

GENERATION OF EFFECTIVE SAND-BLASTING
TRAJECTORY FOR AN AUTONOMOUS ROBOT IN STEEL
BRIDGE MAINTENANCE

by

Tian Ran REN

submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of

Master of Engineering by Research



Faculty of Engineering
The University of Technology, Sydney

31 March 2008

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Tian Ran REN

Abstract

Steel bridges are vulnerable to corrosions, which results in conditions demanding regular maintenance in terms of de-rusting and re-painting. Current practices mostly rely on human workers with manually operated sand-blasting equipment to remove the rust or paint. This approach is labour intensive, tedious and, most of all, causes health and safety hazards for the workers, due to toxic dust arising from the removed lead or asbestos-based paints. Thus, an autonomous steel bridge maintenance system is very desirable, and the motion control of a robotic arm is identified as a key system requirement.

This thesis is concerned with studies on algorithms for generating an effective trajectory to be followed by an industrial robot arm used in sand-blasting. It is crucial in the context of productivity that the motion of the arm should follow a trajectory that aims to maximise the coverage of the blasted area and minimise the arm movements. The problem is challenging due to the changing environment underneath the bridge and the risk of colliding with obstacles. Furthermore, the trajectory generation process is complicated because of the many requirements imposed, such as minimum arm travel distance, minimum number of turns and minimum time to complete the blasting.

The problem is tackled in this research by beginning with an assignment of the blasting area, where a hexagonal coverage pattern is adopted to allocate blasting targets. The sequencing of blasting spots on the blasting surface, constituting the path to be

followed by the blasting nozzle, is determined through the use of a genetic algorithm as a sequence-finder for its applicability and flexibility in many engineering design problems. The order of blasting spots (that is, the path of nozzle) is then transformed to robot joint angles, that is, trajectory, by a genetic algorithm amended inverse kinematics approach. Furthermore, a method based on three-dimensional force-fields is used to safeguard the robot against collisions with obstacles. The resultant trajectory, in the form of a series of joint angles commands are fed to a Denso VM-6083D-W industrial robot for sand-blasting.

The effectiveness of the generated trajectory is verified by simulations and experiments. It is shown that trajectories can be derived for blasting surfaces with satisfactory coverage. The developed method is further demonstrated in generating trajectories for a number of blasting surfaces of different sizes, to the extent of the work space, at various locations and orientations surrounding the robot arm. An experiment is conducted, on a mock-up robotic blasting system, by driving the robot arm in accordance with a generated trajectory.

Acknowledgements

The first person to whom I would like to give my sincere thanks is my respected supervisor, Associate Professor Dikai Liu. I feel very thankful to him for his wise guidance, patience and perseverance through my Master's candidature, and also for his providing me with this opportunity to continue my postgraduate study.

I would like to thank my co-supervisor, Dr Shoudong Huang, for his many valuable discussions shared with me, his encouragement and enlightenment given to me during some most difficult times.

I must thank Professor Gamini Dissanayake, Director of the Centre of Excellence for Autonomous Systems at the University of Technology, Sydney (UTS), who has provided me with the opportunity to pursue studies in robotics. Here, I would also like to thank Professor Hung Nguyen and Ms Phyllis Agius for their kindness in providing me with administration assistances. Thanks also to Pat Skinner for proofreading this thesis.

I often feel grateful to Dr Ngai Ming Kwok for his generous assistance in the process of my study. It is he who shared his efforts and guided me through my research course. I must say that I have spent a very exciting time during my Master's candidature at UTS because of the great friendship built up between my colleagues. In particular, I would like to thank Tom Chotiprayanakul for his help in conducting experiments, Da-long Wang for his friendship, Gavin Paul, Nathan Kinchner and Matthew Clifton for

their insightful discussions.

I would like to thank my families as it is their financial and spiritual support that have made it possible for me to continue my study at UTS. I thank my mother, for it is her great love that supports me through the most difficult times. I thank my father, for it is his humorous encouragement and faith in me that prompt me to look beyond the obvious and to keep the creative spark burning. I also thank my husband, Nengguang, for his tolerating my bad temper during times of struggle, and always sharing my hardships in life and work. It is also my family's encouragement that helps me overcome many difficulties and continue my study with great determination and strength.

Finally, in a word, I should thank all of those who have helped me. It is they and their help that encouraged me to complete my candidature. I am very happy for having those people who are so kind to me. They really do great favour not only to my paper writing but also to my daily study. It has been my great honour to meet them and work together with them.

To my father and mother

Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Steel Bridge Maintenance	3
1.2 Automatic Sand-blasting System Overview	4
1.3 Motivation	7
1.4 Objectives	9
1.5 Methodology	10
1.6 Publication	11
1.7 Thesis Outline	11
2 Background and Related Work	13
2.1 Industrial Robots in Infrastructure Maintenance	14
2.2 Trajectory Generation	15
2.2.1 Coverage Problem	16
2.2.2 Tool Path Planning for Robotic Spray Painting	18
2.3 Robot Manipulator Control	24
2.4 Genetic Algorithms in Path Planning	26
2.5 Summary	28

3	Trajectory Generation for Robotic Sand-blasting	29
3.1	General Framework of Trajectory Planning	30
3.2	Blasting Nozzle Model and a Hexagon-based Topology Pattern for Complete Coverage	32
3.2.1	Blasting Nozzle Model	32
3.2.2	Hexagon-based Topology Pattern for Complete Coverage of a Given Surface	35
3.2.3	Editing of Disc Location on the Boundary	37
3.3	Generate the Sequencing of Blasting Spots	40
3.3.1	Design of Objective Function	40
3.3.2	Genetic Algorithm-based Path-Searching	41
3.4	Robot Motion Planning	43
3.4.1	Genetic-Algorithm-amended Inverse Kinematics for Determining Robot Configurations	44
3.4.2	Collision Avoidance	48
3.5	Summary	52
4	Simulation and Experimental Results	54
4.1	Overview of Simulations and Experiments	55
4.1.1	Physical Environment	55
4.1.2	Simulated Environment	55
4.1.3	Experimental System Architecture	59
4.2	Simulations	60
4.2.1	Coverage of Irregularly Shaped Surfaces Using Hexagon-based Pattern	63
4.2.2	Editing of Blasting Spots	63
4.2.3	Sequencing Blasting Spots	63
4.2.4	Generation of Robot Arm Trajectory without Collision Avoidance Functionality	68

4.2.5	Generation of Robot Arm Trajectory with Collision Avoidance	
	Functionality	77
4.3	Experiment	90
4.4	Summary	98
5	Conclusion	99
	Bibliography	102

List of Figures

1.1	An example steel bridge structure (a), spots of corrosion underneath the steel bridge (b) and (c).	3
1.2	A sealed sand-blasting cell (scaffold) (a), protection gear (b) and manual sand blasting process (c).	5
1.3	Laboratory setup.	6
1.4	Overview of the sand-blasting system.	7
1.5	General framework of the sand-blasting system.	8
2.1	Sponsored coverage calculation-basic model [21].	18
2.2	Different turn-off situations [21].	19
2.3	A spray painting path [13].	20
2.4	Properties of the elliptical spray area [26].	21
2.5	The number of turns is the main factor in the cost of covering a region along different sweep directions.	23
2.6	Complete coverage paths. The dark rectangles are stationary obstacles [32].	24
3.1	The optimal trajectory planner.	31
3.2	The nozzle model.	33
3.3	Nozzle Boride T159.	33
3.4	Nozzle characteristics with different stream length, (a) blast radius, (b) blast area.	34

3.5	The process of generating hexagon topology.	36
3.6	Covering a free-form surface using a hexagon topology.	37
3.7	Discs classified into three groups.	38
3.8	Best fitting line.	39
3.9	Boundary editing method.	39
3.10	Parameters of DENSO VM-6083D-W robot arm	46
3.11	Process of generating joint angular velocities	49
3.12	Parameters of D_{min} and D_{max} ellipsoid (a) and a robot arm covered by D_{min} (b)	51
3.13	Flowchart for generating collision-free trajectory.	53
4.1	Typical structure below a steel bridge.	56
4.2	Mock-up structure mimicking the environment underneath the steel bridge.	56
4.3	Dimension of the I-beam.	57
4.4	Emulated simulation environment underneath the bridge deck.	57
4.5	Dimension of different surfaces, (a) part of Surface 1, (b) part of Surface 2, (c) part of Surface 3.	58
4.6	Components of the industrial robot arm: (a) the DENSO VM-6083D arm, (b) motor controller, (c) teach pendant.	59
4.7	Robotic sand-blasting system architecture.	61
4.8	Software configuration of the robotic sand-blasting system.	62
4.9	Test for coverage of irregular shaped surfaces, (a) trapezoidal, (b) circu- lar, (c) arbitrary shape.	64
4.10	Results of editing the blasting spot locations, (a) overall edited result, (b) bottom boundary, (c) top-left boundary.	65
4.11	Sequenced blasting spots, (a) minimising travel distance, (b) minimising travel distance and the number of turns.	67

4.12	The robot travels from the initial position to the first target blasting spot, (a) initial position, (b) and (c) intermediate positions and (d) blasting start position.	69
4.13	The robot motion while blasting a small area after following the planned path, (a) start of blasting, (a) and (b) intermediate positions and (c) end of blasting position.	70
4.14	Joints angle from the initial robot position to the first blasting spot. . .	72
4.15	The joint angles traced while the robot travels along the generated path.	72
4.16	Traces of joint movements with movement time extended.	73
4.17	The robot motion on Surface 1, following the generated path.	74
4.18	The robot motion on Surface 2, following the generated path.	75
4.19	The robot motion on Surface 3, following the generated path.	76
4.20	Comparison of sequencing results due to the presence of obstacles (a) without obstacle, (b) with obstacle.	78
4.21	The simulation result of the robot conducting sand-blasting of Surface 1 by following the generated path in the complex steel bridge environment	80
4.22	Distance between the force field ellipsoid and the I-beam while blasting on Surface 1.	81
4.23	The simulation result of the robot conducting sand-blasting of Surface 2 by following the generated path in the complex steel bridge environment	82
4.24	Distance between the force field ellipsoid and the I-beam while blasting on Surface 2.	83
4.25	The simulation result of the robot conducting sand-blasting of Surface 3 by following the generated path in the complex steel bridge environment	84
4.26	Distance between the force field ellipsoid and the I-beam while blasting on Surface 3.	85
4.27	The robot blasting a part of Surface 1 in the environment with obstacles. (1)	86

4.28	The robot blasting a part of Surface 1 in the environment with obstacles.	
	(2)	87
4.29	The robot blasting a part of Surface 2 in the environment with obstacles.	
	(1)	88
4.30	The robot blasting a part of Surface 2 in the environment with obstacles.	
	(2)	89
4.31	The experimental results for robot path planning (1).	91
4.32	The experimental results for robot path planning (2).	92
4.33	The experimental results for robot path planning (3)	93
4.34	The experimental results for robot path planning (4)	94
4.35	Comparing between simulation and experimental results for robot path planning (1)	96
4.36	Comparing between simulation and experimental results for robot path planning (2)	97

List of Tables

3.1	Parameters of the nozzle (Boride T159).	35
4.1	Parameters of the genetic algorithm used in the simulations	66
4.2	Summary of blasting spot sequencing results	68
4.3	Comparison of blasting spot sequencing results (with obstacles)	79