Fire-related germination cues for soil-stored seedbanks of fire-prone habitats in the Sydney region, Australia

Belinda J. Kenny

Thesis submitted as fulfilment of Doctor of Philosophy
Department of Environmental Sciences
University of Technology, Sydney
2003

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY

I certify that this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisors, David Morrison and Tony Auld for guidance on experimental design and statistical analysis, helpful discussion on interpretation, constructive criticism of the text, and extreme patience shown in waiting for the production of this thesis. I would also like to thank Rod Buckney for taking up the role of principal supervisor after David moved to Sweden, and guiding me through the thesis submission process.

Financial assistance was provided by the Australian Research Council through an Australian Postgraduate (Industry) Award scholarship. Research funds were provided by the NSW National Parks & Wildlife Service and the Department of Environmental Sciences (UTS). Additional funding for conference presentations was provided by the UTS Vice Chancellors Conference Fund. Final printing of the thesis was courtesy of the NSW Rural Fire Service, Wyong District.

Field work and material collection was conducted on NSW NPWS estate under the conditions of a scientific research licence. I wish to thank local NPWS staff for assistance with information on fire history and site details, especially Mary Goshn, Ken Brown and Sandy Whight.

Thanks also to my work mates at NPWS Biodiversity Research Group, especially Mark Ooi (for field assistance and helpful discussion), Liz Sutherland (for good advice on thesis writing and life), and Meredith Henderson and Liz Tasker (fellow members of the NPWS thesis support group). A very big thankyou to Ross Bradstock for allowing me to take study leave for thesis writing, and for encouragement and inspiration.

Many thanks go to Kirsten Knox for assisting with field work (even on days hot enough to melt Gummi Bears and boot glue) and helpful discussion. Love and hugs to my fellow postgrads - Grant Hose, Cate McInnis, Geoff McFarlane, Scott King, Amani Ahmed, Matthew Lockett, and Judy Upston - for support, distraction and the lovely Kylie book. Thanks to the staff of UTS - Narelle Richardson, Al Statz, Gemma Armstrong, Ed Soliman, and Greg Hampshire - for technical support.

Eternal gratitude to Sam Kenny for lab assistance, editing, emotional support, giving me the freedom to pursue my goals, and an unerring faith that I would eventually finish this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	II
LIST OF FIGURES	V
LIST OF TABLES	VI
ABSTRACT	VII
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION LITERATURE REVIEW AND STUDY AIMS	
POST-FIRE REGENERATION METHODS	l
Vegetative vs. Reproductive Regeneration	
Reproductive Regeneration Methods	
Post-fire Flowering	
Seed Storage on Plant	
Seed Storage in Soil	
MECHANISMS OF SEED DORMANCY	
Physical Dormancy	
Physiological Dormancy	
FIRE-RELATED BREAKING OF DORMANCY	
Heat	
Combustion Products	
Charred Wood	
Ash	
Smoke	
Areas Studied	
Active Ingredient	
Smoke Application	
Smoke Cue in Soil	
Multiple Germination Cues	
Conclusion	
THESIS AIMS	
Overview of Experimental Aims	
CHAPTER 2: SITE AND SPECIES DETAILS	
STUDY AREA	
SPECIES DETAILS	
SEED DETAILS	
Seed Collection	
Seed Size	
Seed Viability	
CHAPTER 3: SMOKE AND CHARRED WOOD APPLICATION	31
AIM	
METHODS	
	31
Smoke Concentration	31
Smoke Concentration	
Smoke Concentration	
Smoke Concentration	
Smoke Concentration: Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution.	32
Smoke Concentration: Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method	
Smoke Concentration. Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material. General. RESULTS.	32 34 35
Smoke Concentration Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material General RESULTS Smoke Concentration	32 34 35
Smoke Concentration. Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material. General. RESULTS.	32 34 35
Smoke Concentration Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material General RESULTS Smoke Concentration	
Smoke Concentration Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material General RESULTS. Smoke Concentration Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats	
Smoke Concentration: Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material General RESULTS. Smoke Concentration Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution.	
Smoke Concentration: Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material General RESULTS. Smoke Concentration Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method	32 34 35 35 35 36 36 36 36 36 36
Smoke Concentration: Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material General RESULTS. Smoke Concentration Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material DISCUSSION. Smoke	32 34 35 35 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 47
Smoke Concentration: Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material. General RESULTS. Smoke Concentration: Smoke Concentration: Effect on Hard Seed Coats Smoke Application Method: Aerosol vs. Solution. Charred Wood Concentration and Application Method Charred Wood Source Material DISCUSSION.	32 34 35 35 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 47

CHAPTER 4: HEAT RANGE	46
AIM	46
METHODS	46
Germination Trials	46
Data Analysis	47
RESULTS	50
DISCUSSION	
Outcome	61
CHAPTER 5: MULTIPLE GERMINATION CUES	62
AIM	
METHODS	
Treatment Methods	
Germination	
Seed Lot Comparisons	
Onset of Germination	
Functional Groups	
RESULTS	
Germination Response	
Seed Lot Comparisons	
Onset of Germination Functional Groups	
DISCUSSION	
Germination Response	
Onset of Germination	
Multiple Germination Cues	
Establishment	
Functional Groups	
CHAPTER 6: GERMINATION CUE EFFECTS ON BURIED SEEDS	
AIM	88
METHODS	
Smoke Effect on Buried Seeds	
(A) Time And Water Effects	
(B) Depth Of Burial Effects	
General	89
Fire and Fire-cue Effects on Buried Seeds	
RESULTS	92
Smoke Effect on Buried Seeds	92
DISCUSSION	
Smoke Effect on Buried Seeds	
Fire and Fire-cue Effects on Buried Seeds	97
CHAPTER 7: GERMINATION CUE EFFECTS ON A NATURAL SOIL SEEDBANK	100
AIM	
METHODS	100
Pilot Glasshouse Trial	100
Myall Track Prescribed Burn	101
(A) Glasshouse Trial	103
(B) Field Plots	104
Statistical Analysis	106
RESULTS	107
Pilot Glasshouse Trial	107
Myall Track Prescribed Burn	
(A) Glasshouse Trial	
(B) Field Plots	
DISCUSSION	119
Seedling Density	
Species Richness	
Individual Species	
Germinable Soil Seedbank	123

CHAPTER 8: GENERAL DISCUSSION	126
SUMMARY OF RESULTS	120
ECOLOGICAL IMPLICATIONS	
MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS	133
DEBEDENCES	12
REFERENCES	1 . 34

LIST OF FIGURES

Map 2.1 M	Iap of Sydney region, Australia
Map 2.2 M	Iap of Ku-ring-gai Chase National Park
Figure 3.1	Germination responses of (a) Patersonia glabrata, (b) Pimelea linifolia, and (c) Sprengelia
	incarnata to a range of smoke concentrations
	Germination response of Epacris microphylla to smoked water treatment
Figure 3.3	Germination responses of (a) Bauera rubioides and (b) Epacris microphylla to charred wood
	application method and concentration
Figure 3.3	continued Germination responses of (c) Kunzea capitata and (d) Woollsia pungens to charred
	wood application method and concentration
Figure 3.4	Germination response of Kunzea capitata to charred wood source and concentration
Figure 4.1	Germination responses of (a) Conospermum taxifolium, (b) Epacris microphylla, and (c)
	Woollsia pungens to heat-shock treatment
Figure 4.1	Germination responses of (a) Conospermum taxifolium, (b) Epacris microphylla, and (c)
	Woollsia pungens to heat-shock treatment
Figure 4.2	Germination responses of (a) Doryanthes excelsa and (b) Haemodorum planifolium to heat-
TC! 4.3	shock treatment
Figure 4.2	continued Germination responses of (c) Lomandra longifolia and (d) Xanthorrhoea resinifera
F	to heat-shock treatment
Figure 4.3	Germination responses of (a) Acacia suaveolens, (b) Boronia ledifolia, and (c) Dillwynia
Etaura 4.4	retorta to heat-shock treatment
rigure 4.4	capitata to heat-shock treatment
Figure 4.4	continued Germination responses of (d) Sprengelia incarnata and (e) Zieria laevigata to heat-
rigure 4.4	shock treatment
Figure 5 1	Germination responses of (a) Haemodorum planifolium and (b) Lomandra longifolia to fire-
rigure 3.1	related germination cues
Figure 5 2	Germination responses of (a) Acacia suaveolens, (b) Acacia terminalis, and (c) Boronia
rigure 3.2	ledifolia to fire-related germination cues
Figure 5.2	continued Germination responses of (d) Dillwynia retorta and (e) Dodonaea triquetra to fire-
riguie 3.2	related germination cues
Figure 5.2	continued Germination responses of (f) Lasiopetalum ferrugineum and (g) Zieria laevigata to
rigui e 3.2	fire-related germination cues
Figure 5.3	Germination response of (a) Calytrix tetragona to fire-related germination cues
	continued Germination responses of (b) Bauera rubioides, (c) Pimelea linifolia (seed lot b),
. Igure oic	and (d) Woollsia pungens to fire-related germination cues
Figure 5.4	Germination responses of (a) Epacris microphylla (seed lof a) and (b) Epacris microphylla
	(seed lot b) to fire-related germination cues
Figure 5.4	continued Germination responses of (c) Kunzea ambigua and (d) Sprengelia incarnata to fire-
	related germination cues
Figure 5.5	Germination responses of (a) Gahnia sieberiana, (b) Grevillea sericea (seed lot a), and (c)
	Kunzea capitata and to fire-related germination cues
Figure 5.5	continued Germination responses of (c) Grevillea buxifolia, (d) Grevillea sericea (seed lot b),
	and (e) Grevillea speciosa and to fire-related germination cues
Figure 5.6	Germination responses of (a) Conospermum taxifolium (seed lot b), (b) Eriostemon
	australasius, and (c) Mitrasacme polymorpha to fire-related germination cues79
Figure 5.7	Onset of germination in Grevillea species
Figure 6.1	Germination response of Grevillea sericea to smoke treatment
	Germination response of Grevillea speciosa to smoke treatment94
Figure 6.3	Germination responses of (a) Acacia suaveolens, (b) Grevillea sericea, and (c) Grevillea
	speciosa to fire and fire-cue treatments
Map 7.1 B	sobbin Head section of Ku-ring-gai Chase National Park showing sites for soil collection and
	field work
	Temperature reached over time within a 2 kg soil sample in an oven pre-heated to 120 °C 105
	Rainfall for Sydney station for the duration of field plot monitoring
	Total seedling emergence in the pilot glasshouse trial
	Emergence of (a) Actinotus minor and (b) Epacris pulchella in the pilot glasshouse trial 108
	a Species richness per treatment in the glasshouse trial
Figure 7.5	b Total seedling emergence per treatment in the glasshouse trial112

Figure 7.6 Emergence of (a) Actinotus minor and (b) Epacris pulchella in the glasshouse trial Figure 7.6 continued Emergence of (c) Entolasia stricta and (d) Patersonia sericea in the glass	house
trial	
Figure 7.7a Species richness per treatment in the field plots	116
Figure 7.7b Total seedling emergence per treatment in the field plots	116
Figure 7.8 Emergence of (a) Actinotus minor and (b) Epacris pulchella in the field plots	
Figure 7.8 continued Emergence of (c) Micrantheum ericoides, (d) Eucalyptus spp., and (e) Fal	
species	118
LICTOFTABLES	
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1.1 A simple classification of woody plant species in relation to fire. From Gill (1981)	3
Table 1.2 Methods of vegetative recovery by perennial shrub and herb species after burning. Af	
(1977a)	
Table 1.3 Categories of fire response seen in the kwongan vegetation of Western Australia. From	
al. (1984)	3
Table 1.4 Vital attributes system of Noble & Slatyer (1980)	4
Table 1.5 Functional types and disturbance regimes resulting in extinction	4
Table 2.1 Taxonomic and trait details of the study species	24
Table 2.2 Seed collection details	27
Table 2.3 Seed size details	
Table 2.4 Seed viability of study species	
Table 3.1 Species tested in the smoke concentration experiment	
Table 3.2 Species tested in the smoke concentration (hard seed coats) experiment	
Table 3.3 Species tested in the charred wood concentration and application experiment	33
Table 3.4 Results of the smoke concentration experiment	37
Table 3.5 Results of the smoke concentration (hard seed coats) experiment	37
Table 3.6 Results of the charred wood concentration experiment	
Table 4.1 Details of species examined	
Table 4.2 Summary of treatment effects on germination and seed mortality	
Table 4.3 Relationship between functional group and (a) germination response to heat-shock tree	
and (b) heat-induced seed mortality	
Table 4.4 Relationship between seed coat type and (a) germination response to heat-shock treat	
(b) heat-induced seed mortality	
Table 4.5 Proportion of obligate seeder to vegetative regenerator species within families contain	
seed coats in NSW	61
Table 5.1 Details of species examined	64
Table 5.2 Potential response to multiple germination cues	66
Table 5.3 Summary of main treatment effects on germination level	68
Table 5.4 Onset of germination in Grevillea species	81
Table 5.5 Relationship between functional groups and germination response	81
Table 6.1 Treatments applied to Grevillea sericea in experiment 1a (effects of time and water of penetration)	
Table 6.2 Treatments applied to Grevillea speciosa in experiment 1b (effects of burial depth on	smoke
penetration)	89
Table 6.3 Species used in experiment 2 (effects of fire and fire-cues on buried seeds)	91
Table 6.4 Treatments applied in experiment 2 (effects of fire and fire-cues on buried seeds)	
Table 6.5 Regional rainfall records	
Table 6.6 Comparison of heat and smoke effect between buried (fire and fire-cue experiment, the	
Chapter) and loose (Chapter 5) seeds	99
Table 7.1 Number of seedlings emerging across all treatments, pilot glasshouse trial	107
Table 7.2 Species list for Myall Track prescribed burn site	
Table 7.3 Treatment effects on emergence from the soil seedbank, as reported in the literature	
Table 7.4 Species found exclusively in either the seedbank or the standing vegetation	
Table 7.5 Soil seedbank density	125
Table 8.1 Summary of germination results for species studied	127
Table 8.2 Traits of species in each germination response group	132

ABSTRACT

Approximately 89% of species in fire-prone vegetation types of the Sydney region are assumed to have a soil seedbank. While a post-fire germination pulse is common for such species, the mechanisms involved in fire-related dormancy breaking are known for very few species in the region other than legumes.

The effects of the fire-related germination cues provided by soil heating and combustion products (smoke and charred wood) have been studied on numerous plant taxa in several regions of the world. However, these different cues have rarely been studied in combination.

The general aim of this thesis was to investigate the effect of fire-related germination cues on a variety of soil seedbank species of the Sydney region. This involved exploring methods of laboratory application of three fire-related cues (heat, smoke, and charred wood); assessing the individual and interactive effects of these cues on germination response (dormancy breaking) in laboratory, glasshouse and field trials; and examination of how these cues are received by soil-stored seeds.

Charred wood was found to have little effect on the germination of studied species, though the effects were very sensitive to the method of charred wood application. While half of the species studied were found to have a smoke cue, few of these responded to smoke only. Most species with only a smoke cue were sensitive to high temperatures (heat treatment was lethal or inhibitory).

One quarter of species had a heat cue only, most of these possessing a hard seed coat. A number of species without hard seed coats were also found to respond positively to heat, though these species also responded to smoke. The greatest proportion of studied species fell into the category of having germination stimulated by both heat and smoke. Among these species there was an even division into species with an equal germination response to both cues, an additive effect when the two cues are applied simultaneously, and a response only when the two cues are applied together.

The consequences of the different germination responses found are discussed in terms of the range of fire conditions likely to stimulate recruitment. Relationships were sought between functional types (fire response and seed traits) and these germination responses in order to explain the recruitment outcome of different fire regimes. It was found that the species showing a germination response that would allow germination under the widest range of fire conditions were those for which seedling recruitment is most critical after each fire event.