

ROBUST CONTROLLER DESIGN FOR MULTIPLE BOILERS AND BOILER TURBINE UNITS

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY

For the partial fulfilment of the requirements for the

Degree of Masters of Engineering by Research

In Electrical Engineering

By

HAMZA JAVED

SUPERVISED BY: ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR QUANG HA

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

**SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL MECHANICAL AND MECHATRONICS
ENGINEERING**

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY

March 12

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged with the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and in the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are specified in the thesis.

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Hamza Javed

ABSTRACT

Boilers or boiler turbine units are the main source of energy for almost every industrial installation. In most cases, the fuel cost of a power plant is a key factor in the total budget of any industrial unit. Also the major part of the running expense of any plant consists of the total fuel expense of a power plant. Due to this fact, the control of boilers and boiler turbine units confirm their significance. Improving the performance of a power plant and making it cost-effective becomes extremely important for engineers.

Over the last few decades, power plant control has been the focus of attention for academic researchers, scientists and control engineers. Many innovative control techniques have been experimented with on boilers. It is seen that in order to meet the vast utility demand of the plant, more than one boiler or boiler-turbine unit is usually installed in a power plant. The control of such a system becomes sensitive due to the mutual dependency and interactions between one unit and another. The research reported in this thesis mostly focuses on implementing control systems with multiple boilers and multiple boiler turbine units. $H\infty$ robust controllers are designed for systems where multiple boilers and boiler-turbine units are installed and operate in parallel to each other. These controllers maintain power and steam supply in the presence of sudden changes in process parameters and external disturbances in the power plant.

These days due to the vast usage of steam in a production unit, power plants consist of more than one boiler. Furthermore control of this kind of system becomes extremely sensitive when the plant is subjected to frequent variations in operating conditions. A loop shaping technique is used to synthesise robust controllers for the set point tracking, disturbance rejection and robust stability of the system against variations of the operational conditions and nonlinearity of the plant. Designed robust controllers are of high orders and, compared to PID controllers these are still not the industry favourite. That is why, to make the controllers in this study industrial favourable, these higher-order controllers are reduced to approximate the multivariable PID controllers structure. This is done for practical implementation by using eigenvalue decomposition technique. Simulation results show that the resulting PID structure displays a good robust stability and performance in the time domain, achieving steam demand and electricity demand from the boiler header and power grid stations for multiple boilers and multiple boiler-turbine units system.

PREFACE

The work described here was carried out between January 2010 and November 2011 within the School of Electrical, Mechanical and Mechatronics Engineering in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology (UTS), Sydney. First of all, I would like to thank Almighty Allah for His guidance and mercy in carrying out this work.

I would like to express my wholesome gratefulness and appreciation to my principal supervisor, Associate Professor Quang Ha, for his supervision, endurance and support throughout my candidature. Professor Ha proved to be my true mentor in all respects. I would also like to pay thanks to my co-supervisor Dr. Steven Su for his ongoing support and for recommending relevant literature for carrying out my research.

Here, special thanks go to my research group fellows Herman Wahid, Tri Tran and Nguyen. D for providing valuable assistance. I would like to pay thanks to all my friends in Sydney, who have supported me during my stay here in Australia. Also, I would like to thank the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, UTS for supporting my candidature.

I wish to dedicate this work to my dearest mother for her incessant prayers, sacrifices and struggles which she has made for my career development.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	I
PREFACE.....	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
TABLE OF FIGURES.....	VII
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 PROBLEM DEFINITION	1
1.2 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVE	3
1.3 CONTROL PROBLEM OF DRUM BOILERS.....	4
1.4 MAJOR CONTRIBUTION OF RESEARCH	6
1.4.1 <i>A model of multiple boilers with a common header.</i>	6
1.4.2 <i>A model of multiple boiler-turbine units with a common grid.</i>	7
1.4.3 <i>H_{oo} control design for proposed models.</i>	7
1.4.4 <i>Approximation of higher order controllers</i>	7
1.5 THESIS OUTLINE.....	8
2. BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 THE BOILER.....	10
2.2 THE BOILING PROCESS	11
2.3 BOILER STRUCTURE	14
2.3.1 <i>Burners.</i>	14
2.3.2 <i>Furnace</i>	14
2.3.3 <i>Deaerator.</i>	15
2.3.4 <i>Drum</i>	15
2.3.5 <i>Forced-draught fans</i>	15
2.3.6 <i>Induced-draught fans</i>	16
2.3.7 <i>Pumps and valves</i>	17
2.3.8 <i>Economiser</i>	18
2.4 BOILER FUELS.....	18
2.4.1 <i>Furnace oil</i>	19
2.4.2 <i>Natural gas</i>	19
2.4.3 <i>Coal</i>	20
2.4.4 <i>Fuel mixtures</i>	20
2.5 BOILERS CLASSIFICATION	21
2.5.1 <i>By application</i>	21
2.5.2 <i>By pressure</i>	21
2.5.3 <i>By circulation method</i>	21
2.5.4 <i>By firing method</i>	21
2.5.5 <i>By construction</i>	22
2.5.6 <i>By slag removal method</i>	22
2.5.7 <i>By heat source and fuel</i>	22
2.5.8 <i>By boiler tubes</i>	22

2.6	BOILER CONTROL	25
2.6.1	<i>Boiler control objectives</i>	26
2.6.2	<i>History of boiler control</i>	27
2.7	MULTIPLE BOILER PLANTS	28
2.8	BOILER-TURBINE COUPLING	30
2.8.1	<i>Power generation cycle</i>	30
2.8.2	<i>Thermal efficiency</i>	32
2.9	BOILER-TURBINE CONTROL	32
2.9.1	<i>Boiler-turbine unit control objectives</i>	34
2.10	MULTIPLE BOILER-TURBINE UNIT PLANTS	34
2.11	BOILER MODELS	36
2.12	CONTROL TECHNIQUES FOR BOILERS AND BOILER-TURBINE UNITS	36
2.12.1	<i>Linear Quadratic Gaussian Control technique</i>	37
2.12.2	<i>Internal model control</i>	38
2.12.3	<i>Inverse Nyquist Array</i>	38
2.12.4	<i>Structure optimisation of control system</i>	39
2.12.5	<i>Predictive control technique</i>	39
2.12.6	<i>Intelligent control techniques</i>	39
2.12.7	<i>Robust control techniques</i>	40
2.12.8	<i>H∞ optimal control</i>	40
2.12.9	<i>Proportional integral derivative control</i>	41
3.	BOILER MODELS	42
3.1	INTRODUCTION	42
3.2	BOILER FIRST MATHEMATICAL MODEL	42
3.2.1	<i>Model equations</i>	43
3.2.2	<i>Typical values and operation point</i>	46
3.2.3	<i>Further simulation</i>	48
3.3	BOILER SECOND MATHEMATICAL MODEL	49
3.4	BOILER THIRD MATHEMATICAL MODEL	51
3.4.1	<i>Model equations</i>	51
3.4.2	<i>Operating points</i>	54
3.4.3	<i>State space model</i>	55
3.5	MULTIPLE BOILER MODEL	56
3.5.1	<i>Introduction</i>	56
3.5.2	<i>Operating points</i>	57
3.5.3	<i>State space model</i>	58
3.6	BOILER TURBINE MATHEMATICAL MODEL	60
3.6.1	<i>Model equations</i>	60
3.6.2	<i>Operating points</i>	61
3.6.3	<i>State space model</i>	61
3.7	MULTIPLE BOILER TURBINE MODEL	62
3.7.1	<i>Introduction</i>	62
3.7.1	<i>Operating points</i>	63
3.7.2	<i>State space model</i>	64
4.	CONTROL OF BOILERS	66
4.1	INTRODUCTION	66

4.2	PI CONTROLLERS.....	66
4.3	STEP RESPONSES OF BOILER MODELS.....	68
4.3.1	<i>Response to a step in fuel flow</i>	68
4.3.2	<i>Response to a step in air flow.....</i>	70
4.3.3	<i>Response to a step in feedwater flow.....</i>	73
4.4	STEP RESPONSES OF BOILER-TURBINE MODELS.....	75
4.4.1	<i>Response to a step in fuel valve position</i>	76
4.4.2	<i>Response to a step in steam control valve position.....</i>	77
4.4.3	<i>Response to a step in feedwater flow valve position.....</i>	79
4.5	FEEDBACK CONTROL OF BOILER MODEL	81
4.5.1	<i>Set point tracking of boiler control system</i>	83
4.5.2	<i>Disturbance augmentation of boiler control system</i>	87
4.6	FEEDBACK CONTROL OF BOILER TURBINE MODEL.....	91
4.6.1	<i>Set pint tracking of boiler-turbine control system</i>	92
4.6.2	<i>Disturbance augmentation of boiler-turbine control system</i>	96
4.7	SIMPLE FEEDBACK CONTROL OF A MULTIPLE BOILER SYSTEM	98
4.7.1	<i>Set point tracking of a multiple boiler control system</i>	98
4.7.2	<i>Disturbance rejection on a multiple boiler system</i>	103
4.8	SIMPLE FEEDBACK CONTROL OF MULTIPLE BOILER-TURBINE UNITS SYSTEM.....	106
4.8.1	<i>Set point tracking of multiple boiler-turbine units system.....</i>	108
4.9	SUMMARY	115
5.	ROBUST CONTROLLER DESIGN	116
5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	116
5.2	ROBUST CONTROLLER DESIGN	117
5.3	LOOP SHAPING DESIGN PROCEDURE	121
5.4	SYNTHESIS RESULTS	126
5.4.1	<i>Ensuring specified requirements to closed-loop multiple boiler system</i>	126
5.4.2	<i>Analysis of synthesis results for multiple boilers system</i>	128
5.4.3	<i>Ensuring specified requirements to closed-loop multiple boiler-turbine system</i>	130
5.4.4	<i>Analysis of synthesis results for multiple boilers-turbine system.....</i>	133
5.5	SUMMARY	135
6.	PID APPROXIMATION AND SIMULATION RESULTS	136
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	136
6.2	PID APPROXIMATION	137
6.2.1	<i>For multiple boiler system</i>	139
6.2.2	<i>For multiple boiler-turbine system.....</i>	141
6.3	SIMULATION RESULTS FOR MULTIPLE BOILER SYSTEMS.....	144
6.3.1	<i>Setpoint tracking</i>	144
6.3.2	<i>Disturbance attenuation.....</i>	146
6.4	SIMULATION RESULTS FOR MULTIPLE BOILER-TURBINE SYSTEMS.....	149
6.4.1	<i>Setpoint tracking</i>	149
6.4.2	<i>Disturbance attenuation.....</i>	151
6.5	SUMMARY	154
7.	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	155
7.1	INTRODUCTION.....	155

7.2	THESIS SUMMARY	155
7.3	THESIS CONTRIBUTION	156
7.4	FUTURE WORK	158
7.5	THESIS CONCLUSION	158
	APPENDIX.....	160
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	161

Table of Figures

FIGURE 1.1 ILLUSTRATION OF A TYPICAL STEAM BOILER	5
FIGURE 2.1 THE BOILER [114]	10
FIGURE 2.2 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF A BOILER	11
FIGURE 2.3 BASIC DIAGRAM OF A BOILER.....	12
FIGURE 2.4 A UTILITY BOILER [17]	13
FIGURE 2.5 BOILER STEAM DRUM	16
FIGURE 2.6 BOILER FAN CYCLES	17
FIGURE 2.7 FLOW DIAGRAM OF A BOILER.....	18
FIGURE 2.8 FIREBOX BOILER.....	23
FIGURE 2.9 CIRCULATION OF WATER TUBE BOILERS.....	24
FIGURE 2.10 BLOCK DIAGRAM OF A BOILER CONTROL SYSTEM	26
FIGURE 2.11 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF MULTIPLE BOILERS	29
FIGURE 2.12 TURBINE BLADES.....	31
FIGURE 2.13 DIAGRAM OF A BOILER-TURBINE UNIT	31
FIGURE 2.14 BOILER TURBINE CONTROL.....	33
FIGURE 2.15 MULTI BOILER TURBINE UNITS	35
FIGURE 2.16 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF IMC	38
FIGURE 3.1 AN INDUSTRIAL STEAM GENERATION PLANT [51]	54
FIGURE 3.2 DIAGRAM OF A MULTI-BOILER SYSTEM CONTROL.....	57
FIGURE 3.3 MULTIPLE BOILER-TURBINE UNITS	63
FIGURE 4.1 SYSTEM WITH A PI CONTROLLER	67
FIGURE 4.2 RESPONSES TO A STEP IN FUEL FLOW.....	70
FIGURE 4.3 RESPONSES TO A STEP IN AIR FLOW.....	72
FIGURE 4.4 RESPONSES TO A STEP IN FEEDWATER FLOW	75
FIGURE 4.5 RESPONSES TO A STEP IN FUEL VALVE POSITION	77
FIGURE 4.6 RESPONSES TO A STEP IN STEAM CONTROL VALVE POSITION	79
FIGURE 4.7 RESPONSES TO A STEP IN FEEDWATER FLOW VALVE POSITION	81
FIGURE 4.8 OUTPUTS $Y_1(T)$ (A), $Y_2(T)$ (B) AND $Y_3(T)$ (C), WHEN SET POINT OF Y_1 CHANGES FROM 320 PSI TO 280 PSI, WHERE SOLID LINES REPRESENT PI CONTROL AND DASHED LINES REPRESENT DESIRED VALUE	84
FIGURE 4.9 OUTPUTS $Y_1(T)$ (A), $Y_2(T)$ (B) AND $Y_3(T)$ (C), WHEN SET POINT OF Y_3 CHANGES FROM 0 INCHES TO 2 INCHES, WHERE SOLID LINES REPRESENT PI CONTROL AND DASHED LINES REPRESENT DESIRED VALUE	86
FIGURE 4.10 OUTPUTS $Y_1(T)$ (A), $Y_2(T)$ (B) AND $Y_3(T)$ (C), WHEN 20% DISTURBANCE IS ADDED TO U_1 , WHERE SOLID LINES REPRESENT PI CONTROL AND DASHED LINES REPRESENT DESIRED VALUE	88
FIGURE 4.11 OUTPUTS $Y_1(T)$ (A), $Y_2(T)$ (B) AND $Y_3(T)$ (C), WHEN 20% DISTURBANCE IS ADDED TO U_3 , WHERE SOLID LINES REPRESENT PI CONTROL AND DASHED LINES REPRESENT DESIRED VALUE	90
FIGURE 4.12 OUTPUTS $Y_1(T)$ (A), $Y_2(T)$ (B) AND $Y_3(T)$ (C), WHEN SET POINT OF Y_1 CHANGES FROM 108 kg/cm^2 TO 120 kg/cm^2 , WHERE SOLID LINES REPRESENT PI CONTROL AND DASHED LINES REPRESENT DESIRED VALUE	93
FIGURE 4.13 OUTPUTS $Y_1(T)$ (A), $Y_2(T)$ (B) AND $Y_3(T)$ (C), WHEN SET POINT OF Y_2 CHANGES FROM 66.65MW TO 70.65MW, WHERE SOLID LINES REPRESENT PI CONTROL AND DASHED LINES REPRESENT DESIRED VALUE	95
FIGURE 4.14 OUTPUTS $Y_1(T)$ (A), $Y_2(T)$ (B) AND $Y_3(T)$ (C), WHEN 20% DISTURBANCE IS ADDED TO U_1 , WHERE SOLID LINES REPRESENT PI CONTROL AND DASHED LINES REPRESENT DESIRED VALUE	97
FIGURE 4.15 RESPONSES OF SYSTEM WITH STEP CHANGE IN BOILER HEADER PRESSURE, ITS SET POINT IS INCREASED FROM 320 PSI TO 350 AT $T = 300 \text{ SEC}$	100

FIGURE 4.16 RESPONSES OF SYSTEM WITH STEP INCREASE IN THE BOILER STEAM FLOW AT T = 300 SEC. BOILER 1 IS STEPPED UP 15%, BOILER 3 IS STEPPED UP 20%, WHILE BOILER 2 IS STEPPED DOWN 30%	103
FIGURE 4.17 RESPONSES OF SYSTEM WHEN THERE IS AN INCLUSION OF OUTPUT DISTURBANCE IN THE PRESSURE VESSEL OF BOILER 2 AT T= 0SEC OF ABOUT 10%.....	105
FIGURE 4.18 RESPONSES OF SYSTEM WITH AN INCREASE IN POWER-DEMAND OF UP TO 10% AT T = 300SEC WHICH MEANS OVERALL POWER DEMAND AT GRID CHANGES FROM 200MW TO 218 MW.....	110
FIGURE 4.19 RESPONSES OF SYSTEM WITH A DIFFERENTIAL CHANGE IN POWER DEMANDS OF ALL OF THREE UNITS. UNIT 1 POWER DEMAND IS INCREASED BY 10% AT T= 300SEC WHILE UNIT 3 DEMAND IS DECREASED BY 10% AT T=300SEC. UNIT 2 DEMAND REMAINS UNCHANGED.....	112
FIGURE 4.20 RESPONSES OF SYSTEM WITH A LARGE STEP CHANGE OF ABOUT 132 MW IN POWER DEMAND AT T=300SEC, CONTROLLER HANDLES IT BUT WE NEED TO INCREASE THE PRESSURE FIRST UP TO 70KG/CM2 AT T = 10SEC	115
FIGURE 5.1 TYPICAL UNITY FEEDBACK CONFIGURATIONS	118
FIGURE 5.3 SINGULAR VALES OF OPEN LOOP PLANT, G	127
FIGURE 5.4 SINGULAR VALUES OF SHAPED PLANT G (SOLID LINE), WITH CLOSED LOOP SYSTEM L (DOTTED LINE).....	129
FIGURE 5.6 SINGULAR VALES OF OPEN LOOP PLANT, G	131
FIGURE 5.7 SINGULAR VALUES OF SHAPED PLANT G (SOLID LINE), WITH CLOSED LOOP SYSTEM L (DOTTED LINE).....	133
FIGURE 6.1. RESPONSES OF SYSTEM, WHEN THERE IS A DIFFERENTIAL CHANGE IN SET POINTS OF STEAM FLOW OF ALL OF THREE BOILERS. BOILER 1 & BOILER 2 STEAM FLOW IS INCREASED AT T= 300SEC WHILE BOILER 3 STEAM FLOW IS DECREASED AT T=300SEC. H_{∞} CONTROLLER (DASHED LINE), PROPOSED PI CONTROLLER (SOLID LINE).....	146
FIGURE 6.2. TIME RESPONSE OF SYSTEM, WHEN A STEP CHANGE IN DRUM PRESSURE AT T = 200SEC FROM 320 PSI TO 325 PSI, ALSO AN OUTPUT DISTURBANCE AT T = 500SEC AT BOILER 2. H_{∞} CONTROLLER (DASHED LINE), PROPOSED PI CONTROLLER (SOLID LINE)	148
FIG.6.3. RESPONSES OF SYSTEM, WHEN THERE IS A DIFFERENTIAL CHANGE IN POWER DEMANDS OF ALL OF THREE UNITS. UNIT 1 POWER DEMAND IS INCREASED AT T= 300SEC WHILE UNIT 3 DEMAND IS DECREASED AT T=300SEC. UNIT 2 DEMAND REMAINS UNCHANGED. H_{∞} CONTROLLER (DASHED LINE), PROPOSED PI CONTROLLER (SOLID LINE)	151
FIGURE 6.4. TIME RESPONSE OF SYSTEM, WHEN A STEP CHANGE IN DRUM PRESSURE AT T = 20SEC, STEP CHANGE IN POWER DEMAND AT T=400SEC, ALSO AN OUTPUT DISTURBANCE AT T = 400SEC. H_{∞} CONTROLLER (DASHED LINE), PROPOSED PI CONTROLLER (SOLID LINE).....	153