

Seismic Response of Superstructure on Soft Soil Considering Soil-Pile-Structure Interaction

Influence de l'Interaction sol- pieu- structure sur la réponse sismique de la superstructure sur sol mou

Hokmabadi A.S., Fatahi B., Samali B.

School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney (UTS), Broadway NSW 2007, Australia

ABSTRACT: This paper presents results of shaking table tests and three dimensional numerical simulations to investigate the influence of Soil-Pile-Structure Interaction (SPSI) on the seismic response of mid-rise moment resisting buildings supported by end-bearing pile foundations. Three different cases have been considered, namely: (i) fixed-base structure representing the situation excluding the soil-structure interaction; (ii) structure supported by shallow foundation on soft soil; and (iii) structure supported by end-bearing pile foundation in soft soil. Comparison of the numerical predictions and the experimental data shows a good agreement confirming the reliability of the numerical model. Both experimental and numerical results indicate that soil-structure interaction induces significant increase in the lateral deflections and inter-storey drifts of the structures on both shallow and end-bearing pile foundations in comparison to the fixed base structures. This increase in the lateral deformations and in turn inter-storey drifts can change the performance level of the structure during earthquakes which may be safety threatening.

RÉSUMÉ : Cet article présente les résultats des essais sur table vibrante et trois dimensions simulations numériques pour étudier l'influence de l'Interaction sol-pieu-structure (ISPS) sur la réponse sismique des bâtiments pris en charge par les fondations sur pieux. Trois cas différents ont été examinés, à savoir: (i) la structure de base fixe sans interaction sol-structure; (ii) la structure soutenue par la fondation superficielle sur sol mou; et (iii) la structure soutenue par la fondation sur pieux dans le sol mou. Les prédictions numériques et les données expérimentales montrent un bon accord. Résultats expérimentaux et numériques indiquent que l'interaction sol-structure augmente les déflexions latérales et les dérivés inter étage des structures en comparaison avec les structures de base fixes. Cela peut changer le niveau de performance de la structure lors de tremblements de terre qui peuvent être un problème d'innocuité.

KEYWORDS: soil-pile-structure interaction, seismic response, shaking table test, FLAC3D, end-bearing pile foundation

1 INTRODUCTION

The problem of soil-pile-structure interaction in the seismic analysis and design of structures has become increasingly important, as it may be inevitable to build structures at locations with less favourable geotechnical conditions in seismically active regions. Influence of the underlying soil on seismic response of the structure can be ignored if the ground is stiff enough, and the structure can be analysed considering fixed-base conditions. However, the same structure behaves differently when it is constructed on the soft soil deposit. Earthquake characteristics, travel path, local soil properties, and soil-structure interaction are the factors affecting the seismic excitation experienced by structures. The result of the first three of these factors can be summarised as free-field ground motion. However, the foundation is not able to follow the deformation of the free field motion due to its stiffness, and the dynamic response of the structure itself would induce deformation of the supporting soil (Kramer 1996).

Over the past decades, several researchers (e.g. Tajimi 1969, Gazetas 1991, Shiming and Gang 1998, Hokmabadi et al. 2011, Carbonari et al. 2011, Tabatabaiefar et al. 2013) have studied the seismic soil-pile-structure interaction (SSPSI) and the effect of this phenomena on the response of the structures. The developed analytical methods for studying the soil-pile-structure interaction may be categorised into three groups: (i) Substructure Methods (or Winkler methods), in which series of

springs and dashpots are employed to represent the soil behaviour (e.g. Hokmabadi 2012); (ii) Elastic Continuum Methods, which are based on Mindlin (1936) closed form solution for the application of point loads to a semi-infinite elastic media; and (iii) Numerical Methods. The substructure methods are the simplest and most commonly used methods, however, these methods adopting the substructuring concept rely on the principle of superposition, and consequently, are limited to either the linear elastic or the viscoelastic domain (Pitilakis et al. 2008).

The dynamic equation of motion of the soil and structure system can be written as:

$$[M]\{\ddot{u}\} + [C]\{\dot{u}\} + [K]\{u\} = -[M]\{\ddot{u}_g\} + \{F_v\} \quad (1)$$

where, $\{u\}$, $\{\dot{u}\}$, and $\{\ddot{u}\}$ are the nodal displacements, velocities and accelerations with respect to the underlying soil foundation, respectively. $[M]$, $[C]$ and $[K]$ are the mass, damping, and stiffness matrices of the structure, respectively. It is more appropriate to use the incremental form of Equation (1) when plasticity is included, and then the matrix $[K]$ should be the tangential matrix and $\{\ddot{u}\}$ is the earthquake induced acceleration at the level of the bedrock. For example, if only the horizontal acceleration is considered, then $\{\ddot{u}\} = [1, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 1, 0]^T$. $\{F_v\}$ is the force vector corresponding to the viscous boundaries. This vector is nonzero only when there is a difference between the

motion on the near side of the artificial boundary and the motion in the free field (Wolf 1985).

The present research aims to study the effects of SSPSI on the seismic response of the superstructure by employing the fully nonlinear method in which main components of the interaction including subsoil, pile foundation, and superstructure are modelled simultaneously. For this purpose, a three-dimensional explicit finite-difference program, FLAC3D (Itasca 2009), is used to numerically model and examine the influence of the soil-structure interaction on the seismic response of a 15-storey moment resisting building. Two types of foundations including shallow foundations and end-bearing pile foundations have been considered. The proposed numerical soil-structure model has been verified and validated against experimental shaking table test results.

2 SHAKING TABLE EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

2.1 Prototype characteristics and scaling factors

In order to provide a calibration benchmarks for the numerical simulation and to make quantitative predictions of the prototype response several of shaking table tests have been conducted. Previous researchers (e.g. Meymand 1998, Chau et al. 2009) modeled the superstructure as a simplified single degree of freedom oscillator in which the behaviour of the soil-structure system may not be completely conform to reality and the higher modes would not be captured. In the current model tests, unlike the previous efforts, a multi-storey frame for the superstructure is adopted representing most of the dynamic properties of the prototype structure such as natural frequency of the first and higher modes, number of stories, and density. The experimental model tests have been carried out utilising the 3×3 m shaking table facilities located at structures laboratory of the University of Technology Sydney (UTS).

The selected prototype structure is a fifteen-storey concrete moment resisting building frame with the total height of 45 m and width of 12 m consisting of three spans, representing the conventional types of mid-rise moment resisting buildings. The spacing between the frames into the page is 4 m. Natural frequency of the prototype building is 0.384 Hz and its total mass is 953 tonnes. The soil medium beneath the structure is a clayey soil with the shear wave velocity of 200 m/s and density of 1470 kg/m³. The horizontal distance of the soil lateral boundaries and bedrock depth has been selected to be 60 m and 30 m, respectively. The building is resting on a footing which is 4 m wide and 12 m long. For the pile foundations case, a 4×4 reinforced concrete pile group with equal spacing and pile diameter of 1.25 m and 30 long are considered. The piles are embedded into the bedrock representing typical end-bearing pile foundations.

In order to achieve a reasonable scale model, a dynamic similarity between the model and the prototype is applied as described by Meymand (1998). Dynamic similarity governs a condition where homologous parts of the model and prototype experience homologous net forces. Although small scale models could save cost, the precision of the results could be substantially reduced. Considering the specifications of UTS shaking table, scaling factor of 1:30 is adopted for experimental shaking table tests on the scale model which provides the largest achievable scale model with rational scales, maximum payload, and overturning moment meeting the facility limitations.

2.2 Shaking table tests model components

The developed soil-structure model for shaking table tests possesses four main components including the model structure, the model pile foundations, the laminar soil container, and the soil mix. Employing geometric scaling factor of 1:30, height, length, and width of the structural model are determined to be, 1.50 m, 0.40 m, and 0.40 m, respectively. In addition, the required natural frequency of the structural model is 2.11 Hz.

The model structure has been designed employing SAP2000 (CSI 2010) software to meet the required characteristics, and finally a 500×500×10 mm steel plate as baseplate, fifteen 400×400×5 mm horizontal steel plates as the floors and four 500×40×2 mm vertical steel plates as the columns are adopted. The completed structural model is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Final setup of the shaking table tests for the structure with end-bearing pile foundation

Similar to the model structure, the model pile is subjected to the competing scale model criteria. The model piles have a diameter of 40 mm with L/d ratio of 25. By selecting a commercial Polyethylene high pressure pipe with Standard Dimension Ratio (SDR) of 7.4 the model piles fall in the range of acceptable criteria with 5% deviation from the target value for EI.

The ideal soil container should simulate the free field soil response by minimising boundary effects. Since the seismic behaviour of the soil container affects the interaction between the soil and structure, the performance of the soil container is of the key importance for conducting seismic soil-structure interaction model tests successfully (Pitilakis et al. 2008). A laminar soil container with final length, width, and depth of 2.10m, 1.30m, and 1.10m, respectively, are designed and constructed for this study. The employed laminar soil container consists of a rectangular laminar box made of aluminium rectangular hollow section frames separated by rubber layers. The aluminium frames provide lateral confinement of the soil, while the rubber layers allow the container to deform in a shear beam manner.

A synthetic clay mixture was designed to provide soil medium for the shaking table testing considering required dynamic similarity characteristics. Several mixtures were examined and finally the desired soil mix (60% Q38 kaolinite clay, 20% Active-bond 23 Bentonite, 20% class F fly ash and lime, and water, 120% of the dry mix) produced the required scaled shear wave velocity of 36 m/s at the second day of its cure age. Accordingly, the soil density and undrained shear strength on the second day were determined to be 1450 kg/m³ and 3.14 kPa, respectively.

The shaking table tests have been carried out in three stages: fixed-base condition, shallow foundations, and end bearing pile foundations. Since the properties of the designed soil mix is time depended, the second and third stages should be carried out

in the same age in order to make the results comparable, without being interrupted by variation of the soil mix dynamic properties. Two scaled near field shaking events including Kobe, 1995, Northridge, 1994, and two scaled far field earthquakes including El Centro, 1940, and Hachinohe, 1968 are adopted. The characteristics of the mentioned benchmark earthquakes are summarised in Table 1. Displacement transducers (levels 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, and 15) and accelerometers (at levels 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, and 15) were installed on the structure in order to monitor the dynamic response of the structure and to primarily measure the structural lateral displacements. The recorded accelerations can be used to check the consistency and accuracy of obtained displacements through a double integration in time domain. The final setup of the tests for the end-bearing pile foundation system on the shaking table is shown in Figure 1.

Table 1. Utilised earthquake base motions

Earthquake	Year	PGA (g)	Mw (R)	Duration (S)
Northridge	1994	0.843	6.7	30.0
Kobe	1995	0.833	6.8	56.0
El Centro	1940	0.349	6.9	56.5
Hachinohe	1968	0.229	7.5	36.0

3 DEVELOPMENT OF 3D NUMERICAL MODEL

Three-dimensional explicit finite-difference based program called FLAC3D (Itasca 2009) has been employed to develop the numerical model for the shaking table tests and to simulate the response under the seismic loading. Three cases including fixed-base conditions, the structure supported by shallow foundations, and the structure supported by end-bearing pile foundations have been modelled separately and the results are compared. The dimensions of the numerical models were chosen similar to the experimental tests. The reason for choosing the soil deposit thickness of 30 m for the both experimental and numerical models is that most amplification occurred within the first 30 m of the soil profile, which is in agreement with most modern seismic codes calculating local site effects based on the properties of the top 30 m of the soil profile (Rayhani and El Naggar 2008).

Experience gained from the parametric study helped to finalise the adopted mesh size and the maximum unbalanced force at the grid points to optimize the accuracy and the computation speed simultaneously. The numerical grid and model components in FLAC3D are shown in Figure 2.

Adjusting the boundary conditions, in the static analysis in which the system is under the gravity loads only, the bottom face of the mesh is fixed in all directions, while the side boundaries are fixed in the horizontal directions. During the dynamic time-history analysis, the earthquake acceleration is applied horizontally at the entire base, while free-field boundary conditions are assigned to the side boundaries.

Solid elements are used to model the soil deposits, and Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion is adopted. In addition, Hysteretic damping of the soil is implemented using the built-in tangent modulus function as developed by Hardin and Drnevich (1972). The pile elements and superstructure are modelled with solid elements considering elastic-perfectly plastic behaviour with yielding criteria for the elements to control the possibility of inelastic behaviour in both superstructure and piles. As a calibration, a FLAC3D analysis was first conducted on a cantilever pile while the pile was fixed at one end into ground without the surrounding soil and the different lateral loads were applied on the free end of the cantilever pile. The recorded deflection from the FLAC3D model shows less than 2% difference from analytical predictions, confirming the accuracy of the model. It should be noted that using the structural elements such as beam and shell elements in FLAC3D (version

4.0) for modelling the superstructure increases the execution time dramatically and leads to less accurate results.

Because of the different characteristics of the soil and the superstructure/piles, sliding and separation may occur at the soil-structure interfaces. Two sets of interface elements are modelled in this study. For the shallow foundation case, the interface elements are placed between the foundation and the soil surface. However, for the pile foundation case, the interface elements were attached to the outer perimeter of the piles. It should be noted that in the pile foundation case, there is no interface or attachment between the foundation and the surface soil as some gap in the shaking table tests is considered to avoid any pile-raft behaviour. Therefore, there is not any direct stress transfer between the foundation slab and the subsoil in the pile foundation cases. The interfaces were modelled as linear spring-slider systems, while the shear strength of the interfaces was defined by Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion. The lateral and axial stiffness of the interface elements are estimated for both sets separately based on the recommended method given by Itasca (2009) to ensure that the interface stiffness has minimal influence on system compliance. Finally, fully nonlinear time-history analysis is conducted under the influence of the scaled earthquake records and results in terms of maximum inelastic lateral deflections, determined for the three mentioned cases, are recorded.




Figure 2. Numerical grid and model components in FLAC3D for the structure with end-bearing pile foundation

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average values of the 3D numerical predictions versus experimental shaking table results for the maximum lateral displacements of the fixed-base, shallow foundations, and end-bearing pile foundations were determined and compared in Figure 3. Evaluation of the predicted and observed values of the maximum lateral displacements indicates that the trend and the values of the 3D numerical predictions are in a good agreement and consistent with the experimental shaking table test results. Therefore, the 3D numerical model can replicate the behaviour of the soil-pile-structure system with acceptable accuracy and is

rational and appropriate for further studies of the soil-pile-structure interaction effects.

Accordingly, the maximum lateral deflection of the structure supported by end-bearing pile foundations is increased by 17% based on the experimental values and 19% based on the 3D numerical predictions in comparison to the fixed base structure. Moreover, the maximum lateral deflection of the structure supported by shallow foundation is increased by 55% based on the experimental values and 59% based on the 3D numerical predictions. Thus, pile foundations reduce the lateral drifts in comparison to the shallow foundation case. This is due to the presence of stiff pile elements in the soft soil which increase the stiffness of the ground and influences the dynamic properties of the whole system such as the natural frequency and damping. However, in comparison with the fix-based case, soil-pile-structure interaction tends to increase the lateral deformation of the structure.




Figure 3. Average values of maximum lateral displacements: Shaking table experimental values versus 3D numerical predictions

The corresponding inter-storey drifts of the average values of 3D numerical model are plotted in Figure 4. Inter-storey drifts are the most commonly used damage parameters, and based on FEMA (BSSC 1997) maximum inter-storey drift of 1.5% is the defined border between life safe and near collapse levels. According to Figure 4, seismic soil-structure interaction tends to increase the inter-storey drifts of the superstructure from life safe zone toward near collapse or even total collapse.




Figure 4. Average experimental inter-storey drifts for: (a) fixed-base structure; (b) Structure supported by shallow foundation; (c) structure supported by end-bearing pile foundation

The natural period of the system increases due to the soil-structure interaction. Therefore, such increases in the natural period considerably alter the response of the building frames under seismic excitation. This is due to the fact that the natural period lies in the long period region of the response spectrum curve. Hence, the displacement response tends to increase.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a three-dimensional finite difference numerical model on a soil-pile-structure system has been conducted together with the experimental shaking table tests. By

comparing predicted and observed results, it has been concluded that the numerical modelling method is rational and is suitable for the simulation of the soil-pile-structure interaction under strong ground motions.

In addition, based on the shaking table results and 3D numerical investigations it is observed that the lateral deflections of the structures sitting on the end-bearing pile foundations amplified in comparison to the fixed base model (approximately 18% in this study). This amplification for the structure sitting on the shallow foundations is more severe (approximately 57% in this study). Consequently, considering soil-structure interaction in both cases with and without pile foundations is vital, and conventional design procedures excluding soil-structure interaction are not adequate to guarantee the structural safety for the moment resisting buildings resting on soft soils.

6 REFERENCES

- BSSC. 1997. *NEHRP Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings, 1997 Edition, Part 1: Provisions and Part 2: Commentary*. In: Federal Emergency Management Agency.
- Carbonari, S., Dezi, F., and Leoni, G. 2011. Linear soil-structure interaction of coupled wall-frame structures on pile foundations. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 31 (9): 1296-1309.
- Chau, K.T., Shen, C.Y., and Guo, X. 2009. Nonlinear seismic soil-pile-structure interactions: Shaking table tests and FEM analyses. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 29 (2): 300-310.
- SAP2000 v14 Analysis Reference Manual. CSI (Computers and Structures Inc.), Berkeley, California.
- Gazetas, G. 1991. Formulas and Charts for Impedances of Surface and Embedded Foundations. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering* 117 (9): 1363-1381.
- Hardin, B.O., and Drnevich, V.P. 1972. Shear modulus and damping in soils: design equations and curves. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division* 98 (7): 667-692.
- Hokmabadi, A.S., Fakher, A., and Fatahi, B. 2011. Seismic strain wedge model for analysis of single piles under lateral seismic loading. *Australian Geomechanics* 46 (1): 31-41.
- Hokmabadi, A.S., Fakher, A., and Fatahi, B. 2012. Full scale lateral behaviour of monopiles in granular marine soils. *Marine Structures* 29(1): 198-210.
- Tabatabaiefar, S., Fatahi, B., and Samali, B. Seismic Behaviour of Building Frames Considering Dynamic Soil-Structure Interaction. *International Journal of Geomechanics* (doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)GM.1943-5622.0000231).
- FLAC3D version 4.00 Fast Lagrangian Analysis of Continua in three dimensions, User's Manual. Itasca Consulting Group, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA.
- Kramer, S.L. 1996. *Geotechnical earthquake engineering*. Prentice Hall.
- Meymand, P.J. 1998. *Shaking table scale model tests of nonlinear soil-pile-superstructure in soft clay*. PhD thesis in Civil Engineering University of California, Berkeley.
- Mindlin, R.D. 1936. Force at a Point in the Interior of a Semi-Infinite Solid. *Physics* 7 (5): 195-202.
- Pitilakis, D., Dietz, M., Wood, D.M., Clouteau, D., and Modaresi, A. 2008. Numerical simulation of dynamic soil-structure interaction in shaking table testing. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 28 (6): 453-467.
- Rayhani, M., and El Naggar, M. 2008. Numerical Modeling of Seismic Response of Rigid Foundation on Soft Soil. *International Journal of Geomechanics* 8 (6): 336-346.
- Shiming, W., and Gang, G. 1998. *Dynamic soil-structure interaction for high-rise buildings*. In *Developments in Geotechnical Engineering*, eds. Chuan Zhang and P. Wolf John: Elsevier, 203-216.
- Tajimi, H. 1969. *Dynamic Analysis of a Structure Embedded in an Elastic Stratum*. In *Proc. 4th World Conf. Earthquake Eng.* Santiago, USA. 53-69.
- Wolf, J.P. 1985. *Dynamic soil-structure interaction*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

Behzad Fatahi

From: Yien Kuo [yien.kuo@adelaide.edu.au]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:50 PM
To: Aslan Sadeghi Hokmabadi; Behzad Fatahi; Bijan Samali
Subject: RE: Urgent: ICSMGE Paris 2013 - Comments from reviewer (Take 2)

Dear all,

Sorry, there is mix up - my mistake.

Following are the comments on your paper:

Re: Seismic Response of Superstructure on Soft Soil Considering Soil-Pile-Structure Interaction

The paper covers an interesting topic. In this paper, a three-dimensional finite difference numerical model on a soil-pile-structure system was conducted together with the experimental shaking table tests.

In Figure 3, I would be easier to follow the content if the results of numerical simulations and experimental studied presented together in a single graph.

Regards,
Yien Lik

Comité Français
de Mécanique
des Sols



International Society
for Soil Mechanics and
Geotechnical Engineering



Proceedings of the 18th International
Conference on Soil Mechanics and
Geotechnical Engineering

CHALLENGES AND INNOVATIONS IN GEOTECHNICS

*Actes du 18^e Congrès International
de Mécanique des sols et de
Géotechnique*


DÉFIS ET INNOVATIONS EN GÉOTECHNIQUE

Paris 2013

Edited by / Sous la direction de

Pierre Delage, Jacques Desrues, Roger Frank, Alain Puech, François Schlosser

VOLUME 1



Presses des Ponts

Challenges and innovations in geotechnics. Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering

Pierre Delage, ed. (2013) *Challenges and innovations in geotechnics. Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*. Presses des Ponts, Paris. ISBN ISBN 978-2-85978-478-2 (édition complète) ISBN 978-2-85978-474-4 (vol. 1) ISBN 978-2-85978-475-1 (vol. 2) ISBN 978-2-85978-476-8 (vol. 3) ISBN 978-2-85978-477-5 (vol. 4)

Foreword

The French Society for Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering (CFMS) is most happy to host the 18th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering (18th ICSMGE) in Paris, France, from Monday 2 to Friday 6 September 2013. The main theme of the Conference is “Challenges and Innovations in Geotechnics”.

In agreement with ISSMGE vision for strengthening the role of the Technical Committees (TCs), the 18th ICSMGE Paris 2013 adopted a new format. The two first days are devoted to plenary sessions with the Terzaghi Oration, seven ISSMGE Honour lectures proposed by the TCs and three Special lectures proposed by CFMS. The two following days are devoted to parallel sessions organised by the TCs: they include 28 Discussion Sessions and 22 Workshops. Whereas Workshops have a free format, the Discussion Sessions are meant to discuss the papers accepted by the ISSMGE Member Societies and presented in the four volumes of these Proceedings.

The structure of the Proceedings corresponds to the organisation of the Conference. They start with the Terzaghi Oration, the Honour lectures and the Special lectures. Then, the papers are presented according to the relevant responsible TC. They are introduced by a TC General Report. The Proceedings also include the papers on Shallow Foundations (Session and General Report organised by CFMS, as no TC covers this subject), on Dams, Dykes and Levees (organised jointly by TC 201 and TC 210), on Geothermal issues (organised jointly by TC 212 and TC 307) and finally the papers on Historic sites, as well as on some case studies (organised by TC 301).

To enhance the diffusion of knowledge free of charge, no transfer of copyright was requested from the authors of the papers published in these volumes. All the papers, together with late contributions, will be made available free of charge on the various appropriate Internet websites.

It was quite a fruitful and exciting experience for the Scientific Committee to work hand in hand with the TCs for the organisation of this Conference. They were enthusiastic and efficient. The Scientific Committee is most grateful to the General Reporters, Chairs, Vice-Chairs and Secretaries of the TCs for their great help in making the 18th ICSMGE in Paris a most successful scientific and technical event.

Pierre DELAGE, Jacques DESRUES, Roger FRANK, Alain PUECH, François SCHLOSSER

Contents / Table des matières

Foreword	1
<i>Avant-propos</i>	2
ISSMGE – The State of the Society (2009-2013)	5
<i>SIMSG – État de la Société (2009-2013)</i>	
Briaud J.-L.	
Honour Lectures / Conférences honorifiques	
8 th Terzaghi Oration - Protecting society from landslides – the role of the geotechnical engineer	15
<i>8^e allocution Terzaghi - La gestion de l'aléa glissements de terrain et le rôle de l'ingénieur géotechnicien</i>	
Lacasse S.	
Bishop Lecture - Advanced laboratory testing in research and practice	35
<i>Conférence Bishop - Les essais en laboratoire avancés dans la recherche et dans l'industrie</i>	
Jardine R. J.	
Ishihara Lecture - Soil–Foundation–Structure Systems Beyond Conventional Seismic Failure Thresholds	55
<i>Conférence Ishihara - Les systèmes sol-fondation-structure qui dépassent les limites de la rupture parasismique conventionnelle</i>	
Gazetas G.	
Kerisel Lecture - The role of Geotechnical Engineers in saving monuments and historic sites	71
<i>Conférence Kerisel - Le rôle des ingénieurs géotechniciens dans la sauvegarde des monuments et des sites historiques</i>	
Calabresi G.	
McClelland Lecture - Analytical contributions to offshore geotechnical engineering	85
<i>Conférence McClelland - Contributions des méthodes analytiques à la géotechnique offshore</i>	
Randolph M. F.	
Ménard Lecture - The pressuremeter test: Expanding its use	107
<i>Conférence Ménard - L'essai pressiométrique : élargissement de son utilisation</i>	
Briaud J.-L.	
Rowe Lecture - The role of diffusion in environmental geotechnics	127
<i>Conférence Rowe - Le rôle de la diffusion en géotechnique environnementale</i>	
Shackelford C.	
Schofield Lecture - Centrifuge modelling: expecting the unexpected	151
<i>Conférence Schofield - Modélisation physique en centrifugeuse : prévoir l'imprévisible</i>	
Bolton M. D.	
Special Lectures / Conférences spéciales	
Enjeux géotechniques pour la construction du métro automatique « Grand Paris Express »	155
<i>Geotechnical issues for « Grand Paris Express » automatic metro</i>	
Fluteaux V.	
Innovations françaises en géotechnique: les projets nationaux de recherche	163
<i>French Innovations in Geotechnics: the National Research Projects</i>	
Schlosser F., Plumelle C., Frank R., Puech A., Gorin H., Rocher-Lacoste F., Simon B., Bernardini C.	
The new Bugis Station and associated tunnels for the Singapore MRT	183
<i>Métro de Singapour : nouvelle station Bugis et tunnels associés</i>	
Sim A.	
Technical Committee 101	
LABORATORY STRESS STRAIN STRENGTH TESTING OF GEOMATERIALS	
Session I - Time effects and other peculiar observations	
Comité technique 101	
CARACTÉRISATION EN LABORATOIRE DU COMPORTEMENT DES GÉOMATÉRIAUX	
Session I - Effets du temps et autres aspects	
General Report of TC 101 - Session I - Laboratory testing of geomaterials: Time effects and other peculiar observations	191
<i>Rapport général du TC 101 - Session I - Essais de laboratoire sur les géomatériaux : effets du temps et autres observations spécifiques</i>	
Ibraim E.	
Engineering properties of an expansive soil	199
<i>Propriétés mécaniques d'un sol gonflant</i>	
Azam S., Ito M., Chowdhury R.	



Performance of a deep excavation in downtown Toronto <i>Performance d'une excavation profonde au centre-ville de Toronto</i> Cao L.F., Peaker S.M., Ahmad S.	503
Permeability scale effect in sandy aquifers: a few case studies <i>Effet d'échelle et perméabilité des aquifères sableux : quelques études de cas</i> Chapuis R.P.	507
A Study of Cuttability Indices for Tunnel Penetration <i>Étude sur les indices d'aptitude à la coupe pour la pénétration de tunnels</i> Chen L.-H., Chen Y.-C., Chen W.-C., Liu H.-W.	511
Survey results of damaged areas in flood disaster of typhoon Morakot and suggestions for restoration projects <i>Résultats des investigations sur les zones ravagées et inondées par le typhon Morakot, propositions de projets de restauration</i> Chou J.C., Huang C.R., Shou K.J.	515
Stability of Chilean's tailings dams with the Panda® penetrometer. Experiences of the last 10th <i>Dix ans d'études de la stabilité des barrages de résidus miniers chiliens à l'aide du pénétromètre Panda®</i> Espinace R., Villavicencio G., Palma J., Breul P., Bacconnet C., Benz M.A., Gourvès R.	519
Site Sampling: Assessing Residual Uncertainty <i>Échantillonnage du site : évaluation de l'incertitude résiduelle</i> Fenton G.A., Hicks M.A.	523
Multi-Sleeve Axial-Torsional-Piezo Friction Penetration System for Subsurface Characterization <i>Système de pénétromètre à friction axial-torsional-piezométrique à manchons multiples pour la reconnaissance des sols superficiels</i> Frost J. D., Martinez A.	527
Hydraulic Properties of Glacial Deposits Based on Large Scale Site Investigation <i>Les propriétés hydrauliques des dépôts glaciaires basées sur une enquête de chantier à grande échelle</i> Galaa A., Manzari M., Hamilton B.	531
The seismic SPT test in a tropical soil and the G ₀ /N ratio <i>L'essai SPT sismique pour le sol tropicaux et la relation G₀/N</i> Giacheti H.L., Pedrini R.A.A., B.P. Rocha B.P.	535
Compressibility Parameters of Cohesive Soils From Piezocone <i>Paramètres de compressibilité de sols cohésifs au piézocône</i> Hamza M., Shahien M.	539
Comportement de la structure de sol amélioré par inclusions rigides, supportant une éolienne <i>Behaviour of soil foundation improved by rigid columns, supporting a wind turbine</i> Haza-Rozier E., Vincelas G., Le Kouby A., Crochemore O.	543
Seismic Response of Superstructure on Soft Soil Considering Soil-Pile-Structure Interaction <i>Influence de l'interaction sol- pieu- structure sur la réponse sismique de la superstructure sur sol mou</i> Hokmabadi A.S., Fatahi B., Samali B.	547
Applicability of the RNK-method for geotechnical 3D-modelling in soft rocks <i>Applicabilité de la RNK-méthode pour la modélisation géotechnique en 3D en roches tendres</i> Ivšić T., Ortolan Ž., Kavur B.	551
Une nouvelle sonde permettant de mesurer sans extrapoler la pression limite pressiométrique des sols <i>A new probe for measuring the pressuremeter limit pressure of soils without extrapolation</i> Jacquard C., Rispal M., Puech A., Geisler J., Durand F., Cour F., Burlon S., Reiffsteck P.	555
Long-term Deformation of the Reclaimed Pleistocene Foundation of the Offshore Twin Airport <i>Déformations à long terme d'une fondation de remblai pléistocène récupéré sur mer pour un projet d'aéroport jumelé</i> Jeon B.G., Mimura M.	559
Assessment of Scour Potential of a Circular Pier in Silty Sand Using ISEEP <i>Caractérisation par ISEEP du potentiel d'érosion d'une pile circulaire dans un sable silteux</i> Kayser M., Gabr M.	563
Practical Reviews on CO ₂ Sequestration in Korean Sedimentary Basins and Geophysical Responses of CO ₂ -injected Sediments <i>Le comportement pratiques sur la séquestration du CO₂ dans les bassins sédimentaires coréens et réponses géophysiques de CO₂ injectées sédiments</i> Kim A.R., Cho G.C., Kwon T.H., Chang I.H.	567
Using Multi-scale Sediment Monitoring Techniques to Evaluate Remediation Effectiveness of the Tsengwen Reservoir Watershed after Sediment Disasters Induced by Typhoon Morakot <i>Utilisation des techniques de surveillance des sédiments multi-échelles pour évaluer l'efficacité d'assainissement du bassin hydrographique du réservoir Tsegwen après les catastrophes de sédiments induites par le typhon Morakot</i> Lin B.-S., Ho H.-C., Hsiao C.-Y., Keck J., Chen C.-Y., Chi S.-Y., Chien Y.-D., Tsai M.-F.	571

Improvement of soft fat clay using rigid inclusions and vertical drains <i>Amélioration d'une argile plastique molle par inclusions rigides et drains verticaux</i> Kirstein J.F., Wittorf N.	2513
Interaction of stone column and surrounding soil during its construction: 3D numerical analysis <i>Interaction d'une colonne ballastée et du sol environnant pendant sa construction : analyse numérique 3D</i> Klimis N.S., Sarigiannis D.D.	2517
Laboratory tests and numerical modeling for embankment foundation on soft chalky silt using deep-mixing <i>Essais au laboratoire et modélisation numérique de la fondation d'un remblai sur un limon crayeux mou des sols améliorés par malaxage en profondeur</i> Koch E., Szepesházi R.	2521
Assessment of bio-mechanical reinforcement materials influencing slope stability, based on numerical analyses <i>Évaluation des matériaux de renforcement bio-mécaniques qui influencent la stabilité des pentes par des analyses numériques</i> Koda E., Osinski P.	2525
Evaluation of Vertical Drain-enhanced Radial Consolidation with Modified Analytical Solution <i>Évaluation de la consolidation radiale améliorée par des drains verticaux par une solution analytique modifiée</i> Lee C., Choi Y., Lee W., Hong S.J.	2529
Adjusting the soil stiffness with stabilisation to minimize vibration at Maxlab IV – Asynchrotron radiation facility in Sweden <i>Ajustement de la rigidité du sol par stabilisation pour minimiser les vibrations à Maxlab IV, un centre de rayonnement synchrotron en Suède</i> Lindh P., Rydén N.	2533
Construction and Performance of Containment Bund Using Geotextile Tubes Filled With Cement Mixed Soil in Singapore <i>La construction et la performance de la digue de confinement utilisant des tubes géotextiles remplis de terre mélangée au ciment à Singapour</i> Loh C.K., Chew S.H., Tan C.Y., Lim S.K., Lam J.P.W.	2537
Reinforcement of completely decomposed granite with discrete fibres <i>Renforcement de granite complètement décomposé avec des morceaux fibres</i> Madhusudhan B.N., Baudet B.A.	2541
Hybrid Application of Deep Mixing Columns Combined with Walls as a Soft Ground - Improvement Method Under Embankments <i>Application hybride de la méthode de « Deep Mixing » sur des colonnes combinées à des murs en tant que méthode d'amélioration des sols mous sous remblais</i> Matsui H., Ishii H., Horikoshi K.	2545
Application of cement deep mixing method for underpinning <i>Application de colonnes de sol-ciment pour travaux de reprise en sous œuvre</i> Melentijevic S., Arcos J.L., Oteo C.	2549
Lime Remediation of Reactivated Landslides <i>Traitement à la chaux pour la stabilisation des glissements réactivés</i> Mesri G., Moridzadeh M.	2553
Improvement of the Soil under the Concrete Pavement of a Plant's Hall <i>Amélioration du terrain d'assise sous la dalle en béton d'une halle d'usine</i> Mihova L., Kolev Ch.	2557
Effect of Smear on Strength Behavior of SCP-Reinforced Soft Ground <i>Effet de comportement de l'étalement de force du SCP- Sol mou renforcé</i> Mir B.A., Juneja A.	2561
Bio-mediated soil improvement utilized to strengthen coastal deposits <i>Amélioration du sol biologiquement négociée utilisée pour renforcer les dépôts côtiers</i> Montoya B.M., Feng K., Shanahan C.	2565
Effect of Grout Bleed Capacity on the Engineering Properties of Cement Grouted Sands <i>Effet de la capacité de ressuage de coulis de ciment sur les propriétés mécaniques des sables injectés</i> Pantazopoulos I.A., Atmatzidis D.K., Basas V.G., Papageorgopoulou S.K.	2569
Numerical Analysis to Quantify the Influence of Smear Zone Characteristics on Preloading Design in Soft Clay <i>Analyses numériques pour quantifier l'influence des caractéristiques de la zone endommagée sur la conception de préchargement dans les argiles molles</i> Parsa-Pajouh A., Fatahi H., Khabbaz B.	2573
Construction of virtual sites for reliability-based design <i>Construction de sites virtuels à des fins de conception fiabiliste</i> Phoon K.K., Ching J.	2577