



A Doctoral Thesis submitted to the  
**UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY**

Faculty of Design, Architecture and Building

On the  
**Evaluation of Key Drivers in the Development  
Performance of City Structures**

**Student:** Michelle Leong Glastris

**Academic Supervisors:** Dr Sumita Ghosh and  
Professor Shankar Sankaran

**Degree:** Doctor of Philosophy (Course Number CO2001)

**Submission Date:** 28 February 2014



# **Evaluation of Key Drivers in the Development Performance of City Structures**

Michelle Leong Glastris

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Design, Architecture and Building

University of Technology, Sydney

February 2014

## **Certificate of Original Authorship**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.



Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

**Michelle Leong Glastris**

28 February 2014

DOCTORAL THESIS:  
**EVALUATION OF KEY DRIVERS IN THE DEVELOPMENT  
PERFORMANCE OF CITY STRUCTURES**

MICHELLE LEONG GLASTRIS

---



**The Sydney Harbour Bridge, Opera House and Sydney CBD Skyline from Blues Point Reserve, New South Wales, Australia**

Source: Kyle Willimott

*"When a city begins to grow and spread outward, from the edges, the centre which was once its glory is in a sense abandoned to time. Then the buildings grow dark and a kind of decay sets in; poorer people move in as the rents fall, and small fringe businesses take the place of once flowering establishments. The district is still too good to tear down and too outmoded to be desirable. Besides, all the energy has flowed out to the new developments, to the semi rural supermarkets, the outdoor movies, new houses with wide lawns and stucco schools where children are confirmed in their illiteracy. The old port with narrow streets and cobbled surfaces, smoke-grimed, goes into a period of desolation inhabited at night by the vague ruins of men, the lotus eaters who struggle daily toward unconsciousness by way of raw alcohol. Nearly every city I know has such a dying mother of violence and despair where at night the brightness of the street lamps is sucked away and policemen walk in pairs. And then one day perhaps the city returns and rips out the sore and builds a monument to its past."*

(Steinbeck 2000: "Travels with Charley in Search of America": 138-139)

© 2014 (Michelle Leong Glastris). This Thesis is the subject of copyright protection. All rights reserved. Subject to the conditions prescribed in the Copyright Act (Cth) 1968, no part of this Thesis may in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise), be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted to any other person other than for the specific purpose for which this thesis was undertaken, without the specific written permission of the copyright owner.

## **Acknowledgements**

This thesis has come to fruition with the support and assistance of a number of people I would like to acknowledge.

First and foremost, I extend my heartfelt thanks to my PhD Supervisors, **Dr Sumita Ghosh** and **Professor Shankar Sankaran** for their valued guidance, feedback, understanding and support. Their prudent judgement and calm stewardship have kept me grounded and yet paved the way for many creative, stimulating discussions and explorations.

Thank you to the academic supervisors that preceded them during the course of my candidature – **Associate Professor David Higgins**, **Associate Professor Glen Searle**, **Dr Garry Glazebrook** and **Professor Alan Peters**. Their individual contributions are warmly acknowledged.

I am particularly grateful to the two academic mentors who provided further insights and guidance on my doctoral work at the *2013 State of Australian Cities PhD Research Symposium*. I would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers who reviewed the conference paper I presented at the *2013 State of Australian Cities Conference* and the journal article published in the *Australia and New Zealand Property Journal*.

There were a number of individuals located in the northern hemisphere who provided valuable research assistance. In the UK, thank you to **Professor Peter J. Taylor** (Northumbria University and Director of the Globalization and World Cities Research Network); **Dr Michael Hoyler** (Senior Lecturer, Loughborough University and Associate Director of the Globalization and World Cities Research Network); and **Mr Anthony Light** (Head of International City Forecasting, Oxford Economics). In the USA, thank you to **Monsieur Francois Pelletier** (Chief, Population Estimates and Projections Section, United Nations Population Division) and **Dr Raymond Torto** (Global Chief Economist and Executive Managing Director Global Research and Consulting, CBRE).

Thank you also to **Dr Paul Brown**, Faculty of Business and Finance; **Professor Goran Runeson**, Faculty of Design, Architecture and Building; and **Dr Chiming Wong**, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences at the University of Technology Sydney for their knowledge and perspectives on research and statistical methods.

Special recognition is accorded **Mr Peter Hamilton** (Director – Development and Demographic Analysis, NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure) who was the initial catalyst that prompted me to explore the manner in which cities develop.

Finally I have been blessed with a wonderful family. To my parents, **Trina and Francis Leong**, who instilled in their three children a curious, tenacious nature and a healthy thirst for knowledge. Thank you for your love, wisdom and active encouragement. Dad, your support and assistance have been invaluable. To my husband, **Ernest Glastris**, thank you for your love, strength and sustaining me through many long nights with a steady supply of encouragement and coffee. My son, **Kyle Willimott**, is a key source of inspiration. Thank you for your love, impressive photographic and vetting contributions, constant chiding and sharp, inquiring mind. The final salute goes to the two family poodles, Rory and Zoey, for dutifully keeping me company throughout this research journey.

I accept sole responsibility for any errors, oversights and eccentricities that may follow.

*For Kyle - We look to you, your cohorts and future generations to continue the remarkable evolution of our cities.*

## **Abstract**

Cities as a structural institution, are perceived to have little capacity to control their destiny. The thesis presents a different definition of city structure and contends there are a set of key drivers that can be consistently used to evaluate and guide city structure development performance. Whilst major events and structural changes may not be controlled directly, these key drivers can be used to navigate city structures, mitigate adverse risks and orchestrate change to improve a city structure's future capacity and responsiveness. Key protagonists impact these drivers and can affect changes in a city structure's development performance.

This research examines the key drivers that shape the evolution of city structures and their development performance. City structures have evolved in four key phases and it is the current "knowledge based development" phase that provides the impetus for this research. A "**City Structure** is an evolutionary process determined by its network of functional activities; relationships; capital and knowledge flows" and undergoes various development stages with different rates of growth. The thesis posits key governance, economic, social and environment indicators and the compositional aspects of city structure population can collectively explain the development performance of city structures.

The purpose of the thesis is to develop an integrated, multidisciplinary approach and research methodology; construct and test an evaluative framework, toolkit and analyses to assess city structure development. This approach enables a comparison across 31 city structures in different development stages and geographic regions using 25 critical indicators and 11 derived trajectory indicators. The analyses were typically over a 20-60 year timeframe and capture a number of structural changes, macro and location specific events.

City structure population and GDP were identified as the key drivers of city structure development. The analysis adopted a rate of change approach for four critical indicators and their trajectory determinants (velocity, acceleration and resilience) which informed the evaluation of development trajectories and trends.

The thesis delves into an emerging field where research approaches, methodologies and evaluation processes are still evolving. In spite of the exploratory and multi-disciplined nature of the thesis' research focus and the paucity of city level data, at this early stage it is difficult (nor is it the thesis purpose) to definitively ascertain all aspects of city

structure development performance. However in light of the assumptions, limitations and methodology identified, the predictive variables are robust - the evaluative platform has been validated as a proof of concept and withstood an acceptable level of rigorous analysis.

Collectively the knowledge gained from this research proved the hypothesis valid; offers useful insights on the key drivers that shape city structure development; the historical and projected development performance of city structures; and makes contributions to theory, methodology, policy and practice.

### **Publication During Candidature**

During this candidature, a conference paper was presented at the 2013 State of Australian Cities Conference and later published as part of the conference proceedings (Leong Glastris 2013a).

A condensed/edited version of the same conference paper will be published in the Australia and New Zealand Property Journal in March 2014 and the full version will be available online (Leong Glastris 2014).

Details of the conference paper and journal article are listed below:

➤Leong Glastris, M. 2013a, "**GDP and City Population in the Development Performance of City Structures**", paper presented to the State of Australian Cities Conference 2013, Shangri-La Hotel, Sydney Australia, 26-29 November 2013.

➤Leong Glastris, M. 2014, "**GDP and City Population in the Development Performance of City Structures**", *Australia and New Zealand Property Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp 21-28.



## Glossary of Key Terms and Abbreviations

A glossary of key terms and abbreviations is presented below. For definitions of all the Universal and Critical Indicators, please refer to Appendices 2 and 3 respectively.

Glossary of Key Terms and Abbreviations	
Key Term or Abbreviation	Definition or Full Name
<b>Acceleration</b>	Acceleration measures the rate of change in City Structure POP, GDP, HDI or EMP Velocity over time. A negative sign denotes a slowing down in the POP, GDP, HDI or EMP Velocity. Each change in the slope of the velocity curve relates to an inflection point on the acceleration curve.
<b>Analysis A: City Structure Development Performance Trajectory Analysis</b>	<b>Analysis A</b> comprises <b>Key Indicator Analysis</b> (Chapter 5) – longitudinal and cross sectional indicator analyses; <b>Development Performance Trajectory Analysis</b> (Chapter 6) – development performance trajectory and derived trajectory indicator analyses; and <b>City Structure Development Stages and Points of Confluence</b> (Chapter 7). Collectively the outcomes from Analyses A and B are used to explain City Structure Development Performance.
<b>Analysis B: Compositional Aspects of City Structure Population</b>	Analysis B comprises <b>Compositional Aspects of City Structure Population</b> (Chapter 8) – analyses of compositional indicators; network structure; and development performance trajectory benchmarking. Collectively the outcomes from Analyses A and B are used to explain City Structure Development Performance.
<b>City Structure (CS)</b>	<i><b>A city structure is an evolutionary process determined by its network of functional activities; relationships; capital and knowledge flows which influence its growth and density as it transitions through a series of development stages.</b></i>  The term city will be used interchangeably with city structure as a short hand/abbreviation throughout the thesis and unless otherwise specified, refers to city structure.
<b>CSDP</b>	City Structure Development Performance.
<b>City Structure Population or City Population</b>	City Structure Population measures total city population (Oxford Economics) and/or the number of a country's people who agglomerate around urban centres/cities with over 750,000 inhabitants (United Nations).
<b>City Structure Critical Indicator Matrix</b>	The City Structure Critical Indicator Matrix comprises a shortlist of 25 critical indicators and their requisite datasets across the key Governance, Economic, Social and Environment sectors for key and cross reference cities.
<b>City Structure Information System (CSIS)</b>	The City Structure Information System is a frame of reference constructed to position segments of knowledge within a wider universe of knowledge regarding city structures.
<b>City Structure Universal Indicator Matrix</b>	The City Structure Universal Indicator Matrix is a frame of reference which acts as a repository of knowledge for a diverse range of indicators. It comprises a list of 76 universal indicators and their requisite datasets across the key Governance, Economic, Social and Environment sectors for key and cross reference cities.

<b>Glossary of Key Terms and Abbreviations continued...</b>	
<b>Key Term or Abbreviation</b>	<b>Definition or Full Name</b>
Compositional Indicators	There are 11 compositional indicators out of the 25 critical indicators used to explain changes from within a city structure's population that impact its potential productivity, capacity and resilience.
<b>Critical Indicator</b>	Constitutes one of the 25 indicators selected for the City Structure Critical Indicator Matrix and are used in Analysis A and/or B to explain city structure development. Refer to Appendix 3 for a full listing of critical indicators.
Cross Reference City Structure or Cross Reference City	These are an additional 25 city structures selected for cross reference purposes to supplement the analysis of the 6 key cities. The cross reference cities provide representation from a diverse range of development stages and geographic regions. Refer to Sub section 3.4.2 City Selection for further details.
Cross Sectional Indicators	Cross sectional indicators are critical indicators with datasets of typically less than 15 years used to provide brief snapshots.
Derived Trajectory Indicators	There are 11 Derived Trajectory Indicators used to examine rates of change with respect to velocity, acceleration and resilience. These have been derived from the four development performance trajectory indicators.
<b>Development Performance</b>	<b><i>“Development performance is defined as the manner in which a city structure fulfils and evolves/develops around its functional networks.”</i></b>
Development Performance Trajectory Indicators	The four development performance trajectory indicators (City Structure Population, Gross Domestic Product, Household Disposable Income and Employment) are critical indicators used to subsequently obtain the derived trajectory indicators.
<b>Development Stage</b>	Refers to the six development stages within a city structure's life cycle. These were identified as Early Emerging, Emerging, Maturing, Mature, Obsolescence-Divine and Obsolescence-Re-Growth.
Early Emerging	A development stage that refers to city structures in their initial growth phase of development. In this thesis it refers to key city structure Bangalore and cross reference city structures Jakarta and Johannesburg.
Emerging	A development stage that refers to city structures in their rapid growth phase of development. In this thesis it refers to key city structure Guangzhou and cross reference city structures Sao Paulo, Mumbai, Dubai and Tel Aviv-Jaffa.
EMP	Employment
FAv	Forecasted Average
<b>Frames of Reference</b>	Frames of Reference are used to position, store and/or filter segments of knowledge.
GaWC	Globalization and World Cities
<b>GDP</b>	Gross Domestic Product measures total economic activity within a city/country and is useful for tracking developments over time. In this instance, Oxford Economics use the Production Approach to calculate GDP in constant 2005 US prices.

<b>Glossary of Key Terms and Abbreviations continued...</b>	
<b>Key Term or Abbreviation</b>	<b>Definition or Full Name</b>
GFC	Global Financial Crisis, a macro event that occurred in 2007-2009 and had systemic repercussions globally. Refer to Appendix 6 for further details.
HA <sub>v</sub>	Historical Average
HDI	Household Disposable Income
<b>Key City Structures or Key Cities</b>	Key City Structures comprise six cities that represent different development stages and are primarily used in Analyses A and B – Bangalore (Early Emerging), Guangzhou (Emerging), Sydney (Maturing), New York (Mature), Detroit (Obsolescence-Decline) and Shanghai (Obsolescence-Re-Growth). The analyses are supplemented by analyses of cross reference cities. Refer to Sub section 3.4.2 City Selection for further details.
Key Protagonists	Key Protagonists refer to a frame of reference constructed to classify a range of major stakeholders involved in the development of city structures. These comprise Regulators, Generators and Actuators. Refer to Section 4.4 for further details.
<b>Key Sector</b>	Identified as one of the four major sectors (Governance, Economic, Social and Environment) from which the universal and critical indicators can be broadly classified into.
Knowledge Based Development	Identified as the fourth and current phase of city structure evolution. It is based on the proposition of technological transformation, knowledge generation and space of flows.
Longitudinal Indicators	Longitudinal indicators are critical indicators with datasets of typically more than 15 years used to provide long term trend insights.
Mature	A development stage that refers to city structures approaching a growth plateau in development. In this thesis it refers to key city structure New York and cross reference city structures Tokyo, Paris and London.
Maturing	A development stage that refers to city structures which have entered a moderate growth phase of development and can be further divided into Early Maturing and Established Maturing city structures. In this thesis it refers to key city structure Sydney (Established Maturing) and cross reference city structures - Early Maturing (Singapore, Auckland, Melbourne, Brisbane, Adelaide, Perth, Boston and Berlin) and Established Maturing (Los Angeles, Moscow, Toronto and Hong Kong).
Megacity	In this thesis, the quantitative city population threshold of 20M advocated by the United Nations was used as the primary criteria to clearly and quickly identify and classify megacities within the range of key and cross reference city structures.
<b>Network Structure</b>	A network structure is delineated by the physical geography and development of transport routes, industry clusters and activity networks and interactions within a city structure.
Obsolescence - Decline	A development stage that refers to city structures which have entered an obsolescence phase and/or are in development decline. In this thesis it refers to key city structure Detroit and cross reference city structures Athens, Budapest and Manchester.

<b>Glossary of Key Terms and Abbreviations continued...</b>	
<b>Key Term or Abbreviation</b>	<b>Definition or Full Name</b>
Obsolescence – Re-Growth	A development stage that refers to city structures which have entered an obsolescence-decline phase but have been re-invigorated and are in a re-growth phase. In this thesis it refers to key city structure Shanghai and cross reference city structure Beijing.
OE	Oxford Economics – One of the primary data source providers used in the thesis.
<b>Points of Confluence</b>	An analytical approach developed to examine different combinations and subsets of derived trajectory indicators to further determine signature characteristics of the six development stages.
POP	City Structure Population
Profile Overlays	Profile Overlays are a frame of reference constructed to filter segments of knowledge in order to compare city structures by different categories e.g. development stages, geographic region, primary economic industry and GaWC City classification.
<b>Resilience</b>	Resilience measures the responsiveness of City Structure Economic prosperity, Household prosperity or Employment opportunity relative to City Structure Population. It is the capacity of a City Structure Population to absorb elastic deformation or big impacts i.e. Boon/bust forces and external macro events e.g. GFC. A negative sign denotes a slowing down in the rate of GDP, POP, EMP and/or POP velocity and therefore the City Structure's resilience is under strain/declining/weakening.
Scale of Significance	Scale of Significance is a frame of reference constructed at a category specific scale to determine the level of scale that an analysis needs to be undertaken and also at what level an indicator's dataset is available at. For this thesis, all of the indicators and their respective datasets were primarily obtained at either a country or city level.
UN	United Nations – One of the primary data source providers used in the thesis.
<b>Universal Indicator</b>	Constitutes one of the 76 indicators selected for the City Structure Universal Indicator Matrix and is used to shortlist critical indicators for the City Structure Critical Indicator Matrix. Refer to Appendix 2 for a full listing of universal indicators.
<b>Urban Agglomeration</b>	Defined by the United Nations as the number of a country's people who agglomerate around urban centres/cities with over 750,000 inhabitants.
<b>Velocity</b>	Velocity measures the change in City Structure GDP, HDI, EMP or POP growth.

## Table of Contents

	Page
Certificate of Original Authorship .....	iii
Acknowledgements .....	v
Abstract.....	vii
Publication During Candidature .....	viii
Glossary of Key Terms and Abbreviations .....	ix
Table of Contents .....	xiii
List of Figures.....	22
List of Tables .....	27
<b>1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>29</b>
1.1 Chapter Outline .....	30
1.2 Outline of Thesis Chapters .....	30
1.3 Overview .....	31
1.4 Rationale.....	34
1.4.1 Background:.....	34
1.5 Hypothesis .....	35
1.6 Purpose and Objectives .....	36
1.7 Expected Outcomes .....	37
1.8 Contribution to the Field of Knowledge .....	38
1.8.1 Significance and Implications of Proposed Research: .....	38
1.9 Profile of Doctoral Candidate.....	39
1.9.1 Academic Background and Professional Career Summary: .....	39
1.9.2 Reasons for Undertaking the Doctorate: .....	41
1.9.3 Experience Relevant to this Doctorate: .....	41
1.10 Chapter Conclusion.....	42
<b>2 Literature Review: Evolution of City Structures.....</b>	<b>44</b>
2.1 Chapter Outline .....	44
2.2 Literature Review Framework.....	44
2.3 A: Definitions of a City and City Structure .....	45

2.3.1	Defining a “City” .....	45
2.3.1.1	Urban Morphology .....	47
2.3.2	Defining a “City Structure” .....	48
2.3.3	Thesis Definition of “City Structure” ..	48
2.4	B: Evolution of City Structures .....	49
2.4.1	The Evolution of City Structures .....	49
2.4.1.1	Evolution of City Structures: Knowledge Based Development Phase .....	54
2.5	C: City Structure Development as a Process .....	57
2.5.1	City Structure Development Stages .	59
2.5.2	City Structure Development Performance .....	63
2.6	D: Key Drivers and Indicators .....	64
2.7	Seminal Works .....	69
2.7.1	Seminal Work 1: Turok and Mykhnenko (2007) .....	69
2.7.2	Seminal Work 2: Shen, Peng, Zhang and Wu (2011) .....	70
2.8	Identifying the Research Gap .....	71
2.9	Development of Research .....	71
2.10	Chapter Conclusion .....	72
<b>3</b>	<b>Research Methodology and Data Collection .....</b>	<b>74</b>
3.1	Chapter Outline .....	74
3.2	Methodological Approach .....	74
3.3	Thesis Topic, Hypothesis, Research Framework and Research Design .....	77
3.3.1	Thesis Topic, Hypothesis and Research Framework .....	77
3.3.2	Research Design .....	81
3.4	Research Methodology and Data Collection .....	82
3.4.1	Indicator Selection Process .....	82
3.4.1.1	Key Sector Selection .....	83
3.4.1.2	Map Out Major Categories and Sub-Categories .....	83

3.4.1.3	Formulate Structural Framework for City Structure Universal Matrix	83
3.4.1.4	Selection of Indicators – City Structure Universal Indicator Matrix	83
	Key Criteria for Universal Indicator Selection .....	86
3.4.1.5	Selection of Indicators – City Structure Critical Indicator Matrix	87
	Key Criteria for Critical Indicator Selection	88
3.4.2	City Selection .....	94
3.4.3	Data Sources .....	97
3.4.3.1	Publicly Available Data .....	98
3.4.3.2	Customised Data .....	99
3.4.4	Data Treatment .....	99
3.4.4.1	Data Compilation .....	100
3.4.4.2	Data Screening and Normalisation	100
3.5	Assumptions and Limitations of Research	
	Methodology and Data Collection .....	101
3.5.1	Assumptions .....	101
3.5.2	Limitations .....	102
3.5.2.1	Obtaining data over a significant time series .....	102
3.5.2.2	Obtaining indicator data at a city level	103
3.5.2.3	Geographic Coverage .....	104
3.5.2.4	Different data assumptions and limitations from multiple data sources	108
3.5.2.5	Challenging Research Methodologies .....	109
3.5.2.6	Importance of Indicator Data with a Short Time Series .....	109

3.5.2.7	Lack of Transparency in Research Methodology for City Based Indices	110
3.5.2.8	Reliability of the Data and Data Methodology .....	111
3.6	Chapter Conclusion.....	112
<b>4</b>	<b>Frames of Reference .....</b>	<b>114</b>
4.1	Chapter Outline .....	114
4.2	Adopting a “Systems Thinking” Approach.....	114
4.3	Frames of Reference.....	116
4.4	Frame of Reference A: City Structure Key Protagonists .....	118
4.5	Frame of Reference B: City Structure Information System .....	118
4.5.1	Top Tier – Input-Product Outcome:	119
4.5.1.1	Topics of Study .....	119
4.5.1.2	Transactional Network Analysis	119
4.5.1.3	City Structure Development Performance .....	120
4.5.2	Middle Tier – Key Drivers:.....	120
4.5.2.1	Key Protagonists.....	120
4.5.2.2	City Structure Knowledge Base	122
4.5.2.3	Fact Base.....	122
4.5.2.4	Rule Base .....	122
4.5.3	Bottom Tier – Data:.....	123
4.5.3.1	Fact Base Indicators .....	123
4.5.3.2	Rule Base Information.....	123
4.6	Frame of Reference C: City Structure Universal Indicator Matrix.....	124
4.7	Frame of Reference D: Scale of Significance .....	124
4.8	Frame of Reference E: Profile Overlays .....	125
4.9	Chapter Conclusion.....	128



<b>5</b>	<b>Proof of Concept: Key Indicator Analysis .....</b>	<b>130</b>
5.1	Chapter Outline .....	130
5.2	Process .....	130
5.2.1	City Structure Indicator Pathways and Application .....	130
5.2.2	Validation of City Structure Critical Indicators .....	134
5.2.2.1	Background of GDP and City Structure Population as Key Drivers .....	134
5.2.2.2	Correlation Analysis between Key Drivers (GDP and City Structure Population) and the Other Critical Indicators .....	136
5.2.2.3	Results and Application.....	137
5.3	Key Assumptions and Limitations.....	142
5.3.1	Key Cities Overview.....	142
5.3.2	Key Assumptions .....	142
5.3.2.1	Major Events:.....	143
5.3.2.2	Structural Changes: .....	145
5.3.3	Key Limitations .....	145
5.4	Results and Analysis: Outcomes for Key Cities ..	146
5.4.1	Longitudinal Analysis .....	146
5.4.1.1	Claims on Central Government .....	147
5.4.1.2	Health Expenditure .....	150
5.4.1.3	Public Spending on Education .....	151
5.4.1.4	Military Expenditure.....	153
5.4.1.5	Current Account Balance .....	156
5.4.1.6	Inflation – Consumer Prices ....	159
5.4.1.7	Total Investment .....	161
5.4.1.8	Urban Population or Urbanisation Rate .....	164
5.4.2	Cross Sectional Analysis.....	167
5.4.2.1	Research and Development Expenditure.....	167

5.4.2.2	GaWC Global Network Connectivity Score .....	171
5.5	Chapter Conclusion .....	173
<b>6</b>	<b>Proof of Concept: City Structure Development Performance Trajectory Analysis .....</b>	<b>175</b>
6.1	Chapter Outline .....	175
6.2	Process .....	175
6.3	Key Assumptions and Limitations .....	178
6.3.1	Key Cities Overview .....	178
6.3.2	Key Assumptions .....	178
6.3.3	Key Limitations .....	180
6.4	Results and Analysis: Outcomes for Key Cities ..	184
6.4.1	City Structure Population (POP) and its Derivations .....	184
6.4.2	Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and its Derivations .....	195
6.4.3	Household Disposable Income (HDI) and its Derivations .....	202
6.4.4	Employment (EMP) and its Derivations .....	209
6.4.5	Chapter Conclusion .....	215
<b>7</b>	<b>Proof of Concept: City Structure Development Stages and Points of Confluence .....</b>	<b>217</b>
7.1	Chapter Outline .....	217
7.2	Process .....	217
7.3	Key Assumptions and Limitations .....	218
7.3.1	Key Cities Overview .....	219
7.3.2	Key Assumptions .....	219
7.3.3	Key Limitations .....	222
7.4	Results and Analysis: Outcomes for Key & Cross Reference Cities .....	225
7.4.1	Comparative Tables and Graphs ...	225
7.4.1.1	City Structure Population Velocity .....	225
7.4.1.2	City Structure GDP Velocity ....	229

7.4.1.3	City Structure Economic, Household and Employment Resilience .....	232
7.4.2	Points of Confluence Graphs .....	237
7.4.2.1	Economic, Household and Employment Resilience versus Population Velocity .....	238
7.4.2.1	Economic, Household and Employment Resilience versus GDP Velocity.....	241
7.4.2.2	Economic, Household and Employment Resilience versus City Population Density .....	243
7.5	Chapter Conclusion.....	247
<b>8</b>	<b>Proof of Concept: Compositional Aspects of City Structure Population .....</b>	<b>250</b>
8.1	Chapter Outline .....	250
8.2	Process .....	250
8.2.1	Compositional Indicators.....	250
8.2.2	Network Structure .....	252
8.2.3	Development Performance Trajectory Benchmarking .....	252
8.3	Key Assumptions and Limitations.....	253
8.3.1	Key Cities Overview.....	253
8.3.2	Key Assumptions .....	253
8.3.3	Key Limitations .....	254
8.4	Results and Analysis: Outcomes for Key Cities ..	255
8.4.1	Compositional Indicators.....	256
8.4.1.1	Prison Population Rate .....	256
8.4.1.2	Revenue Multiplier .....	259
8.4.1.3	Population Density .....	263
8.4.1.4	Net Migration.....	266
8.4.1.5	Total Dependency Ratio.....	269
8.4.1.6	Completed Tertiary Educational Attainment.....	272
8.4.1.7	City Office Occupancy Costs...	276

8.4.1.8	Hospital Beds.....	279
8.4.1.9	Energy Use .....	282
8.4.1.10	Motor Vehicles .....	285
8.4.1.11	Carbon Dioxide Emissions .....	287
8.4.2	Network Structure .....	290
8.4.2.1	Importance of Hierarchies, Markets and Networks .....	290
8.4.2.2	Developing the Network Structure - Creating Knowledge and Innovation Systems and the Value of Intelligent Environments within City Structures .....	291
8.4.2.3	Key City Network Structures ...	294
8.4.3	Development Performance Trajectory Benchmarking.....	310
8.5	Chapter Conclusion.....	314
<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusions and Recommendations .....</b>	<b>316</b>
9.1	Chapter Outline .....	316
9.2	Conclusions: Key Themes and Concepts .....	316
9.2.1	Chapter 1 Introduction .....	316
9.2.2	Chapter 2 Literature Review: Evolution of City Structures .....	316
9.2.3	Chapter 3 Research Methodology and Data Collection .....	318
9.2.4	Chapter 4 Frames of Reference.....	320
9.2.5	Chapter 5 Proof of Concept: Key Indicator Analysis.....	320
9.2.6	Chapter 6 Proof of Concept: Development Performance Trajectory Analysis .....	322
9.2.7	Chapter 7 Proof of Concept: City Structure Development Stages and Points of Confluence.....	323
9.2.8	Chapter 8 Proof of Concept: Compositional Aspects of City Structure Population.....	325

DOCTORAL THESIS:

**EVALUATION OF KEY DRIVERS IN THE DEVELOPMENT  
PERFORMANCE OF CITY STRUCTURES**

MICHELLE LEONG GLASTRIS

---

9.3	Conclusions: Thesis Proposition - Response.....	326
9.4	Recommendations .....	348
9.4.1	Recommendations: Further Applications .....	348
9.4.2	Recommendations: Refinements ...	350
9.4.3	Recommendations: Further Investigations.....	350
9.5	Concluding Remarks .....	351
<b>10</b>	<b>Appendices .....</b>	<b>355</b>
10.1	Appendix 1: Key Reference Charts.....	356
10.2	Appendix 2: City Structure Universal Indicator Matrix .....	357
10.3	Appendix 3: City Structure Critical Indicator Matrix .....	394
10.4	Appendix 4: Tables of Correlation Analyses for Six Key Cities – Critical Indicators.....	418
10.5	Appendix 5: Key Cities Overview .....	427
10.6	Appendix 6: Macro Event – Global Financial Crisis and its Impacts .....	461
10.7	Appendix 7: Metrics for Intelligent Cities .....	464
<b>11</b>	<b>References .....</b>	<b>468</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Contact Details.....</b>	<b>493</b>

## List of Figures

*\* Denotes an A3 size chart is also provided in **Appendix 1: Key Reference Charts**.*

<b>Chapter 2:</b>	<b>43</b>
Figure 2.1 Literature Review Framework	44
Figure 2.2 Evolution of City Structures	50
Figure 2.3 Urbanisation Curve	61
Figure 2.4 Nutshell: City Population as a Key Indicator	65
Figure 2.5 World City Network Connectivity	67
<b>Chapter 3:</b>	<b>73</b>
Figure 3.1* PhD Thesis Methodology	75
Figure 3.2 Meta Questions	78
Figure 3.3 Research Questions	80
Figure 3.4* Thesis Research Design: Conceptual Framework	81
Figure 3.5 Indicator Selection Network	82
Figure 3.6 City Structure Universal Indicators: Sector Composition	87
Figure 3.7 City Structure Critical Indicators: Sector Composition	89
Figure 3.8 United Nations: World Urbanisation Prospects - Methodology Definition Problems	105
<b>Chapter 4:</b>	<b>113</b>
Figure 4.1 Frames of Reference: Hierarchy and Primary Purpose	117
Figure 4.2 City Structure Key Protagonists	118
Figure 4.3* City Structure Information System	121
Figure 4.4 Scale of Significance	125
<b>Chapter 5:</b>	<b>129</b>
Figure 5.1* City Structure Indicator Pathways and Application	132
Figure 5.2 Claims on Central Government	148
Figure 5.3 Health Expenditure	150
Figure 5.4 Public Spending on Education	152
Figure 5.5 Military Expenditure	154

### **Chapter 5 continued...**

Figure 5.6	Current Account Balance	156
Figure 5.7	Inflation – Consumer Prices	159
Figure 5.8	Total Investment	162
Figure 5.9	Urbanisation Rate	165
Figure 5.10	Research and Development Expenditure	169
Figure 5.11	GaWC Global Network Connectivity Score	172

### **Chapter 6: 174**

Figure 6.1	City and Country Correlations between United Nations and Oxford Economics Datasets	182
Figure 6.2	City Structure POP A	185
Figure 6.3	City Structure POP A Velocity	187
Figure 6.4	Key City Structures: Population Velocity Trajectories	188
Figure 6.5	Population Growth Trajectories of Individual European Cities	189
Figure 6.6	Early Emerging City Structures: POP Velocity Trajectories	189
Figure 6.7	Emerging City Structures: POP Velocity Trajectories	189
Figure 6.8	Maturing (Early) City Structures: POP Velocity Trajectories	189
Figure 6.9	Maturing (Established) City Structures: POP Velocity Trajectories	190
Figure 6.10	Mature City Structures: POP Velocity Trajectories	190
Figure 6.11	Obsolescence - Decline City Structures: POP Velocity Trajectories	190
Figure 6.12	Obsolescence – Re-Growth City Structures: POP Velocity Trajectories	190
Figure 6.13	City Structure POP Acceleration	193
Figure 6.14	City Structure GDP	195
Figure 6.15	City Structure GDP Velocity	196
Figure 6.16	City Structure GDP Acceleration	198
Figure 6.17	City Structure Economic Resilience	199
Figure 6.18	City Structure HDI	202
Figure 6.19	City Structure HDI Velocity	204
Figure 6.20	City Structure HDI Acceleration	206
Figure 6.21	City Structure Household Resilience	207

---

**Chapter 6 continued...**

Figure 6.22	City Structure EMP	210
Figure 6.23	City Structure EMP Velocity	211
Figure 6.24	City Structure EMP Acceleration	212
Figure 6.25	City Structure Employment Resilience	213

---

**Chapter 7: 216**

Figure 7.1	City Structure Population Velocities – UN and OE (1980-2010)	227
Figure 7.2	City Structure GDP Velocities: 1980-2012	230
Figure 7.3	City Structure Economic Resilience	235
Figure 7.4	City Structure Household Resilience	235
Figure 7.5	City Structure Employment Resilience	236
Figure 7.6	Points of Confluence: POP Velocity vs Economic Resilience	238
Figure 7.7	Points of Confluence: POP Velocity vs Household Resilience	239
Figure 7.8	Points of Confluence: POP Velocity vs Employment Resilience	240
Figure 7.9	Points of Confluence: GDP Velocity vs Economic Resilience	241
Figure 7.10	Points of Confluence: GDP Velocity vs Household Resilience	242
Figure 7.11	Points of Confluence: GDP Velocity vs Employment Resilience	243
Figure 7.12	Points of Confluence: POP Density vs Economic Resilience	244
Figure 7.13	Points of Confluence: POP Density vs Household Resilience	244
Figure 7.14	Points of Confluence: POP Density vs Employment Resilience	245
Figure 7.15	Gini Index – Income Disparity since World War II	246

---

**Chapter 8: 249**

Figure 8.1	Prison Population Rate	256
Figure 8.2	Revenue Multiplier	260
Figure 8.3	Revenue Multiplier – GDP per Capita vs Total Office Occupancy Costs	261
Figure 8.4	City Population Density Trends	263



**Chapter 8 continued...**

---

Figure 8.5	City Population Density Comparison 2013	265
Figure 8.6	Net Migration	267
Figure 8.7	Total Dependency Ratio	270
Figure 8.8	Completed Tertiary Education Attainment	273
Figure 8.9	City Office Occupancy Costs	276
Figure 8.10	Hospital Beds	280
Figure 8.11	Energy Use	283
Figure 8.12	Motor Vehicles	285
Figure 8.13	Carbon Dioxide Emissions	288
Figure 8.14	Bangalore Network Structure	298
Figure 8.15	Bangalore Slum	299
Figure 8.16	Bangalore Traffic	299
Figure 8.17	Bangalore International Tech Park	299
Figure 8.18	Bangalore Cyber Park	299
Figure 8.19	Bangalore Garuda Mall	299
Figure 8.20	Bangalore Luxury Villas	299
Figure 8.21	Guangzhou Network Structure	300
Figure 8.22	Guangzhou Yangxi Village	301
Figure 8.23	Guangzhou Bus System	301
Figure 8.24	Guangzhou ETDZ - Haige	301
Figure 8.25	Guangzhou ETDZ – Tech Long	301
Figure 8.26	Guangzhou Canton Fair	301
Figure 8.27	Guangzhou Tulou Housing	301
Figure 8.28	Shanghai Network Structure	302
Figure 8.29	Shanghai Smog Levels	303
Figure 8.30	Shanghai Road and Port Traffic	303
Figure 8.31	Shanghai Caohejing HTDZ	303
Figure 8.32	Shanghai Pudong Hi-Tech Park	303
Figure 8.33	Shanghai East Nanjing Street	303
Figure 8.34	Shanghai Housing	303

**Chapter 8 continued...**

---

Figure 8.35	Sydney Network Structure	304
Figure 8.36	Sydney Transport Network	305
Figure 8.37	Sydney CBD – Banking and Finance	305
Figure 8.38	Inner Sydney R and D	305
Figure 8.39	Sydney Macquarie Park	305
Figure 8.40	Sydney Pitt Street Mall	305
Figure 8.41	Sydney Housing	305
Figure 8.42	Detroit Network Structure	306
Figure 8.43	Detroit Derelict Buildings and Land	307
Figure 8.44	Detroit Transport Network	307
Figure 8.45	Detroit Major Car Manufacturers	307
Figure 8.46	Detroit TechTown and Biotech Firms	307
Figure 8.47	Detroit Medical R and D	307
Figure 8.48	Detroit Mixed Housing	307
Figure 8.49	New York Network Structure	308
Figure 8.50	New York Transport Structure	309
Figure 8.51	New York Finance, Banking and Insurance	309
Figure 8.52	New York Housing	309
Figure 8.53	New York Medical R and D	309
Figure 8.54	New York Silicon Alley	309
Figure 8.55	New York Performing Arts and Museums	309

**Chapter 9: 315**

---

Figure 9.1*	Roadmap - City Structure Information System: Evaluation of Key Drivers in the Development Performance of City Structures	328
-------------	--	-----

## List of Tables

*\* Denotes an A3 size chart is also provided in **Appendix 1: Key Reference Charts**.*

<b>Chapter 1:</b>	<b>29</b>
Table 1.1	Outline of Thesis Chapters 30-31
<b>Chapter 3:</b>	<b>73</b>
Table 3.1	City Structure Universal Indicator Matrix – Structural Framework 85
Table 3.2	Extracts – Examples from Key Sectors 90-93
Table 3.3	City Structure Development Stages – Key City Structures 95
Table 3.4	City Structure Development Stages – Cross Reference City Structures 96
Table 3.5	Oxford Economics Customised Data: Geographic Definitions 107
<b>Chapter 4:</b>	<b>113</b>
Table 4.1	Profile Overlay: City Structures by Development Stages 126
Table 4.2	Profile Overlay: City Structures by Geographic Region 126
Table 4.3	Profile Overlay: City Structures by Primary Economic Industry 127
Table 4.4	Profile Overlay: City Structures by GaWC City Classification 127
<b>Chapter 5:</b>	<b>129</b>
Table 5.1	Summary Table of Correlation Analyses for the Key Cities 138-141
<b>Chapter 6:</b>	<b>174</b>
Table 6.1*	City Structure Development Performance Trajectory Formulae 179
Table 6.2	City Structure Population Velocities 191

<b>Chapter 7:</b>	<b>216</b>
Table 7.1	City Structure Population Velocities – UN and OE (1980-2010) 226
Table 7.2	City Structure Population Velocities – OE Annual Historical Average (1980-2012) 226
Table 7.3	City Structure Population Velocities – Indicative Ranges (1980-2012) 227
Table 7.4	City Structure GDP Velocities (1980-2012) 229
Table 7.5	City Structure GDP Velocities – Indicative Ranges (1980-2012) 230
Table 7.6	City Structure Resilience Comparisons (1980-2012) 233
Table 7.7	City Structure Resilience Comparisons: Indicative Ranges (1980-2012) 234
<b>Chapter 8:</b>	<b>249</b>
Table 8.1	Key Socio-Demographic Trends: 1990, 2015 and 2040 268
Table 8.2	Sydney City Structure Overview Network Structure - Primary Industry Clusters and Network Interactions 296-297
Table 8.3	Compositional Aspects of City Structure Population: Development Performance Trajectory Benchmarking 312
<b>Chapter 9:</b>	<b>315</b>
Table 9.1	City Structure Critical Indicator Matrix – Summary List 321
Table 9.2	City Structure Derived Trajectory Indicators – Summary List 322
Table 9.3	Thesis Proposition - Response 329-347