

**ELECTRICITY INDUSTRY REFORMS
IN THAILAND:
A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW**

SUPANNIKA WATTANA

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology, Sydney

A dissertation submitted to the University of Technology, Sydney in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Engineering).

2010

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree, nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree, except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my profound gratitude to my Principal Supervisor, Professor Deepak Sharma for his valuable support, guidance and inspiration over the entire period of this research. His suggestions, comments and constructive criticisms, throughout the preparation of this thesis have enhanced my research skills, analytical thinking and writing style.

Without financial support from the Faculty of Engineering, Naresuan University (NU) and the Energy Policy and Planning Office (EPPO), this research would not have been possible. I also wish to extend appreciation to UTS for providing the IRS scholarship (tuition fee waiver) for autumn 2010.

This thesis could not be accomplished without the assistance from a number of people. Special appreciation is extended to my colleague at NU, Assistant Professor Yongyut Chonbodeechalermroong, for his continual support, encouragement and assistance. Thanks to the staffs of the EPPO, Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand (EGAT), the Metropolitan Electricity Authority (MEA), the Provincial Electricity Authority (PEA), and the Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency (DEDE). Their contribution in terms of providing valuable information was critical for this thesis. Thanks also to Dr. Suwin Sandu for his helpful discussion on input-output analysis.

Through it all, I wish to express my heartfelt appreciation to my family for their love, encouragement and support. I am deeply indebted to my father Somnuek and my mother Suwakhon, who always give me strength to pass through the tough times. I also owe the biggest thank to my husband Buncha, who is my peace, comfort and a good listener.

ABSTRACT

Prompted by the concerns about the poor performance of the Thai electricity industry, the Thai government initiated, in the year 1992, a process of reform of the electricity industry. The reform, argued its proponents, would improve industry performance and provide economy-wide benefits. A comprehensive analysis developed in this research – employing a combination of analytical methodologies, including historical analysis, Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), input–output analysis, and inferential analysis – has demonstrated that electricity reform has largely failed to achieve its objectives. For example, electricity reform has neither noticeably improved industry performance nor provided any appreciable benefits to the wider economy. This is because the reform program has tended to focus almost exclusively on industry privatization; the accompanying structural and regulatory changes have been limited to the extent that they support privatization. Further, it appears that only financial dimension of reform has received attention and that other dimensions (i.e., social and environmental) have largely been ignored. This narrow focus, this research argues, has its roots in several internal and external developments and influences, for example, pressures from the international financial institutions, major economic crises, political factors, institutions, and other interests. This narrowly-focused reform program, therefore, could not produce desirable outcomes as was expected.

This research identifies some remedies that might be needed in order to improve the efficacy of the present reform program. For this purpose, three alternative reform models (PDP, IPP, and GE) are proposed and their consequences analyzed, through the application of DEA and input–output analysis, for the period 2010–2020. These analyses suggest that the GE scenario, which promotes Small Power Producers (SPPs) generating electricity from renewable sources and envisages import of hydroelectricity from the neighbouring countries, would be an attractive option for Thailand. This is due mainly to its ability to provide a balanced redress for energy, environmental, economic, social, and industry performance objectives. Whether or not such redress will eventuate will however depend – to a large extent – on the efficacy of the ‘new’ institutional arrangements including industry structure, ownership and regulation. This argument is based on the premise that the existing institutional arrangements are deficient and hence unsuitable to provide a balanced redress referred to above.

Against this backdrop, this research proposes a modified reform approach for the Thai electricity industry. The key features of the proposed reform approach include a full

unbundling of the generation and transmission functions of Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand (EGAT), allowing the Independent Power Producers (IPPs) to also establish small-scale power plants, promoting increased participation by SPPs and Very Small Power Producers (VSPPs), disaggregating the Provincial Electricity Authority (PEA) into four regional distributors, and ensuring transparency and autonomy of the regulatory body. This research also proposes some strategies that could be adopted in order to overcome the challenges that are likely to emerge when the proposed reform model is implemented. The proposed reform approach, this research contends, will provide a robust pathway to meet the future electricity needs of Thailand. This is due to the fact that it accords with the economic, environmental, social, and political realities in Thailand. Further, it is contended that this research has made a valuable contribution in terms of developing policy insights that should provide a sound basis for furthering the reform of the Thai electricity industry – an issue of immense contemporary policy significance for Thailand.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF RELEVANT PUBLICATIONS	xii
ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research objective	6
1.3 Research methodology	7
1.3.1 Rationale for electricity reform	7
1.3.2 Performance of the electricity industry	9
1.3.3 Economy-wide impacts of electricity reform	9
1.3.4 Disparity between the expected and actual outcomes of electricity reform	10
1.3.5 Efficacy of electricity reform	11
1.3.6 A new reform path	11
1.4 Scope of this research	11
1.5 Data considerations	12
1.6 Significance of this research	13
1.7 Organization of this thesis	14
CHAPTER 2 EVOLUTION OF THE ELECTRICITY INDUSTRY IN THAILAND	16
2.1 Introduction	16
2.2 Historical evolution of the Thai electricity industry	17
2.2.1 The early years	17
2.2.2 Industry consolidation	20
2.2.3 The foundation for privatization	24
2.2.4 The First step of electricity reform	29
2.2.5 Proposal for market-oriented reform	33
2.3 Rationale behind electricity reform	44
2.4 Summary and conclusions	46
CHAPTER 3 PERFORMANCE OF THE THAI ELECTRICITY INDUSTRY	49
3.1 Introduction	49
3.2 Review of existing studies	50
3.2.1 Previous studies on the performance of the Thai electricity supply industry	50
3.2.2 Cross country studies relevant to the analysis of Thai industry's performance	54
3.3 Performance analysis: methodological framework	55
3.3.1 Performance measurement	55
3.3.2 Performance methodology	61
3.3.3 DEA-like Malmquist TFP index	65
3.4 Model specification	66
3.5 Data and software considerations	68
3.5.1 Selection of inputs and outputs	69
3.5.2 Time series data transformation	72
3.5.3 Software considerations	74
3.6 Empirical results and discussion	74
3.6.1 Performance of the entire Thai electricity industry	75
3.6.2 Performance of the generation and transmission sectors	85
3.6.3 Performance of distribution sector	87

3.7	Summary and conclusions	91
CHAPTER 4 ECONOMY-WIDE IMPACTS OF ELECTRICITY REFORM		94
4.1	Introduction	94
4.2	Review of existing studies	95
4.3	Economy-wide impacts of reform: methodologies	96
4.3.1	Input-Output Analysis	101
4.4	Economy-wide impacts: methodological framework	105
4.4.1	Energy impacts	106
4.4.2	Environmental impacts	108
4.4.3	Economic and social impacts	110
4.5	Data considerations	113
4.5.1	Data sources	114
4.5.2	Data transformation	114
4.6	Empirical results and discussion	118
4.6.1	Energy impacts	118
4.6.2	Environmental impacts	129
4.6.3	Economic impacts	134
4.6.4	Social impacts	148
4.7	Summary and conclusions	150
CHAPTER 5 DISPARITY BETWEEN EXPECTATIONS FROM ELECTRICITY REFORM AND ACTUAL OUTCOMES		155
5.1	Introduction	155
5.2	Purported rationale for electricity reform in Thailand	156
5.3	Electricity reform: expected and actual outcomes	156
5.3.1	Productivity and efficiency	158
5.3.2	Private investment	158
5.3.3	Cost of electricity production	161
5.3.4	Electricity retail tariffs	163
5.3.5	Employment	165
5.3.6	Consumer choice	167
5.4	Reasons for the disparity	167
5.5	Summary and conclusions	169
CHAPTER 6 ELECTRICITY REFORM: ALTERNATIVE MODELS		172
6.1	Introduction	172
6.2	Specific features of the Thai electricity industry	173
6.2.1	Constraints of the existing structure of the electricity industry in Thailand	173
6.2.2	Prospective energy policies and electricity system planning in Thailand	174
6.2.3	Current situation of the Thai electricity supply industry	177
6.3	Key policy scenario assumptions	180
6.4	Methodological framework	183
6.5	Data and software considerations	185
6.5.1	Data preparation for input-output model	185
6.5.2	Data preparation for industry performance analysis	187
6.5.3	Software consideration	187
6.6	Empirical results and discussion	188
6.6.1	Economy-wide impacts	188
6.6.2	Impacts on industry performance	201
6.7	Summary and conclusions	204
CHAPTER 7 A SUITABLE REFORM PATHWAY FOR THAILAND		209
7.1	Introduction	209
7.2	Existing industry structure, ownership and regulation	210
7.2.1	Industry structure	210
7.2.2	Industry ownership	212

7.2.3	Industry regulation	213
7.3	Electricity reform: a new reform pathway	215
7.4	Further discussion on the proposed reform pathway	221
7.4.1	EGAT disaggregation	221
7.4.2	Promotion of the SPPs and VSPPs participation	224
7.4.3	Transparency and autonomy of the regulatory body	225
7.5	Summary and conclusions	226
CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH		231
8.1	Conclusions	231
8.2	Recommendations for further research	248
APPENDICES		
Appendix I	The structure and characteristics of ESI models proposed by EPPO and EGAT	250
Appendix II	Data sets for Chapter 3	256
Appendix III	Estimation of the number of employees of the IPPs	262
Appendix IV	Transformation of time-series data	264
Appendix V	Data sets for Chapter 4	270
Appendix VI	Results of economy-wide impacts of electricity reform	289
Appendix VII	Data of the IPPs, SPPs, and VSPPs in Thailand	300
Appendix VIII	Data sets and computer program for Chapter 6	304
Appendix IX	Results of the impacts of the PDP, IPP, and GE scenarios	310
BIBLIOGRAPHY		319

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	A number of business-oriented politicians in the Tinsulnanonda's cabinet	29
Table 2.2	A brief chronology of ESI reform events in Thailand	31
Table 2.3	Evolution of the Thai Electricity Supply Industry	43
Table 3.1	Summary of the three studies on the Thai electricity industry's performance	51
Table 3.2	Summary of recent studies and methodologies for performance measurement	58
Table 3.3	Input and output variables	69
Table 3.4	Comparison of technical efficiency change, technical change and TFP change indices between EU and EI models	75
Table 3.5	Comparison of clean efficiency change indices between EU and EI models	82
Table 3.6	Cumulative indices of technical efficiency change, technical change and TFP change (EGAT)	86
Table 3.7	Cumulative indices of technical efficiency change, technical change and TFP change (MEA)	88
Table 3.8	Cumulative indices of technical efficiency change, technical change and TFP change (PEA)	90
Table 4.1	Summary of selected studies analyzing the economy-wide impact of electricity reform	97
Table 4.2	Summary of sectoral classification	116
Table 4.3	Technical and economic characteristics of electricity generation technologies	117
Table 4.4	Primary energy consumption and energy diversity	118
Table 4.5	Energy import dependency	119
Table 4.6	Electricity generation share by technologies	123
Table 4.7	Direct and total energy, coal, oil and natural gas intensities of the electricity sub-sectors	124
Table 4.8	Share of electricity sub-sector in energy consumption and gross value added	125
Table 4.9	Direct and total electricity intensities of non-electricity sectors	128
Table 4.10	Carbon dioxide emissions in the electricity sub-sectors	129
Table 4.11	Electricity generation share by each sub-sector	130
Table 4.12	Sulphur dioxide emissions in the electricity sub-sectors	131
Table 4.13	Carbon dioxide intensity of the electricity sub-sectors	132
Table 4.14	Sulphur dioxide intensity of the electricity sub-sectors	133
Table 4.15	Output multiplier of all 31 major sectors in Thailand	135
Table 4.16	Income multiplier of all 31 major sectors in Thailand	139
Table 4.17	Sectoral backward linkage effect for major sectors in Thailand	141
Table 4.18	Sectoral forward linkage effect for major sectors in Thailand	142
Table 4.19	Sectoral price impacts of the 10% increase in electricity tariff by the entire electricity industry	145
Table 4.20	National economy-wide price impacts of the 10% rise in electricity tariff by each electricity sub-sector	146
Table 4.21	Employment multiplier of all major sectors in the Thai economy	149
Table 5.1	Goal, definition, objectives and benefits of a market-oriented reform	157
Table 5.2	Summary of the expected and actual outcomes of electricity reform	170
Table 6.1	Prospective energy policies and strategy in Thailand	174
Table 6.2	Alternative energy development potential and target during 2008–2022	175
Table 6.3	Projected installed capacity and electricity generation during 2010–2020	176
Table 6.4	Key policy scenario assumptions and assumption criteria	180
Table 6.5	Features of PDP, IPP and Green Energy scenarios	181
Table 6.6	Percentage share of generating capacity and electricity generation for the three scenarios	182
Table 6.7	Primary energy requirements and energy diversity	188
Table 6.8	Economic output impacts of the three scenarios for selected years	195

Table 6.9	Impacts on total wages and salaries during 2010–2020	197
Table 6.10	Impacts on total employment during 2010–2020	199
Table 6.11	Summary of the scenario impacts on various aspects for the year 2020	204
Table 7.1	Electricity generation share in the Thai electricity industry	213

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Research framework	8
Figure 2.1	Electricity generation and purchase in Thailand	32
Figure 2.2	Current structure of the Thai electricity industry	42
Figure 3.1	Summary of performance measures and methodologies	57
Figure 3.2	Model classification	67
Figure 3.3	Cumulative indices of technical efficiency change, technical change and TFP change (EU model)	76
Figure 3.4	Comparison of the installed capacity of the EU and EI model	78
Figure 3.5	Reserve margin of the Thai electricity industry	78
Figure 3.6	Cumulative indices of technical efficiency change, technical change and TFP change (EI model)	79
Figure 3.7	Comparison of cumulative index of TFP changes between EU and EI models	80
Figure 3.8	Comparison of cumulative index of technical efficiency changes between EU and EI models	80
Figure 3.9	Comparison of cumulative index of technical changes between EU and EI models	81
Figure 3.10	Comparison of cumulative index of clean efficiency changes between EU and EI models	83
Figure 3.11	CO ₂ emissions intensity of the Thai electricity industry	84
Figure 3.12	Fuel mix for electricity generation for Model 3 (EU)	84
Figure 3.13	Fuel mix for electricity generation for Model 4 (EI)	85
Figure 3.14	Cumulative indices of technical efficiency change, technical change and TFP change (EGAT)	86
Figure 3.15	Cumulative indices of technical efficiency change, technical change and TFP change (MEA)	88
Figure 3.16	Network losses of electric distribution utilities (MEA & PEA)	89
Figure 3.17	Cumulative indices of technical efficiency change, technical change and TFP change (PEA)	90
Figure 4.1	Basic structure of an input output table	102
Figure 4.2	Attributes for examining economy-wide impacts of electricity reform	105
Figure 4.3	Electricity reserve margin of the Thai electricity industry	120
Figure 4.4	Direct energy intensities of the Thai electricity sector	122
Figure 4.5	Fuel mix for power generation for the ST-E sector	131
Figure 4.6	Share of total inputs by electricity sub-sectors	137
Figure 5.1	Private investments in the Thai electricity industry, 1993–2005	159
Figure 5.2	Electricity cost of production over the period 1980–2006	162
Figure 5.3	Average electricity tariffs in residential, commercial and industrial sectors	163
Figure 5.4	Employment in the Thai electricity industry	166
Figure 6.1	Thailand's installed capacity in 2007	177
Figure 6.2	Thailand's electricity generation in 2007	178
Figure 6.3	Generating capacity and peak generation over the period 1980–2007	179
Figure 6.4	CO ₂ emissions of the Thai electricity industry	179
Figure 6.5	Methodological framework	183
Figure 6.6	Attributes for assessing impacts of alternative models	184
Figure 6.7	Primary energy requirements for electricity generation	189
Figure 6.8	Lignite and imported coal requirements for power production	191
Figure 6.9	Total CO ₂ emissions in Thailand during 2005–2020	191
Figure 6.10	Total SO ₂ emissions in Thailand during 2005–2020	193
Figure 6.11	Changes in sectoral outputs in 2020	196
Figure 6.12	Changes in sectoral wages and salaries in 2020	198
Figure 6.13	Changes in sectoral employment in 2020	200

Figure 6.14	Comparison of cumulative index of TFP changes by the three scenarios	202
Figure 6.15	Comparison of cumulative index of technical efficiency changes by the three scenarios	202
Figure 6.16	Comparison of cumulative index of technical changes by the three scenarios	203
Figure 7.1	Present structure of the Thai electricity industry	211
Figure 7.2	Proposed reform approach for the Thai electricity supply industry	216

LIST OF RELEVANT PUBLICATIONS

1. Wattana, S. and Sharma, D., 'Electricity Industry Reforms in Thailand: An Analysis of Productivity', submitted for publication in the *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, (under review).
2. Wattana, S. and Sharma, D. (2008), 'Electricity Industry Reforms in Thailand: An Analysis of Productivity', Proceedings of the ESCC International Conference, Bangkok, Thailand, 06-08 August.
3. Wattana, S. (2008), 'Electricity Industry Reform in Thailand: A Comprehensive Review', UTS: Engineering Research Showcase, 4th June, Sydney, Australia.
4. Wattana, S., Sharma D. & Vaiyavuth, R. (2008), 'Electricity Industry Reforms in Thailand: A Historical Review', *GMSARN International Journal*, vol.2 no. 2, p. 41-52.
5. Wattana, S., Sharma D. & Vaiyavuth, R. (2007), 'Electricity Industry Reforms in Thailand: A Historical Review', Proceedings of the Second GMSARN International Conference, Pattaya, Thailand, 12-14 December.

ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	Asian Development Bank
AIT	Asian Institute of Technology
APEC	Asia Pacific Economic Co-operation
APERC	Asia Pacific Energy Research Centre
ASEAN	Association of Southeast Asian Nation
BCG	Boston Consulting Group
BOT	Bank of Thailand
BTU	British Thermal Unit
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CEPA	Centre of Efficiency and Productivity Analysis
CGE	Computable General Equilibrium
CPI	Consumer Price Index
CRS	Constant Returns to Scale
DEA	Data Envelopment Analysis
DEAP	Data Envelopment Analysis Program
DEB	Department of Energy Business
DEDE	Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency
DMF	Department of Mineral Fuels
EENS	Expected Energy Not Served
EGAT	Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand
EGCO	Electricity Generating Company
EIA	Energy Industry Act
EPPO	Energy Policy and Planning Office
ERC	Energy Regulatory Commission
ESI	Electricity Supply Industry
ESMAP	Energy Sector Management Assistance Programme
FGD	Flue Gas Desulphurization
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GMS	Greater Mekong Sub-region
IEA	International Energy Agency
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPP	Independent Power Producer
ISO	Independent System Operator
LA	Lignite Authority
LOI	Letter of Intent
LOLP	Loss of Load Probability
MEA	Metropolitan Electricity Authority
MOE	Ministry of Energy
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NEDB	National Economic Development Board
NEEA	North-East Electricity Authority
NEPC	National Energy Policy Council
NEPO	National Energy Policy Office
NESA	New Electricity Supply Arrangement
NESDB	National Economic and Social Development Board
NETA	New Electricity Trading Arrangement
NSO	National Statistical Office
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
OERC	Office of Energy Regulatory Commission

PDP	Power Development Plan
PEA	Provincial Electricity Authority
PF	Partial Factor Productivity
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
PPF	Production Possibility Frontier
PTT	Petroleum Authority of Thailand
RD	Regional Distributor
SAGE	System Agent
SAL	Structural Adjustment Loan
SEPC	State Enterprise Policy Commission
SFA	Stochastic Frontier Analysis
SPP	Small Power Producer
TFP	Total Factor Productivity
TOD	Time of Day
TOE	Ton of Oil Equivalent
TOU	Time of Use
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
VIM	Vertically-Integrated Monopoly
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds
VRS	Variable Returns to Scale
VSP	Very Small Power Producer
YEA	Yanhee Electricity Authority