



**Assessing the risk of ocean acidification for scleractinian corals on
the Great Barrier Reef**

Alicia Jane Lloyd

B.Sc. (Hons)

*A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy at The University of Technology Sydney in 2013*

School of the Environment

Certificate of original authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Date:

This thesis is dedicated to my son, Javier Patrick Lloyd. His arrival into this world during my PhD was a timely reminder that we do not inherit this earth from our ancestors; we borrow it from our children. Javier, I wrote this thesis in the hope that it will serve to maintain the beauty of the Great Barrier Reef for your generation and many more to come.

Acknowledgements

I am very fortunate to have worked under the supervision of Peter Ralph, Anthony Larkum and Sophie Dove, an amazing team of coral photo-biologists. Peter, thank you for taking me under your wing, even though it may have seemed risky at the time. Your organisation and dedication has restored my faith in the scientific process and gave me the motivation to complete this thesis. Tony, thank you for insightful discussions about all things photosynthetic and inspiring me to love all the little pathways that electrons can travel. And Sophie, thank you for your brainstorming sessions that fuelled my determination to understand what is going on inside a coral.

In addition to this official advisory team, I worked closely with a number of fellow scientists and would like to thank them with all my heart. Davey Kline, thanks for teaching me the art of plumbing, how to care for equipment in the field and how to cook up a storm! Simon Dunn, for your patience and dedication both in the water and in the lab. Selina Ward, thank you for teaching me about the wonderful world of coral reproduction and for your tireless support. Ken Anthony, for your expert advice and succinct explanatory style. Linda Tonk, thank you for making DNA extractions fun and supporting me in the lab, especially while I was on maternity leave.

Whilst travelling, I had the opportunity to meet other prominent marine scientists and attempted to absorb as much of their wisdom as possible. Roberto Iglesias-Prieto, thank you for your wonderful coral reef course in Puerto Morelos- I hope to return one day soon! Jonathan Erez, for teaching me how to optimise titrations and for all your knowledge on carbonate chemistry. Charlie Veron, for playing it cool at Lizard Island airport while we figured out whom you were (how embarrassing!) and your inspirational book, *A Reef in Time*.

Working in remote areas can be difficult at times and I would especially like to thank all the staff at Heron Island Research Station (HIRS), Lizard Island Research Station (LIRS) and One Tree Island Research Station (OTI) for their perilous efforts to make things work in time. In particular, thank you Collette Bagnato and Maureen Roberts for logistical support at HIRS. For keeping LIRS running smoothly and always offering help, thank you Lyle Vail, Anne Hoggett, Lance & Marianne Pearce and Bob & Tania Lamb. Thank you Kylie & Dan Grieg for help during my coral spawning

pilot study on OTI. I'd also like to thank my field co-workers and volunteers Marianna Campbell, Bel Chellingworth, Geraldine Crawley, Christopher Doropoulos, Macarena Herrero, Paulina Kaniewska, Jonathan Lloyd, Ben McIntosh, Oliver McIntosh, Claire Reymond, Nathalie Verlinden and Mirta Zupan.

I was very lucky to be surrounded by optimistic lab buddies at both The Global Change Institute at The University of Queensland and The C3 lab at The University of Technology Sydney. A special thanks to Sutinee Sinutok, Mathieu Pernice, George Roff, Juan-Carlos Ortiz, Pim Bongeaerts and Annamieke van den Heuvel for technical discussions and logistical support.

I would like to acknowledge the funding that I received throughout my PhD, without it this research would not have been possible. This research was funded by The Ian Potter Fellowship at LIRS (a facility of the Australian Museum), The Australian Coral Reef Society; Danielle Simmons Award and The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority; Science for Management Award.

Thank you to my family and friends- Ben, Chris, Jeremy, Lorna, Stephen, Chelsea, Caroline, Baya, Mark, Paul, Patrick, Ute, Kyra, Maggie, Verena, Kasper, Fernanda, Esteban, Marion, Cuauh, Nadia, Tim, Jelena, Ivan, Nat, Dunc, Colette, Vinko, Mel, Tnee, Clare, Caitlin. Just knowing that you guys are there for me has helped me through this long journey! Thank you to everyone at Manly Sea Life Sanctuary for keeping my passion for marine life at the forefront while I have been writing this thesis.

Most importantly, I'd like to thank my parents for supporting me all the way through university and life. Paul and Geraldine Crawley, thank you for always being there for me and inspiring me to continue through the tough times. For everything you have done to keep me going, I am eternally grateful!

And lastly, thank you to my loving husband, Jonny. This journey has been filled with many ups and downs and you have been by my side through it all. Thank you for EVERYTHING: your help in the lab, enduring my absence in the field, your support, guidance and unconditional love.

Publications arising directly from this thesis

Chapter 2:

Lloyd A, Kline DI, Larkum AWD, Ralph, PJ, Dove SG. A working hypothesis to describe the mechanism of coral bleaching under ocean acidification. Submitted to Global Change Biology.

Chapter 3:

Lloyd A, Dunn S, Kline DI, Dove SG, Ralph PJ. Diurnal carbonate chemistry variability impacts the sensitivity of *Acropora millepora* to ocean acidification. Submitted to Coral Reefs.

Chapter 4:

Lloyd A, Tonk L, Doropoulos C, Dove SG, Ralph PJ, Ward S. Coral bleaching in *Acropora millepora* juveniles under ocean acidification. Submitted to PLoS One.

Publications during candidature

Crawley A, Kline DI, Dunn S, Anthony K, Dove S (2010) The effect of ocean acidification on symbiont photorespiration and productivity in *Acropora formosa*. Global Change Biology. 15: 851-863. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01943.x

Reymond CE, **Lloyd A**, Kline DI, Dove SG, Pandolfi JM (2013) Decline in growth of foraminifer *Marginopora rossi* under eutrophication and ocean acidification scenarios. Global Change Biology. 19:291-302. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12035

Table of Contents

Certificate of original authorship	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Publications arising directly from this thesis	vi
Publications during candidature	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xv
Abbreviations	xviii
Abstract	xxi
Chapter 1: General Introduction	1
Reef building corals and their threatened ecosystem	1
<i>Acropora aspera</i> (blue morph) (Dana, 1846)	3
<i>Acropora millepora</i> (red morph) (Ehrenberg, 1834)	4
Ocean Acidification on Coral Reefs	4
Impact of ocean acidification on the coral symbiosis and bleaching	8
Impacts on productivity	9
Impacts on calcification	9
Potential for adaptation or acclimatization	10
Understanding CO ₂ -induced coral bleaching	12
Photodamage and photoinhibition	12
Photoprotective processes	13
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> fluorescence	14
Understanding CO ₂ -induced productivity loss	16
Coral bleaching impacts on productivity	17
Coral respirometry techniques	17
Understanding OA impacts on calcification	18
Metrics for coral calcification	18
Metrics for community calcification	19
Characterising reef acidification	20
Carbonate chemistry parameters	20

Carbonate chemistry techniques	21
Coral Reproduction under OA	21
Establishment of symbiosis with <i>Symbiodinium</i>	23
Techniques for assessing <i>Symbiodinium</i> types	23
Research Objectives and Thesis Outline	24
References	26
Chapter 2: A working hypothesis to describe the mechanism of coral bleaching under ocean acidification	44
Abstract	45
Introduction	46
Materials and Methods	49
Experimental Approach	49
Chlorophyll Fluorescence	50
Respirometry	51
Cell Counts and Pigment Profiles	52
Statistical Analysis	52
Results	53
Chlorophyll Fluorescence	53
Respirometry	53
Cell Counts and Pigments Profiles	53
Discussion	54
Acknowledgements	59
References	67
Chapter 3: Diurnal carbonate chemistry variability impacts the sensitivity of <i>Acropora millepora</i> to ocean acidification	76
Abstract	77
Introduction	78
Materials and Methods	81
Study Area	81
Carbonate Chemistry	81
Community Assemblage	82
OA Experiment	82

Coral Physiology after OA Experiment	83
Statistical Analysis	84
Results	85
Carbonate Chemistry	85
Community Assemblage	85
Coral Physiology after OA Experiment	86
Discussion	87
Diurnal Variation in Carbonate Chemistry	87
Coral Physiological Response to Carbonate Chemistry	88
Implications for Future Models	90
Acknowledgements	91
References	101
Chapter 4: Coral bleaching in <i>Acropora millepora</i> juveniles under ocean acidification	110
Abstract	111
Introduction	112
Materials and Methods	115
Coral Spawning and Settlement	115
Experimental Protocol	115
Response Variables	117
Genotyping	118
Statistical Analysis	119
Results	120
Photo-physiology	120
Symbiodinium	120
Benthic Substrate	121
Combined Effects	121
Discussion	122
Acknowledgements	127
References	143
Chapter 5: General Discussion	154
References	159

List of Figures

Chapter 1

- Figure 1: Colony of *Acropora aspera* (blue morph) at Heron Island showing varied size of the radial corallites and blue colouration around the axial corallite due to the host pigment, pocilloporin. Scale bar = 5cm. (Photo: R Middlebrook). 3
- Figure 2: Colony of *Acropora millepora* (red morph) at Lizard Island showing corymbose colony shape and tubular axial corallites. Scale bar = 5cm. 4
- Figure 3: Deffeyes diagram showing iso-contours of the saturation state of aragonite (Ω_{arag}) plotted as a function of Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) and Total Alkalinity (A_T) (Anthony et al. 2011a). Photosynthesis removes CO_2 thereby reducing DIC, whereas calcification removes HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} which impacts both DIC and A_T . 7
- Figure 4: Diagram showing the photosynthetic “light reactions” across the thylakoid membrane and the “dark reactions” of carbon fixation by Ribulose biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO). Also depicted are the photoprotective mechanisms photorespiration (beginning with phosphoglycolate phosphatase [PGPase]) and the xanthophyll cycle (de-epoxidation of diadinoxanthin [DD] to diatoxanthin [DT]). Image from Crawley et al. (2010). 14
- Figure 5: The three potential fates of light energy absorbed by Photosystem II (PSII) include: (1) Photochemistry, whereby an electron is transferred from P_{680} to the quinone acceptor (Q_A); (2) thermal dissipation as heat through non-photochemical quenching; or (3) chlorophyll fluorescence. 15

Chapter 2

Figure 1: Conceptual model illustrating the photoprotective role of photorespiration. The *Symbiodinium* Form II (Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase) does not discriminate between fixation of O₂ or CO₂. O₂ fixation leads to 19% more ADP (Adenosine diphosphate) and 50% more NAD(P)⁺ (Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (phosphate)) than through CO₂ fixation and this can relieve the photosynthetic apparatus from additional photon excitation energy. Under high CO₂ conditions, photorespiration is reduced which leads to less availability of ADP and NAD(P)⁺ to accept the excitation energy flow from the photosystems in the thylakoid membrane. Therefore, excitation energy reacts with oxygen species leading to formation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), which starts the signalling cascade that may lead to coral bleaching. PGA = Phosphoglycerate; PG = Phosphoglycolate.

61

Figure 2: Excitation pressure (Q_m) of photosystem II (PSII) throughout the experiment. On Day 5, A1FI < A2 (p < 0.03). For the control and A2 treatment Day 1 < Day 5 < Day 7 (p < 0.02). For the A1FI treatment Day 5 < Day 7 (p < 0.03). Error bars are standard error (n = 3).

62

Figure 3: Changes in respirometry under the CO₂ treatments. A) P_nmax significantly declines under the IPCC A1FI CO₂ scenario (p < 0.04). B) There were no significant changes to LEDR or R_{dark}. Error bars are standard error (n = 3).

63

Figure 4: The physiological response of (B) *Acropora aspera* to the CO₂ treatments. (A) Dinoflagellate cell density is significantly decreased under the A1FI IPCC scenario (p < 0.03). (C) Chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*) per cell is significantly increased under the A1FI IPCC scenario (p < 0.03). Error bars are standard errors (n = 3). Insets show conceptual coral tissue cross sections of the ectoderm and mesoglea with dinoflagellate cell density under (D) ambient conditions, (E) with increased dinoflagellate cell density under the A2 scenario and (F) after expulsion of dinoflagellates yet increased chl *a*

per cell under the A1FI scenario (Photo: Mark Priest; Drawings not to scale). 64

Figure 5: Ratios of photosynthetic pigments in *fmol* per cell. A) The xanthophyll pool, Diadinoxanthin + Diatoxanthin (Dd + Dt), remained in proportion to the quantity of Chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) across all treatments. Yet in the A1FI scenario, both B) the ratio of (Dd +Dt) to pheophytin (*phe*) and C) the ratio of Chl *a* to *phe* significantly declined. Linear regression of control data points overlaid on all graphs. 65

Chapter 3

Figure 1: Map of Lizard Island and surrounding reefs showing the location of sampling sites at Mermaid Cove (MC) 14.6457° S, 145.4537° E, Station Reef (SR) 14.6798° S, 145.4451° E and Loomis Reef (LR) 14.6830° S, 145.4494° E. Image Copyright GeoEye 2005. 94

Figure 2: Deffeyes plots depicting Total Alkalinity (A_T) and Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) at the lagoon sites, Station Reef (SR) and Loomis Reef (LR) and outside the lagoon at Mermaid Cove (MC) during the sampling in A) September 2009, B) February 2010 C) January 2011. Data are overlaid on the contours of aragonite saturation (Ω_{arag}). 95

Figure 3: Functional group community assemblage determined from the percent cover at three locations within each site at Lizard Island. 96

Figure 4: Canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP) discriminating the *a priori* sites based on community assemblage. Overlaid vectors are Pearson correlations depicting carbonate chemistry parameters that represent a significant proportion of the variation as partitioned by distance-based linear modelling (DISTLM). 97

Figure 5: Net Photosynthesis (O_2 production) and Respiration (O_2 consumption) of *Acropora millepora* branches from 3 sites around Lizard

Island after 3 weeks in the OA experiment. SR = Station Reef and LR = Loomis Reef are sites inside the lagoon. MC = Mermaid Cove is situated outside the lagoon. LEDR = Light Enhanced Dark Respiration. R_{dark} = Dark Respiration. * = Significant differences ($p_{\text{MC}} < 0.05$). Error bars are standard error (n=3). 98

Figure 6: Physiology of *Acropora millepora* collected from 3 sites around Lizard Island after 6 weeks in OA experiment. SR = Station Reef and LR = Loomis Reef are sites inside the lagoon. MC = Mermaid Cove is situated outside the lagoon. * = Significant differences ($p_{\text{MC}} < 0.05$). Error bars are standard error (n=3). 99

Figure S1: Total Alkalinity (A_T) and pH data from three sites at Lizard Island (Station Reef, Loomis Reef and Mermaid Cove) sampled at three timepoints (September 2009, February 2010 and January 2011). Error bars are standard error (n=3). 100

Chapter 4

Figure 1: Examples of the benthic functional groups surrounding the *Acropora millepora* recruits (indicated by the arrow where necessary). Scale bar = 1.0 mm. A. turf + endolithic algae; B. bare tile (also some turf + endolithic algae); C. encrusting fleshy algae (also some dead crustose coralline algae [CCA]); D. live CCA; E. turf + endolithic algae (also some encrusting fleshy algae); F. shell and coral (also some turf + endolithic algae); G. dead CCA; H. dead CCA and bare tile. 130

Figure 2: Bleaching % of *Acropora millepora* assessed A. at the centre of the polyp and B. for the whole recruit. Bleaching was quantified as a reduction in luminescence relative to the maximum, signifying symbiont or chlorophyll density, following the method of Anthony et al. (2008). Error bars indicate standard error (n = 15) and ** denotes significantly different to the control ($p < 0.01$). 131

Figure 3: The percentage of *Acropora millepora* recruits that had established endosymbiosis with *Symbiodinium* 23 days after settlement. Error bars indicate standard deviation (n = 7). 132

Figure 4: Percentage of live crustose coralline algae (CCA) at the edge of the *Acropora millepora* recruits after 2 months in IPCC CO₂ treatments. Error bars indicate standard error (n = 15) and * denotes significantly different from the control (p < 0.05). 133

Figure 5: Distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) showing ordination of the fitted values from the model of variation in photo-physiological response variables (dark-adapted F_v/F_m , at 110 $\mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$: Φ_{NPQ} , Φ_{NO} , $\Delta F/F_m'$, Excitation Pressure (Q_m), Bleaching % at Centre of Polyp and Bleaching % for the Whole Recruit) explained by the benthic functional groups and CO₂ treatments. Vectors are predictor variables explaining a statistically significant proportion of the response (p < 0.05), as assessed by the marginal tests of distance-based linear models (DISTLM) (See Table S4 for Full Statistics). 134

Figure 6: Distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) showing ordination of the fitted values from the model of variation in bleaching response variables (Bleaching % at Centre of Polyp and Bleaching % for the Whole Recruit) explained by the *Symbiodinium* type (presence and dominance), benthic functional groups and CO₂ treatments. Vectors are predictor variables explaining a large proportion of the response (p < 0.10), as assessed by the marginal tests of distance-based linear models (DISTLM) (See Table S5 for Full Statistics). 135

Figure S1: Distance based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) showing ordination of the fitted values from the model of variation in *Symbiodinium* type (presence and dominance) explained by the benthic functional groups and CO₂ treatments. 142

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Table 1: Summary of the current literature investigating the impact of OA on the productivity of scleractinian corals. Change in net photosynthesis (P_{net}) and dark respiration (R_{dark}) relative to the control and normalised to branch surface area or equivalent. Control $p\text{CO}_2$ approximately 380 μatm , mid $p\text{CO}_2$ ranges 500 - 800 μatm and high $p\text{CO}_2$ ranges 800 – 2100 μatm . 11

Chapter 2

Table 1: Carbonate chemistry parameters calculated from the pH, total alkalinity (A_T), temperature (25°C), salinity (35 PSU) and pressure (10.16 dbars) using the program CO2calc (Robbins et al. 2010). 60

Table S1: Table of statistical results 66

Chapter 3

Table 1: Diurnal range of the saturation state of aragonite (Ω_{arag}) and calcite (Ω_{calc}) at the sampling sites around Lizard Island as calculated using the CO2calc program (Robbins et al. 2010). 92

Table 2: PERMANOVA results for *Acropora millepora* physiology after 3 weeks in an OA experiment and the diurnal range in carbonate chemistry at their site of collection. 93

Chapter 4

Table 1: Carbonate chemistry of the seawater in the CO_2 treatments. Total Alkalinity (A_T) and pH were measured during the experiment and are reported as mean \pm standard deviation. These values along with ambient

temperature (27°C), salinity (35 PSU) and pressure (10.16 dbars) were input into the CO2calc program (Robbins et al. 2010) to derive the remaining parameters. We used the total hydrogen ion scale, the carbonic acid dissociation constants of Mehrbach (1973) as refit by Dickson and Millero (1987) and the sulfonic acid dissociation constant of Dickson (1990). AT was not statistically significant between CO₂ treatments (Pseudo F_{2,47} = 0.0005, p = 0.999) and all other parameters were statistically distinct between CO₂ treatments (Pseudo F_{2,47} > 43.25, p = 0.0001). 128

Table 2: Details of the *Symbiodinium* cultures offered to the *Acropora millepora* recruits five - eight days after settlement. 129

Table S1: PERMANOVA results of the photochemical efficiency of Photosystem II in *Symbiodinium* within *Acropora millepora* recruits after two months in CO₂ treatments. All models are univariate except the final model, iPAM multivariate, which includes all variables. 136

Table S2: PERMANOVA results for the percentage of *Symbiodinium* uptake 23 days after settlement and the *Symbiodinium* type (presence and dominance) within *Acropora millepora* recruits after two months in CO₂ treatments. 137

Table S3: PERMANOVA results for the benthic functional groups surrounding the edge of the *Acropora millepora* recruits after two months in CO₂ treatments. 138

Table S4: Distance-based linear model (DISTLM) marginal tests indicating the proportion of photo-physiology variation (dark-adapted F_v/F_m , at 110 $\mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$: Φ_{NPQ} , Φ_{NO} , $\Delta F/F_m'$, Excitation Pressure (Q_m), Bleaching % at Centre of Polyp and Bleaching % for the Whole Recruit) explained by the benthic functional groups and CO₂ treatments. 139

Table S5: Distance-based linear model (DISTLM) marginal tests indicating the proportion of bleaching variation (Bleaching % at Centre of Polyp and

Bleaching % for the Whole Recruit) explained by the *Symbiodinium* type (presence and dominance), benthic functional groups and CO₂ treatments. 140

Table S6: Distance-based linear model (DISTLM) marginal tests indicating the proportion of *Symbiodinium* type (presence and dominance) variation explained by the benthic functional groups and CO₂ treatments. 141

Abbreviations

α : photosynthetic efficiency at sub-saturating irradiance

ATP: adenosine triphosphate

ADP: adenosine diphosphate

A_T : total alkalinity

CA: carbonic anhydrase

CAP: canonical analysis of principle coordinates

CCA: crustose coralline algae

CCM: carbon concentration mechanism

Chl *a*: Chlorophyll *a*

CPCe: Coral Point Count with Excel extensions

DBL: diffusion boundary layer

DGGE: denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis

DbRDA: distance based redundancy analysis

Dd: diadinoxanthin

DIC: dissolved inorganic carbon

DISTLM: distance based linear model

Dt: diatoxanthin

E_k : minimum saturating irradiance

ECM: extracellular calcifying medium

fCO_2 : fugacity CO_2

F_0 : minimum fluorescence

F_m : maximum fluorescence

F_m' : light-adapted maximum fluorescence

F_i : steady-state fluorescence

ΔF : light-adapted variable fluorescence

F_v : variable fluorescence

F_v/F_m : maximum dark-adapted quantum yield of PSII

$\Delta F/F_m'$: effective dynamic quantum yield of PSII

FP: fluorescent proteins

G3P: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate

GFP: green fluorescent protein

HIRS: Heron Island Research Station
HPLC: high performance liquid chromatography
iPAM: imaging pulse amplitude modulation fluorometer
IPCC: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ITS1/2: internal transcribed spacer regions of rDNA
 K'_{sp} : solubility constant
LEC: light enhanced calcification
LEDR: light enhanced dark respiration
LHC: light harvesting complex
LIRS: Lizard Island Research Station
LR: Loomis Reef
MC: Mermaid Cove
NAD(P)⁺: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (phosphate)
NADPH: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NPQ: non-photochemical quenching
OA: ocean acidification
 Ω_{calc} : saturation state of calcite
 Ω_{arag} : saturation state of aragonite
P₆₈₀: PSII primary donor
PCR: polymerase chain reaction
PG: phosphoglycolate
PGA: phosphoglycerate
PGPase: phosphoglycolate phosphatase
Phe: phaeophytin
P_{net}: net photosynthesis
P_{nmax}: maximum rate of net photosynthesis
pCO₂: partial pressure of carbon dioxide
P:E curve: photosynthesis-irradiance curve
PERMANOVA: permutational analysis of variance
P_g: gross photosynthesis
P_g:R: gross photosynthesis to respiration ratio
pH = -log [H⁺]
pH_T: total pH
PQ: plastoquinone

PRIMER: Plymouth routines in multivariate ecological research
PSII: photosystem II
PSU: practical salinity unit
 Q_A : quinone acceptor
 Q_m : excitation pressure
RC: reaction centre
 R_{dark} : dark respiration
ROS: reactive oxygen species
RuBisCO: ribulose biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase
RuBP: ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate
SR: Station Reef
SST: sea surface temperatures
 Φ_{NO} : yield of fluorescence
 Φ_{NPQ} : yield of non-photochemical quenching

Abstract

Ocean acidification will impact the photo-physiology of reef-building corals as it can lead to dysfunction of the symbiosis and loss of productivity. The major objective of this thesis was to provide insight into the mechanism of CO₂-induced bleaching and productivity loss across multiple life-history stages and interpret these findings in an ecological context.

Chapter 1 provides a review of the literature investigating the photo-physiological impact of ocean acidification, emphasizing the experimental conditions in studies that observed *Symbiodinium* dysfunction and productivity loss. Chapter 2 presents a working hypothesis to describe the fundamental physiological aspects of coral bleaching under ocean acidification. This research investigates the response of *Acropora aspera* using pulse amplitude modulation (PAM) fluorometry and oxygen respirometry under increased pCO₂ with concomitant high light conditions. The dinoflagellate density and HPLC pigment analysis are utilised to characterise the CO₂-induced bleaching response. We present a conceptual model linking photorespiration to CO₂-induced bleaching and productivity loss.

The impact of ocean acidification on coral reef ecosystems is likely to deviate from oceanic climate models due to diel modification of carbonate chemistry by community metabolism. Chapter 3 characterises the diurnal variation in carbonate chemistry at sites around Lizard Island and links this to the ocean acidification response of *Acropora millepora* collected from these sites. Furthermore, we utilise permutational multivariate statistical analyses to partition the variation in carbonate chemistry attributable to community composition at these sites. It was hypothesized that greater diurnal variation in carbonate chemistry may improve resilience of scleractinian corals to future ocean acidification conditions. This chapter highlights that site-specific physiological trade-offs may influence the response of reef-building corals to future ocean acidification scenarios.

Chapter 4 reports a visual bleaching response in *A. millepora* juveniles under future ocean acidification conditions. The effect of ocean acidification on coral juveniles is

hypothesised to impact *Symbiodinium* uptake and photochemical efficiency. We utilised the iPAM to align the photochemistry in the juveniles with their visual bleaching response and *Symbiodinium* type, as assessed by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) of the internal transcribed spacer region 1 (ITS1) of the ribosomal genes. This study links the bleaching response with recruits containing a dominant population of *Symbiodinium* type D1 or D1-4, with potential implications for post-settlement survivorship and population dynamics.

Lastly, in Chapter 5 the key findings of this thesis are discussed in light of the ecological implications for the Great Barrier Reef. The synopsis outlines the effect of ocean acidification on the photo-physiology, productivity, calcification, reproduction and symbiont acquisition of reef-building corals. Future avenues for research are suggested based on new research gaps revealed by this thesis with the aim to continue to provide up-to-date scientific information to policy makers and reef managers.