

**Removal and Recovery of Nutrients by Ion Exchange from
Water and Wastewater**

By

Monami Das Gupta



**A thesis submitted to fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Engineering**

**University of Technology, Sydney
Faculty of Engineering**

June, 2011

CERTIFICATE

I certify that this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being submitted as part of candidature for any other degree.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me and that any help that I have received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Signature of Candidate

.....

Monami Das Gupta
June 2011

Acknowledgement

*Ashim Das Gupta, Mala Das Gupta, and Monica Das Gupta for
their unconditional love and support (forever and always)*

Prof. Vigneswaran and Prof. Loga for all their guidance

*Melanie, Bryan, Christine, Johir, Chinu, Megha, Mimi N., Ben,
Karan, Ahad, Mahesh, Arjun, Melissa, Phil B., Phil vH, Dean,
Belinda, Lipola, Cristein, Stephanus, Linh, Thamer, Yousef,
Thanh, Wendy, Suk, Sherub, Javeed, Ganesh, Prof. Hao, Dr. Shon
and Van for their endless support and encouragement*

*My extended family, for always being there for me and supporting
my dreams and ambitions*

Thank you

for making this journey a very memorable and enjoyable one

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|------|
| CERTIFICATE..... | i |
| Table of Contents..... | ii |
| List of Tables..... | v |
| Table of Figures..... | viii |
| Nomenclature..... | xiii |
| Abstract..... | xv |
| 1. Introduction..... | 1 |
| 1.1. Aim and Scope of Study..... | 3 |
| 2. Literature Review..... | 5 |
| 2.1. Biological Nutrient Removal..... | 6 |
| 2.1.1. Biological nitrate removal..... | 7 |
| 2.1.2. Biological phosphate removal..... | 7 |
| 2.1.3. Combined biological phosphate and nitrogen removal..... | 9 |
| 2.2. Chemical Nutrient Removal..... | 10 |
| 2.2.1. Chemical phosphate removal..... | 10 |
| 2.2.2. Chemical nitrate removal..... | 11 |
| 2.3. Combination of Biological and Chemical Phosphate Removal..... | 12 |
| 2.4. Adsorption / Ion Exchange..... | 13 |
| 2.4.1. Application of ion exchange with membrane bioreactor (MBR)..... | 14 |
| 2.4.2. Ion exchangers with affinity for nitrate removal..... | 15 |
| 2.4.3. Ion exchangers with affinity for phosphate removal..... | 17 |
| 2.4.4. Layered double hydroxides..... | 17 |
| 2.4.5. HAIX..... | 18 |
| 2.4.6. Purolite..... | 22 |
| 2.4.7. Hydrated ferric oxide (HFO)..... | 26 |
| 2.4.8. Selection of adsorbents for nitrate and phosphate removal..... | 29 |
| 3. Experimental Materials and Methods..... | 30 |
| 3.1. Materials..... | 30 |
| 3.2. Methods..... | 33 |
| 3.2.1. Batch (kinetics and equilibrium) studies..... | 33 |
| 3.2.2. Purolite and anthracite column adsorption study..... | 34 |

| | | |
|--------|---|----|
| 3.2.3. | Purolite column adsorption study | 35 |
| 3.2.4. | HPIX column adsorption study | 35 |
| 3.2.5. | Purolite and HFO with anthracite columns in series adsorption study..... | 36 |
| 3.2.6. | Regeneration study | 37 |
| 3.2.7. | MBR effluent as feed for column adsorption studies | 37 |
| 3.3. | Analytical Methods..... | 39 |
| 3.3.1. | Ion chromatography..... | 39 |
| 3.3.2. | Photometric analysis..... | 39 |
| 4. | Results and Discussion..... | 41 |
| 4.1. | Batch Kinetics and Equilibrium Studies | 41 |
| 4.1.1. | Purolite adsorbent..... | 41 |
| 4.1.2. | HPIX adsorbent..... | 53 |
| 4.1.3. | HFO adsorbent | 62 |
| 4.2. | Purolite - Anthracite Column as Adsorption Media for Nitrate and Phosphate Removal from Synthetic Water | 68 |
| 4.2.1. | Breakthrough curves..... | 69 |
| 4.2.2. | Amount of nitrate and phosphate removed in Purolite column | 74 |
| 4.3. | Purolite Only as Adsorption Media for Nitrate and Phosphate Removal from Synthetic Water..... | 75 |
| 4.3.1. | Purolite column adsorption with highly concentrated synthetic feed..... | 77 |
| 4.4. | HPIX as Adsorption Media for Nitrate and Phosphate Removal from Synthetic Water | 79 |
| 4.4.1. | HPIX column adsorption with highly concentrated synthetic feed | 80 |
| 4.5. | Purolite and Hydrated Ferric Oxide (HFO) with Anthracite in Series as Adsorption Media for Nitrate and Phosphate Removal from Synthetic Water..... | 82 |
| 4.5.1. | Cumulative amounts of nitrate and phosphate removed by HFO | 85 |
| 4.5.2. | Selectivity of adsorption media | 86 |
| 4.6. | Regeneration Study..... | 88 |
| 4.6.1. | Distilled water wash for the regeneration of used Purolite and HFO | 88 |
| 4.6.2. | NaCl wash for regeneration of used Purolite | 91 |
| 4.6.3. | NaCl wash for regeneration of used HPIX..... | 93 |
| 4.7. | Use of Adsorption Columns to Remove Nitrate and Phosphate from MBR Effluent..... | 94 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 4.7.1. Purolite only as adsorption media | 95 |
| 4.7.2. Purolite and HFO column in series..... | 97 |
| 5. Conclusions..... | 101 |
| 6. Bibliography | 105 |
| 7. Appendices | 113 |
| 7.1. 10% Purolite and HFO Columns in Series Data | 113 |
| 7.2. Extended Modelling Results | 114 |
| 7.2.1. 1% Purolite and HFO in series Modelling Experimental Data | 115 |
| 7.2.2. 3% Purolite and HFO in series Modelling Experimental Data | 117 |
| 7.2.3. 5% Purolite and HFO in series Modelling Experimental Data | 118 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 3-1: Typical physical and chemical characteristics of Purolite (A500PS) (Purolite 2010a)..... | 30 |
| Table 3-2: Typical physical and chemical characteristics of Purolite (A520E) (Purolite 2010b) | 31 |
| Table 3-3: Characteristics of MBR effluents | 39 |
| Table 4-1: Nitrate and phosphate removal efficiencies (at equilibrium*) with varying dose of Purolite (A500PS) during batch equilibrium study | 43 |
| Table 4-2: The values of the parameters in the Langmuir and Freundlich equation and r values for nitrate and phosphate removal..... | 47 |
| Table 4-3: The values of the parameters in the Sips equation for nitrate and phosphate removal..... | 48 |
| Table 4-4: Comparison of experimental values of Q_e with values obtained from isotherm models at different doses of Purolite (A500PS) for nitrate removal | 49 |
| Table 4-5: Comparison of experimental values of Q_e with values obtained from isotherm models at different doses of Purolite (A500PS) for phosphate removal | 49 |
| Table 4-6: The values of the parameters in the Ho model for nitrate and phosphate removal at varying dose of Purolite (A500PS)..... | 50 |
| Table 4-7: The values of the parameters in the Ho model for nitrate and phosphate removal at 5 g/L dose of Purolite (A500PS) (feed concentrations of 100 mg/L nitrate-N and 50 mg/L phosphate-P) | 52 |
| Table 4-8: Nitrate and phosphate removal efficiencies (at equilibrium*) with varying dose of HAIX during batch adsorption study..... | 55 |
| Table 4-9: The values of the parameters in the Langmuir and Freundlich equation and r values for nitrate and phosphate removal..... | 56 |
| Table 4-10: The values of the parameters in the Sips equation for nitrate and phosphate removal..... | 57 |
| Table 4-11: Comparison of experimental values of Q_e with values obtained from isotherm models at different doses of HAIX for nitrate removal | 58 |
| Table 4-12: Comparison of experimental values of Q_e with values obtained from isotherm models at different doses of HAIX for phosphate removal (outliers have been removed) | 58 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 4-13: The values of the parameters in the Ho model for nitrate and phosphate removal at varying dose of HAIX | 59 |
| Table 4-14: The values of the parameters in the Ho model for nitrate and phosphate removal at 5 g/L dose of HAIX | 61 |
| Table 4-15: Nitrate and phosphate removal efficiencies (at equilibrium*) with varying dose of HFO | 64 |
| Table 4-16: The values of the parameters in the Langmuir and Freundlich equation and r values for nitrate and phosphate removal..... | 65 |
| Table 4-17: The values of the parameters in the Sips equation for nitrate and phosphate removal..... | 65 |
| Table 4-18: Comparison of experimental values of Qe with values obtained from isotherm models at different doses of HFO for phosphate removal..... | 67 |
| Table 4-19: The values of the parameters in the Ho model for nitrate and phosphate removal at varying dose of HFO | 68 |
| Table 4-20: Breakthrough points from the column experiments using varied percentage by mass of Purolite | 69 |
| Table 4-21: Number of BV for the breakthrough curves of nitrate and phosphate removal by Purolite (shaded values were obtained from an experimental run over a longer period of time) | 74 |
| Table 4-22: Number of BV for the breakthrough curve of nitrate and phosphate removal by Purolite (A500PS) at 2 m/hr using a higher concentrated synthetic feed | 79 |
| Table 4-23: Number of BV for the breakthrough curve of nitrate and phosphate removal by HAIX at 2 m/hr using a higher concentrated synthetic feed | 81 |
| Table 4-24: Breakthrough points from the column experiments using varied percentage by mass of HFO | 83 |
| Table 4-25: C/Co values for the breakthrough curves of nitrate and phosphate removal by HFO (Shaded values were obtained from an experimental run over a longer period of time) | 84 |
| Table 4-26: Amount of nitrate-N and phosphate-P washed (A) as a percentage of amount adsorbed in the preceding run (B) for distilled water wash in the Purolite column..... | 91 |
| Table 4-27: Amount of nitrate-N and phosphate-P washed (A) as a percentage of amount adsorbed in the preceding run (B) for distilled water wash in the HFO column..... | 91 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 4-28: Amount of nitrate-N and phosphate-P washed (A; estimated values) as a percentage of amount adsorbed in the preceding run (B) for NaCl wash in regenerating used Purolite (A500PS)..... | 93 |
| Table 4-29: Amount of nitrate-N and phosphate-P washed (A; estimated values) as a percentage of amount adsorbed in the preceding run (B) for NaCl wash in regenerating used HAIX..... | 94 |
| Table 7-1: Estimated parameters for semi-empirical models for the fixed bed adsorption of nitrate and phosphate by 1% Purolite (A500PS) and HFO..... | 116 |
| Table 7-2: Estimated parameters for semi-empirical models for the fixed bed adsorption of nitrate and phosphate by 3% Purolite (A500PS) and HFO..... | 118 |
| Table 7-3: Estimated parameters for semi-empirical models for the fixed bed adsorption of nitrate and phosphate by 5% Purolite (A500PS) and HFO..... | 120 |

Table of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 2-1: A schematic representation of a BPR process (Van Loosdrecht et al. 1997) | 9 |
| Figure 2-2: Schematic representation of a University of Cape Town-(UCT)-type process (Van Loosdrecht et al. 1997) | 10 |
| Figure 2-3: Scheme of the catalytic nitrate reduction (Della Rocca, Belgiorno & Meriç 2007) | 12 |
| Figure 2-4: Schematic representation of the LDH structure (Goh, Lim & Dong 2008) | 18 |
| Figure 2-5: Representation of an HAIX resin with quaternary ammonium functional groups (R_4N^+) irreversibly dispersed with HFO nanoparticles (Blaney, Cinar & SenGupta 2007) | 19 |
| Figure 2-6: Performance comparison of Amberlite IRA-410 and HAIX (Martin, Parsons & Jefferson 2009) | 22 |
| Figure 2-7: (a) Plot of the molar (or equivalent) ionic fractions of chloride and nitrate sorbed on the A-520E resin against those in the solution phase. (b) Calculated separation factors of nitrate and chloride. The total equivalent ionic concentration was 0.16 mol(-)/L (Gu, Ku & Jardine 2004) | 25 |
| Figure 2-8: Plot of the equivalent ionic fractions of (a) sulphate and nitrate and (b) chloride and sulphate sorbed on the A-520E resin against those in the solution phase. The total equivalent ionic concentration was 0.16 mol(-)/L (Gu, Ku & Jardine 2004) | 26 |
| Figure 3-1: Polymeric ion exchangers as host materials for preparation of HAIX (Cumbal & SenGupta 2005) | 32 |
| Figure 3-2: Illustration of the three-step procedure to disperse HFO nanoparticles inside spherical polymer beads (Cumbal & SenGupta 2005) | 33 |
| Figure 3-3: Schematic illustration of the experimental set up | 37 |
| Figure 3-4: Laboratory scale membrane bioreactor | 38 |
| Figure 4-1: Batch kinetics of adsorption of nitrate and phosphate on Purolite (A500PS) at different doses of Purolite (a) 0.5 g/L, (b) 1 g/L, (c) 3 g/L, (d) 5 g/L and (e) 10 g/L | 43 |
| Figure 4-2: Equilibrium isotherm modelling plot for nitrate removal by Purolite (A500PS) | 47 |
| Figure 4-3: Equilibrium isotherm modelling plot for phosphate removal by Purolite (A500PS) | 47 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 4-4: Langmuir model Q_e compared with experimental Q_e for (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal at varying dose of Purolite (A500PS) | 48 |
| Figure 4-5: Freundlich model Q_e compared with experimental Q_e for (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal at varying dose of Purolite (A500PS) | 48 |
| Figure 4-6: Sips model Q_e compared with experimental Q_e for (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal at varying dose of Purolite (A500PS) | 49 |
| Figure 4-7: Kinetics modelling using Ho model for nitrate removal at varying dose of Purolite (A500PS)..... | 50 |
| Figure 4-8: Kinetics modelling using Ho model for phosphate removal at varying dose of Purolite (A500PS)..... | 50 |
| Figure 4-9: Kinetics of adsorption of nitrate and phosphate on Purolite (A500PS) at 5 g/L dose using initial concentrations of 100 mg N/L nitrate and 50 mg P/L phosphate | 51 |
| Figure 4-10: Kinetics modelling using Ho model for nitrate removal at 5 g/L of Purolite (A500PS) (nitrate concentration in feed was 100 mg/L) | 52 |
| Figure 4-11: Kinetics modelling using Ho model for phosphate removal at 5 g/L of Purolite (A500PS) (phosphate concentration in feed was 50 mg/L) | 52 |
| Figure 4-12: Kinetics of nitrate and phosphate adsorption on HAIX at different doses of HAIX (a) 1 g/L, (b) 3 g/L, (c) 5 g/L, (d) 7 g/L, (e) 10 g/L, (f) 15 g/L and (g) 20 g/L ... | 54 |
| Figure 4-13: Equilibrium isotherm modelling plot for nitrate removal by HAIX | 56 |
| Figure 4-14: Equilibrium isotherm modelling plot for phosphate removal by HAIX.... | 56 |
| Figure 4-15: Langmuir model Q_e compared with experimental Q_e for (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal at varying dose of HAIX (outliers for phosphate have been removed) | 57 |
| Figure 4-16: Freundlich model Q_e compared with experimental Q_e for (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal at varying dose of HAIX (outliers for phosphate have been removed) | 57 |
| Figure 4-17: Sips model Q_e compared with experimental Q_e for (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal at varying dose of HAIX (outliers for phosphate have been removed) | 58 |
| Figure 4-18: Kinetics modelling using Ho model for nitrate removal at varying dose of HAIX..... | 59 |
| Figure 4-19: Kinetics modelling using Ho model for phosphate removal at varying dose of HAIX | 59 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 4-20: Adsorption kinetics with HAIX at 5g/L dose using a higher concentrated synthetic feed (initial concentrations of 100 mg/L nitrate and 50 mg/L phosphate)..... | 60 |
| Figure 4-21: Kinetics modelling using Ho model for nitrate removal at 5 g/L of HAIX | 61 |
| Figure 4-22: Kinetics modelling using Ho model for phosphate removal at 5 g/L of HAIX..... | 61 |
| Figure 4-23: Kinetics of nitrate and phosphate adsorption on HFO at different doses of HFO (a) 0.5 g/L, (b) 1 g/L, (c) 3 g/L, (d) 5 g/L and (e) 10 g/L (feed concentration 50 mg N/L and 15 mg P/L) | 63 |
| Figure 4-24: Equilibrium isotherm modelling plot for phosphate removal by HFO | 65 |
| Figure 4-25: Langmuir model Q_e compared with experimental Q_e for phosphate removal at varying dose of HFO | 66 |
| Figure 4-26: Freundlich model Q_e compared with experimental Q_e for phosphate removal at varying dose of HFO | 66 |
| Figure 4-27: Sips model Q_e compared with experimental Q_e for phosphate removal at varying dose of HFO..... | 66 |
| Figure 4-28: Kinetics modelling using Ho model for nitrate removal at varying dose of HFO..... | 67 |
| Figure 4-29: Kinetics modelling using Ho model for phosphate removal at varying dose of HFO | 68 |
| Figure 4-30: Nitrate and phosphate breakthrough curves with varied percentage by mass of Purolite (A500PS, 300 – 420 μ m) (initial nitrate and phosphate concentrations were 50 mg N/L and 15 mg P/L, respectively)..... | 69 |
| Figure 4-31: Effect of Purolite amount on (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal efficiency..... | 72 |
| Figure 4-32: Breakthrough curve of (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal by different doses of Purolite | 73 |
| Figure 4-33: Effect of % Purolite on the cumulative amount of (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removed | 75 |
| Figure 4-34: Effect of % Purolite on the cumulative amount of (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removed per gram of Purolite used | 75 |
| Figure 4-35: Effect of bed height on (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal for Purolite (A520E)..... | 76 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 4-36: Effect of bed height on (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal efficiency for Purolite (A520E)..... | 76 |
| Figure 4-37: Effect of bed height on cumulative amount of (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removed for Purolite (A520E) at 2m/hr flow rate | 77 |
| Figure 4-38: Column adsorption study with Purolite (A500PS) at 6 cm bed height and 2 m/hr using a higher concentrated synthetic feed (initial concentrations of 100 mg N/L nitrate and 50 mg P/L phosphate)..... | 78 |
| Figure 4-39: Breakthrough curve of Purolite (A500PS) at 6 cm bed height and 2 m/hr using a higher concentrated synthetic feed (initial concentrations of 100 mg N/L nitrate and 50 mg P/L phosphate)..... | 79 |
| Figure 4-40: Nitrate and phosphate breakthrough curve with HAIX at 6 cm bed height and 2 m/hr flow rate..... | 80 |
| Figure 4-41: Column adsorption study with HAIX at 6 cm bed height and 2 m/hr using a higher concentrated synthetic feed (initial concentrations of 100 mg N/L nitrate and 50 mg P/L phosphate) | 81 |
| Figure 4-42: Breakthrough curve of HAIX at 6 cm bed height and 2 m/hr using a higher concentrated synthetic feed (initial concentrations of 100 mg N/L nitrate and 50 mg P/L phosphate) | 81 |
| Figure 4-43: Nitrate and phosphate breakthrough curves with varied percentage by mass of HFO (nitrate and phosphate concentrations in the influent feed to HFO were different)..... | 83 |
| Figure 4-44: Breakthrough curve for (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removal by HFO.... | 84 |
| Figure 4-45: Effect of % HFO on the cumulative amount of (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removed..... | 86 |
| Figure 4-46: Effect of % HFO on the cumulative amount of (a) nitrate and (b) phosphate removed per gram of HFO used | 86 |
| Figure 4-47: Phosphate and nitrate removal efficiency ratio for (a) Purolite and (b) HFO | 87 |
| Figure 4-48: Regeneration of 10% Purolite with distilled water..... | 89 |
| Figure 4-49: Regeneration of 10% HFO with distilled water | 90 |
| Figure 4-50: Purolite (A500PS) regeneration with 3% NaCl solution | 92 |
| Figure 4-51: HAIX regeneration with 3% NaCl solution | 94 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 4-52: Removal of nitrate and phosphate in MBR Effluent by Purolite A500PS (3 cm bed height, 2 m/hr flow rate) | 95 |
| Figure 4-53: Removal of nitrate and phosphate in MBR effluent by 6 cm bed height Purolite (A500PS) at (a) 2 m/hr and (b) 6 m/hr..... | 96 |
| Figure 4-54: Removal of nitrate and phosphate in MBR effluent by (a) 2.5% and (b) 5% Purolite | 98 |
| Figure 4-55: Removal of nitrate and phosphate in MBR effluent by (a) 2.5% and (b) 5% HFO..... | 99 |
| Figure 7-1: Breakthrough curve of 10% Purolite and % HFO (used in series)..... | 113 |
| Figure 7-2: Modelling plot for nitrate removal by 1% Purolite (A500PS)..... | 115 |
| Figure 7-3: Modelling plot for phosphate removal by 1% Purolite (A500PS) | 115 |
| Figure 7-4: Modelling plot for nitrate removal by 1% HFO..... | 116 |
| Figure 7-5: Modelling plot for phosphate removal by 1% HFO | 116 |
| Figure 7-6: Modelling plot for nitrate removal by 3% Purolite (A500PS)..... | 117 |
| Figure 7-7: Modelling plot for phosphate removal by 3% Purolite (A500PS) | 117 |
| Figure 7-8: Modelling plot for nitrate removal by 3% HFO..... | 117 |
| Figure 7-9: Modelling plot for phosphate removal by 3% HFO | 118 |
| Figure 7-10: Modelling plot for nitrate removal by 5% Purolite (A500PS)..... | 119 |
| Figure 7-11: Modelling plot for phosphate removal by 5% Purolite (A500PS) | 119 |
| Figure 7-12: Modelling plot for nitrate removal by 5% HFO..... | 119 |
| Figure 7-13: Modelling plot for phosphate removal by 5% HFO | 120 |

Nomenclature

BPR = biological phosphate removal

BV = bed volumes

Cl⁻ = chloride

CO₃²⁻ = carbonate

COD = chemical oxygen demand

CR = chemical reduction

Fe³⁺ = iron (III)

g/L = gram per litre

H₂PO₄⁻ = dihydrogen phosphate ion

HAIX = hybrid anion exchanger

HCl = hydrochloric acid

HCO₃⁻ = bicarbonate

HFO = hydrated ferric oxide

HPO₄²⁻ = monohydrogen phosphate ion

hr = hours

LDHs = layered double hydroxides

MBR = membrane bioreactor

mg N/L = milligram nitrogen per litre

mg NO₃⁻ / L = mg nitrate per litre

mg P/L = milligram phosphorus per litre

mg PO₄³⁻ / g = mg phosphate per gram

mg/L = milligram per litre

min = minutes

mL/min = millilitre per minute

mM = milli Molar

N = nitrogen

NaCl = sodium chloride

NaOH = sodium hydroxide

Nitrate-N = N in the form of nitrate

Nitrite-N = N in the form of nitrite

nm = nanometre

NO_3^- = nitrate

oyster-zeolite = resin with crushed oyster shells

P = phosphorus

pH = measure of the acidity or basicity of an aqueous solution

ppm = parts per million

Purolite (A500PS) = used in the decolourisation of sugar syrups

Purolite (A520E) = Purolite (nitrate selective)

SO_4^{2-} = sulphate

Ti^{4+} = titanium (IV)

TiO_2 = titanium dioxide

U = uranium

U(IV) = uranium (IV)

UCT = University of Cape Town-type process

VFA = volatile fatty acids

zeolite = an aluminosilicate mineral

Zr^{4+} = zirconium (IV)

Abstract

In this study, a fixed bed ion exchange system for nutrient removal and recovery for water and waste water was developed and tested for nitrate and phosphate. A post-treatment consisting of a fixed bed ion-exchange system with a Purolite and an HFO column in series and individually was used to remove and recover nitrate and phosphate from synthetic water and wastewater. The efficiency of the ion exchange materials incorporated into the anthracite matrix at 1, 3, 5 and 10%, in their ability to remove and recover these nutrients was investigated. Another ion exchange material, HAIX, was also investigated for the removal and recovery of nitrate and phosphate. Also, the study considered regeneration and reuse of the ion exchange media in order to see how long the system can effectively remove and recover nitrate and phosphate before saturation. Purolite was found to exhibit a higher capacity for the removal of nitrate than for phosphate. HFO was found to exhibit a higher capacity for the removal of phosphate than for nitrate. Both these media were required in series to remove both nitrate and phosphate. Increase in dose of the two ion exchange materials incurred an increase in removal efficiency of nitrate and phosphate. However, the selectivity of Purolite for nitrate and HFO for phosphate decreased with increase percentage by mass of the ion exchanger in the anthracite matrix. Regeneration was undertaken using a distilled water wash as well as 3% NaCl wash. It was found that NaCl successfully regenerated the exhausted media for reuse. Distilled water wash was not a successful medium for regeneration. A column experiment was also conducted with MBR effluent to investigate the possibility of removing the nitrate and phosphate. Both N and P in the MBR effluent were found in different forms (as $\text{NH}_4 - \text{N}$, organic N, inorganic and organic phosphorus). Other competing anions like Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} were also present in the feed. Despite the different forms of N and P as well as competing anions, the Purolite and HFO in series system still had a removal efficiency of 87-100%. The column was able to remove almost 100% of nitrate and phosphate in the effluent. The Langmuir, Freundlich and Sips isotherm models were used to model the equilibrium isotherm of nitrate and phosphate removal by Purolite (A500PS), HAIX and HFO. The results show that the experimental data satisfactorily fitted to all three models. The kinetic data for the adsorption of both nitrate and phosphate were satisfactorily described by the Ho model. The fit for phosphate on HFO was less satisfactory than the other adsorbents.