



Hydrodynamic Study on Fouling Control in Submerged Membrane Microfiltration

Muna Pradhan

A thesis submitted in fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Engineering and Information technology
University of Technology, Sydney

November 2012

Certificate of Authorship/Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Muna Pradhan
November 2012

Acknowledgement

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. SARAVANAMUTHU VIGNESWARAN for his direction, guidance, wisdom, insight and patience. I am sure that this dissertation would not have been possible without his support, understanding and encouragement. I owe sincere thanks to my research advisor, Prof. Roger Ben Aim, who guided me through the whole process of dissertation writing. I would also like to show my gratitude to A/Prof. Jaya Kandasamy for his help throughout my entire study, as well as Dr. Rupak Aryal, who helped me in my initial period of research, and friends Johir, Chinu, Anil for their help and moral support.

I would like to thank the Australian Federal Government for the Australian Postgraduate Award (APA) to support my study.

My most profound thanks, my most heartfelt appreciation, my deepest gratitude goes to my family, without whom none of this could have been accomplished. To my parents and parents in-law, thanks for your unwavering confidence in me, for your love. Thanks to my brothers and sisters for their love and encouragement. To my son Binam and daughter Manvi, thanks for your gorgeous smiles that helped me to forget all my tiredness, your cheery dispositions and excited cries of 'Maamu' when I get home late from work. I will never be able to thank my husband Binod, who made me believe in myself and encouraged me through the whole process of research. Thank you for your selfless support and belief in me; thank you for loving me the way you do.

Dedication

To my late father Gopal Bhagat Pradhan,
His blessed words of encouragement and silent inspiration
in pursuit of excellence, still dwell on me.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgement	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xvi
Abstract	xvii
Chapter 1	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives.....	3
1.3 Thesis Structure.....	5
Chapter 2	8
2.1 Membrane Technology	8
2.1.1 Membrane	10
2.1.2 Membrane Module	10
2.1.3 Membrane Process Configurations	14
2.1.4 Membrane Filtration Process	16
2.1.5 Membrane Operational Modes.....	17
2.2 Membrane Fouling	19
2.2.1 Types of Fouling	20
2.2.2 Causes of Membrane Fouling	22
2.2.2.1 Membrane Properties	24
2.2.2.2 Biomass Characteristics	25
2.2.2.3 Operating Conditions	26
2.3 Antifouling Remedies	27
2.3.1 Optimising Membrane Characteristics.....	28
2.3.2 Pretreatment of the Feed	29
2.3.2.1 Coagulation/Flocculation	30
2.3.2.2 Adsorption.....	34
2.3.3 Operational/Hydrodynamic Modification.....	36
2.3.3.1 Submerged Rotating Disc Membrane	36
2.3.3.2 Vibrating Membrane	36
2.3.3.3 Cross-Flow Velocity (CFV).....	38
2.3.3.4 Standing Vortex Waves (SVW).....	39
2.3.3.5 Insert/ Baffles and Pulsatile Flow	39

2.3.3.6 Membrane Cleaning	40
2.3.3.7 Application of Air Flow	41
2.4 Influence of Air Flow on Membrane Fouling	41
2.5 Air Bubbles-Induced Antifouling Mechanisms	46
2.6 Effect of Bubble Characteristics on Mass Transport Process	47
2.6.1 Bubble Characteristics	51
2.6.2 Bubble Flow Pattern.....	53
2.7 Effect of Air Flow on Energy Consumption	54
2.8 Application of External Agent to Reduce Air Flow.....	56
2.9 Effect of Viscosity on Membrane Fouling.....	57
Chapter 3	62
3.1 Introduction	63
3.2 Materials and Method	65
3.2.1 Materials.....	65
3.2.1.1 Membrane	65
3.2.1.2 Kaolin Clay	65
3.2.3 Method	67
3.3 Results and Discussion.....	70
3.3.1 Effect of Air Flow on TMP Reduction	70
3.3.2 Effect of Permeate Flux Rates on TMP at Different Air Flow Rates	73
3.3.3 Effect of Air Flow on Particle Deposition (Fouling)	76
3.3.4 Effect of Air Flow on Particle Size Distribution.....	78
3.3.5 The Relationship between TMP and Particle Deposition for Different Air Flow Rates.....	82
3.4 Conclusions	84
Chapter 4	86
4.1 Introduction	86
4.2 Force Balance Model for Particle Deposition	89
4.3 Materials and Method	95
4.4 Results and Discussion.....	95
4.4.1 Effect of Air Flow Rate on Feed Concentration	95
4.4.2 Effect of Air Flow Rate on Total Membrane Resistance	97
4.4.3 Cake Resistance	101
4.4.4 Specific Filtration Resistance of Cake	104
4.4.5 Effects of Air Flow Rate and Permeate Flux on Particle Deposition	107
4.4.6 Correlation of Air Flow with Particle Deposition and TMP.....	108
4.5 Conclusions	110

Chapter 5	111
5.1 Introduction	111
5.2 Theory	115
5.3 Materials and Method	116
5.4 Results and Discussion.....	117
5.4.1 Transmembrane Pressure (TMP)	117
5.4.2 Particle Deposition	121
5.5 Conclusions	132
Chapter 6	133
6.1 Introduction.....	133
6.2 Materials and Method	137
6.2.1 Materials.....	137
6.2.2 Method	138
6.3 Results and Discussion.....	138
6.3.1 Effect of Viscosity on Cake Resistance (R_c) under Different Air Flow Rates	141
6.3.2 Effect of Viscosity on Particle Deposition (Fouling).....	148
6.3.3 Relationship of Cake Resistance and Particle Deposition	152
6.3.4 Effect of Permeate Flux on TMP	157
6.4 Conclusions	162
Chapter 7	164
7.1 Introduction.....	165
7.2 Materials and Method	169
7.3 Results and Discussion.....	171
7.3.1 A Permeate Flux of 15 L/m ² /h	171
7.3.2 A Permeate Flux of 20 L/m ² /h	175
7.3.3 A Permeate Flux of 30 L/m ² /h	179
7.3.4 A Permeate Flux of 40 L/m ² /h	182
7.3.5 A Permeate Flux of 50 L/m ² /h	185
7.4 Transmembrane Pressure Reduction Factor (TRF).....	188
7.5 Discussion	189
7.6 Conclusions	191
Chapter 8	193
8.1 Introduction.....	193
8.2 Materials and Method	197
8.2.1 Materials.....	197

8.2.2 Method	198
8.2.2.1 Batch Flocculation-Microfiltration Test	198
8.2.2.2 In-Line Flocculation - Microfiltration Test.....	200
8.2.2.3 Determination of Particle Deposition	202
8.3 Results and Discussion.....	203
8.3.1 Batch Flocculation-Microfiltration Test	203
8.3.1.1 A Permeate Flux of 30 L/m ² /h	204
8.3.1.2 A Permeate Flux of 60 L/m ² /h	209
8.3.1.3 A Permeate Flux of 90 L/m ² /h	212
8.3.1.4 Effect of Air Flow Rate on Batch Flocculation-Microfiltration Test ...	215
8.3.2 Effect of Air Flow Rate on In-Line Flocculation-Microfiltration Test.....	217
8.4 Conclusions	220
Chapter 9	221
9.1 Introduction.....	221
9.2 Materials and Method	225
9.2.1 Materials.....	225
9.2.2 Method	228
9.3 Mathematical Modelling of Submerged Membrane Reactor with Suspended Adsorption (Adsorbent)	231
9.4 Results and Discussion.....	233
9.4.1 Characterisation of Organic Matter with and without Addition of Adsorbents	233
9.4.2 Mathematical Modelling on the Effect of the Addition of Adsorbents (PAC and Purolite).....	239
9.4.2.1 Effect of Adsorbent Doses on Organic Removal.....	239
9.4.2.2 Effect of Adsorbent Dose on the Adsorption of Organic Matter	240
9.4.2.3 Effect of Adsorbent Dose on Membrane Cake Resistance (R _c).....	241
9.5 Conclusions	242
Chapter 10	244
10.1 Conclusions.....	245
10.1.1 Application of Air Flow for Mitigation of Particle Deposition in Submerged Membrane Microfiltration.....	245
10.1.2 Modelling of Particle Deposition in Submerged Membrane Microfiltration	246
10.1.3 Combined Effect of Air and Mechanical Scouring on Fouling Reduction in Submerged Membrane Microfiltration	247
10.1.4 Experimental Investigation on the Effects of Viscosity and Air Bubbles on Membrane Fouling in Submerged Membrane Microfiltration.....	248

10.1.5 Influence of Aerator Geometry (Bubble Size) on Particle Deposition Control during Submerged Membrane Microfiltration.....	249
10.1.6 Assessment of Fouling Behaviour during Microfiltration Coupled with Flocculation in a Submerged Flat Sheet System.....	249
10.1.7 Benefits of Adsorbent Addition with Submerged Membrane Microfiltration in Wastewater Treatment	250
10.2 Recommendations for Future Work.....	251
10.2.1 Application of Variable Air Flow Rate Analysing Energy Consumption .	251
10.2.2 Optimisation of Combined Effect of Support Medium and Air Flow Rate	252
References	253
Appendix	267
List of Publications	267

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Diagram of flat plate submerged MBR (Churchouse 1997).....	11
Figure 2.2 Hollow fibre membrane (ZeeWeed).....	11
Figure 2.3 Tubular membrane.....	12
Figure 2.4 Spiral-wound membrane.....	12
Figure 2.5 Typical set-up of a submerged MBR system.....	15
Figure 2.6 Typical set-up of an external MBR system	15
Figure 2.7 Separation characteristics of pressure driven membrane processes (Cheryan 1998)	17
Figure 2.8 Modes of operation for membrane filtration: (a) Dead-end (b) Cross-flow operation modes (Cheryan 1998).....	18
Figure 2.9 Reversible and irreversible fouling in membrane system (Faibish & Cohen 2001)	20
Figure 2.10 Factors influencing membrane fouling in MBR process (Chang & Judd 2002)	23
Figure 2.11 Inter-relationship between engineering decisions and permeability loss (Drews 2010a).....	24
Figure 2.12 Suction pressure vs. air flow rate for different permeate fluxes (Ueda et al. 1997)	42
Figure 2.13 Effect of air flow on permeate flux (Cabassud et al. 1997).....	43
Figure 2.14 Cross-flow velocity vs. aeration intensity for two different types of diffuser (Sofia et al. 2004).....	49
Figure 2.15 Characteristics chart (Clift et al. 1978).....	51
Figure 2.16 Bubble flow patterns for two phase flow in vertical pipe (Taitel et al. 1980)	53
Figure 2.17 Viscosity obtained at different MLSS concentrations and shear rates (Le-Clech et al. 2003)	59
Figure 2.18 Effect of viscosity on average bubble rise velocity at various air flow rates (Wicaksana et al. 2006).....	60
Figure 3.1 Particle size distribution of kaolin clay	67
Figure 3.2 Schematic diagram of experimental set-up.....	68

Figure 3.3 Photograph of experimental set-up.....	68
Figure 3.4 Effect of air flow on TMP at different permeate flux and air flow rates.....	72
Figure 3.5 Effect of permeate flux on TMP at different air flow rates	75
Figure 3.6 Effect of air flow on particle deposition on membrane surface.....	78
Figure 3.7 Effect of air flow on particle size distribution	81
Figure 3.8: Relationship between TMP and particle deposition for different air flow rates	83
Figure 4.1 Acting forces on a single particle during microfiltration.....	90
Figure 4.2 Effect of air flow on feed concentration in a submerged reactor tank.....	96
Figure 4.3 TMP and total membrane resistance developments for constant flux rates ..	99
Figure 4.4 TMP developments after 7 hours of microfiltration under different air flow rates	100
Figure 4.5 Particle deposition vs. specific filtrate volume at different air flow and permeate flux rates	101
Figure 4.6 Relationship of cake resistance and particle deposition under different air flow rates and permeate fluxes of 10, 15 and 20 L/m ² /h	103
Figure 4.7 Effect of permeate flux on specific filtration resistance of cake	104
Figure 4.8 Comparison of specific filtration cake resistance.....	106
Figure 4.9 Effect of air flow on specific filtration cake resistance	107
Figure 4.10 Effects of air flow rate and filtration flux on the particle deposition	107
Figure 4.11 TMP and particle deposition at different filtration times with different air flow rates.....	109
Figure 5.1 Schematic diagram of experimental set-up.....	116
Figure 5.2 Effects of air flow rates and the addition of a support medium on TMP	119
Figure 5.3 Effects of air flow rates and the addition of a support medium to the particle deposition on the membrane surface.....	124
Figure 5.4 Effects of support medium on TMP deposition at 1200 L/h/m ² air flow rate under various filtration flux rates.....	127
Figure 5.5 Effect of support medium and air flow rates on total filtration resistances for different filtration flux rates	129

Figure 5.6 Effects of air flow rate, support medium and filtration flux on cake filtration resistance	131
Figure 6.1 Viscosity obtained at different MLSS concentrations and shear rates (Le-Clech et al. 2003)	135
Figure 6.2 Glycerol fractions for varying concentrations of viscosities	137
Figure 6.3 TMP development for water only and water-glycerol mixture of different viscosity	139
Figure 6.4 Variation of TMP and viscosity.....	140
Figure 6.5 Effect of viscosity on cake resistance under various air flow rates at 10 L/m ² /h (Kaolin clay concentration = 10 g/L).....	143
Figure 6.6 Effect of viscosity on cake resistance under various air flow rates at 15 L/m ² /h, (Kaolin clay concentration = 10 g/L).....	145
Figure 6.7 Effect of viscosity on cake resistance (J = 20 L/m ² /h)	146
Figure 6.8 Effect of viscosity on particle deposition under various air flow rates at 10 L/m ² /h.....	150
Figure 6.9 Effect of viscosity on particle deposition at a permeate flux of 15 L/m ² /h (air flow rate = 1.8 m ³ /m ² /h).....	152
Figure 6.10 Relationship between cake resistance and particle deposition at 10 L/m ² /h	153
Figure 6.11 Relationship between cake resistance and particle deposition at 15 L/m ² /h	154
Figure 6.12 Relationship between cake resistance and particle deposition at different flux rates (air flow rate = 1.8 m ³ /m ² /h)	156
Figure 6.13 Effect of viscosity on specific filtration resistance.....	157
Figure 6.14 Effect of permeate flux on transmembrane pressure under various air flow rates (viscosity = 0.002 Pa.s)	158
Figure 6.15 Effect of permeate flux on the total membrane resistance under various air flow rates (viscosity = 0.002 Pa.s)	161
Figure 6.16 Effect of permeate flux on (a) TMP (b) total membrane resistance (viscosity 0.003 Pa.s).....	162
Figure 7.1 Photographic images of air diffuser plates	170
Figure 7.2 Variation of TMP profiles with different bubble sizes at air flow of 1.2 m ³ /m ² /h.....	172

Figure 7.3 Effect of bubble sizes on particle deposition at air flow rate of 1.2 m ³ /m ² /h	173
Figure 7.4 Total membrane resistance at different air bubble sizes.....	174
Figure 7.5 Relationship of cake resistance (R _c) and particle deposition for different air bubble sizes (J = 15 L/m ² /h)	175
Figure 7.6 Variation of TMP at different air flow and bubble sizes.....	176
Figure 7.7 Variation of particle deposition at different air flow and bubble sizes.....	176
Figure 7.8 Total membrane resistance at different air flow and bubble sizes.....	177
Figure 7.9 Relationship of cake resistance (R _c) and particle deposition at different air flow and bubble sizes (J = 20 L/m ² /h)	179
Figure 7.10 TMP profiles at different air flow rates (J = 30 L/m ² /h)	180
Figure 7.11 Particle deposition at different air flow rates (J = 30 L/m ² /h)	181
Figure 7.12 Total membrane resistance at different operating conditions (J = 30 L/m ² /h)	181
Figure 7.13 Variation of TMP profiles at different air bubble sizes (J = 40 L/m ² /h) ...	182
Figure 7.14 Particle deposition at different air bubble sizes (J = 40 L/m ² /h)	183
Figure 7.15 TMP profiles at different air flow rates with small air bubble sizes (J = 40 L/m ² /h)	184
Figure 7.16 Variation of particle deposition at different air flow with small air bubble sizes	184
Figure 7.17 Total membrane resistance at different air flow rates	185
Figure 7.18 Variation of TMP profiles with small air bubbles	186
Figure 7.19 Variation of particle deposition with small air bubbles.....	186
Figure 7.20 Total membrane resistance at small air bubble sizes (J = 50 L/m ² /h)	187
Figure 7.21 TMP reduction factors for different permeate flux rates	189
Figure 8.1 Schematic diagram of experimental set-up of batch flocculation-microfiltration test.....	199
Figure 8.2 Schematic diagram of experimental set-up of in-line flocculation-microfiltration test.....	201
Figure 8.3 Particle depositions from two methods at 60 L/m ² /h and 1.0 m ³ /m ² /h air flow rate (FeCl ₃ = 75 mg/L)	202

Figure 8.4 Particle depositions from two methods at 90 L/m ² /h and 1.0 m ³ /m ² /h air flow rate (FeCl ₃ = 60 mg/L)	203
Figure 8.5 Impact of flocculant (FeCl ₃) on TMP development at air flow rate of 1.0 m ³ /m ² /h (J = 30 L/ m ² /h)	204
Figure 8.6 TMP variations after 180 minutes of filtration	205
Figure 8.7 Impact of flocculant (FeCl ₃) on particle deposition at a flux of 30 L/m ² /h and air flow rate of 1.0 m ³ /m ² /h	206
Figure 8.8 Particle deposition variation after 180 minutes of filtration	207
Figure 8.9 Relationship of cake resistance and particle deposition at different doses of FeCl ₃	209
Figure 8.10 Impact of flocculant (FeCl ₃) on TMP development at a flux of 60 L/m ² /h and air flow rate of 1.0 m ³ /m ² /h	210
Figure 8.11 Impact of flocculant (FeCl ₃) on particle deposition at a flux of 60 L/m ² /h and air flow rate of 1.0 m ³ /m ² /h	211
Figure 8.12 Relationship of cake resistance and particle deposition at different concentrations of flocculant (J = 60 L/m ² /h)	212
Figure 8.13 Decrease in specific cake resistance (α_{av}) with an increase of flocculant concentration (J= 60 L/m ² /h)	212
Figure 8.14 TMP development for different concentrations of flocculant (J = 90 L/m ² /h and air flow rate = 1.0 m ³ /m ² /h)	213
Figure 8.15 Particle deposition for different concentrations of flocculant (J = 90 L/m ² /h and air flow rate = 1.0 m ³ /m ² /h)	214
Figure 8.16 Relationship of cake resistance and particle deposition at different concentrations of flocculant (J = 90 L/m ² /h)	215
Figure 8.17 Effect of flocculant on mean particle size of kaolin particles	215
Figure 8.18 TMP development profiles for 30 LMH with 75 mg/L of FeCl ₃ under various air flow rates	217
Figure 8.19 Particle depositions for 30 L/m ² /h with 75 mg/L FeCl ₃ under various air flow rates	217
Figure 8.20 Determination of optimum dose of FeCl ₃	218
Figure 8.21 Effect of air flow rates on in-line flocculation-microfiltration test at 30 L/m ² /h	219
Figure 9.1 Schematic diagram of SMR with adsorbent in suspension	230

Figure 9. 2(a) EEM of synthetic wastewater.....	236
Figure 9.2 (b) EEM of wastewater after pretreatment with the ion exchange (Purolite) in different doses (0.1-1.0 g/L).....	237
Figure 9.2 (c) EEM of wastewater after pretreatment with the PAC in different doses (0.05-0.5 g/L)	238
Figure 9.3 Effect of adsorbent dose on the adsorption of organic matter by (a) PAC and (b) Purolite A500PS (Flux 20 L/m ² /h, W=concentration of adsorbent)	240
Figure 9.4 Effect of adsorbent dose on the adsorption of organic matter onto membrane (Flux 20 L/m ² /h).....	241
Figure 9.5 Effect of adsorbent dose on TMP development (Flux 30 L/m ² /h)	242

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Membrane module configurations (Stephenson et al. 2000)	13
Table 3.1 Membrane characteristics	65
Table 3.2 Characteristics of kaolin clay	66
Table 3.3 TMP Reduction with varying air flow rates.....	73
Table 3.4 TMP reduction with variation of permeate flux rates	74
Table 3.5 Fouling reduction with increasing air flow rates	78
Table 3.6 Relationship between TMP and particle deposition for different permeate flux and air flow rates	84
Table 5.1 TMP Reduction percentage in different operating conditions	121
Table 5.2 Fouling reduction percentage in different operating conditions	126
Table 6.1 Increment in cake resistance with changing feed viscosity at different air flow rates (after 7 hours of filtration)	147
Table 6.2 Effect of air on cake resistance reduction (%) with varying feed viscosity..	148
Table 7.1 TMP reduction under various air flow and air bubble sizes	188
Table 7.2 Particle deposition reduction under various air flow and air bubble sizes....	188
Table 8.1 Specification of ferric chloride	198
Table 8.2 Average value of the specific resistance (α_{av}) x 10^{12} (m/kg)	208
Table 9.1 Constituents of the synthetic wastewater	226
Table 9.2 Typical chemical & physical characteristics of Purolite A500PS	227
Table 9.3 (a) Characteristics of powdered activated carbon (PAC).....	227
Table 9.3 (b) Characteristics of granular activated carbon (GAC)	228
Table 9.4: Freundlich adsorption isotherms parameters	232
Table 9.5: Characterization of organic matter with and without adsorbent addition in submerged membrane reactor (Flux 20 L/m ² /h)	235
Table 9.6: System parameters – reactor, membrane and adsorbent (PAC and Purolite)	239
Table 9.7: Effect of adsorbent dose on membrane cake resistance (R_c) [Flux: 30 L/m ² /h, clean membrane resistance (R_m): 2.1×10^{12} m ⁻¹].....	241

Abstract

Application of air flow is a promising hydrodynamic technique for membrane fouling control in submerged membrane separation systems. This thesis investigates the effect of operating conditions and hydrodynamic parameters on membrane fouling during submerged membrane microfiltration. The experiments were conducted under different operating conditions such as the application of various air flow rates, permeate flux rates, the addition of external materials (granular activated carbon: GAC, ferric chloride: FeCl_3) and with different feed properties (varying viscosity) for model feed suspension (kaolin clay). Membrane fouling was examined with the different geometries of air diffuser plates that generate different sizes of air bubbles. Additionally, this study reports on the effect of adsorbents on the removal of organic matter during the microfiltration of synthetic wastewater.

Membrane fouling was evaluated with a popular hydrodynamic approach: the application of air flow in submerged flat sheet membrane microfiltration. The investigation focused on the measurement of transmembrane pressure (TMP), particle deposition (fouling) and particle size distribution to assess membrane fouling under a variety of filtration conditions. The experimental results show that an increase in air flow rate reduces TMP as well as particle deposition for all the operating conditions studied. Conversely, an increase in permeate flux causes higher TMP development. The linear relationship between the TMP and particle deposition has been established for different air flow and permeate flow rates. Based on experiments, a particle deposition mathematical model was developed for a high concentration of kaolin clay in suspension. In the case of a higher viscosity of feed, elevated TMP indicated higher fouling; however, TMP was reduced by increasing the flow of air bubbles (higher air scour).

The high energy requirement for air flow systems is a challenging issue in submerged membranes, and this study therefore suggests alternative techniques for optimising the air flow rate and limiting fouling. The addition of external material (support medium) in the kaolin suspension caused a further reduction in membrane fouling that was the result of air scour only. Almost the same reduction in TMP was obtained by adding a support medium instead of doubling the air flow rate. Therefore, the addition of a support

medium with low air flow could offer a good alternative for the control of fouling in a submerged membrane microfiltration system. Furthermore, different geometries of air diffuser plates had a significant influence on the performance of the submerged membrane microfiltration. Improved reductions in TMP and particle deposition were observed with the circular aerator (small bubbles) rather than the square aerator (large air bubbles). The results in this study highlight that the effectiveness of the air flow depends on the geometry of the aerator plates and the consequent production of different sizes of air bubbles. The optimisation of the combined effect of the support medium and air flow with the appropriate aerator plate geometry will lead to a less energy-intensive operation in membrane microfiltration systems.

This study also separately examines the effect of flocculation and adsorption coupled with submerged microfiltration on membrane performance. The TMP development at optimal flocculant (FeCl_3) concentration was significantly less than it was with unflocculated kaolin feed. The addition of flocculant exhibited better control of colloidal membrane fouling due to the modification of the cake properties (cake structure, porosity, and compactness). In the case of an adsorption-microfiltration system with synthetic wastewater, the adsorbents (powder activated carbon: PAC, GAC and Purolite) introduced into the suspension helped to remove organic matter prior to their contact with the membrane. The submerged membrane adsorption system was very effective in removing dissolved organic matter from the synthetic wastewater. Of these three adsorbents, PAC was observed to be the most effective, with a higher level of removal efficiency for dissolved organic carbon. A higher dose of PAC showed an almost 100% reduction of hydrophobic compounds.

A higher air flow rate was always found to be beneficial to membrane fouling control, whereas high viscosity had a negative impact on fouling. High air flow demands high energy, which leads to high operating costs. This research investigated various options to minimise air flow rate. The addition of a support medium (GAC) could be a good alternative to a high air flow rate. The geometry and design of the aerator plate were also very important parameters that directly influenced membrane fouling. More importantly, the flocculation and adsorption used in this study were found to be very effective in the reduction of membrane fouling.