

**Dissolved organic carbon in the lower
Namoi River, New South Wales:
Determining responses to flow, loads
and food web linkages**



Douglas Westhorpe

**A thesis submitted for the degree of Master of Science (Research) at the
University of Technology, Sydney.**

January 2012

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT.....	VI
STRUCTURE OF THESIS	VIII
CHAPTER 1. BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY.....	1
1.1. ECOLOGY OF AUSTRALIAN RIVERS.....	1
1.2. WATER MANAGEMENT IN AUSTRALIA	3
1.3. WATER IN THE NAMOI RIVER	5
1.4. INTEGRATED MONITORING OF ENVIRONMENTAL FLOWS	7
1.5. CONSTRAINTS	8
1.6 AIMS & HYPOTHESES OF RESEARCH.....	9
CHAPTER 2. A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE.....	11
2.1. FORMS OF DISSOLVED ORGANIC MATTER.....	11
2.2. SOURCES OF DOC.....	12
2.3. DOC RESPONSE TO FLOWS / FLOOD EVENTS.....	18
2.4. DOC AND FOOD WEBS	24
2.5. DOC SOURCES AND UTILISATION IN AUSTRALIAN AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT.....	29
CHAPTER 3. USING FLOW MANAGEMENT TO INCREASE DELIVERY OF ALLOCHTHONOUS DERIVED DISSOLVED ORGANIC CARBON TO THE HIGHLY REGULATED LOWER NAMOI RIVER.....	39
3.1. INTRODUCTION	39
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	41
3.2.1. <i>Catchment and sites</i>	41
3.2.2. <i>DOC sampling</i>	42
3.2.3. <i>DOC export during flood events</i>	43
3.2.4. <i>Modelling of flow scenarios and DOC export</i>	44
3.3. RESULTS	45
3.3.1. <i>DOC concentrations: Low flows and floods</i>	45
3.3.2. <i>DOC export loads</i>	47
3.3.3. <i>Modelling of DOC export with environmental flows</i>	48
3.4. DISCUSSION	50
3.4.1. <i>DOC concentrations</i>	50
3.4.2. <i>Relationships between flow and DOC</i>	51
3.4.3. <i>River Regulation and DOC</i>	52
CHAPTER 4. DIEL VARIATION OF DISSOLVED ORGANIC CARBON DURING LARGE FLOW EVENTS IN THE LOWER NAMOI RIVER.....	57
4.1. INTRODUCTION	57
4.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	58
4.2.1. <i>Catchment and sites</i>	58
4.2.2. <i>DOC sampling</i>	59
4.2.3. <i>Flow and water temperature data</i>	60
4.2.4. <i>Data analysis</i>	60
4.3. RESULTS	61
4.3.1. <i>Diel variations in DOC</i>	61
4.3.2. <i>Day and night DOC minima and maxima concentrations</i>	62
4.3.3. <i>Diel variations in temperature</i>	63
4.4. DISCUSSION	63
4.4.1. <i>Diel variations in DOC</i>	63
4.4.2. <i>Day-time DOC maxima and minima</i>	65
4.4.3. <i>Night-time DOC maxima and minima</i>	67
4.4.4. <i>Improving our ability to assess diel variation</i>	67
CHAPTER 5. LIMITATION OF LOWLAND RIVERINE BACTERIOPLANKTON BY DISSOLVED ORGANIC CARBON AND INORGANIC NUTRIENTS.....	69
5.1. INTRODUCTION	69
5.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	71

5.2.1. Study area and study site	71
5.2.2. Experimental design and set-up.....	73
5.2.3. Treatment amendments.....	74
5.2.4. Sampling procedures	75
5.2.5. Determination of bacterioplankton response	76
5.2.6. Data analyses	77
5.3. RESULTS	78
5.3.1. Flow and temperature	78
5.3.2. Homogeneity of dispersions.....	78
5.3.3. Dissolved oxygen responses	79
5.3.4. Bacterioplankton responses.....	86
5.3.5. Chlorophyll a responses	86
5.4. DISCUSSION	88
5.4.1. Bacterioplankton responses to DOC and inorganic nutrients.....	88
5.4.2. Phytoplankton responses to DOC and inorganic nutrients	91
5.4.3. Implications for flow management	92
CHAPTER 6. POTENTIAL FOOD WEB CHANGES WITH ALLOCHTHONOUS DISSOLVED ORGANIC CARBON DELIVERY TO THE LOWER NAMOI RIVER	96
6.1. INTRODUCTION	96
6.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	98
6.2.1. Study area and study site	98
6.2.2. Flow rates and water temperature in the Namoi River	98
6.2.3. Experimental design	98
6.2.4. Measurements of physico-chemical conditions	99
6.2.5. Sampling and enumeration of bacterioplankton.....	100
6.2.6. Sampling, enumeration and identification of zooplankton	100
6.2.7. Data Analysis.....	101
6.3. RESULTS	102
6.3.1. Bacterioplankton responses.....	102
6.3.2. Zooplankton responses	104
6.4. DISCUSSION	114
6.4.1. Heterotrophic and autotrophic responses	114
6.4.2. Zooplankton responses to DOC.....	115
6.4.3. Role of allochthonous carbon in food webs.....	118
6.4.4. Flows and allochthonous DOC supply.....	119
CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSION	123
7.1. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	123
7.2. MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS.....	124
7.3. FUTURE RESEARCH	127
REFERENCES.....	129

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: CONCEPTUAL FLOW CHART OUTLINING HOW DISSOLVED ORGANIC CARBON (DOC) CONCENTRATIONS MAY VARY IN THE LOWER NAMOI RIVER DURING & POST A FLOW EVENT (COMPARED WITH DOC CONCENTRATIONS PRIOR TO AN EVENT).	21
FIGURE 2: CARBON-CYCLING DIAGRAM, SHOWING ENERGY PATHWAYS, WITH BACTERIA PLAYING A KEY ROLE IN SEVERAL PATHWAYS. (ADAPTED FROM BOULTON AND BROCK (1999); AND PERS. COM. P. BOON).	27
FIGURE 3: MAP OF THE NAMOI CATCHMENT IN NORTHERN NSW, AUSTRALIA, SHOWING THE THREE STUDY SITES ●; MAJOR TOWNS AND RESERVOIRS.	42
FIGURE 4: MEAN DOC CONCENTRATIONS (\pm S.E.) IN THE LOWER NAMOI RIVER: A. BACKGROUND SAMPLING ($\leq 0.6 \text{ M}^3 \text{ SEC}^{-1}$) AT 3 SITES (BOGGABRI, BUGILBONE & WALGETT) MOVING LONGITUDINALLY DOWNSTREAM BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 1999 – MARCH 2005. B. 3 FLOOD EVENTS: GREY BARS (BUGILBONE & WALGETT). 46	
FIGURE 5: MEAN DISCHARGE ($\text{M}^3 \text{ SEC}^{-1}$) AND DOC CONCENTRATIONS (MG L^{-1}) MEASURED EVERY 4-HR IN THE LOWER NAMOI RIVER DURING THREE FLOOD EVENTS: A. BUGILBONE JANUARY 2004; B. WALGETT DECEMBER 2004; C. BUGILBONE DECEMBER 2008. D, E & F: EXPANDED VIEWS OF HYDROGRAPHS IN RELATION TO EACH DOC SAMPLING EVENT CORRESPONDING TO A, B & C RESPECTIVELY. VALUES ON THE 'X' AXIS FOR FIGURES A, B & C ARE IN 24-HR. VERTICAL DASHED LINES REPRESENT SAMPLING PERIOD IN RELATION TO ENTIRE FLOW EVENT.	47
FIGURE 6: FLOWS GENERATED BY IQQM OVER 2000-2001 FOR SCENARIOS: WITHOUT ENVIRONMENTAL FLOWS (DASHED BLACK LINE); WITH ENVIRONMENTAL FLOWS (SOLID BLACK LINE); AND SIMULATED NATURAL FLOWS (SOLID GREY LINE).	49
FIGURE 7: MAP OF THE NAMOI CATCHMENT IN NORTHERN NSW, AUSTRALIA, SHOWING THE TWO STUDY SITES, MAJOR TOWNS AND RESERVOIRS.	60
FIGURE 8: MEAN FLOW ($\text{M}^3 \text{ SEC}^{-1}$) AND DOC CONCENTRATION (MG L^{-1}) MEASURED 4-HOURLY IN THE NAMOI RIVER AT: A. WALGETT DURING A LARGE FLOOD IN DECEMBER 2004; AND B. BUGILBONE DURING MINOR FLOODING IN DECEMBER 2008. GRAPHS: A, $N=1$; D, $N=2 \pm \text{S.D.}$ NIGHT TIME SAMPLES LIE WITHIN VERTICAL BARS.	62
FIGURE 9: NAMOI RIVER CATCHMENT AND LOCATION (●) OF THE STUDY SITE AT BOGGABRI, AND MAJOR TOWNS (■).	72
FIGURE 10: MEAN MONTHLY FLOW PRIOR TO AND DURING THE EXPERIMENTS AT THE GAUGING STATION NAMOI RIVER AT BOGGABRI FROM JANUARY 2005 TO JUNE 2006.	78
FIGURE 11: MEAN SEASONAL CONCENTRATIONS ($N=3$; \pm S.E.) OF: DISSOLVED OXYGEN (A, B, C); LN TRANSFORMED BACTERIAL SURFACE AREA (D, E, F) FROM 1.25 L BOTTLE EXPERIMENTS. SEASONS FROM LEFT – RIGHT: SPRING 05, SUMMER 05 AND AUTUMN 06. DIAGRAMS: A – F: CONTROL. O; GLUCOSE. ▲; GLUCOSE + NUTRIENTS. ▽; NUTRIENTS. ◆; WILLOW LEACHATE. ■; RED-GUM LEACHATE. □. DIAGRAM 'D' NO DAY 0 DATA AVAILABLE, VALUES BASED ON THE COMBINED MEAN SUMMER AND AUTUMN BACTERIA DATA ($N=6$).	82
FIGURE 12: MEAN SEASONAL CONCENTRATIONS ($N=3$; \pm S.E.) OF: DISSOLVED OXYGEN (A, B, C); LN TRANSFORMED BACTERIAL SURFACE AREA (D, E, F) FROM 15 L CARBUOY EXPERIMENTS. SEASONS FROM LEFT – RIGHT: SPRING 05, SUMMER 05 AND AUTUMN 06. DIAGRAMS: A – F: CONTROL. O; GLUCOSE. ▲; WILLOW LEACHATE. ■; RED-GUM LEACHATE. □.	87
FIGURE 13: MEAN SEASONAL CONCENTRATIONS ($N=3$; \pm S.E.) OF CHLOROPHYLL-A FROM 1.25 L BOTTLE (A, B, C); 15 L CARBUOY (D, E, F) EXPERIMENTS. SEASONS FROM LEFT – RIGHT: SPRING 05, SUMMER 05 AND AUTUMN 06. I = INITIAL, C = CONTROL, G = GLUCOSE; RG = RED GUM; W = WILLOW; GN = GLUC + NUTRIENTS, N = NUTRIENTS; INITIAL IS AT THE START OF THE EXPERIMENT AND ALL OTHER VALUES RELATE TO THE FINAL DAY FOR EACH EXPERIMENT.	88
FIGURE 14: MEAN MONTHLY FLOW PRIOR TO AND DURING THE EXPERIMENT AT THE GAUGING STATION NAMOI RIVER AT BOGGABRI.	104
FIGURE 15: CHANGES IN A). DISSOLVED OXYGEN; B). DOC; C). BACTERIAL BIOMASS; AND D). CHLOROPHYLL-A CONCENTRATIONS OVER THE COURSE OF THE EXPERIMENT ($N=4$; \pm S.E. FOR ALL EXCEPT BACTERIAL BIOMASS $N=2$; \pm S.E.). OPEN CIRCLE CONTROL; FILLED TRIANGLE GLUCOSE; OPEN TRIANGLE GLUCOSE + NUTRIENTS; FILLED SQUARE RED GUM; OPEN SQUARE RED GUM + NUTRIENTS.	106
FIGURE 16: CHANGES IN TOTAL ZOOPLANKTON DENSITY OVER THE COURSE OF THE EXPERIMENT ($N=4$; \pm S.E.). OPEN CIRCLE CONTROL; FILLED TRIANGLE GLUCOSE; OPEN TRIANGLE GLUCOSE + NUTRIENTS; FILLED SQUARE RED GUM; OPEN SQUARE RED GUM + NUTRIENTS.	108
FIGURE 17: nMDS PLOT OF ALL MEAN ZOOPLANKTON GENERA VALUES ($\text{LOG}_{10}(X+1)$) TRANSFORMED FOR EACH TREATMENT TYPE ACROSS 3-DAYS (0, 5 & 8 DAYS).	108
FIGURE 18: CHANGES IN ZOOPLANKTON DENSITIES FOR SOME DOMINANT TAXA OVER THE COURSE OF THE EXPERIMENT ($N=4$; \pm S.E.).	113

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1: PERCENTAGE OF TOC THAT IS DOC FOR DIFFERENT SITES AND FLOW CONDITIONS. NT = NOT TESTED. * 2008 FLOOD	46
TABLE 2: ESTIMATE OF DAILY CARBON (DOC) LOADS (TONNES) WITH CORRESPONDING MEAN DAILY DISCHARGE (M ³ SEC ⁻¹) AND TOTAL DOC EXPORT OVER THE SAMPLING PERIOD OF THE FLOOD EVENT. N = 6 ON 14TH – 19TH AND 21ST; 5: 20TH & 22ND; 4: 13TH; 3: 23RD DECEMBER. * THE MEAN DISCHARGE IS THE FIRST 12-HR AS DOC SAMPLING WAS ONLY TAKEN IN THE MORNING.	48
TABLE 3: YEARLY (JUNE TO JULY) DOC EXPORT LOADS (TONNES) FOR THE LOWER NAMOI RIVER BASED ON MODELLED SCENARIOS (IQM) OF NO ENVIRONMENTAL FLOWS, WITH ENVIRONMENTAL FLOWS AND SIMULATED NATURAL FLOWS. LOAD WAS DETERMINED DAILY AND SUMMED TO GIVE YEARLY DOC EXPORT.	50
TABLE 4: SAMPLING DETAILS WITH MEAN AND RANGE OF DOC CONCENTRATIONS OBTAINED FROM EACH FLOW EVENT; # RISING LIMB ONLY.....	61
TABLE 5: AMBIENT AND AMENDED DOC AND INORGANIC NUTRIENT CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE BOTTLE AND CARBUOY EXPERIMENTS.....	75
TABLE 6: PERMANOVA RESULTS, 1.25-L BOTTLE EXPERIMENTS.....	80
TABLE 7: PAIRWISE COMPARISON RESULTS, 1.25-L BOTTLE EXPERIMENTS.....	83
TABLE 8: PERMANOVA & PAIRWISE RESULTS FOR 15-L CARBUOY EXPERIMENTS.....	85
TABLE 9: MEAN ABUNDANCES OF TAXA PER SAMPLE.....	109
TABLE 10: TWO WAY PERMANOVA TESTS OF LOG ABUNDANCE FOR ALL TAXA EXCEPT THOSE WITH VERY LOW ABUNDANCES.....	110
TABLE 11: ZOOPLANKTON DIVERSITY FOR DIFFERENT TREATMENT ON DIFFERENT DAYS BASED ON SIMPSON'S <i>D</i> AND SHANNON-WIENER'S <i>H</i> INDICES.....	112

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the New South Wales (NSW) Government's Integrated Monitoring of Environmental Flows Program.

Sincerest thanks to Dr Simon Mitrovic and Associate Professor Richard Lim from the Centre for Environmental Sustainability, School of the Environment at the University of Technology, Sydney (UTS) for their encouragement, guidance and comments on this manuscript. In particular, I owe a debt of gratitude to Dr Simon Mitrovic who played the roles of both University and Industrial Supervisor (NSW Office of Water (NOW) work colleague) throughout this research. Ultimately this research and the subsequent papers generated would not have happened if it wasn't for Simon's tenacious persuasion; positive re-enforcement and ability to detect merit in the data sets that otherwise may have been put aside.

Special thanks go to the collaborative efforts of a number of work colleagues for their inputs at various stages throughout this research. In particular, I acknowledge Dr Tsuyoshi Kobayashi from the Office of Environment and Heritage and PhD candidate James Hitchcock (UTS) for their taxonomic prowess in the identification and enumeration of bacteria and zooplankton. Thanks also David Ryan and Dr Ivor Grows (NOW) for their meticulous input, guidance and unequivocal interpretation within the statistical realms of this research. Thanks to PhD candidate Ben Woodward (Griffith University) for his assistance in chasing flows down the lower Namoi River. Thanks to Lucy Parsons (NOW) for the preparation of the maps and also Meredith Royal (NOW) for painstakingly editing the final version of this work.

Dr John Brayan, Adam Crawford and Elionora Zelenkova of the NOW laboratory are thanked for analysis of water quality parameters. Thanks also to the various NOW managers over the years, in particular Greg Raisin for the initial support when I was seeking approval to undertake this research thesis. Thanks to Dr's Gavin Rees and Darren Ryder for their positive feedback and invaluable input whilst reviewing this thesis.

Abstract

Like many lowland rivers around the world, the Namoi River in north-west New South Wales (NSW) has greatly reduced rates of flow due to effects of regulation and abstraction. This has likely led to reduced amounts of allochthonous dissolved organic carbon (DOC) entering main channels through less frequent wetting of benches, flood runners and floodplains. However, these changes in DOC have not been quantified. In addition, the benefits that increased delivery of DOC may have to aquatic food webs are not well understood for lowland rivers.

The NSW Government has focussed on the sustainable use of natural resources with a strong environmental protection component. Part of the legislative requirements was to supply environmental flows to rivers and to quantify ecological benefits. A scientific approach was developed, and monitoring of these releases began in 1999 under the Integrated Monitoring of Environmental Flows (IMEF) program.

In this study DOC concentrations were quantified over different flow regimes across three sites at a variety of temporal scales with export loads and diel variation determined. DOC concentrations over low flow periods were fairly similar between sites and ranged between 5 and 10 mg L⁻¹. DOC concentrations during a major flood increased substantially with a mean of 20.4 mg L⁻¹ and a maximum of 44 mg L⁻¹. A significant ($P<0.05$) positive linear relationship was found between median DOC concentration and flow. The relationship between DOC and flow was used to estimate DOC loads to the river under different modelled flow scenarios including without environmental flow, with environmental flow, and simulated natural flow. Environmental flows were found to increase DOC delivery relative to current flows and approximated that delivered under natural conditions.

To determine diel variability, DOC was sampled at 4 hr intervals from two sites across two distinct flow regimes. This included a large flood (mean flow 224 m³ sec⁻¹ and a peak flow of 376 m³ sec⁻¹) sampled four hourly for ten consecutive days. DOC concentrations were significantly greater at night than during the day ($P<0.05$) and the mean DOC concentration was 23.4 mg L⁻¹ at night compared to 18.9 mg L⁻¹ during daylight hours. The magnitude and duration of flow within this lowland river system and the mobilisation of large quantities of

allochthonous carbon appeared to play a role in increasing DOC concentration and the diel difference.

Experimental investigations were also carried out to determine the responses of the planktonic food web (i.e., bacterioplankton, phytoplankton and zooplankton) to various additions of DOC. *In-situ* microcosm results indicated that ambient DOC availability limited the bacterioplankton for the three seasons over which we conducted the experiments. When DOC was added alone, dissolved oxygen concentrations decreased primarily because of increased bacterial respiration and bacterioplankton growth generally increased relative to controls. Additions of DOC alone led to a pattern of decreased chlorophyll *a* concentration relative to that of the controls, except for willow leachate. Additions of inorganic nutrients alone increased chlorophyll *a* concentrations above that of the controls, indicating limitation of phytoplankton productivity.

Results from 70 L mesocosm experiments to determine zooplankton responses revealed that DOC addition with and without nutrients increased heterotrophic respiration and led to significant increases in bacterial biomass. In treatments with the natural leachate, zooplankton concentration and diversity increased relative to that of the controls. Amendment with glucose alone also resulted in their increased growth and diversity, but not to the same extent. Glucose with inorganic nutrients led to similar growth and diversity to that of the control.

This study has shown that environmental flows increase the delivery of allochthonous DOC to the river and should create conditions that more resemble natural conditions. Furthermore it supports the hypothesis that allochthonous sources of DOC delivered to a river with inflows will stimulate heterotrophic bacterioplankton and alter the food web leading to increased zooplankton concentration and diversity.

Structure of thesis

Please be advised that Chapters 3 to 6 have been written as papers for publication and therefore some repetition of sections (e.g., Materials and Methods) is necessary.

Chapter 5 has been published in the journal *Hydrobiologia* as follows:

Westhorpe, D.P., Mitrovic, S.M., Ryan, D. & Kobayashi, T. 2010, 'Limitation of lowland riverine bacterioplankton by dissolved organic carbon and inorganic nutrients', *Hydrobiologia*, vol. 652, pp. 101-117.

Chapter 4 has been published in the journal *Limnologia* as follows:

Westhorpe, D.P., Mitrovic, S.M. & K. Benjamin Woodward. (2012). 'Diel variation of dissolved organic carbon during large flow events in a lowland river', *Limnologia*, vol. 42, pp. 220-226.

Chapters 3 and 6 are currently in preparation for submission to appropriate journals.