

# **Packet-Loss Prediction Model Based on Historical Symbolic Time-Series Forecasting**

by

**Hooman Homayounfard**

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology



UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY

October 2013



© Copyright 2013

by

**Hooman Homayounfard**

© All rights reserved. This work may not be reproduced in whole or in part, by photocopy or other means, without the permission of the author.

## CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

*I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.*

*I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.*

*Signature of Candidate*

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

# Acknowledgements

*During my PhD program, I have received support and encouragement from a great number of individuals. I express my deep gratitude to my principal supervisor, Dr Paul Kennedy, for his excellent guidance in writing this thesis and the papers which preceded chapters. Dr Paul's professional advice and unyielding support led me to accomplishing this task.*

*I would like to thank my co-supervisors Prof John Debenham, Prof Robin Braun and Prof Simeon Simoff for advising me on the work of this thesis. I should also acknowledge Prof Barry Jay, Prof Didar Zowghi, Prof Massimo Piccardi, Prof Longbing Cao, Prof Jie Lu, Dr Ante Prodan, Dr Vinod Mirchandani, Dr Priyadarsi Nanda, Dr Masoud Talebian, Andrew Litchfield, Jose Vergara, Nima Ramzani, Debi Taylor and Vahid Behbood. These are a few of many people who had a positive impact on my achievements.*

*I am in debt to the whole UTS community for challenging my mind over the past years. I do thank Mrs Eilagh Rurenga for polishing chapters in my thesis. I am beyond grateful for the help from Craig Shuard and Phyllis Agius, the FEIT research officers.*

*Dear family and friends, thank you for your incredible support. I would have been lost without you in this process. My special regard to my wife and mother, Narges and Mahin, for their sincere love, patience and support. The thesis is dedicated to my dear Leila.*

## Publications

1. **Hooman Homayounfard**, Paul Kennedy, and Robbin Braun, *NARGES: Prediction Model for Informed Routing in a Communications Network*, In J. Pei et al., editor, *LNAI*, volume 7818, pages 327-338. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
2. **Hooman Homayounfard** and Paul Kennedy, *HDAX: Historical Symbolic Modelling of Delay Time Series in a Communications Network*, In P. J. Kennedy, K. Ong, and P. Christen, editors, *AusDM09*, volume 101 of CRPIT, pages 129-138, Melbourne, Australia, 2009.

# Abstract

Rapid growth of Internet users and services has prompted researchers to contemplate smart models of supporting applications with the required Quality of Service (QoS). By prioritising Internet traffic and the core network more efficiently, QoS and Traffic Engineering (TE) functions can address performance issues related to emerging Internet applications. Consequently, software agents are expected to become key tools for the development of future software in distributed telecommunication environments. A major problem with the current routing mechanisms is that they generate routing tables that do not reflect the real-time state of the network and ignore factors like local congestion.

The uncertainty in making routing decisions may be reduced by using information extracted from the knowledge base for packet transmissions. Many parameters have an impact on routing decision-making such as link transmission rate, data throughput, number of hops between two communicating peer end nodes, and time of day. There are also other certain performance parameters like delay, jitter and packet-loss, which are decision factors for online QoS traffic routing.

The work of this thesis addresses the issue of defining a Data Mining (DM) model for packet switching in the communications network. In particular, the focus is on decision-making for smart routing management, which is based on the knowledge provided by DM informed agents. The main idea behind this work and related research projects is that time-series of network performance parameters, with periodical patterns, can be

used as anomaly and failure detectors in the network. This project finds frequent patterns on delay and jitter time-series, which are useful in real-time packet-loss predictions.

The thesis proposes two models for approximation of delay and jitter time-series, and prediction of packet-loss time-series – namely the Historical Symbolic Delay Approximation Model (HDAX) and the Data Mining Model for Smart Routing in Communications Networks (NARGES). The models are evaluated using two kinds of datasets. The datasets for the experiments are generated using: (i) the Distributed Internet Traffic Generator (D-ITG) and (ii) the OPNET Modeller (OPNET) datasets.

HDAX forecasting module approximates current delay and jitter values based on the previous values and trends of the corresponding delay and jitter time-series. The prediction module, a Multilayer Perceptron (MLP), within the NARGES model uses the inputs obtained from HDAX. That is, the HDAX forecasted delay and jitter values are used by NARGES to estimate the future packet-loss value.

The contributions of this thesis are (i) a real time Data Mining (DM) model called HDAX; (ii) a hybrid DM model called NARGES; (iii) model evaluation with D-ITG datasets; and (iv) model evaluation with OPNET datasets.

In terms of the model results, NARGES and HDAX are evaluated with offline heterogeneous QoS traces. The results are compared to Autoregressive Moving Average (ARMA) model. HDAX model shows better speed and accuracy compared to ARMA and its forecasts are more correlated with target values than ARMA. NARGES demonstrates better correlation with target values than ARMA and more accuracy of the results, but it is slower than ARMA.



# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	5
1.2 Objectives and Key Tasks . . . . .	6
1.3 Research Design and Methodology . . . . .	7
1.3.1 Data Network Scenarios . . . . .	7
1.3.2 Proposed Data Mining Model . . . . .	8
1.4 Contributions of the Thesis . . . . .	10
1.5 Structure of the Thesis . . . . .	11
<b>2 A Review of QoS Time-Series Prediction Models</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Overview . . . . .	14
2.2 Routing in Communications Networks . . . . .	16

2.2.1	Problems in Decision-Making for Routing . . . . .	19
2.2.2	Online QoS Routing . . . . .	21
2.2.3	QoS Routing Parameters . . . . .	22
2.2.3.1	Packet Delay . . . . .	23
2.2.3.2	IPDV . . . . .	23
2.2.3.3	Packet Loss . . . . .	24
2.3	Telecommunications Data Analysis . . . . .	24
2.3.1	Knowledge Discovery in Telecommunications . . . . .	25
2.3.2	Data Network Scenarios . . . . .	29
2.4	Prediction Methods and Models for QoS Routing . . . . .	30
2.4.1	Intelligent agents for real time data mining . . . . .	30
2.4.2	QoS pattern analysis . . . . .	33
2.4.3	Other Related Work . . . . .	36
2.5	Time-Series Analysis and Forecasting . . . . .	37
2.5.1	Quantitative Time-Series Analysis . . . . .	39
2.5.1.1	Modeling and forecasting with ARMA . . . . .	40
2.5.1.2	AR Model . . . . .	40
2.5.1.3	MA Model . . . . .	41
2.5.1.4	ARMA models . . . . .	41
2.5.1.5	Non-Stationary Models and ARIMA . . . . .	42

2.5.2	Qualitative Time-Series Analysis . . . . .	42
2.5.2.1	Frequent Pattern Mining . . . . .	43
2.5.2.2	Perception Based Data Mining . . . . .	45
2.5.2.3	Multilayer Perceptron . . . . .	47
2.6	Conclusions . . . . .	47
<b>3</b>	<b>Data Mining Model for Packet Loss Prediction</b>	<b>49</b>
3.1	Overview . . . . .	50
3.2	Preliminary Descriptions . . . . .	54
3.2.1	QoS Time Series . . . . .	55
3.2.2	Pattern Definition . . . . .	56
3.2.3	Look-up Table . . . . .	58
3.3	Formal Model Description . . . . .	59
3.3.1	Forecasting Module: HDAX . . . . .	60
3.3.1.1	HDAX Training . . . . .	61
3.3.1.2	HDAX Simulation . . . . .	62
3.3.2	Predictive Module: Multi-layer Perceptron . . . . .	63
3.4	Implementation Paradigm . . . . .	65
3.4.1	Forecasting Module: HDAX . . . . .	65
3.4.1.1	Training Phase . . . . .	67
3.4.1.2	Simulation Phase . . . . .	68

3.4.2	Predictive Module: Multi-layer Perceptron . . . . .	69
3.4.2.1	Network Design . . . . .	69
3.4.2.2	Training Algorithm . . . . .	70
3.5	Conclusions . . . . .	72
<b>4</b>	<b>Model Evaluation</b>	<b>73</b>
4.1	Evaluation Benchmark and Measurements . . . . .	74
4.1.1	ARMA Benchmark . . . . .	74
4.1.2	Error Measurement . . . . .	75
4.1.3	Speed Measurement . . . . .	76
4.1.4	Quality Measurement . . . . .	77
4.2	Datasets . . . . .	78
4.2.1	D-ITG Datasets . . . . .	79
4.2.1.1	D-ITG Data Characteristics . . . . .	81
4.2.1.2	D-ITG Network Test-beds . . . . .	82
4.2.1.3	D-ITG Software Architecture . . . . .	84
4.2.1.4	Parameter Settings for D-ITG . . . . .	85
4.2.2	OPNET Datasets . . . . .	87
4.2.2.1	OPNET Data Characteristics . . . . .	88
4.2.2.2	OPNET Network Test-bed . . . . .	89
4.2.2.3	Parameter Settings for OPNET Modeller . . . . .	90

4.3	Evaluation Methodology . . . . .	91
4.4	Experiments and Results . . . . .	92
4.4.1	Experiment 1: Approximating Delay Time-Series with HDAX . . . . .	93
4.4.2	Experiment 2: Impact Analysis of End-to-End Path with Various Network Congestion Level on Model Predictions . . . . .	97
4.4.2.1	Model Results with D-ITG Datasets . . . . .	97
4.4.2.2	Model Comparison . . . . .	98
4.4.2.3	Discussion on the Quality of Results . . . . .	101
4.4.2.4	HDAX . . . . .	101
4.4.2.5	NARGES . . . . .	104
4.4.3	Experiment 3: Impact Analysis of Network Queuing Policies on Model Prediction . . . . .	106
4.4.3.1	Model Results with OPNET Datasets . . . . .	106
4.4.3.2	Model Comparison . . . . .	107
4.4.3.3	Discussion on the Quality of Results . . . . .	109
4.4.3.4	HDAX . . . . .	109
4.4.3.5	NARGES . . . . .	111
4.5	Summary of Model Performance . . . . .	113
4.6	Conclusions . . . . .	116
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>117</b>

5.1	Conclusions . . . . .	118
5.2	Limitations . . . . .	121
5.3	Future Work . . . . .	121
<b>A</b>	<b>List of Acronyms</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>ARMA Parameter Estimation</b>	<b>131</b>
B.1	Preliminary Estimation . . . . .	131
B.2	Maximum Likelihood Estimation . . . . .	135
<b>C</b>	<b>Implementation of Loss Predictor - Source Code</b>	<b>137</b>
C.1	NARGES Implementation . . . . .	137
C.2	HDAX Implementation . . . . .	150
C.3	HDAX Functions . . . . .	156
C.4	Error function . . . . .	160
C.5	Running Experiments . . . . .	162
C.6	ARMA Implementation . . . . .	168
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>187</b>

# List of Tables

2.1	Comparison between routing strategies . . . . .	19
2.2	A qualitative comparison between WSP and SWP routing strategies (adapted from Marzo et al. (2003)) . . . . .	22
3.1	Deterministic Mapping Function (DMF), the scale of time-series trends used for mapping numerical traces to the categorical (linguistic) terms. . . . .	61
3.2	Description of the fields used for the pattern lookup-table implementation. . . . .	67
4.1	Characteristics of the end-to-end paths for the data obtained from Distributed Internet Traffic Generator (D-ITG). . . . .	82
4.2	Comparison between OPNET, OMNET and NS2 . . . . .	87
4.3	Types of Queueing Policies for the data obtained from OPNET. . . . .	88
4.4	Accuracy of Historical Symbolic Delay Approximation Model (HDAX) and Autoregressive Moving Average (ARMA) (benchmark) on first phase of simulation runs together with speed of algorithm. . . . .	96
4.5	Accuracy of HDAX and ARMA (benchmark) in the phase two of simulation runs together with speed of algorithm. . . . .	96

4.6	Normalised root mean square error (NRMSE) together with algorithms speed and cross-correlation coefficients of HDAX and ARMA forecasts for D-ITG delay and jitter time-series. . . . .	98
4.7	Normalised root mean square error together with speed of calculation and cross-correlation coefficients of NARGES and ARMA predictions for D-ITG packet-loss time-series. . . . .	99
4.8	Average rankings as calculated using Friedman test for the results of the algorithms for accuracy, speed and cross-correlation (Cross-Correlation Function (CCF)) over delay, jitter and packet-Loss time-series. The algorithms with <b>bold</b> rank number have better ranking in each row. . . . .	100
4.9	Holm / Hochberg Table for $\alpha = 0.05$ ( <b>bold</b> <i>algorithm</i> names). . . . .	100
4.10	Normalised root mean square error (NRMSE) together with algorithms speed and cross-correlation coefficients of HDAX and ARMA forecasts for OPNET Modeller (OPNET) delay and jitter time-series. . . . .	107
4.11	Normalised root mean square error (NRMSE) together with algorithms speed and cross-correlation coefficients of Data Mining Model for Smart Routing in Communications Networks (NARGES) and ARMA forecasts for OPNET packet-loss time-series. . . . .	107
4.12	Average Rankings of the algorithms; Note that in testing the algorithms for accuracy, speed and cross-correlation (CCF) over Delay, Jitter and Packet-Loss . . . . .	108
4.13	Holm / Hochberg Table for $\alpha = 0.05$ . Note that in testing the algorithms for accuracy, speed and cross-correlation (CCF) over Delay, Jitter and Packet-Loss models printed in <b>bold</b> are statistically significantly better. . . . .	108



# List of Figures

2.1	Stages of the theoretical framework of the Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD) process in a communications network (adapted from Rocha-Mier et al. (2007)) . . . . .	27
2.2	Process model of a communications network TSDM (adapted from Rocha-Mier et al. (2007)) . . . . .	31
2.3	Initial and pattern time-series for a network variable a) target time-series, b) pattern time-series of slope values (adapted from Rocha-Mier et al. (2007)) . . . . .	32
2.4	Outliers (discords) are particularly attractive as anomaly detectors (adapted from Keogh et al. (2005)) . . . . .	33
2.5	PDL pattern structures from active QoS measurement (adapted from Miloucheva et al. (2003)) . . . . .	35
2.6	Using Spatio-analyser for automation of the DM tasks (adapted from Miloucheva et al. (2003)) . . . . .	36
3.1	Conceptual Framework for NARGES Data Mining Model . . . . .	51
3.2	A schema of NARGES data mining model . . . . .	54
3.3	D-ITG Graphical User Interface (GUI) . . . . .	56

3.4	Basic Patterns . . . . .	57
3.5	Basic patterns assigned to triplet trends. . . . .	58
3.6	The training phase uses a time-series dataset values to recognise $i - j - k$ patterns and train the look-up table that maps each of these patterns to a respective frequency. The table is then used for forecasting the $k$ trend at time $t + 1$ in the simulation phase. . . . .	62
3.7	Multi-layer Perceptron . . . . .	64
3.8	A sample string of symbolic values trend time-series $\{P, SI, P, I, SD, P\}$ . . . . .	66
3.9	Impact of the number of Hidden Layer's Neuron on the Multilayer Perceptrons (MLP) Accuracy and Performance . . . . .	71
4.1	Target dataset (target delay, jitter and packet-loss time-series) together with the HDAX forecasted data (forecasted delay and jitter time-series) are used in NARGES model to predict future packet-loss. . . . .	80
4.2	D-ITG framework . . . . .	82
4.3	The University of Naples Federico II (UNINA) experimental test-bed used to generate D-ITG traces. (adapted from Botta et al. (2008)) . . . . .	83
4.4	Trace Route between the two nodes used for D-ITG QoS data generation .	84
4.5	D-ITG GUI setup . . . . .	85
4.6	OPNET network design used for QoS data generation . . . . .	89
4.7	Applications profile setting on OPNET Modeller . . . . .	90

4.8	Target (solid line), HDAX predicted (star-dashed line) and ARMA predicted (dot-dashed line) delay values for simulation run 1. . . . .	94
4.9	Target (solid line), HDAX predicted (star-dashed line) and ARMA predicted (dot-dashed line) delay values for simulation run 2. . . . .	95
4.10	Target (solid line), HDAX predicted (star-dashed line) and ARMA predicted (dot-dashed line) delay values for simulation run 3. . . . .	95
4.11	Target (solid line), HDAX predicted (star-dashed line) and ARMA predicted (dot-dashed line) delay values for simulation run 3. . . . .	96
4.12	Boxplots of distributions of target delay time-series for dataset 13 together with those for outputs of HDAX and ARMA . . . . .	102
4.13	Stemplots of cross-correlation of HDAX forecasts and target delay time-series for dataset 13 together with those of ARMA . . . . .	103
4.14	Boxplots of distribution for target jitter time-series within dataset 13 together with those for outputs of HDAX and ARMA . . . . .	103
4.15	Stemplots of cross-correlation of HDAX forecasts and target jitter time-series for dataset 13 together with those of ARMA . . . . .	104
4.16	Boxplots of distributions for target packet-loss time-series within dataset 13 together with those for outputs of NARGES and ARMA . . . . .	105
4.17	Stemplots of cross-correlation of NARGES predictions and target packet-loss time-series for dataset 13 together with those of ARMA . . . . .	105
4.18	Boxplots of distributions of target delay time-series for dataset 41 together with those for outputs of HDAX and ARMA . . . . .	110

4.19	Boxplots of distributions of target jitter time-series for dataset 41 together with those for outputs of HDAX and ARMA . . . . .	110
4.20	Stemplots of cross-correlation of HDAX forecasts and target delay time-series for dataset 41 together with those of ARMA . . . . .	111
4.21	Stemplots of cross-correlation of HDAX forecasts and target jitter time-series for dataset 41 together with those of ARMA . . . . .	112
4.22	Boxplots of distributions of target packet-loss time-series for dataset 41 together with those for outputs of NARGES and ARMA . . . . .	112
4.23	Stemplots of cross-correlation of NARGES predictions and target packet-loss time-series for dataset 41 together with those of ARMA . . . . .	113
4.24	Error (NRMSE) of HDAX and NARGES vs ARMA together with speed of algorithm and cross-correlation coefficients are shown in the column (a) to (c), respectively. The first and second rows are the HDAX results and the last row shows the whole model (NARGES) results. In the twin bar charts, the left gray bars shows HDAX (in the first two rows) and NARGES (in the last row) while the right bar filled with wide downward diagonal pattern denotes ARMA outcomes. . . . .	115