

**New Perspectives on Institutional Change:  
The Case of Changing Energy Management  
Practices in Australia**

**Patrick Crittenden**

**UTS Business School, Sydney**

**This thesis is presented for the degree of PhD in  
Management**

**1 May, 2014**

## **Certificate of Original Authorship**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree, nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree, except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

The research presented in this thesis was approved by the University of Technology, Sydney Human Research Ethics Committee, Approval Number: 2013000126.

Signature of Student:



Date: 1 May 2014

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank my supervisors, Professor Suzanne Benn, Professor David Brown, Dr. Paul Brown and Dr. Helen Lewis for their encouragement, advice and constructive feedback. Editorial suggestions by Fiona Harmsworth were invaluable in improving the readability of the thesis. Personnel at the Australian Government Department of Industry and corporate energy practitioners who have driven energy and greenhouse gas reductions over the past six years provided both the inspiration and the data for this thesis. Their contribution is very much appreciated. I would also like to thank my family – Tanya, Kiri, Grace, Charlie and Kath – for their patience and support.

# Table of Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Aim and research questions	1
1.2 Approach and contributions of the research	3
1.3 Outline of the thesis	5
<b>2. Improving energy efficiency in organisations</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 The transition to a low carbon energy system	9
2.3 The benefits of energy efficiency improvement in organisations	13
2.4 The challenge of resolving the energy efficiency gap	19
2.5 Conclusion	21
<b>3. The importance of energy management</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1 Introduction	22
3.2 Key definitions	23
3.3 Energy management practices in the existing literature	28
3.4 Policy approaches influencing the adoption of energy management practices	40
3.5 Chapter summary and conclusions	52
<b>4. Four perspectives on energy efficiency barriers</b>	<b>56</b>
4.1 Introduction	56
4.2 Barrier typologies explaining the energy efficiency gap	56
4.3 The neoclassical economic perspective on the energy efficiency gap	60
4.4 The behavioural perspective on the energy efficiency gap	67
4.5 The organisational perspective on the energy efficiency gap	74
4.6 The interorganisational stakeholder perspective on the energy efficiency gap	82
4.7 Implications for researching energy management practices	88
4.8 Summary and conclusions	90
<b>5. A framework to examine changing energy management practices</b>	<b>92</b>
5.1 Introduction	92
5.2 Core features of institutional theory	93
5.3 Recent understandings of institutional entrepreneurship and change	98
5.4 Adopting a distributed view of agency	104
5.5 Bringing micro processes and the individual into institutional analyses	112
5.6 Examining multiple levels of analysis	117

5.7	Gaps in the institutional entrepreneurship literature.....	120
5.8	The three-level change model.....	122
5.9	Summary and conclusions.....	129
<b>6.</b>	<b>Methodology .....</b>	<b>130</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	130
6.2	Methodological assumptions.....	132
6.3	Case study method and design.....	139
6.4	Data sources.....	147
6.5	The analytic process .....	158
6.6	Summary.....	166
<b>7.</b>	<b>The genesis of institutional change.....</b>	<b>167</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	167
7.2	Background: Energy efficiency in Australia 2000–2006 .....	168
7.3	Typical organisational response to the introduction of the EEO legislation .....	175
7.4	The influence of emerging stakeholders on energy management practices .....	186
7.5	Summary.....	204
<b>8.</b>	<b>The evolution of energy management practices .....</b>	<b>205</b>
8.1	Introduction .....	205
8.2	Theme 1 – Engaging staff in energy management .....	208
8.3	Theme 2 – Developing energy information systems .....	229
8.4	Theme 3 – Identifying potential projects.....	246
8.5	Theme 4 – Integrating energy management within existing systems.....	262
8.6	Summary.....	273
<b>9.</b>	<b>The dynamics of institutional change.....</b>	<b>275</b>
9.1	Introduction .....	275
9.2	The dynamics of change within and across each level of analysis.....	276
9.3	Implications for the paradox of embedded agency and institutional change.....	294
9.4	At the level of practice: implications for policy development.....	315
9.5	Key contributions in summary .....	324
9.6	Limitations and suggestions for further research.....	326
<b>10.</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>329</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>Appendices.....</b>	<b>332</b>
11.1	Conference papers and presentations .....	332

11.2	Interview questions.....	333
11.3	Key elements and requirements of the EEO Assessment Framework.....	335
11.4	Data sources for the empirical research.....	338
	<b>Glossary.....</b>	<b>348</b>
	<b>References .....</b>	<b>352</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Structure of the thesis .....	5
Figure 2.1: Global energy consumption and transitions 1800–2010 .....	11
Figure 2.2: Global energy consumption by sector (primary energy).....	15
Figure 2.3: Energy losses across the electricity supply chain.....	16
Figure 2.4: Projected abatement contributions under the 450 parts per million scenario .....	18
Figure 4.1: Extending the orthodox (neoclassical) economic model applied to energy efficiency barriers.....	69
Figure 4.2: Interactions amongst stakeholders in the commercial sector .....	83
Figure 5.1: Development of the theoretical framework for this study.....	93
Figure 5.2: A dialectical model of institutional change .....	100
Figure 5.3: Three-level model to examine institutional change.....	123
Figure 5.4: Three-level model applied to the case of changing energy management practices in Australia.....	124
Figure 6.1: Potential stakeholders in the organisational field associated with energy management practices .....	142
Figure 6.2: Timeframe of the case study showing data collection points.....	145
Figure 6.3: Three distinct data sources support triangulation .....	148
Figure 6.4: An interactive and progressive model of data analysis .....	160
Figure 7.1: The case of changing energy management practices in Australia.....	168
Figure 7.2: Energy use in Australia: 2010–2011 .....	169
Figure 7.3: Energy use of the largest 252 corporations in Australia (2010–11) by industry sector .....	170
Figure 7.4: Limitations of traditional energy management practices .....	177
Figure 7.5: Timeline of key events in the organisational field that influenced energy	

management practices .....	190
Figure 8.1: Themes, objectives and practices examined in this chapter .....	207
Figure 8.2: Challenges associated with improving energy information systems.....	232
Figure 9.1: Three-level institutional change model applied to changing energy management practices .....	277
Figure 9.2: Interactions influencing new perspectives on the value of energy management .....	279
Figure 9.3: Strategies that support change in relation to energy management practices .....	284
Figure 9.4: Learning from one site assessment to the next.....	285
Figure 9.5: Relationship between types of projects, teams and external stakeholders .....	286
Figure 9.6: A progressive approach to improving energy information systems .....	288
Figure 9.7: Interactions within and across levels to support the adoption of energy management practices .....	293
Figure 9.8: Interactions between stakeholders with varying degrees of embeddedness .....	298
Figure 9.9: Directions of influence .....	305
Figure 9.10: Three key social skills supporting collaborative co-creation .....	307
Figure 9.11: Implications of the research for policy development and implementation .....	316
Figure 9.12: ‘Levels’ typology of the multiple benefits from energy efficiency improvement .....	319

## List of Tables

Table 2.1: Defining the energy efficiency gap.....	19
Table 3.1: Energy management system requirements in ISO 50001 .....	25
Table 3.2: Energy management practices promoted in the existing literature.....	29
Table 3.3: Energy management practices examined in key studies.....	36
Table 3.4: Distinction between energy audits and energy management.....	46
Table 3.5: Energy efficiency policy mechanisms .....	50
Table 4.1: A selection of ‘barriers’ from the energy efficiency literature .....	58

Table 4.2: Key barriers from the neoclassical economics perspective .....	62
Table 4.3: Summary of the neoclassical perspective .....	66
Table 4.4: Key energy efficiency barriers from a behavioural perspective .....	68
Table 4.5: Summary of the behavioural perspective.....	73
Table 4.6: Key energy efficiency barriers from an organisational perspective .....	75
Table 4.7: Summary of the organisational perspective.....	81
Table 4.8: Stakeholders with an interest in organisational management of energy...84	
Table 4.9: Summary of the interorganisational perspective.....	88
Table 5.1: Key questions examined in four distinct models of institutional change	103
Table 5.2: Key insights from empirical studies considering distributed agency .....	109
Table 6.1: Key features of the research design .....	131
Table 6.2: Case study boundaries and descriptors .....	140
Table 6.3: Energy efficiency opportunities conferences as field-configuring events .....	150
Table 6.4: Conference locations and dates.....	153
Table 6.5: Number of presentations by industry sector* .....	154
Table 6.6: List of interview respondents.....	156
Table 6.7: Archival data: Case studies.....	157
Table 6.8: Descriptive codes applied at the start of the coding process .....	162
Table 7.1: Four key design features of the EEO legislation .....	175
Table 7.2: Underlying beliefs that informed responses to the EEO legislation.....	179
Table 7.3: Key legislation introduced between the years 2006–2012 .....	193
Table 7.4: Interactions between investors and organisations on energy efficiency.198	
Table 7.5: Interactions between organisations and their customers.....	202
Table 8.1: Personnel involved in teams .....	212
Table 8.2: Mechanisms to communicate energy performance.....	221
Table 8.3: Interactions between organisational and field contextual factors.....	258
Table 8.4: Six key strategies to improve the success rate of business case proposals .....	260
Table 8.5: Changes in energy management practices .....	274
Table 9.1: Examples of research findings – organisational field level .....	280
Table 9.2: Summary of research findings – organisational level.....	289
Table 9.3: Summary of research findings – project level .....	291

Table 9.4: Emerging role and activities of corporate energy practitioners .....	303
Table 9.5: Shifts in institutional logic .....	313
Table 11.1: Conference papers and presentations.....	332
Table 11.2: Summary of the key requirements of the EEO Assessment Framework .....	335

## List of Boxes

Box 6.1: Characteristics of ‘corporate energy practitioners’ .....	153
Box 8.1: Establishing a corporate team to regain momentum for energy management .....	214
Box 8.2: Technology-based teams .....	216
Box 8.3: Communication strategies in a transport organisation .....	222
Box 8.4: Developing a performance measure in the mining sector .....	241
Box 8.5: Leveraging customer interest to enhance energy monitoring .....	242
Box 8.6: Justifying metering on a mine site.....	243
Box 8.7: Adopting a progressive approach to data analysis .....	244
Box 8.8: Sequencing assessments to improve results (example).....	247
Box 8.9: Developing an assessment tool to improve the effectiveness of assessments (example).....	248
Box 8.10: Involving suppliers in assessments (example) .....	252
Box 8.11: Reducing the idle time on bulldozers .....	256
Box 8.12: Integrating energy management with compliance systems.....	264

## List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

AUD	Australian Dollar
Btu	British Thermal Units
CCS	Carbon Capture and Storage
CDP	Carbon Disclosure Project
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
CPRS	Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme
Department of RET	Australian Government Department of Resources, Energy and Tourism *
Department of Industry	Australian Government Department of Industry *
Department of ITR	Australian Government Department of Industry, Tourism and Resources *
EEBP program	Energy Efficiency Best Practice program
EEO legislation	<i>Energy Efficiency Opportunities Act 2006</i> (Cth) Energy Efficiency Opportunities Regulations 2006 (Cth)
ENGO	Environmental non-governmental organisation
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Authority
ESCO	Energy service company
ESG	Environmental, social and corporate governance
ETS	Emissions Trading Scheme
GtCO <sub>2</sub>	Gigatonnes of CO <sub>2</sub>
GBCA	Green Building Council of Australia
G8	Group of Eight (of the largest global economies)
IAC	Industrial Assessment Center
IEA	International Energy Agency
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPMVP	International Performance Measurement & Verification Protocol Committee
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
KPI	Key Performance Indicator

NABERS	National Australian Built Environment Rating System
NGER Act	<i>National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007</i> (Cth)
NGER Scheme	National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Scheme
NGO	Non-governmental organisation
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PJ	Petajoule
Q&A	Question and answer
SCOTS	Social construction of technological systems
SMEs	Small and medium enterprises
USD	United States Dollar
U.S. DOE	United States Department of Energy
White Paper	Australian Government White Paper – <i>Securing Australia’s Energy Future</i>

\*Refer to the glossary for an explanation of the historical name changes associated with these Australian government departments

## Abstract

This thesis provides new perspectives on the dynamics of institutional change by examining the case of changing energy management practices in large energy consuming organisations in Australia between 2006–2012. Effective energy management practices can deliver cost savings, greenhouse gas reductions and a range of benefits to organisations and society more widely through energy efficiency improvements. However, there is evidence to suggest that there is a gap between the availability of profitable energy efficiency projects in organisations and the extent to which such projects are implemented. Researchers refer to this phenomenon as ‘the energy efficiency gap’.

The thesis builds on contemporary developments in the institutional entrepreneurship literature by developing a multi-level model to conduct the research. Due to the complexity of interrelated issues and events, case study method is applied to analyse and report on the dynamics of changing energy management practices over the study period. The primary research question is: *How* and *why* do energy management practices change?

The research finds that energy management practices evolved over the study period through a process of ‘collaborative co-creation’; that is, multiple organisations were involved in experimentation, negotiation and consensus-building processes. These disrupted previously established energy management practices and informed the development and maintenance of new and more effective practices. The thesis contributes to the institutional theory literature by offering original and empirically tested insights into the conditions that support institutional change as a dynamic process involving interactions between multiple organisations. These conditions are that stakeholders with varying degrees of attachment to established management practices are engaged in the change process, roles emerge for institutional entrepreneurs and collaboration is facilitated through the enactment of constructive social skills. Change is further reinforced through shifts in the underlying beliefs about the energy management practices that are considered to be legitimate within a

community of corporate energy practitioners.

Based on the findings, it is concluded that energy efficiency policymakers can encourage the adoption of more effective energy management practices in organisations by developing and refining policies based on three key principles. First, energy efficiency policies should encourage a wide range of organisational stakeholders to engage in the process of energy efficiency improvement. Second, policies should be enduring in order to support learning and institutional change across business cycles. Third, policies should be flexible in order to align with the capability, needs and readiness of organisations in order to accelerate energy efficiency improvement.