The Design of Efficient Stated Choice Experiments

by

Stephen A. BUSH

Submitted to the Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics

at the

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY.

February, 2010

© Stephen A Bush, MMX. All rights reserved.

Permission is herewith granted to UTS to circulate and to have copied for non-commercial purposes, at its discretion, the above title upon the request of individuals and institutions.

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Acknowledgements

I would first like to thank my supervisor, Professor Deborah Street, for all of her constructive feedback, patience, wise advice, and for her good humour throughout the whole degree. I would also like to thank Dr Leonie Burgess for all of her feedback, and for writing the original routines for checking designs and running simulations, which I have since modified for the models covered in this thesis, and Dr Narelle Smith for all of the sound advice and encouragement that she provided. In addition, I would also like to thank those staff at the Department of Mathematical Sciences at UTS not previously mentioned, who have also regularly enquired on my progress and provided the right words at the right time. I feel privileged to work with such a great group of people.

I would like to acknowledge the Centre for the Study of Choice (CenSoC) for providing the doctoral scholarship that allowed me to focus on completing this thesis in a timely manner.

Last but certainly not least I would like to thank my family, and in particular my partner Sam, for all of their support, patience and understanding. I consider myself very fortunate to have such a great family.

Contents

A	Acknowledgements ii				
\mathbf{Li}	st of	Table	S	v	
Li	st of	Figur	es	ix	
Li	st of	Notat	ion	xi	
A	bstra	\mathbf{ct}		xvi	
1	Intr	oducti	ion and Preliminary Definitions	1	
	1.1	Model	s for Choice Experiments	3	
		1.1.1	The Bradley–Terry Model	3	
		1.1.2	Extensions of the Bradley–Terry Model	7	
		1.1.3	The Multinomial Logit Model	11	
	1.2	Choice	e Designs from Fractional Factorial Designs	13	
		1.2.1	Contrasts	17	
	1.3	Optim	al Designs for Choice Experiments	21	
		1.3.1	Optimal Design Theory	21	
		1.3.2	Optimal Designs for the Bradley–Terry Model	25	
		1.3.3	Optimal Designs for the MNL Model	29	
	1.4	Thesis	Outline	32	
	1.A	Basic	Algebraic Results	33	
		1.A.1	Set Theory	33	
		1.A.2	Group Theory	35	
		1.A.3	Finite Fields	35	
		1.A.4	Special Matrices	37	
	$1.\mathrm{B}$	Stand	ard Designs	38	
		1.B.1	Block Designs	39	
		1.B.2	Factorial Designs	40	
		1.B.3	Orthogonal Arrays	45	
		1.B.4	Latin Squares	46	
2	Cho	oice M	odels that Incorporate Ties	49	
	2.1	Review	w of the Davidson Ties Model	49	
	2.2	Prope	rties of the Davidson ties model $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	54	
	2.3	Repre	senting options using k attributes $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	59	

	2.4 2.5 2.A 2.B	Optimal designs for the Davidson ties model	61 65 76
		[1978] Conditions	76
3	The	Generalised Davidson Ties Model	77
	3.1	Estimation of the generalised Davidson ties model $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	77
	3.2	Properties of the generalised Davidson ties model	83
	3.3	Representing options using k attributes $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	90
	3.4	Optimal designs for the estimation of effects in the generalised Davidson ties mode	el 91
	3.5	Simulations of the generalised Davidson ties model $\hdots \hdots \hdddt \hdots \hdot$	96
	3.A	Proof that $\mathbf{j}_L^T \mathbf{z} = 0$ for the generalised Davidson Ties Model	107
	$3.\mathrm{B}$	Proof that the generalised Davidson Ties Model does not violate El-Helbawy and	
		Bradley [1978] Conditions	107
4	Cho	ice Models that Incorporate Position Effects	109
	4.1	Review of the Davidson–Beaver Position Effects Model	109
	4.2	Properties of the Davidson–Beaver Position Effects Model \hdots	114
	4.3	Representing Options using k Attributes	119
	4.4	Optimal Designs for the Davidson–Beaver Position Effects Model	120
	4.5	Simulations of the Davidson–Beaver Model	127
	4.A	Proof that $j_L^T z = 0$ for the Davidson–Beaver Position Effects Model $\ . \ . \ . \ .$	138
5	The	Generalised Davidson–Beaver Position Effects Model	139
	5.1	Estimation of the generalised Davidson–Beaver position effects model $\ .\ .\ .$.	139
	5.2	Properties of the generalised Davidson–Beaver position effects model	144
	5.3	Representing options using k attributes $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	149
	5.4	Optimal designs for the generalised Davidson–Beaver position effects model $\ . \ .$.	151
		5.4.1 Main Effects plus Position Effects	152
		5.4.2 Main Effects plus Two–Factor Interactions and Position Effects	162
	5.5	A design approach based on complete Latin squares	168
	5.6	Simulations of the generalised Davidson–Beaver position effects model	177
	5.A	Proof that $j_L^1 z = 0$ for the generalised Davidson–Beaver Position Effects Model .	188
6	Opt	imal Designs when using Fractional Factorial Starting Designs	189
	6.1	Constructing a Contrast Matrix for Regular Designs	189
	6.2	Adding Generators to a Starting Design	200
	6.3	Constructing the Information Matrix - Generator does not Appear in the Starting	
		Design	202
	6.4	Constructing the Information Matrix - Generator Appears in the Starting Design	209
7	Con	clusions and Research Directions	223
Bi	Bibliography 227		

List of Tables

1.1	Attributes and levels for the HIV test experiment	2
1.2	A typical choice set for the HIV test experiment	2
1.3	Choice set for AIDS test experiment with selection proportions	13
1.4	Choice set for AIDS test experiment with additional option and selection proportions	13
1.5	An $OA[9,4,3,2]$	14
1.6	The option described by the last row of the OA in table 1.5 \ldots .	14
1.7	The 3^4 choice experiment from Example 1.2.2	15
1.8	The 3^2 choice experiment from Example 1.2.4	16
1.9	All sets of generators with difference vector $\boldsymbol{v} = ((01), (10), (11))$	16
1.10	Difference vectors for an experiment with $k = 2$ and $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 3 \dots \dots \dots$	16
1.11	The D -, A -, and E - values for the designs in Example 1.3.1	23
1.12	Optimal 2^3 design for the estimation of main effects, based on Theorem 1.3.1	26
1.13	Optimal 2^2 design for the estimation of main effects and two–factor interactions	
	based on Theorem 1.3.2	27
1.14	Optimal 2^3 design for the estimation of main effects and two–factor interactions	
	based on Theorem 1.3.2	28
1.15	Optimal 2×3 factorial design for for the estimation of main effects based on	
	Theorem 1.3.3	28
1.16	Optimal 2^3 factorial design for the estimation of main effects based on Theorem	
	1.3.4	29
1.17	Optimal 2^3 factorial design for the estimation of main effects and two–factor in-	
	teractions based on Theorem 1.3.5 \ldots	30
1.18	Optimal 2×4 factorial design for the estimation of main effects based on Theorem	
	1.3.6	32
1.19	Addition (a) and multiplication (b) tables for $GF[4]$ - multiplicative notation $\ .$.	36
1.20	Relationship between two representations of a 4 level attribute	37
1.21	Addition (a) and multiplication (b) tables for $GF[4]$ - pairs notation $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	37
1.22	A $BIBD(7,7,3,3,1)$	39
1.23	A complete factorial design (a), and a fractional factorial design (b) $\hfill \ldots \ldots \ldots$	40
1.24	The complete 2×3 factorial design	43
1.25	The OA constructed in Example 1.B.9	46
1.26	The complete Latin square constructed in Example 1.B.10	47
1.27	The complete Latin square constructed in Example 1.B.11	48
2.1	Attributes and levels for the HIV experiment with $k=2$ and $\ell_1=\ell_2=2$	50

2.2	Responses for the experiment in Example 2.1.2.	53
2.3	Attributes and levels for the HIV experiment with $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 3. \ldots \ldots$	65
2.4	Optimal design for the estimation of main effects and ν when $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 3. \ldots$	65
2.5	Optimal design for the estimation of main effects and ν when $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, and	
	$\nu = 0.5. \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $	66
2.6	Optimal design for the estimation of main effects and ν when $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$,	
	and $\nu = 0.5$	67
2.7	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, and $\nu = 0.5$	67
2.8	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$, and $\nu = 0.5$	69
2.9	Selection probabilities when $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, and $\nu = 0.5$	69
2.10	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$, and (a) $\nu = 0.25$ and (b) $\nu = 1 \dots \dots$	70
2.11	Optimal design for the estimation of main effects, two–factor interactions, and ν	
	when $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_{12} = 0$, and $\nu = 0.5.$	70
2.12	Optimal design for the estimation of main effects, two–factor interactions, and ν	
	when $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \tau_{12} = -0.25$, and $\nu = 0.5.$	71
2.13	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \tau_{12} = 0$, and $\nu = 0.5, \ldots, \ldots, \ldots$	74
2.14	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \tau_{12} = -0.25$, and $\nu = 0.5, \ldots, \ldots$	75
0.1		00
3.1	Responses for the experiment in Example 3.1.3. \ldots	82
3.2	The 2 [°] design that is optimal for estimating ν and the main effects.	95
3.3	The optimal 2 ² design for estimating ν and the main effects plus two-factor in-	0.0
9.4	teractions. \dots	96
3.4	The 3 ² design that is optimal for estimating main effects and ν	90
3.5 2.6	Optimal design for estimating main effects and ν when $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, and $\nu = 0.5$.	97
3.0	Optimal design for estimating main effects and ν when $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$, and $\nu = 0.5$. 98
3.1 2.0	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, and $\nu = 0.5$	99
3.8 2.0	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, and $\nu = 0.5$.	100
3.9 9.10	Selection probabilities when $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, and $\nu = 0.5$	100
3.10 9.11	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, and (a) $\nu = 0.25$ and (b) $\nu = 1$	101
3.11	Optimal design for estimating main effects, two-factor interactions and ν when	104
9 10	$\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_{12} = 0$, and $\nu = 0.5$	104
3.12	Optimal design for estimating main effects, two-factor interactions and ν when	104
0 10	$\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \tau_{12} = -0.25, \text{ and } \nu = 0.5, \dots, \dots, \dots, \dots, \dots$	104
3.13	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \tau_{12} = 0$, and $\nu = 0.5, \ldots, \ldots$	105
3.14	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \tau_{12} = -0.25$, and $\nu = 0.5, \ldots, \ldots$	100
4.1	A set of responses for the experiment in Example 4.1.2.	113
4.2	Optimal design for main effects and the position main effect.	125
4.3	Optimal design for main effects, two-factor interactions, and the position main	
	effect.	126
4.4	Optimal design for main effects and the position main effect, $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 3$	127
4.5	Optimal design for main effects and the position main effect when $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$,	
	and $\psi_{\rm L} = -0.2$.	128
4.6	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 0$, $\tau_2 = 0$, and $\psi_{L} = -0.2$.	130
4.7	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, and $\psi_L = -0.2$.	131
$4.6 \\ 4.7$	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 0$, $\tau_2 = 0$, and $\psi_L = -0.2$	$130\\131$

4.8	Selection probabilities for the design in Table 4.2, where $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, and	
	$\psi_{\rm L} = -0.2.$	131
4.9	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, and (a) $\psi_L = 0$ and (b) $\psi_L = -0.4$	132
4.10	Optimal design for main effects plus two–factor interactions of the attributes and	
	the position main effect when $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, $\tau_{12} = -0.25$, and $\psi_L = -0.2$.	132
4.11	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \tau_{12} = 0$, and $\psi_L = -0.2, \ldots, \ldots$	134
4.12	Selection probabilities for the design in Table 4.2 when $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, $\tau_{12} =$	
	-0.25 , and $\psi_{\rm L} = -0.2$.	136
4.13	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \tau_{12} = -0.25$, and $\psi_1 = -0.2, \dots, \dots$	137
5.1	Selection probabilities for the experiment in Example 5.1.2.	140
5.2	The design and set of responses for the experiment in Example 5.1.3	143
5.3	The information matrix for the estimation of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ in Example 5.2.2	150
5.4	Possible ordered difference vectors for the experiment in Example 5.4.1. \ldots	153
5.5	The design for the choice experiment in Example 5.4.2	159
5.6	A 4 run orthogonal array of strength 2 (a) and a 4×4 complete Latin square (b).	168
5.7	The 4 choice sets used in Example 5.5.1	168
5.8	The block diagonal components of $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\pi}_0, \boldsymbol{\psi})$ for the complete Latin square based	
	design of Example 5.5.2	170
5.9	The $C_{\psi\psi}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_0, \boldsymbol{\psi})$ matrix for the complete Latin square based design of Example 5.5.2	2171
5.10	The Street–Burgess design used in Example 5.5.2.	172
5.11	An 8 run orthogonal array of strength 2 (a) and a complete Latin square of order	
	8 (b).	173
5.12	Complete Latin square based design used in Example 5.5.3.	174
5.13	Confounded attributes when a complete Latin square based design of order 8 is used	l175
5.14	Optimal design for the estimation of attribute main effects and contrasts of the	
	position effects when $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0, \psi_L = -0.3$, and $\psi_Q = 0.1$, with selection	
	probabilities when $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \psi_1 = -0.3$, and $\psi_0 = 0.1, \ldots, \ldots$	178
5.15	A design more efficient than the design in Table 5.14 when $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \psi_1 =$	
	-0.3 , and $\psi_{\Omega} = 0.1$.	178
5.16	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, $\psi_1 = -0.3$, and $\psi_0 = 0.1$,,	179
5.17	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, $\psi_1 = -0.3$, and $\psi_0 = 0.1$,	180
5.18	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$ and various values for ψ_1 and ψ_2 .	183
5.19	Optimal design for the estimation of attribute main effects and two-factor inter-	
0.10	actions when $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_{12} = 0$ $\psi_T = -0.3$ and $\psi_C = 0.1$	183
5 20	Optimal design for the estimation of attribute main effects and two-factor inter-	100
0.20	actions when $\tau_{\rm r} = 1$ $\tau_{\rm r} = -1$ $\tau_{\rm ro} = -0.25$ $\psi_{\rm r} = -0.3$ and $\psi_{\rm ro} = 0.1$	18/
5 91	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, $\tau_{12} = -0.25$, $\psi_L = -0.3$, and $\psi_Q = 0.1$.	18/
5.21	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \tau_{12} = 0, \psi_L = -0.3$ and $\psi_Q = 0.1, \dots$	187
0.22	Summary statistics for $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \tau_{12} = -0.23, \psi_L = -0.3$ and $\psi_Q = 0.1.$	107
6.1	The 3^{4-2} regular fractional factorial design constructed in Example 6.1.1	191
6.2	The 2^{7-4} starting design constructed in Example 6.1.2	192
6.3	The 4^{5-3} fractional factorial design constructed in Example 6.1.5	196
6.4	Adding the generator (1212) to the design in Example 6.1.1	202
6.5	The choice sets used in Example 6.3.2	204

6.6	The choice sets used in Example 6.4.1	211
6.7	The partitions of B_F in Example 6.4.1	212
6.8	The $C(\boldsymbol{\pi}_0)_M$ matrix for the design in Example 6.4.1	213
6.9	The 3^{3-1} fractional factorial design used in Example 6.4.2	215
6.10	The 3^{3-1} choice experiment used in Example 6.4.2 - 9 choice sets	217
6.11	The 3^{3-1} choice experiment used in Example 6.4.2 - 3 choice sets	217

List of Figures

2.1	Exhaustive search for optimal design $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$, and $\nu = 0.5$.	67
2.2	Simulation of Davidson ties model $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, and $\nu = 0.5.$	68
2.3	Simulation of Davidson ties model $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$, and $\nu = 0.5$	68
2.4	Simulation of Davidson ties model $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$, and (a) $\nu = 0.25$ (b) $\nu = 1$.	70
2.5	Simulation: estimating main effects and ν , design in (a) Table 2.5, (b) Table 2.6,	
	(c) Table 2.11, and (d) Table 2.12.	72
2.6	Simulation: estimating main effects, two–factor interactions, and ν , design in (a)	
	Table 2.5, (b) Table 2.6, (c) Table 2.11, and (d) Table 2.12.	73
3.1	Exhaustive search for optimal design $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$, and $\nu = 0.5$	98
3.2	Simulation of Davidson ties model $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, and $\nu = 0.5.$	98
3.3	Simulation of Davidson ties model $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, and $\nu = 0.5$.	99
3.4	Simulation of Davidson ties model $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = 0.5$, and (a) $\nu = 0.25$ and (b) $\nu = 1$.100
3.5	Simulation: estimating main effects and ν , designs in (a) Table 3.5, (b) Table 3.6,	
	(c) Table 3.11, and (d) Table 3.12.	102
3.6	Simulation: estimating main effects, two–factor interactions, and ν , designs in (a)	
	Table 3.5, (b) Table 3.6, (c) Table 3.11, and (d) Table 3.12.	103
4.1	Exhaustive search for optimal design $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$, and $\psi_L = -0.2$	129
4.2	Simulation: $\tau_1 = 0$, $\tau_2 = 0$, and $\psi_L = -0.2$.	129
4.3	Simulation: $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, and $\psi_L = -0.2$.	130
4.4	Simulation: $\tau_1 = 0.5, \tau_2 = -0.5$, and $\psi_L = 0$ (a), and -0.4 (b)	131
4.5	Simulation: Estimating main effects and the position main effect, designs in (a)	
	Table 4.2, (b) Table 4.5, (c) Table 4.3, and (d) Table 4.10	133
4.6	Simulation: Estimating main effects, two–factor interactions and the position main $\$	
	effect, designs in (a) Table 4.2, (b) Table 4.5, (c) Table 4.3, and (d) Table 4.10. $\ .$	135
5.1	The information matrix for the design in Example 5.4.2 \ldots	160
5.2	$B_{\rm MT}\Lambda(\pmb{\pi}_0,\pmb{\psi})$ for the design in Table 5.5	164
5.3	The information matrix for the design in Example 5.4.4 \ldots	167
5.4	Simulations: $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, $\psi_L = -0.3$, and $\psi_Q = 0.1$.	179
5.5	Simulations: $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1, \psi_L = -0.3$, and $\psi_Q = 0.1, \ldots, \ldots, \ldots$	180
5.6	Simulations: $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = -1$, (a) $\psi_L = -0.2$ and (b) $\psi_L = -0.4$, and $\psi_Q = 0$.	182
5.7	Simulations: $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = -1$, (a) $\psi_L = -0.2$ and (b) $\psi_L = -0.4$, and $\psi_Q = 0.2$.	182

5.8	Simulations: Estimating attribute main effects and contrasts of the position			
	fects, designs in (a) Table 5.14, (b) Table 5.15, (c) Table 5.19, and (d) Table			
	5.20	185		
5.9	Simulations: Estimating attribute main effects, two–factor interactions and con-			
	trasts of the position effects, designs in (a) Table 5.14, (b) Table 5.15, (c) Table			
	5.19, and (d) Table 5.20	186		

List of Notation

α	the primitive root in $GF[\ell]$ (Chapter 6 only)
$\alpha_{\pmb{e},a,b}$	the number of times $\boldsymbol{e} = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k)$ appears as a difference between the items in positions a and b of the choice set
β	a vector containing the effects of each level of each attribute, and the effects of each combination of levels
$\delta_{T_i ext{ in pos } a}$	an indicator variable which equals 1 if item ${\cal T}_i$ appears in position a of the choice set
η	the utility threshold for equality of preferences
ϵ_i	the stochastic component of the utility of the item ${\cal T}_i$
γ	a vector containing the log merits for each item
$\lambda_{\{i,j\}}$	the proportion of choice sets that are $\{T_i, T_j\}$
$\lambda_{(i,j)}$	the proportion of choice sets that are (T_i, T_j)
λ_C	the proportion of the choice sets that are the choice set ${\cal C}$
$\lambda_{T_i ext{ in pos } a}$	the proportion of choice sets with item T_i in position a of the choice set
λ_{T_i} in pos a, T_j in pos b	the proportion of choice sets with item T_i in position a of the choice set and T_j in position b of the choice set
$\lambda_{\mathrm{att}\ q=x}$ in pos a	the proportion of choice sets where the item in the item in position a of the choice set has the q^{th} attribute at the x^{th} level
$\lambda_{\text{att }q_1=x_1,q_2=x_2 \text{ in pos }a}$	the proportion of choice sets where the item in the item in position a of the choice set has the q_1^{th} attribute at the x_1^{th} level and the q_2^{th} attribute at the x_2^{th} level
$\Lambda({m \pi})$	the information matrix for the estimation of the entries in $\pmb{\gamma}$
$\Lambda(\pmb{\pi}_0)_{ m B-T}$	the information matrix for the estimation of contrasts in $\pmb{\gamma}$ when the Bradley–Terry model is used
$\Lambda(\pmb{\pi}_0)_{ m MNL}$	the information matrix for the estimation of contrasts in $\pmb{\gamma}$ when the MNL model is used

$\Lambda(\pmb{\pi}_0, \nu)_{\mathrm{DAV}}$	the information matrix for the estimation of the entries in γ and ν when the (generalised) Davidson ties model is used
$\Lambda(\pmb{\pi}_0, \pmb{\psi})_{\mathrm{D-B}}$	the information matrix for the estimation of contrasts in γ and ψ when the (generalised) Davidson–Beaver position effects model is used
ν	the ties parameter for the Davidson ties model and the generalised David- son ties model
π_i	the merit of item T_i
π_{i_b}	the merit of the item in position b of the choice set
π	a vector containing the merits of each item
π_0	the vector of π_i s under the null hypothesis of equal selection probabilities
ψ_a	the position effect parameter for position a of the choice set
ψ_L	the linear component of the position effect
ψ_Q	the quadratic component of the position effect
ψ	the vector containing ψ_1, \ldots, ψ_m
$\Sigma(\pmb{\pi})_a$	the variance–covariance matrix for $\sqrt{sN} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$
$\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\pi})$	the variance–covariance matrix for the parameters of interest
θ	the ties parameter in the Rao–Kupper ties model
$ au_i$	the main effect of the i^{th} attribute, which takes 2 levels
$ au_{i,\mathrm{LIN}}$	the linear component of the main effect of the $i^{\rm th}$ attribute
ξ	a design
X	the class of competing designs
\otimes	Kronecker product
BlkDiag[]	a block diagonal matrix
$\binom{m}{x}$	the number of ways of choosing x objects from a set of m distinct objects without repetitions
Ā	the set of items that do not appear in the choice experiment
$A_{ ext{eff}}(\xi)$	the A–efficiency of a design $\xi\in\mathfrak{X}$ over the class of competing designs
$a_{k,i}$	the frequency of choice sets that differ in i attributes
В	a contrast matrix
B_a	a contrast matrix containing those effects that we assume are negligible
$B_{ar{A}}$	the contrast coefficients that correspond to those items that do not appear in the choice experiment

$B_{F(q)}$	the rows of a contrast matrix corresponding to the main effects of attribute \boldsymbol{q}
$B_{F,1}$	the rows of the contrast matrix for the items in F that correspond to the main effects of the first $k - p$ attributes
$B_{F,2}$	the rows of the contrast matrix for the items in F that correspond to the main effects of the last p attributes
B_h	a contrast matrix containing those effects that we are interested in estimating
B_ℓ	a contrast matrix containing the orthogonal polynomial contrast coefficients for an ℓ level attribute
B_M	a contrast matrix containing the contrast coefficients for the attribute main effects
B_T	a contrast matrix containing the contrast coefficients for the two–factor interactions between attributes
$oldsymbol{b}_q$	the column of an orthogonal array corresponding to the $q^{\rm th}$ attribute
C	the information matrix for the estimation of the effects of interest
$C = \{T_{i_1}, \ldots, T_{i_m}\}$	the unordered choice set containing the items T_{i_1}, \ldots, T_{i_m}
$C = (T_{i_1}, \dots, T_{i_m})$	the ordered choice set containing the items T_{i_1}, \ldots, T_{i_m}
$C(\pmb{\pi}_0)_{\mathrm{B-T}}$	the information matrix for the estimation of contrasts in $B\pmb{\beta}$ when the Bradley–Terry model is used
$C(\pmb{\pi}_0)_{ ext{MNL}}$	the information matrix for the estimation of contrasts in $B\pmb{\beta}$ when the MNL model is used
$C(\pmb{\pi}_0, \nu)_{\mathrm{DAV}}$	the information matrix for the estimation of contrasts in $B\beta$ and ν when the (generalised) Davidson ties model is used
$C(\pmb{\pi}_0, \pmb{\psi})_{\mathrm{D-B}}$	the information matrix for the estimation of contrasts in $B\beta$ and $B_{\psi}\psi$ when the (generalised) Davidson–Beaver position effects model is used
$C(\pmb{\pi}_0, \pmb{\psi})_{MP}$	the information matrix for the estimation of main effects and position effects
$(C(\pmb{\pi}_0, \pmb{\psi})_{MP})_{CLS}$	the information matrix for the estimation of main effects and position effects when a complete Latin square based design is used
$(C(\boldsymbol{\pi}_0, \boldsymbol{\psi})_{MP})_{S-B}$	the information matrix for the estimation of main effects and position effects when a design from Burgess and Street [2005] is used
$C(\pmb{\pi}_0, \pmb{\psi})_{MTP}$	the information matrix for the estimation of main effects plus two–factor interactions and position effects

$c_{oldsymbol{v}_j}$	the number of choice sets containing the item 000 with difference vector \boldsymbol{v}_j
$c_{oldsymbol{v}_j,a}$	the number of ordered choice sets with ordered difference vector \boldsymbol{v}_j that contain the item 000 in position a of the choice set
<i>D</i> <i>d</i>	a $t \times t$ matrix with entries either 0 or 1 such that there is a 1 is position (i, j) if the items T_i and T_j have difference d
$D_{ ext{eff}}(\xi)$	the $D\text{-\!efficiency}$ of a design $\xi\in\mathfrak{X}$ over the class of competing designs
d_{ij}	the number of attributes that differ between items $T_i \mbox{ and } T_j$
d	a difference
F	a starting design
$f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$	the joint probability distribution function of the independent sample $\boldsymbol{X} = (x_1, \dots, X_n)$
G	a set of m generators
G()	the Lagrangian function for obtaining the maximum likelihood estimators
g	a generator
I_ℓ	an $\ell \times \ell$ identity matrix
$I(\boldsymbol{\pi})$	the information matrix for the estimation of the entries in $\pmb{\pi}$
i_q	an indicator that equals 1 if the q^{th} position of the difference \boldsymbol{d} equals 1
$i_{oldsymbol{v}_j}$	an indicator of whether all choice sets with difference vector \boldsymbol{v}_j appear in the experiment
$I_{\xi}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$	the Fisher information matrix for the estimation of the entries in $\pmb{\theta}$
J_ℓ	an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix of 1s
$oldsymbol{j}_\ell$	a vector containing ℓ 1s
k	the number of attributes describing an item
ℓ_i	the number of levels that the $i^{\rm th}$ attribute may take
$L_{i,j}$	the (i, j) th entry in a Latin square
$L(\pmb{x}, \pmb{\theta})$	the likelihood function
m	the number of options in each choice set
m_{ijk}	the expected number of times the k^{th} outcome will occur when the choice set $\{T_i, T_j\}$ or (T_i, T_j) are presented
N	the number of choice sets in a choice experiment

$n_{\{i,j\}}$	the number of times that the choice set $\{T_i,T_j\}$ appears in the experiment
$n_{(i,j)}$	the number of times that the choice set (T_i, T_j) appears in the experiment
n_C	the number of times that the choice set C appears in the experiment
p	the number of attribute contrasts being estimated
P_i	an $\ell \times \ell$ permutation matrix where $(P_i)_{x,y} = 1$ if and only if $x+i=y \mod \ell$
P_{ℓ_q,e_q}	a $t\times t$ matrix with entries either 0 or 1 such that there is a 1 is position (t_1,t_2) if $t_2-t_1=e_q$
Q_i	an $\ell \times \ell$ permutation matrix where $(Q_i)_{x,y} = 1$ if and only if $x+i=y$ in $GF[\ell]$
S_q	the optimal number of non–zero entries in a difference vector corresponding to a particular \boldsymbol{q} level attribute
T_i	an item
T_{i_b}	the item in position b of the choice set C
U_i	the utility of the item T_i
V_i	the deterministic component of utility of the item ${\cal T}_i$
v	a difference vector
$w_{i C,lpha}$	an indicator variable that equals 1 if respondent α selects item T_i when presented with the choice set C
$w_{\{i,j\} C,\alpha}$	an indicator variable that equals 1 if respondent α selects finds items T_i and T_j equally attractive when presented with the choice set C
w	a vector containing all of the selection indicators for an experiment
$x_{oldsymbol{v}_j;oldsymbol{d}}$	the number of times the difference \boldsymbol{d} appears in the difference vector \boldsymbol{v}_j
$x_{oldsymbol{v}_j;oldsymbol{d},a,b}$	the number of times that the difference d appears as a difference between positions a and b of the ordered difference vector \bm{v}_j
y_i	the proportion of pairs in a choice experiment that differ in the levels of i attributes
Ya	the proportion of pairs of items in the choice experiment with difference \boldsymbol{d}
$y_{oldsymbol{d},a,b}$	the proportion of pairs in the choice experiment that are in positions a and b of the choice set and have difference vector d

Abstract

Making choices is a fundamental part of life. Whether it be the food that we eat, how we get from A to B, or the things that we do or do not purchase, choices are made all of the time. The ability to understand and influence these choices is valuable in many areas such as marketing, health economics, tourism, transportation research, and public policy. Choice experiments allow researchers in these areas to show respondents sets of options, described by attributes, and use the attributes of the chosen options to determine how important each of the attributes are to the 'attractiveness' of any option. From this information market share or policy acceptability can be predicted.

In this thesis we look at optimal designs for the multinomial logit (MNL) model, and for two extensions of this model. The first extension incorporates tied preferences, and is based on the extension of the Bradley–Terry model introduced by Davidson [1970]. The second extension allows the researcher to estimate the effect that the position of an item in the set of alternatives has on the perceived merit of the item. This extension is based on the extension of the Bradley– Terry model introduced by Davidson and Beaver [1977]. We prove results that give optimal designs, both for the extensions of the Bradley–Terry model and the extensions of the MNL model, and conduct simulations of these models. Finally, we prove results that give optimal designs for the MNL model when the starting design is an orthogonal array constructed using the Rao–Hamming construction, rather than a complete factorial design.