



**Forward Osmosis for the Treatment of Reverse
Osmosis Concentrate from Water Reclamation:
Process Performance and Fouling Control**

by

Shahzad Jamil

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Master by Research

Principal Supervisor
Dr. Christian Kazner

Co-supervisor
Prof. Saravanamuth Vigneswaran

University of Technology Sydney
School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

October 2013

ABSTRACT

Reverse osmosis concentrate (ROC) is considered to be an obstacle in the production of high quality water from water reclamation and desalination plants using dense membrane systems. It normally comprises 10-30% of the feed water of water reclamation plants and 50-75% of the feed water from sea water desalination plants. While coastal water reclamation plants have the opportunity to discharge the RO concentrate directly into the ocean, inland facilities depend on controversial options such as surface water discharge, evaporation ponds, deep well injection and land applications. However, all these options are not sustainable or environmentally friendly. Therefore methods for proper disposal of RO concentrate especially for inland plants are urgently required.

Various integrated concentrate treatment concepts have been proposed to minimise waste and maximise water recovery. A key issue in applying the Zero Liquid Discharge principle is the impact of foulants on the concentrate desalinating system, consisting of forward osmosis (FO) and a subsequent crystalliser.

This study investigates forward osmosis, which appears to be a promising technology that represents a step towards zero liquid discharge. Organic fouling and inorganic scaling are hypothesised to be the main membrane foulants in FO. Furthermore FO is analysed in the context of removing organic micropollutants from RO concentrate.

The following methodology was applied to this study. Two types of flat sheet membranes Cellulose Triacetate (CTA) and Thin-Film Composite polyamide (TFC-PA) supplied by Hydration Technology Innovation for FO were used. Two model foulants - alginate and humic acid - were used to examine organic fouling of FO membrane. Real RO concentrate from the Sydney Olympic Park Authority's water recycling plant was used to study fouling and its impact on water flux. Both membranes showed similar trends but permeate flux was higher with the TFC-PA membrane compared to the CTA-NW membrane. Forward osmosis was studied in two ways: FO mode (active layer facing feed solution); and pressure retarded mode (PRO) modes (support layer facing feed solution).

The results indicate that alginate is a strong foulant of the PA membrane in PRO mode, and the resulting flux decreased sharply. On the other hand, however, in FO mode only moderate fouling was observed.

CTA and TFC (PA) membranes were checked for fouling by humic acid. Approximately 7% TOC was adsorbed on the membrane's surface resulting in a minor flux decline of 2-4%.

Real RO concentrate was tested in concentrations of 38 mg/L (TOC equivalent) with both membranes in FO mode. The TOC adsorption at the membrane surface was low between 2-9%, however a high flux decline was observed with increasing draw solution concentrations. The observed flux decline was about 10-26% for the CTA membrane and 5-55% for the TFC-PA membrane, depending on the DS concentration of 1-4 M NaCl in both cases.

Analysis of the inorganics revealed that inorganic scalants were the major contributor to the flux decline. Phosphates, carbonates and silicates were detected as the main scaling compounds. Reducing the pH meant that membrane scaling was significantly reduced.

Organic micropollutants were not fully retained by the FO membrane. Size exclusion was identified as the main retaining process. In a comparison of the two membrane types, CTA removed the trace organics to a smaller degree than the TFC membrane. Removal ratio ranged from 40 to 97% (CTA) and 70 to 99% (TFC). Rejection depended on the DS concentration with increasing retention at higher fluxes.

The study showed that forward osmosis is a promising technology for RO concentrate treatment and opens new avenues for further research work:

- Recovery of nutrients and salts from RO concentrates in water reclamation
- Recovery of draw solution and its reuse, thus making FO operation continuous
- Post-treatment of permeate to produce high quality recycled water

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my principal supervisor Dr. Christian Kazner and Prof. S. Vigneswaran as my co-supervisor for their support, enthusiasm and motivation when I undertook this thesis. My research work is a part of the European-Australian research project known as “ACTIWATE – Advanced concentrate treatment for integrated membrane based water reuse systems”. It is headed by Dr. Christian Kazner who helped me in all aspects of this project and introduced me to our collaborators at UNSW (Dorothy Yu, Yulia Shutova and Dr. James McDonald), who were instrumental in performing the analytical work outside of UTS.

Apart from his continuous support in many areas of this thesis I wish to acknowledge Prof. S. Vigneswaran in particular for the financial arrangements during my study, which allowed me to develop expertise in a new field of knowledge.

I would also like to thank the team at UTS who assisted me in my experimentation, particularly (in alphabetical order) Zhao Dian, David Hooper, Mohammed Johir, Tahir Majeed, Dr. Sherub Phuntsho and Dr. Ho Kyong Shon.

Finally, I wish to thank my parents who, despite passing away, supported and motivated me in my studies. I would also like to thank my family for their support of my endeavours.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction	9
1.1 Research motivation and significance	9
1.2 Research Objectives and Scope	12
2 Literature review	15
2.1 Management and treatment of rejects streams from reverse osmosis	15
2.1.1 Management of concentrate from dense membrane processes	15
2.1.2 State of the art on the treatment technologies of reverse osmosis concentrates	15
2.2 Forward Osmosis	19
2.2.1 Principles of forward osmosis	19
2.2.2 Concentration polarisation	21
2.3 Performance and optimization of forward osmosis process	25
2.3.1 Evaluation of draw solution	25
2.3.2 Inflation of draw solution concentration on permeate flux and permeability	26
2.3.3 Biological and organic fouling	28
2.4 Membrane performance inhibitors	27
2.4.1 Membrane fouling	28
2.4.2 Membrane scaling	28
2.4.3 Organic fouling	30
2.5 Countermeasures	32
2.5.1 Pretreatment of feed water	32
2.5.2 Pretreatment with porous membrane filtration	34

2.5.3 Membrane cleaning.....	35
2.6 Conclusion.....	36
3 Materials and methods.....	37
3.1 Chemicals, reagents and employed solutions.....	37
3.1.1 Draw solutions.....	37
3.1.2 Feed solutions.....	37
3.1.3 Synthetic FO feed solutions.....	38
3.1.4 Concentrate from reverse osmosis.....	39
3.2 Experimental set-up.....	43
3.2.1 Forward osmosis unit.....	43
3.2.2 Forward osmosis membranes types.....	45
3.3 Experimental protocols.....	47
3.3.1 Performance measurements.....	47
3.3.2 Influence of membrane properties.....	47
3.3.3 Fouling experiments.....	47
3.3.4 Performance recovery.....	48
3.4 Analytical techniques.....	49
3.4.1 Conductivity pH and Temperature.....	49
3.4.2 Ion chromatography.....	49
3.4.3 Inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry.....	50

3.4.4	Total organic carbon analysis	48
3.4.5	UVA measurement	52
3.4.6	FEEM	53
3.4.7	Liquid chromatography-organic carbon detection	53
3.4.8	Micropollutants measurement and selected micropollutants	54
4	Results and discussions	57
4.1	Highlights of results of bench scale FO system	57
4.1.1	Evaluation of membranes	59
4.1.2	Influence of membrane orientation	61
4.1.3	Effect of feed cross flow velocity on permeate flux	62
4.1.4	Influence of flow directions on FO membrane flux	63
4.1.5	Flux recovery with hydraulic flushing of PA membrane	63
4.1.6	Flux recovery with chemical cleaning of PA membrane	65
4.1.7	Summary of results and discussions	67
4.2	Fouling of forward osmosis membranes in RO concentrate treatment – model foulant study	68
4.2.1	Introduction	68
4.2.2	Tests with alginate	69
4.2.3	Tests with humic acids	72
4.2.4	Summary of results and conclusions	76
4.3	Tests with real RO concentrate	76

4.3.1 Organic fouling.....	77
4.3.2 Combined inorganic and organic fouling.....	81
4.3.3 Tests with RO concentrate and pH adjustment.....	85
4.3.4 Increase of feed salinity during FO treatment.....	86
4.3.5 Conclusions.....	86
4.4 Removal of trace organic pollutants.....	87
4.4.1 Introduction.....	87
4.4.2 General removal characteristics.....	88
4.4.3 Influence of draw solution concentration.....	90
4.4.4 Results and conclusions.....	92
5 Summary of results and conclusions.....	94
5.1 Evaluation of membrane.....	94
5.2 Effect of draw solution concentration.....	94
5.3 Effect of cross flow velocity (CFV).....	94
5.4 Influence of DS/FS flow directions.....	95
5.5 Reverse solute flow.....	95
5.6 Hydraulic flushing and chemical cleaning.....	95
5.7 Alginate as model foulant.....	95
5.8 Humic Acid (HA) as model foulant.....	96
5.9 Organic fouling and scaling from RO Concentrate.....	96
5.10 Study of micropollutants.....	96

5.11 Recommendations	97
6 References	98
7 Figures and Tables	104
List of Figures	104
List of Tables	109
Thesis Related Publications and Presentations	110

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Shahzad Jamil

Sydney, October 2013

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Avg.	Average
CFV	Cross-flow velocity
CTA	Cellulose tri-acetate
DS	Draw solution
DI	Deionised
ECP	External concentration polarization
FO	Forward osmosis
FS	Feed solution
HA	Humic acid
ICP	Internal concentration polarization
LMH	$L/(m^2 \cdot h)$
M	Molar
MF	Microfiltration
MWCO	Molecular weight cut-off
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NF	Nanofiltration
NOM	Normal organic matter
PA	Polyamide

RO	Reverse osmosis
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SOPA	Sydney Olympic Park Authority
T	Temperature
TDS	Total dissolved solids
TFC	Thin film composite
TOC	Total organic matter
UF	Ultrafiltration
UV ₂₅₄	UV absorbance at 254 nm
WAIV	Wind Aided Intensified Evaporation
WWTP	Wastewater treatment plant
ZLD	Zero liquid discharge