24	
JOY, DIFFICULTY, PLEASURE, GUILT, HUMILIATION, GRIEF AND SHAME	28	
AUTOETHNOGRAPHY AND NARRATIVE PRACTICE	32	
CHAPTER 2 SUBJECTIVITY AND THE SUBJECT WHO WRITES	42	
IDENTITY SOUS RATURE	49	
A BIT OF HISTORY ABOUT SUBJECTIVITY AND TEXTUAL KNOWERS	50	
An ancient quandary	51	
LIVING AND WORKING IN PARADOX	56	
GREETING THE EMERGENCE OF THE SUBJECT	61	
OTHER PRACTICES	63	
RESTORYING MY SELF	65	
SEGUE TO A TRIPTYCH OF POWER, INTIMACY AND DESIRE	70	
A TRIPTYCH OF POWER, INTIMACY AND DESIRE	73	
CHAPTER 3 POWER, CONSTRUCTIVE ALIGNMENT AND ASSESSMENT	75	
Power and the developmental ethos	79	
Power and technical rationality	85	
Power and the subject who teaches	90	
Power and the subject that is taught	97	
RESTORYING POWER, CONSTRUCTIVE ALIGNMENT AND ASSESSMENT	100	
SEGUE FROM POWER TO INTIMACY	106	
CHAPTER 4 INTIMACY, EMOTION WORK AND ACADEMIC WORK	108	

Adrian Kelly

INTIMACY AND THE MODERN BODY/SELF	109
Intimacy in academic work	112
THE TRANSFORMATION OF INTIMACY	116
EMOTION WORK AND PROFESSIONAL INTIMACY	119
ECONOMIES OF INTIMACY: FEMINISATION, DICHOTOMISATION AND INVISIBILITY	121
RESTORYING INTIMACY	122
RESTORYING A PROBLEM-SATURATED LEARNING DEVELOPMENT ENCOUNTER	124
SEGUE FROM INTIMACY TO DESIRE	129
CHAPTER 5 DESIRE, THE CURRICULUM AND GENERIC GRADUATE ATTRIBUTES	131
HIGHER EDUCATION CURRICULUM AND GENERIC GRADUATE ATTRIBUTES	132
RESEARCH INTO HIGHER EDUCATION CURRICULUM AND GRADUATE ATTRIBUTES	134
DESIRE AS LACK	143
DESIRE AS PRODUCTION	144
DESIRE AND EDUCATION	149
DRESSAGE, DISPLAY KNOWLEDGE AND SOCIAL REPRODUCTION	152
RESTORYING DESIRE	155
SEGUE FROM DESIRE TO RELATIONAL PRACTICE	159
AFTERWORD	161
AFTERWORD: ACADEMIC WORK AS RELATIONAL PRACTICE	163
SO WHAT?	166
RELATIONAL ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT PRACTICE	173
Wrap-up	175
REFERENCES	177

ABSTRACT

In academic work, the discourses of academic development and learning development tend to focus on technical and transactional dimensions at the expense of the affective. This study presents the work experiences of one academic as instantiations of the operation of these discourses within the cultural context of contemporary Australian higher education. Autoethnographic techniques are utilised to present personal narratives as realisations of cultural-historical and sociocultural possibilities. In addition, restorying, a technique borrowed from White and Epston's (1990) Narrative Therapy, is appropriated to non-therapeutic ends in this thesis to open up discursive space for subjugated narratives that challenge dominant, canonical accounts of academic work.

The foundation chapters of the thesis locate academic work within a set of contextualising relations that provide the meaning making potential for the events and encounters that are described. Key among these contextualising relations are the Australian higher education ecosystem, the echoes of the Humboltian ideal and the impacts of neoliberalisation on the resource arrangements and governance of globalising higher education. Ethical, aesthetical and critical dimensions are explored to denaturalise a number of taken-for-granted aspects of higher education.

Three key affective dimensions in academic work are identified to encompass taken-for-granted dimensions of academic work: power, intimacy and desire. The first, power, is explored in relation to an academic development encounter focused on constructive alignment and criterion-referenced assessment. The journal entry presents the *lines of flight* (Deleuze & Guattari 1983 & 1987) taken by a disciplinary-based lecturer to resist the power dimensions of the academic development encounter. The chapter concludes by restorying this academic development encounter and to recount an encounter with a student to suggest a *pedagogy of ambivalence*.

The second affective dimension, intimacy, is explored in relation to the attenuated bodily logics of modernity. Journal entries recount a disciplinary-based

Restor(y)ing power, intimacy and desire in academic work: relational academic development and learning development practice

colleague's, and a student's, bodily excess in terms of tears, bodily tremors and personal disclosure in academic development and learning development encounters. Resisting the therapeutisation of these encounters and a liberal-humanist account of disabled others, restorying techniques are utilised to propose a pedagogy of intimacy in which bodily excess and personal disclosure become the plain of emergence in which academic development and learning development operate as educational endeavours.

The third affective dimension, desire, is not understood in the Freudian-psychoanalytic sense of lack, but in the Spinozan and Deleuzo-Guattarian sense of production. Curriculum and generic graduate attributes are analysed as desiring discourses that operate within the arborescent assumptions of structuralism. A more nuanced account of curriculum and educational outcomes is advocated through *a pedagogy of desire* (Zembylas 2007).

The study concludes with an outline of a relational academic practice arguing for discursive space for the emergence of a relational academic developer and learning developer subjectivity.

Between the experience of living a normal life at the moment on the planet and the public narratives being offered to give a sense of that life, the empty space, the gap, is enormous... In such gaps, people get lost, and in such gaps, people go mad. (Berger, 2001, p. 176)