How do Project Managers Acquire and Exchange Knowledge? An Action Research Study of Project Managers in Australia.

by

Chivonne T. Algeo

MPM, University of Technology, Sydney

A dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for a degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Design, Architecture and Building

University of Technology, Sydney



Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	V
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xıı
LIST OF DIAGRAMS	XIII
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	xıv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
ABSTRACT	xıx
PUBLICATIONS ARISING DURING THE RESEARCH	
Doctorial Colloquiums	
PROFESSIONAL EDITORIAL ADVICE	XXIV
CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	XXV
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xxvı
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 OUTLINE FOR THE THESIS	3
1.3 THE RESEARCH MOTIVATION	4
1.4 JUSTIFICATION FOR THE INVESTIGATION	5
1.5 Identification of the Research Questions	5
1.6 SELECTION OF RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	6
1.7 What was Discovered	7
1.8 CONTRIBUTIONS	8
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Project Management Context	13
2.2.1 Project Management Definitions, Methodologies and Research Directions	513
2.2.2 Project Management Training, Education, and Competency Assessments	15
2.3 MANAGEMENT OF PROJECT KNOWLEDGE	18
2.4 Knowledge Acquisition	23
2.4.1 Explicit Knowledge	25
2.4.2 Tacit Knowledge	27
2.5 Knowledge Exchange	32
2.5.1 Definition of Knowledge and Knowledge Eychange	32

2.5.2	Performance Improvement	35
2.5.3	Knowledge Conversion	35
2.6 Knowl	EDGE ENVIRONMENT	37
2.6.1	The Physical Environment	38
2.6.2	The Virtual Environment	38
2.6.3	Barriers and Enhancers to Knowledge Exchange	40
2.7 Knowl	EDGE DRIVERS	43
2.7.1	Personality, Motivation, and Behaviour	43
2.7.2 Le	arning Approaches	48
2.7.3 Sk	ill and Competency	52
2.8 SUMMA	NRY	53
Append	ix 1—Project Management Methodologies	55
Append	ix 2—Re-thinking Project Management—the five directions	57
Append	ix 3–Technical Project Management Qualifications	59
Append	ix 4—Higher Education Resulting in a Project Management Qualification	61
	ix 5—Expertise, Competence and Knowledge in Project Work and Management .	
Append	ix 6–Project Management Certifications in Australia	67
CHAPTER 3.	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND METHODS	71
	UCTION	
	CH QUESTIONS	
	CH PARADIGM	
	CH FRAMEWORK	
	CH METHODOLOGY	
	ethodological Models	
	e Research Model	
	CH METHODS	
	esearch Sample	
	esearch Environments	
	terventions	
3.6.4 To	ols	99
3.7 DATA C	OLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	102
3.8 QUALIT	Y	107
3.8.1 Ex	ternal Reference Group	112
3.8.2 Do	ata Storage	115
3.8.3 Et	hical Considerations	115
3.9 SUMMA	NRY	117
3.10 Appen	IDICES	119
Append	ix 1–RPI	120
Append	ix 1–RJ	121
Append	ix 2–OP	122
Append	ix 2–OF	126
Annend	ix 2–WCI	. 130

Ap	ppendix 3–KEI	131
Ap	ppendix 3–RJ	134
Ap	opendix 4–FG	135
СНДРТІ	ER 4: DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	137
4.1	INTRODUCTION	
4.2	RESEARCH QUESTIONS	
4.3	RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS	
4.4	CHRONOLOGY OF CONTACT	
4.5	DATA COLLECTION AND TRANSCRIPTION	
	5.1 Action Research Cycle 1: Examination of the Existing Situation	
	5.2 Action Research Cycle 2: Implementation of Change	
	5.3 Action Research Cycle 3: Evaluation of Implementation of Change	
	DATA ANALYSIS	
4.6	6.1 Part 1: How Do Project Managers Acquire Knowledge?	180
	tervention One Analysis: Interviews	
	6.2 Part 2: How Do Project Managers Exchange Knowledge?	
	6.3 Research Participant Reflections	
	6.4 External Reference Group Reflections	
	THICS	
4.8 S	UMMARY	240
4.9 A	APPENDICES	244
Ap	ppendix 1–2	246
Аp	ppendix 1–3	247
Аp	ppendix 1–4	248
Аp	ppendix 1–6	249
Аp	ppendix 2–P	250
Аp	ppendix 2–P/C	251
Ap	ppendix 2–A	252
Ap	ppendix 2–A/C	253
Ap	ppendix 2–B	254
Ap	ppendix 2–B/C	255
Аp	ppendix 2–M	256
Аp	ppendix 2–M/C	257
Аp	ppendix 2–1	258
Аp	ppendix 2–2	259
Аp	ppendix 2–4	260
Ap	opendix 2–5	261
Ap	ppendix 4–B/SDS	262
Ap	ppendix 4–D/SDS	263
Ap	ppendix 4–L/SDS	264
Ap	ppendix 4–M/SDS	265
Δη	nnendiy 1-5/5DS	266

Αp	opendix	x 4–W/SDS	267
Αp	opendix	< 4−1	268
Αp	opendix	· 4–2	269
Αp	opendix	· 4–3	270
Αp	opendix	· 4–4	271
Αp	opendix	· 4–5	272
Αp	opendix	x 1–DAQ	273
Αp	opendix	⟨ 4−DAQ	287
CHAPT	ER 5: [DISCUSSION	299
5.1		ODUCTION	
5.2		ARCH CLAIMS	
5.3		PORTING EVIDENCE	
5.3	3.1	Part One: Project Management Context	
5.3	3.2	Part Two: Knowledge Acquisition	
5.3	3.3	Part Three: Knowledge Exchange	
5.3	3.4	Part Four: Knowledge Environment and Drivers	
5.4	THE	ORETICAL FRAMEWORK	
5.4	4.1	Social Exchange Theory	323
5.4	4.2	Theory of Action	327
5.4	4.3	The Theory of Reasoned Action	330
5.4	4.4 Sun	nmary of Theoretical Considerations	332
5.5	VALI	DATION	335
5.6	Rigo	DUR	337
5.7	Evai	LUATION	338
5.8	Sum	IMARY	338
5.9	Аррі	ENDICES	340
Αp	opendix	x 1—Sequential Development and Refinement of Research Framework	340
	•	x 2—Divergence and Convergence between the Knowledge Acquisition Litero	
		7	
•	•	x 3—Divergence and Convergence between the Knowledge Exchange Literat	
		A Discourant and Comment to the Knowledge For incomment	
	•	x 4—Divergence and Convergence between the Knowledge Environment and early and the control of th	
		x 5—Divergence and Convergence between Social Exchange Theory and the	551
	•	e and Datae	358
Αp	opendix	x 6—Divergence and Convergence between the Theory of Action and the Lite	erature
		7	
-	-	x 7—Divergence and Convergence between the Theory of Reasoned Action a	
Lit	teratur	e and Data	367
CHAPT	ER 6: C	ONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS	371
6.1	Intr	ODUCTION	371
6.2	RESP	PONSE TO THE RESEARCH QUESTIONS	371

6.2.1 How Project Managers Acquire Knowledge	3/2
6.2.2 How Project Managers Exchange Knowledge	372
6.2.3 What knowledge sources are used to acquire and/or exchange project managemen knowledge?	
6.3 JUSTIFICATION	373
6.4 RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS	375
6.4.1 Contributions to Theory	378
6.4.2 Contributions to Methodology	<i>379</i>
6.4.3 Contributions to Practice	383
6.4.4 Contributions to Policy	383
6.5 RESEARCH LIMITATIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH	385
6.6 Personal Reflections	388
REFERENCES	391

List of Figures

FIGURE 1: RESEARCH FRAMEWORK	12
FIGURE 2: INTEGRATED KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT CYCLE, (DALKIR 2005, P. 43)	20
FIGURE 3: ACTIVITIES IN THE KNOWLEDGE EVOLUTION CYCLE (ZOLLO & WINTER 2002, P. 343)	23
FIGURE 4: ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF BEHAVIOURAL CONTROL (DESS, LUMPKIN & EISNER 2010, p. 317)	47
FIGURE 5: KOLB'S EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING CYCLE (1984)	49
FIGURE 6: INITIAL RESEARCH FRAMEWORK INCLUDED BROAD HEADINGS AND GROUPED AREAS FOR REVIEW IN THE L	ITERATURE 78
FIGURE 7: FINAL RESEARCH FRAMEWORK DEMONSTRATING THE DYNAMIC EVOLUTION OF THE RESEARCH FOCUS	79
FIGURE 8: RESEARCH APPROACH UNDERPINNED BY THE FMA MODEL (CHECKLAND & HOLWELL 1998, P. 13)	82
FIGURE 9: APPLICATION OF THE FMA MODEL TO THIS RESEARCH	82
FIGURE 10: A REPRESENTATION OF THE RESEARCH ACTIVITY AS A KNOWLEDGE CREATION PROCESS AND THE INTERCONNECTEDNESS BETWEEN ITS KEY ELEMENTS (CICMIL 2006, P. 29)	84
FIGURE 11: ACTION RESEARCH VIEWED AS A DUAL CYCLE PROCESS OF SOLVING A PROBLEM WHILE ADDRESSING A RE INTEREST (McKay & Marshall 2001, p. 52)	
FIGURE 12: THE FIRST ITERATION OF THE ACTION RESEARCH METHODOLOGY ADAPTED FROM THE PROBLEM RESOLV RESEARCH (PRAR) MODEL (PIGGOT-IRVINE 2001, P. 155)	
FIGURE 13: THE FINAL ITERATION OF THE METHODOLOGY DEMONSTRATING A DYNAMIC CHANGE TO THE ACTION RE APPROACH AUGMENTING THE PROBLEM RESOLVING ACTION RESEARCH (PRAR) MODEL (PIGGOT-IRVINE 2	001, p. 155)
FIGURE 14: THE DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS APPROACH OVERLAID ON THE AUGMENTED PROBLEM RESOLVING RESEARCH (PRAR) MODEL (PIGGOT-IRVINE 2001, p. 155)	ACTION
FIGURE 15: DATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS AND THEORY EXAMINATION STEPS	104
FIGURE 16: EXTERNAL REFERENCE GROUP AND RESEARCHER REFLECTION SEQUENCE	112
FIGURE 17: DELINEATION OF DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	137
FIGURE 18: RESEARCH PARTICIPANT INITIAL CONTACT PLAN	144
FIGURE 19: RESEARCH PARTICIPANT EVOLVED CONTACT PLAN	144
FIGURE 20: INDIVIDUAL AND GROUP DATA ANALYSIS PERSPECTIVES—RESEARCH PARTICIPANT, WORK COLLEAGUE AN RESEARCHER	
FIGURE 21: DATA COLLECTION AND TRANSCRIPTION APPROACH—STEPS 1 AND 2	147
FIGURE 22: DATA ANALYSIS APPROACH—STEP 3	175
FIGURE 23: DATA ANALYSIS PROGRESSION	178
FIGURE 24: DATA ANALYSIS PROGRESSION	179
FIGURE 25: QUESTION 1—HOW RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS BECAME A PROJECT MANAGER	183
FIGURE 26: QUESTION 5—RESEARCH PARTICIPANT RESPONSES	192
FIGURE 27: OBSERVATION OF KNOWLEDGE EXCHANGE ACROSS ALL STATUS LEVELS BY CATEGORY OF RESPONSES	199
FIGURE 28: DEMONSTRATING WHAT THE OVERALL GROUP OF RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS DID WHEN EXCHANGING KNOWN A 'PLANNED' (PVF) AND 'IMPROMPTU' (IVF) MANNER.	
FIGURE 29: WORK COLLEAGUE RESPONSES TO HOW THEIR RESEARCH PARTICIPANT EXCHANGES KNOWLEDGE WHILE PROJECTS ACROSS THE ORGANISATION	
FIGURE 30: PROCESS OF COMPARING INTERVENTION 1 AND 2 DATA TO DEMONSTRATE THE SIMILAR CATEGORIES EN	
FIGURE 31: CROSS COMPARISON OF WHAT THE GROUP SAID, DID, AND WHAT THE WORK COLLEAGUE SAID ABOUT H KNOWLEDGE IS EXCHANGED	
FIGURE 32: INITIAL RESEARCH FRAMEWORK	302
FIGURE 33: THE FINAL RESEARCH FRAMEWORK	303
FIGURE 34: THEORY FYAMINATION, CONFIRMATION AND FYTENSION—STEP 4	322

FIGURE 36: MAP CONFIRMING OR EXTENDING THEORY BASED ON CONVERGENCE WITH LITERATURE AND DATA	FIGURE 35: THEORY OF REASONED ACTION (FISHBEIN & AJZEN 1975, P. 16)	330
FIGURE 38: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK TO UNDERPIN HOW PROJECT MANAGERS ACQUIRE AND EXCHANGE KNOWLEDGE 378 FIGURE 39: THE FIRST ITERATION OF THE ACTION RESEARCH METHODOLOGY ADOPTED FROM THE PROBLEM RESOLVING ACTION RESEARCH (PRAR) MODEL (PIGGOT-IRVINE 2001, p. 155)	FIGURE 36: MAP CONFIRMING OR EXTENDING THEORY BASED ON CONVERGENCE WITH LITERATURE AND DATA	334
Figure 39: The first iteration of the action research methodology adopted from the Problem Resolving Action Research (PRAR) Model (Piggot-Irvine 2001, p. 155)	FIGURE 37: EVOLUTION OF THE ROLE OF THE RESEARCH PARTICIPANT FROM RESEARCH INFORMANT TO RESEARCH PARTNER	374
RESEARCH (PRAR) MODEL (PIGGOT-IRVINE 2001, P. 155)	Figure 38: Theoretical framework to underpin how project managers acquire and exchange knowledge	378
APPROACH AUGMENTING THE PROBLEM RESOLVING ACTION RESEARCH (PRAR) MODEL (PIGGOT-IRVINE 2001, P. 155)		
, , , , ,		
		,

List of Tables

Table 1: Skyrme (2001) knowledge levers adapted by Groff and Jones (2003, pp. 68-9)	19
TABLE 2: POSITIONING KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION AND EXCHANGE IN KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT CYCLES	21
Table 3: Knowledge management strategies and project memories (Kasvi, Vartiainen & Hailikari 2003	-
Table 4: The essentials of reflective practice (Dewey 1916) and (Schön 1987)	
TABLE 5: VIRTUAL TEAM PRINCIPLES (LIPNACK & STAMPS 1999, P. 19)	
Table 6: Five personality types adapted from Goldberg (1993) and Barrick and Mount (1991)	
Table 7: The five stages of internship (Brace-Govan & Powell 2005, p. 127)	
TABLE 8: DEFINITIONS OF SKILL AND COMPETENCE	52
Table 9: Research paradigms adopted from Voce (2004, pp. 2-5)	75
TABLE 10: SEVEN CORE VALUES OF ACTION RESEARCH, ADAPTED FROM ZUBER-SKERRITT (2005, p. 53)	90
TABLE 11: RESEARCH PARTICIPANT SUMMARY	95
Table 12: Methods of trustworthiness between naturalistic and rational research (Guba & Lincoln 1 246-9)	
Table 13: Quality strategies for this action research study	110
Table 14: Quality choice-points	111
Table 15: Ethical Considerations for Research	117
Table 16: Research participant criteria descriptions	140
Table 17: Research participant category quotes	190
TABLE 18: COMPARISON OF WHAT THE RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS SAID, DID, AND WHAT THEIR WORK COLLEAGUE SAID TO EXCHANGES KNOWLEDGE	
Table 19: Research claims and counter claims	300
TABLE 20: DIVERGENCE AND CONVERGENCE BETWEEN THE LITERATURE AND DATA ON KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION	307
TABLE 21: DIVERGENCE AND CONVERGENCE BETWEEN THE LITERATURE AND DATA ON KNOWLEDGE EXCHANGE	312
TABLE 22: DIVERGENCE AND CONVERGENCE BETWEEN THE LITERATURE AND DATA ON KNOWLEDGE ENVIRONMENT AI	
TABLE 23: DIVERGENCE AND CONVERGENCE BETWEEN SOCIAL EXCHANGE THEORY, THE LITERATURE AND DATA	
TABLE 24: DIVERGENCE AND CONVERGENCE BETWEEN THE THEORY OF ACTION, THE LITERATURE AND DATA	
TABLE 25: DIVERGENCE AND CONVERGENCE BETWEEN THE THEORY OF REASONED ACTION, THE LITERATURE AND DAT	
Table 26: Researcher validity aligned to ethical and substantive validity (Angen 2000)	
TABLE 27: RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS TO THEORY, METHODOLOGY, PRACTICE, AND POLICY ACCORDING TO THE LITER THE RESEARCH	ATURE AND

List of Diagrams

DIAGRAM 1: THE INTERRELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN MULTIDISCIPLINARY KNOWLEDGE CREATION PROCESSES (FON	
	37
DIAGRAM 2: A MODEL FOR DEVELOPING CREATIVE CHANGE LEADERS (PUCCIO & GONZALEZ 2004, p. 406)	5
DIAGRAM 3. KNOWIEDGE EXCHANGE INSTRUMENT	16

Glossary of Terms

Term	Explanation
Action Research	' a flexible spiral process which allows action (change, improvement) and
	research (understanding, knowledge) to be achieved at the same time. The
	understanding allows more informed change and at the same time is
	informed by that change. People affected by the change are usually involved
	in the action research. This allows the understanding to be widely shared
	and the change to be pursued with commitment' (Dick 2002a, p. 1).
ad hoc	' 2. Impromptu; an ad hoc decision is one made with regard to the
	exigencies of the moment' (The Macquarie Dictionary 2009, p. 19)
Cognitive	An experiential learning cycle linking an abstract concept to an active
apprenticeship	experiment, thus providing a concrete experience and then an opportunity
	to reflect (Kolb 1984).
Constructive	Overt behaviour to identify if all work details are being managed, and all
disruption	options investigated.
Education	'The act or process of educating; the imparting or acquisition of knowledge,
	skill, etc.' (The Macquarie Dictionary 2009, p. 533).
Experience	'Knowledge or practical wisdom gained from what one has observed,
	encountered, or undergone' (The Macquarie Dictionary 2009, p. 583).
External	An experienced and senior group of academics and project management
Reference	leaders who generate additional insights, and enhanced the quality of
Group	research to guide the researcher through the advance of the action research
	through multiple cycles.
in situ	Observations captured by the researcher of the research participants in
observations	their usual place of work. Researcher observations were of research
	participants' daily activity including interactions with others in the
	workplace.
Knowledge	A fluid mix of framed experience, values, contextual information and expert
	insight to provide a framework for evaluating and incorporating new
	experiences and information (Davenport & Prusak 1998).

Term	Explanation
Knowledge	Occurs when information or ideas from other people are accessed,
Acquisition	captured, processed and retained, adding to an individual's tacit knowledge.
Knowledge	Knowledge intensive culture in the form of a process involving transaction
Drivers	between the person and the environment through personality, motivation,
	behaviour, learning, skills, and competencies.
Knowledge	The environment in which the research participants worked where the
Environment	individual ' influences and is influenced by the environment with which
	he or she interacts' (see Nonaka, Toyama and Konno 2000, p.8).
Knowledge	Knowledge exchange is a social process contingent on histories, professional
Exchange	perspectives and local conditions where interaction results in a systematic
	mutual approach to identify, capture and share tacit knowledge in order for
	it to become explicit knowledge.
Knowledge	Tool used by the research participants to consistently implement the
Exchange	exchange of knowledge in various situations in five key areas: organisation;
Instrument	individuals; relationship; tools; and project.
Knowledge	' the application of principles and processes designed to make relevant
Management	knowledge available to the project team' (Reich 2007, p. 8).
Knowledge	Factors affecting knowledge sharing in organizations, such as organizational
Sharing	culture, trust, incentives, and technology. Explicit knowledge sharing
	occurs when explicit knowledge is made available to be shared between
	entities. Tacit knowledge sharing occurs through different types of
	socialisation.
Knowledge	The artefacts used to exchange knowledge, such as databases, internships,
Sources	coaching, mentoring, personal knowledge, reflection, communities of
	practice, and storytelling.
Knowledge	To transfer knowledge from one part of the organization to another. It is
Transfer	complex as much of it is tacit and resides in organizational members, tools,
	tasks, and networks.
Literature	Specific ideas relating to the research which are grouped under four central
Clusters	themes explored in the literature which link back to the research concerns.
PMBOK® Guide	Project Management Body of Knowledge.

Explanation
A temporary, unique endeavour to deliver a change. A project is not routine
or repetitive (Larson & Gray 2011, p. 6), and is not a group of related
projects identified as a program (Project Management Institute 2013, p. 4). A
project is managed in most industries and disciplines within a project life
cycle which describes a period of time when a project is initiated, planned,
executed, and closed.
The environment in which the project manager may acquire and exchange
knowledge.
A skill required for project managers to deliver their projects against agreed
objectives by acquiring, constructing, and exchanging knowledge.
The application of knowledge, skills, tools, and techniques to project
activities to meet project requirements (Project Management Institute 2013,
p. 5) within a specified period of time.
Defined criteria of project management competency in terms of standards
and bodies of knowledge used to assess if a person is competent, qualified
and capable.
Includes relevant definitions and methodologies underpinning the
management of projects in Australia, including education and assessing the
competency of a project manager, Australian project management training
and education, and industry association driven competency assessments in
Australia.
The person assigned by the performing organisation to lead the team which
is responsible for achieving the project objectives and will ' plan, schedule,
motivate, and control' (Larson & Gray 2011, p. 10) a finite piece of work in
an ever evolving environment.
Research participant as the subject of the research.
One of six experienced Australian project managers. 'Social actors' in their
organisation, their project(s) and within the project management
community.

Term	Explanation
Research	Research participants' secondary role in the progress of the research where
Partner	the research participant engaged in collaborative discussion and collective
	exchange of knowledge with a sense of belonging to a community that had
	undergone a unique experience.
Storytelling	'Storytelling is probably the oldest art form, [where] people think in terms of
	metaphors and learn through stories' (Martin 2000, p. 10).
Training	'The development in oneself or another of certain skills, habits and attitudes'
	(The Macquarie Dictionary 2009, p. 1746).
Work Colleague	Colleagues of the research participant working in the same organisation and
	are familiar with the research participant.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Expansion/Explanation
AIPM	Australian Institute of Project Management
СоР	Community of Practice
DIKW	Data, Information, Knowledge, Wisdom Model
DOD	US Department of Defense
ERG	External Reference Group
FMA	Framework, Methodology and Action Model
LFA	Logical Framework Approach
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NSW	New South Wales
PALS	Parallel Action Learning Structures
PMI	Project Management Institute
PMBOK®	Project Management Body of Knowledge
PMOs	Project Management Offices
PMIS	Project Management Information System
PRAR	Problem Resolving Action Research Model
RC	Research Claim
RCC	Research Counter Claim
SET	Social Exchange Theory
SNA	Social Network Analysis
ТоА	Theory of Action
ToRA	Theory of Reasoned Action

Abstract

This research study is based on a concern in the project management community, and Australian industry in general, about intergenerational loss of project management knowledge because of a talent exodus, resulting in a loss of capability within organisations. The results of an investigation conducted to understand how knowledge is acquired and exchanged in the delivery of projects in Australia by project managers are presented in this thesis. Two primary research questions were formed for this investigation: 1. How do project managers *acquire* project management knowledge?; and 2. How do project managers *exchange* project management knowledge? A secondary research question was developed to identify the knowledge sources which project managers use to acquire and exchange project management knowledge. The research also aims to demonstrate how a project manager's personal behaviour, and the environment, influence how they acquire and exchange knowledge.

An approach was required to separate what transpired during the acquisition and exchange of knowledge from the act of managing projects. The experiential approach is also used to examine the rhetoric of project managers, compared to observing actual behaviour. In the context of being situated in the workplace, conducting the investigation using an interpretivist research paradigm allowed themes to emerge and contribute to theory.

A review of contemporary project management literature and practice resulted in a research framework based on a review of project management training, education and competency, and the areas underpinning knowledge acquisition and exchange. To structure this approach, four clusters were constructed to allow for interpretation covering knowledge acquisition; knowledge exchange; knowledge environment; and knowledge drivers. As the research evolved, emerging information and related topics to address the research questions, could be accommodated within these clusters.

To accommodate the research paradigm an action research methodology was selected for the study, which involved iterative cycles of interaction and reflection to examine the project manager's situation. Within these cycles, changes were made in order to evaluate how project managers could exchange knowledge more effectively. Several spin-off cycles were also employed to generate timely input from an external reference group to augment the rigour of the investigation. To identify research participant led, themes a systematic process was designed to collect, transcribe, and analyse the data, while recording the researcher's reflections

for interpretation. The themes relating to how project managers acquire and exchange knowledge were compared to the literature to identify divergence or convergence, and compare theories of social exchange, action, and reasoned action.

The evidence from the research indicates experienced project managers in Australia acquire knowledge primarily from workplace experiences and interaction with, and guidance from, work colleagues. Further, project managers in the study were observed using formal ways to exchange knowledge and did so in an impersonal manner. However, in the exchange of knowledge, inconsistencies existed between project managers' observed behaviour, opinions of their work colleagues, and the project managers' view of themselves, indicating different perspectives of practice.

Findings from the research contribute to social, action, and reasoned action theory relating to project management, with opportunities to apply the action research methodology to project management research, and to embed knowledge acquisition and exchange in project management policy. The research advances the practice of project management by establishing how knowledge is exchanged at project manager level.

Publications Arising during the Research

Research Award

IPMA-PMI 2013 Best Student Paper Prize for 'Designing Research to Understand Knowledge

Exchange among Project Managers', International Research Network on Organising by

Projects (IRNOP) XI Project Research Conference, Oslo, Norway.

Refereed Journals

Algeo, C. 2014, 'Exploring Project Knowledge Acquisition and Exchange through Action Research', *Project Management Journal*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 1-11.

Refereed Book Chapters

Algeo, C. in print, 'Action Research in a Project Environment', in D. Coghlan & M. Brydon-Miller (eds), Action Research in a Project Environment, Action Research Encyclopedia, Sage,
Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, pp. 1-9.

Refereed Conference Papers

- Algeo, C. 2014, 'Developing Professional Project Managers: The use of practice-oriented learning', paper presented to the 8th International Technology, Education and Development Conference (INTED2014), Madrid, Spain.
- Algeo, C. 2013, 'The Researcher-Participant Relationship In Action Research: A Case Study Involving Australian Project Managers', 6th International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation (ICERI2013), Madrid, Spain.
- Algeo, C. 2013, 'Designing Research to Understand Knowledge Exchange among Project

 Managers', International Research Network on Organising by Projects (IRNOP) XI Project

 Research Conference, Oslo, Norway.
- Algeo, C. 2012, 'Action Research in Project Management: An Examination of Australian Project Managers', 5th International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation (ICERI2012), Madrid, Spain.

- Algeo, C. 2012, 'Embedding Project Knowledge through Reflective Practice', *Project Management Institute (PMI) Research and Education Conference*, Limerick, Ireland.
- Algeo, C. 2012, 'Learning In a Social Context to Develop Reflective Practitioners', 4th

 International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies (EDULEARN12)

 Conference, Barcelona, Spain.
- Algeo, C. 2011, 'Do You See What I See: A project manager's knowledge nightmare', *Project Management Australia (PMOZ) Conference*, Sydney, Australia.
- Algeo, C. 2011, 'Developing Reflective Project Managers', Panidea Global Webinar.

Doctorial Colloquiums

Date and Location	Panel	Discussion
14 July 2012,	Svetlana Cicmil, Director of	Experiences with action
University of	Postgraduate Research, Faculty of	research as a methodology,
Limerick, Ireland	Business and Law-University of the	outline of the data collected,
	West of England, Bristol, UK	and the approach to analysing
	<u>Derek Walker</u> , Professor of Project	the data to propose
	Management at RMIT University,	recommendations
	Melbourne, Australia	
	Rodney Turner, Professor of Project	
	Management-SKEMA Business School,	
	Euralille, France	
	Barbara Edington, Director, Center of	
	Excellence in Project Management, St.	
	Francis College, Brooklyn, NY, USA	
	Brian Hobbs, Pro Vice-Chancellor	
	(Research), University of Glamorgan,	
	Cardiff, UK	
25-26 February	Bob Galliers, Professor, Information and	Refined research question
2011, Monash	Process Management, Bentley	and methodology, research
University,	University, Massachusetts, USA	participant profiles, and
Melbourne,	Carla Messikomer, Manager, Academic	proposed outline for
Australia	Resources-Project Management	confirmation of candidature
	Institute, Newtown Square, PA, USA	
	Andrew Sense, Associate Professor,	
	University of Wollongong, Wollongong,	
	NSW, Australia	
19-20 February	Audience of 49 academics from	Research questions,
2009, University of	Australian Universities, representing	methodology, and literature
Technology,	each State and Territory	review
Sydney, Australia		

Professional Editorial Advice

I certify that this thesis has had the benefit of professional editorial advice in the form of proofreading and formatting by Dr. Bronte Somerset.

The thesis was proofread and formatted in accordance with the Australian Standards for Editing Practice, and the University of Technology, Sydney (UTS) specific requirements for thesis presentation and submission.

Signature of Student:			
Date:			

Certificate of Original Authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:			
Date:			

Acknowledgements

'A Teacher affects eternity; he can never tell where his influence stops.'

Henry Adams, American historian (1838-1918)

The completion of this research thesis was instrumental in my transition from project management to academia. I am immeasurably grateful for the guidance and continued support of the people involved with me throughout this transition, and whose influence has been unceasing. I would particularly like to recognise my Supervisors Dr. Shankar Sankaran and Dr. Goran Runeson. Shankar gave his time and advice, prompting and suggesting, and at times directing me to areas needing to be addressed, always with a positive attitude to keep my research 'on task'. Goran gave succinct and sanguine suggestions from the beginning of my research and ensured I did not over-engineer my work. I am deeply grateful to both Shankar and Goran for their ongoing dedication and perseverance to seeing me complete this research.

The University of Technology, Sydney, supported and encouraged me to undertake this research, and I would like to express my appreciation to the Faculty and School, in particular the Dean, Professor Desley Luscombe, the Associate Dean Research, Professor Peter McNeil, and the Heads of School, Associate Professor Heather MacDonald and Professor Perry Forsythe.

The project management community has given unconditional guidance and friendship throughout the research. I am indebted to those who participated in the actual research, my academic colleagues, the doctorial colloquium panellists, and the industry association stalwarts—I humbly thank you.

During this journey I said goodbye to two central characters in my research. They were both close friends and colleagues, and unselfishly gave their time and wisdom to guide me along this journey. Dr. Jill Owen and Brian Kooyman-this work is a living testament to your insights.

The ongoing love and support of my family gave me the physical and emotional space to complete this research with the unvarnished honesty I sometimes required. I dedicate this thesis to my husband and mentor Tom Algeo, my father Dr. John Twyford, and all my children.

However, with the support from so many people, I accept full responsibility for any errors and/or omissions in this research.