

The role of intron 1 in *MDR1* regulation in drug resistant cancer cells

Michelle Byrne

Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy
University of Technology, Sydney
2009

Certificate of Authorship/originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been admitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Acknowledgments

I would like to firstly thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Anita Piper for her invaluable guidance and support throughout the project. I am forever grateful. Thanks also to my co-supervisor, Dr Mary Davey for her advice and encouragement.

I also wish to thank Dr Suhin and Professor Arthur Riggs of the Beckman Research Institute, USA for their expertise advice on UV *in vivo* footprinting. To Dr Susan Kane of the Beckman Research Institute, USA for providing the the KB-3-1 and KB-8-5 cell lines and Dr. Ricky Johnstone of the Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute, Australia for providing the LoVo and L/ADR cell lines. Also to Dr Merlin Crossley, for donating the CMV- β -galactosidase construct. Thankyou to Natalya Markhotina for her assistance in the transfection studies of the KB and LoVo cell line and to Grant Hose and Fraser Torpy for guidance with the statistical analysis performed in this thesis.

Thank you also to all members of UTS department of Medical and molecular bioscience past and present but particularly to Jodie, Amy, Connie, Bill and Elvia whose friendship and technical advice has meant the world to me.

I would also like to express my thanks to my family and dearest friends for their love, support and encouragement over the years. There are not enough words to express my gratitude to you.

Lastly but perhaps most importantly I would like to dedicate to this work to my grandfather and possibly biggest supporter, the late Leslie Charles Way. You are forever in my heart.

Table of contents

Certificate of authorship/originality	i
Acknowledgments	ii
List of figures and tables	vii
Abbreviations	xi
Composition of solutions	xv
Abstract	xvi
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1.1 Multidrug resistance and cancer	1
1.2 Cellular mechanisms of MDR	1
1.2.1 MDR mediated by transporter proteins	2
1.2.2 MDR mediated by detoxification of the drug	4
1.2.3 MDR mediated by drug target alteration and enhanced DNA repair	5
1.2.4 MDR mediated by mutation of apoptosis genes	5
1.3 The structure and function of P-gp	6
1.4 P-gp expression in normal tissue	9
1.5 P-gp overexpression in malignancies	10
1.6 Current attempts to circumvent p-gp expression	13
1.7 Regulation of the <i>MDR1</i> gene	15
1.7.1 The transcription initiator site	15
1.7.2 Serum starvation and the -55GC box	16
1.7.3 Stress elements and the Y-box	18
1.7.4 The -110GC box and the MED1 element	21
1.7.5 The CAAT element	22
1.7.6 The p53 element	23
1.7.7 The steroid xenobiotic receptor (SXR) element	23
1.8 Post-transcriptional regulation	24
1.9 Methylation and <i>MDR1</i> gene regulation	26

1.9.1	DNA methylation	26
1.9.2	Chromatin structure and gene regulation	27
1.10	The current study	29
Chapter 2 Identification of regulatory elements within intron 1 of the <i>MDR1</i> gene		33
2.1	Introduction	33
2.2	Methods	37
2.2.1	Cell Culture	37
2.2.2	Cytotoxicity Assays	38
2.2.3	Preparation of Luc recombinants	39
2.2.3.1	Amplification of desired regions	39
2.2.3.2	Digestion and phosphatasing of the Luc Core Promoter vector	40
2.2.3.3	Subcloning of in intron 1 PCR products into the Luc Core Promoter vector	42
2.2.3.4	PCR Screening of putative recombinants	43
2.2.3.5	Small-scale preparation of plasmid DNA and restriction digests	44
2.2.3.6	Confirmation of recombinants using Dideoxy sequencing	45
2.2.4	Transient transfection of leukaemic cell lines	46
2.2.5	Transfection of adherent KB-3-1, KB-8-5, LoVo and L/ADR cell lines	48
2.2.6	Reporter assays	49
2.2.6.1	Galactosidase Activity	49
2.2.6.2	Luciferase Activity	49
2.2.6.3	Normalisation and statistical analysis of transfection data	49
2.2.7	Identification of transcription factor consensus binding sequences	50

2.3	Results	50
2.3.1	Confirmation of drugs resistance characteristics of cell lines studied	50
2.3.2	Reporter studies of the +283 to +455bp region of <i>MDR1</i>	56
2.3.2.1	H/E8 cell line	56
2.3.2.2	K562 cell lines	60
2.3.3	Reporter studies of the +283 to +606bp <i>MDR1</i> region	62
2.3.3.1	H/E8 cell line	62
2.3.3.2	Cell lines of non-leukaemic origin	69
2.3.4	Reporter studies of the +65 to +282bp region in <i>MDR1</i>	75
2.3.4.1	H/E8 cell line	75
2.3.4.2	KB cell lines	78
2.3.4.3	LoVo cell lines	78
2.4	Chapter Summary	84

Chapter 3 *In vivo* footprinting of *MDR1* intron 1 **87**

3.1	Introduction	87
3.2	Methods	93
3.2.1	UV treatment of intact cell lines	93
3.2.2	Extraction of genomic DNA	93
3.2.3	Preparation of <i>in vitro</i> UV treated DNA	94
3.2.4	Preparation of the linker molecule and the universal linker primer	94
3.2.5	Design and sequence of the <i>MDR1</i> Gene Specific primers	95
3.2.6	Linear extension of <i>in vivo</i> , <i>in vitro</i> and untreated genomic DNA samples	96
3.2.7	Binding of linear extended DNA molecules to Streptavidin coupled magnetic beads	96
3.2.8	RiboG tailing and ligation of linear extended molecules	97
3.2.9	PCR and labelling of ligated, riboG tailed, linear extended molecules	97

3.2.10	Electrophoresis and analysis on a LI-COR sequencer	98
3.2.11	Image analysis	99
3.2.12	Statistical analysis	100
3.3	Results	101
3.3.1	Establishment of terminal deoxy-nucleotidyl transferase PCR mediated <i>in vivo</i> footprinting	101
3.3.1.1	Visualisation of UV lesions on antisense strand	101
3.3.1.2	Visualisation of UV lesions on the sense strand	106
3.3.1.3	Variation of the Taq enzyme used for PCR	110
3.3.2	<i>In vivo</i> DNA footprinting in the HL60 and H/E8 cell lines	112
3.3.3	<i>In vivo</i> DNA footprinting in the K562, Kepru and KepruT cell lines	115
3.3.4	<i>In vivo</i> DNA footprinting in the KB-3-1 and KB-8-5 cells lines	124
3.3.5	<i>In vivo</i> DNA footprinting in the LoVo and L/ADR cell lines	128
3.4	Chapter Summary	132
 Chapter 4 General discussion		134
4.1	P-gp expression in cells of leukaemic and non-leukaemic origin	134
4.2	Role of intron 1 in <i>MDR1</i> regulation	137
4.3	Reporter gene transfection studies of the intron 1 region	139
4.4	<i>In vivo</i> footprinting	142
4.4.1	Transcription factor consensus binding sites in intron 1	143
4.4.2	UV footprinting of leukaemic cells	147
4.4.3	UV footprinting of solid tumour cell lines	153
4.5	Summary	161
4.6	Future studies	164
4.7	Conclusions	165
 References		167

List of tables and figures

Table 1.1	Cellular mechanisms of drug resistance in tumour cells	3
Figure 1.1	Proposed models for the structure of P-gp	7
Figure 1.2	5' regulatory region of the human <i>MDR1</i> gene showing the known regulatory elements	17
Figure 1.3	Methylation of the CpG islands in the promoter and Intron 1 of the <i>MDR1</i> gene in the HL60 and H/E8 cell lines	31
Figure 2.1	Location and % methylation of CpG sites in intron 1 of the <i>MDR1</i> gene in the HL60 cell lines	34
Figure 2.2	The pGL3-Basic reporter vector	41
Figure 2.3	Growth inhibition of the HL60 and K562 series cell lines by paclitaxel	53
Figure 2.4	Growth inhibition of the KB and LoVo series cell lines by paclitaxel in the presence and absence of verapamil	54
Table 2.1	Comparison of the IC50 values of each cell line to the drug paclitaxel	55
Figure 2.5	Sequences from the +283 to +455bp region cloned into Luc constructs	57
Figure 2.6	Normalised reporter gene activity of the Luc Core Promoter, Luc283/455, Luc283/382, Luc322/455 and Luc322/382 constructs in the H/E8 cell line	59
Figure 2.7	Normalised reporter gene activity of the Luc Core Promoter, Luc283/455, Luc283/382, Luc322/455 and Luc322/382 constructs in the K562 and Kepru cell lines	61
Figure 2.8	Possible locations of regulatory elements in the +283 to +455bp Region in H/E8, Kepru and KepruT cell lines	63
Table 2.2	Statistical comparison of the Luc283/455, Luc283/382, Luc322/455 and Luc322/382 reporter construct activity between the H/E8, K562 and Kepru cell lines	64

Figure 2.9	Sequences from the +283 to +606bp region cloned into Luc constructs	66
Figure 2.10	Normalised reporter gene activity of the Luc Core Promoter, Luc283/606, Luc283/455 and Luc415/606 constructs in the H/E8 cell line	67
Figure 2.11	Possible locations of regulatory elements in the +283/+606bp region in the H/E8 cell line	68
Figure 2.12	Normalised reporter gene activity of the Luc Core Promoter, Luc283/606, Luc283/455 and Luc415/606 constructs in the KB cell lines	70
Figure 2.13	Normalised reporter gene activity of the Luc Core Promoter, Luc283/606, Luc283/455 and Luc415/606 constructs in the LoVo cell lines	72
Figure 2.14	Possible locations of regulatory elements in the +283 to +606bp region in H/E8, KB-3-1 and KB-8-5 cell lines	73
Table 2.3	Statistical comparison of the Luc283/606, Luc283/455 and Luc415/606 reporter construct activity between the H/E8, KB and LoVo cell lines	74
Figure 2.15	Sequences from the +65 to +282bp region cloned into Luc constructs	76
Figure 2.16	Normalised reporter gene activity of the Luc Core Promoter, Luc65/282, Luc65/189 and Luc193/282 constructs in the H/E8 cell line	77
Figure 2.17	Normalised Reporter gene activity of the Luc Core Promoter, Luc65/282, Luc65/189 and Luc193/282 constructs in the KB cell lines	79
Figure 2.18	Normalised reporter gene activity of the Luc Core Promoter, Luc65/282, Luc65/189 and Luc193/282 constructs in the LoVo and L/ADR cells	81
Figure 2.19	Possible locations of regulatory elements in the +65/+282bp region	83

Table 2.4	Statistical comparison of the Luc65/282, Luc65/189 and Luc193/282 and reporter construct activities between the H/E8, KB-3-1, KB-8-5, LoVo and L/ADR cell lines.	85
Figure 3.1	Schematic outline of the principles involved in the LMPCR and the TDPCR footprinting procedures	89
Figure 3.2	Comparison of AmpliTaq and RTaq buffers in the PCR step of TDPCR for the antisense strand	103
Figure 3.3	Effect of Betaine in the PCR step of TDPCR for the antisense strand	105
Figure 3.4	Use of 'GC rich Taq' enzyme in PCR and variation of labeling cycles in TDPCR of the antisense strand	107
Figure 3.5	Variation of annealing temperature in PCR and labeling steps as well as labelling cycling numbers in TDPCR of the sense strand	109
Figure 3.6	Comparison of the AmpliTaq enzyme and the FB-Taq polymerase in TDPCR of the antisense strand	111
Figure 3.7	UV footprinting of the sense strand in the HL60 and H/E8 cell lines	113
Figure 3.8	UV footprinting of the antisense strand in the HL60 and H/E8 cell lines	116
Figure 3.9	Sense and antisense <i>in vivo</i> footprints in the HL60 and H/E8 cell lines	117
Figure 3.10	UV footprinting of the sense strand in the K562, Kepru and KepruT cell lines	119
Figure 3.11	UV footprinting of the antisense strand in the K562, Kepru and KepruT cell lines	121
Figure 3.12	Sense and antisense <i>in vivo</i> footprints in K562, Kepru and KepruT cell lines	123
Figure 3.13	UV footprinting of the sense strand in the KB-3-1 and KB-8-5 cell lines	125
Figure 3.14	UV footprinting of the antisense strand in the KB-3-1 and KB-8-5 cell lines	126

Figure 3.15	Sense and antisense <i>in vivo</i> footprints for the KB-3-1 and KB-8-5 cell lines	127
Figure 3.16	UV footprinting of the sense strand in the LoVo and L/ADR cell lines	129
Figure 3.17	UV footprinting of the antisense strand in the LoVo and L/ADR cell lines	130
Figure 3.18	Sense and antisense <i>in vivo</i> footprints in the LoVo and L/ADR cell lines	131
Table 4.1	Summary of growth inhibition by paclitaxel for each cell line	138
Table 4.2	Summary of reporter transfection studies	138
Table 4.3	Putative transcription factors potentially binding in intron 1 between +1 and +450bp of <i>MDR1</i> in all experimental cell lines	144
Figure 4.1	Alignment of UV footprints with transcription factor consensus binding sites in leukemia cell lines	149
Figure 4.2	Schematic of UV footprints and transient transfection results in H/E8 cell line	151
Figure 4.3	Alignment of UV footprints with transcription factor consensus binding sites in KB-3-1 and KB-8-5 cell lines	155
Figure 4.4	Alignment of UV footprints with transcription factor consensus binding sites in LoVo and L/ADR cell line lines	159

Abbreviations

A	adenine or adenosine
ABC	ATP-binding cassette
AMP	adenosine monophosphate
ATCC	American type culture collection
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
5'-azadC	5'-azadeoxycytidine
bp	base pairs
APS	Ammonium persulphate
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
C	cytosine or cytidine
CAT	chloramphenicol acetyl transferase
cAMP	cyclic AMP
cDNA	complementary DNA
CMC	cytotoxic membrane complex
CMV	Human Cytomegalovirus
Ci	curie
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CpG	CG dinucleotide
CRE	cAMP regulator elements
CREB	cAMP-responsive element binding protein
CRS	cAMP response sequences
CTP	cytosine triphosphate
DEPC	diethylpyrocarbonate
DMRIE-C	1,2-dimyristyloxypropyl 1-3-dimethyl-hydroxy-ethylammoniumbromide
DMSO	dimethylsulphoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DNase	deoxyribonuclease
dNTP	(unspecified) deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
dsDNA	double stranded DNA

DTT	dithiothreitol
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetracetic acid
Egr	early growth response
EGTA	ethylene glycol-bis-(β -aminoethyl ether) N, N, N', N'-tetracetic acid
EMSA	electrophoretic mobility shift assay
ET-743	Ecteinascidin-743
EtBr	ethidium bromide
5-FU	5-Fluoro-deoxyuridine
FCS	Fetal calf serum
fmole	femtomole
g	grams
G	guanine or guanosine
GAPDH	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
GSH	glutathione
GST	glutathione S-transferase
GTP	guanine triphosphate
HAT	Histone acetylase
HDAC	Histone deacetylases
HEPES	N-2-hydroxyethyl-piperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid
HSE	heat shock response element
HSF	Heat shock factor
IC ₅₀	50% inhibitory concentration
INR	Initiator element
kb	kilobase
kDa	kilodalton
L	Litre
LB Medium	Luria Bertani medium
LRP	Lung resistance protein
MAC	Membrane attack complex
MCS	Multi cloning site
MDR	Multidrug resistance
<i>MDR1</i>	Multidrug resistance gene 1

<i>MDR2</i>	Multidrug resistance gene 2
mM	Millimolar
J/m ²	joules per metre squared
μM	micromolar
LMPCR	Ligation mediated PCR
ml	millilitre
mRNA	messenger RNA
MRP	multidrug resistance associated protein
<i>MRP1</i>	multidrug resistance associated protein gene 1
<i>MRP2</i>	Multidrug resistance associated protein gene 2
MTT	3-4,5-dimethylthiazole-2,5 diphenyl tetra bromide
MW	molecular weight
nm	nanometer
nM	nanomolar
NRE	negative response element
OD	Optical density
ONPG	O-Nitrophenyl β-D-Galactopyranaside
PBS	Phosphate-buffered saline
P/CAF	CREB binding protein associated factor
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
P-gp	p-glycoprotein
PKA	type 1 cAMP-dependant kinase
PKC	protein kinase C
pmole	picomole
PABP	poly(A)-binding protein
poly(A)	polyadenylated
RB	retinoblastoma
rGTP	Riboguanine triphosphate
RHA	RNA helicase A
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RNase	Ribonuclease
RT	room temperature

RT-PCR	reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
SRF	Serum response factor
SSRE	Serum starvation response element
SXR	Steroid xenobiotic receptor
ssYB-1	single stranded YB-1
T	thymine or thymidine
TAE	Tris-acetate/EDTA electrophoresis buffer
TBE	Tris-borate/EDTA electrophoresis buffer
TE	Tris-EDTA
TEMED	Tetramethyl ethylene diamine
TBP	TATA binding protein
TDPCR	Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase PCR
TdT	Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase
TM	Transmembrane
TPA	12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate
Tris	tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane
TSA	trichostatin A
TSP	transcription start point
tRNA	transfer RNA
TTP	thymidine triphosphate
U	unit
UV	ultraviolet

Composition of general solutions

LB Agar

1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% NaCl, 1.5% Agar, pH 7.0

LB Medium

1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% NaCl, pH 7.0

PBS

137mM NaCl, 2.7mM KCl, 4.3mM Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O, 1.47mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.2

TAE (1X)

40mM Tris-acetate, 1mM EDTA, pH 8.0

TBE(1X)

90mM Tris-borate/1mM EDTA

Long ranger TBE buffer (10X)

1.3M tris base/0.5M boric acid/25mM EDTA

TE Buffer (1X)

10mM Tris-HCl, 1mM EDTA (pH 7.5 or 8.0)

Loading buffer (6X)

40% sucrose, 50mM EDTA, 0.25% bromophenol blue

General reagents were prepared using deionised water and sterilized by autoclaving or filtration using a 0.22µM filter when autoclaving was inappropriate. All other solutions not specified here were also autoclaved or filter sterilized as appropriate.

Abstract

Multidrug resistance (MDR) is a major limitation in the successful treatment of cancer using chemotherapy. Cancers can be intrinsically resistant or develop resistance during treatment to a variety of structurally and functional unrelated drugs. Numerous cellular mechanisms which contribute to MDR have been identified, however, the most common mechanism is the over expression of a 170kDa glycoprotein referred to as P-glycoprotein (P-gp). P-gp acts as an ATP-dependant drug efflux pump and is encoded by the *MDR1* gene. An understanding of the regulation of the *MDR1* gene is essential if the clinical impact of MDR is to be reduced. However, at this stage how this gene is regulated *in vivo* remains elusive. Amplification of the *MDR1* gene or an increase in mRNA stability may increase expression in cells already expressing P-gp, though they do not account for activation and/or regulation of the *MDR1* gene itself. Hence the focus of many studies is on the regulation of *MDR1* transcription.

The current study investigated the role of intron 1 in *MDR1* promoter regulation. The intron 1 region has been shown to contain a CpG island which is differentially methylated in the drug sensitive HL60 cells and completely unmethylated in MDR H/E8 cells. *In vitro* DNA:protein interactions were found to exist within this differentially methylated region. Thus the aim of the current study was to determine the functional role of the downstream CpG island in *MDR1* regulation in cells of varying origin and varying MDR levels. The MDR cells lines studied were the HL60 derived H/E8 leukaemic cell line, the K562 derived Kepru leukaemic cell line, the KB-3-1 derived KB-8-5 cervical cancer cell lines and the LoVo and L/ADR colon cancer cell lines. Reporter gene studies indicated an overall negative role in reporter activity for the +283 to +606bp region in the leukaemic MDR cell lines, whilst the same region was shown to increase reporter activity in the KB-8-5 cells. The colon LoVo and L/ADR cells also showed an increase in activity for the +283 to +606bp, however, the increase was not found to be significant. Further subdivision of the +283 to +606bp region suggested the existence of several different potential and repressor and enhancer regulatory elements in the H/E8, Kepru and KB-8-5 MDR cell lines.

Similarly, reporter gene functional studies of the +65 to +282bp region resulted in an overall inhibitory effect in reporter gene activity in the H/E8 cells and a stimulatory effect in the KB-8-5, LoVo and L/ADR MDR cell lines. Further subdivision of the +65 to +282bp region revealed several different potential repressor and enhancer elements in the cell lines tested. This region had not been previously investigated for DNA:protein interactions.

UV *in vivo* footprinting was used to investigate *in vivo* chromatin structure and potential DNA:protein interactions in intron 1 of the *MDR1* gene. Sites of hypersensitivity and protection were found in intron 1 in all cell lines, however, it is hypothesised that some of the sites observed relate directly to chromatin structure as opposed to specific transcription factor DNA binding. For example a region of concentrated protection, possibly due to a positioned nucleosome was found to exist in the drug sensitive cells, whilst this same region was less and less protected in the more drug resistant cells. Furthermore, an increase in the number of hypersensitive sites was observed in the more drug resistant cell lines which may be due to RNA polymerase II pausing. However, many of the protected sites were also found throughout the intron 1 region, including some with the previously observed *in vitro* DNA footprints, providing further evidence that intron 1 is involved in the regulation of the *MDR1* gene. A comparison of the locations of the *in vivo* footprints with those of transcription factor consensus binding sites suggests many possible candidates for intron 1 mediated *MDR1* regulation that can be followed up in future studies. This study is the first to provide *in vivo* evidence for specific and structural features and possible transcription factor mediated regulation in intron 1 of the *MDR1* gene.