



UNIVERSITY OF  
TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY

# The Uptake of Drugs by Necrophagous Insects

by

Daniel Kenny

This thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Science

Faculty of Science

University of Technology, Sydney

2009

## Certificate of Authorship/ Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not been submitted for a degree or as part of the requirements for a degree.

To the best of my knowledge, this thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis.

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

Daniel Kenny

## Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge the contributions of numerous people who together have helped this work materialise. My supervisors, Dr Costa Conn and Dr Val Spikmans who shared their vast experience of toxicology and liquid chromatography – tandem mass spectrometry, Dr Ken Brown who was my primary supervisor until his retirement and helped me devise the experimental design and shared his considerable knowledge of entomology, Dr Philip Doble who “adopted” my PhD when Ken retired, let me use his laboratory for preparing my larval samples and gave up much of his time to proof read my thesis drafts and finally Dr James Wallman, who provided me with *Calliphora stygia* larvae and very kindly agreed to be my entomology supervisor after Ken retired. Bryce Peters, who helped me locate areas to run my experiments, allowed me access to his insect laboratories to maintain blowfly colonies and provided me with terrific opportunities to increase my entomological knowledge by assisting him in field work. Dr Ronald Shimmon and Alison Beavis, who were always there when I needed anything, whether it was lab supplies, working space or just someone to listen. To the staff in the ICPMR entomology unit at Westmead Hospital, particularly Marilyn Geary and Karen Willems, who were always happy to supply me with *Lucilia cuprina* eggs every time I ran out or my colony failed. Stephen Bush, a mathematician and gentleman, who assisted me on very short notice with my statistical analysis and surpassed all my expectations with his eagerness and enthusiasm for my project and enabled me to get so much information from my experimental data. My dear friend and marketing boss Nicole Eng, who gave me regular employment in promoting UTS forensic science and was always

there to give support and encouragement. My fellow postgraduate students and science sprouts, especially Lisa Mingari, Marian Portugal, Penny Lui, Hui Toh, Jerran Naidoo, Christine Austin, Tristan Rawling, Stephanie Notter, Freya Turner, Mark Tahtouh, Clare Bodimeade, Dominic Hare, Laura McGrath and Ellen Braybon, who gave me much support, loaned equipment, shared knowledge and tolerated my experiments and the foul odours they created. The JPII crowd, especially Adrian Simmons, John McCaughan, Michele Bahari, Glenn Bolas, Monica Lubgans, Roco Cristelli, Kiran Newman, Stephen Peterson, Daniel Hill, Anthony McCarthy, Rita Azzi, Robert Haddad, Fr Dominic Murphy and Mary Issa, who were always just up the road and happy to give me refuge. And finally my family, especially my parents, who kept me housed and fed, accepted my bizarre hours, kept my hope alive through nine years of university and even allowed me to use their backyard for one of my experiments.



## Abstract

Insects have been used for several decades around the world to assist forensic scientists and pathologists in determining the time elapsed since death, by analysing their growth. Whilst the rate of larval growth is primarily affected by temperature, entomologists soon discovered that the presence of certain drugs can also significantly accelerate or decelerate larval growth rates. It was from this research that scientists discovered the ability of fly larvae to accumulate drugs within their body and toxicologists became interested in the possibility of exploiting insects feeding upon the decaying tissues of a human body as alternative toxicological specimens. Theoretically, insects offered great potential over the 'traditional' specimens (blood, urine, organs), which are degraded by the decomposition process and become extremely difficult to analyse. Consequently research into the field of entomotoxicology began and soon divided the toxicological community. Some academics argued that their results from larval analysis displayed enormous variance and bore no relationship to cadaver concentrations whilst others maintained that under controlled study correlations between larval and body concentrations have been found.

The primary objective of this research was to determine what effect a variety of variables common to cadaver ecology would have on larval drug uptake. While previous studies have employed traditional methods of analysis with GC-MS and HPLC, for these experiments a new method of analysis was devised with LC-MS-MS which offered vast improvements in processing time and adaptability. A suitable method for the

preservation of larval samples for the purpose of drug analysis was also devised. Larvae samples were exposed to a range of amitriptyline concentrations and then killed and preserved either by freezing or storage in a 70% ethanol solution after a brief immersion in boiling water. The analysis of amitriptyline concentration in larval samples tested at various times over a 12 month period demonstrated that freezing was the superior method of larval storage for drug analysis.

A number of experiments were then designed to determine the effect that variables such as temperature, larval activity, larval species, drug dose and the presence of multiple substances have on the larval uptake of drugs. These progressively relaxed the controls on the feeding environment so that each effect could be determined separately. Thus initial experiments compared larval uptake of amitriptyline in three constant temperatures. Next the impact of fluctuating temperature and larval species on the uptake of amitriptyline was determined. Finally larvae were exposed to amitriptyline and caffeine to determine the impact of a second substance (caffeine) on the larval uptake of the primary drug (amitriptyline).

These experiments have conclusively shown that larval drug uptake is significantly affected by these variables. Decreasing temperature causes a significant decrease in the rate and level of larval drug uptake. Fluctuating temperature compared to constant temperature has a similar effect. When a second substance was introduced again the amitriptyline concentration in the larval samples dropped. Despite this, larval drug concentrations were found to correlate linearly with their foodstuff concentrations (i.e. as

the drug concentrations in their food increased the drug concentrations in the larval also increased in linear proportions). However this was limited to larvae that were actively feeding.

Insects (especially blowfly larvae) that associate with human remains can be a valuable resource in forensic toxicology. However the fact that drug concentrations are significantly affected by temperature and the nature of the drugs present means that these must be considered when attempting to estimate the original cadaver drug concentrations from the larval concentrations.

## Contents

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| <b>Certificate of Authorship/Originality</b>                                | <b>ii</b>   |
| <b>Acknowledgments</b>  | <b>iii</b>  |
| <b>Abstract</b>   | <b>v</b>    |
| <b>List of Abbreviations</b>  | <b>xiv</b>  |
| <b>List of Figures</b>  | <b>xvii</b> |
| <b>List of Tables</b>   | <b>xxii</b> |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>1. General Introduction.....</b>   | <b>1</b>    |
| 1.1. Forensic entomology.....   | 1           |
| 1.1.1. Introduction to forensic entomology.....                             | 1           |
| 1.1.2. Decomposition of the cadaver.....                                    | 2           |
| 1.1.3. Significant Diptera in forensic entomology.....                      | 6           |
| 1.1.4. Life cycle of fly.....   | 11          |
| 1.1.5. Larval development.....  | 16          |
| 1.1.6. Larval metabolism.....   | 18          |
| 1.2. Drugs.....   | 22          |
| 1.2.1. Amitriptyline.....   | 22          |
| 1.3. Toxicology.....  | 27          |
| 1.3.1. Chemical analysis.....   | 27          |
| 1.3.2. Sample preparation of biological matrices for chemical analysis..... | 32          |
| 1.3.3. Drug analysis in human matrices (published studies).....             | 37          |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 1.3.4. Drugs and insects (published studies).....  | 46        |
| 1.3.5. Analysis of drugs in biological matrices.....   | 51        |
| 1.3.6. Blowfly larvae as alternative toxicological specimens.....                            | 54        |
| 1.4. Simple and multiple linear regression.....  | 60        |
| 1.5. PhD objectives.....   | 63        |
| <br><b>2. A rapid method for the quantification of amitriptyline in carrion blowfly.....</b> | <b>65</b> |
| 2.1. Abstract.....   | 65        |
| 2.2. Introduction.....   | 66        |
| 2.3. Method.....   | 68        |
| 2.3.1. Chemicals.....  | 68        |
| 2.3.2. Flies.....  | 69        |
| 2.3.3. Method validation.....  | 69        |
| 2.3.4. Larval and pupal sample experiment.....   | 73        |
| 2.3.5. MS-MS analysis.....   | 75        |
| 2.4. Results.....  | 80        |
| 2.4.1. Calibration.....  | 80        |
| 2.4.2. Accuracy, precision and recovery.....   | 81        |
| 2.4.3. Larval and pupal sample experiment.....   | 82        |
| 2.5. Discussion.....   | 84        |
| 2.6. Conclusion.....   | 85        |



|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>3. Preservation of blowfly larval samples for toxicological analysis.....</b> | <b>87</b> |
| 3.1. Abstract.....   | 87        |
| 3.2. Introduction.....   | 88        |
| 3.3. Method.....   | 90        |
| 3.3.1. Chemicals.....  | 90        |
| 3.3.2. Flies.....  | 90        |
| 3.3.3. Preparation of liver/agar.....  | 90        |
| 3.3.4. Introduction of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> .....                              | 92        |
| 3.3.5. Sample collection and preservation.....                                   | 92        |
| 3.3.6. Sample preparation.....   | 94        |
| 3.3.7. MS-MS analysis.....   | 94        |
| 3.3.8. Statistical analysis.....   | 94        |
| 3.4. Results.....  | 95        |
| 3.4.1. Larval sampling 1 (larval mass: 5 - 25 mg), boiling/ ethanol storage..... | 95        |
| 3.4.2. Larval sampling 1 (larval mass: 5 - 25 mg), freezing storage.....         | 98        |
| 3.4.3. Larval sampling 2 (larval mass: 35 - 60 mg), boiling/ ethanol storage...  | 102       |
| 3.4.4. Larval sampling 2 (larval mass: 35 - 60 mg), freezing storage.....        | 105       |
| 3.4.5. Statistical Analysis.....   | 108       |
| 3.5. Discussion.....   | 109       |
| 3.6. Conclusion.....   | 110       |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>4. Analysis of blowfly larvae exposed to different concentrations of amitriptyline at three controlled temperatures.....</b> | <b>111</b> |
| 4.1. Abstract.....  | 111        |
| 4.2. Introduction.....  | 112        |
| 4.3. Method.....  | 116        |
| 4.3.1. Chemicals.....   | 116        |
| 4.3.2. Flies.....   | 116        |
| 4.3.3. Preparation of liver/agar.....   | 116        |
| 4.3.4. Introduction of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> .....   | 117        |
| 4.3.5. Sample collection.....   | 118        |
| 4.3.6. Sample preparation.....  | 118        |
| 4.3.7. MS-MS analysis.....  | 118        |
| 4.3.8. Statistical analysis.....  | 118        |
| 4.4. Results.....   | 119        |
| 4.4.1. 15 °C environment.....   | 119        |
| 4.4.2. 20 °C environment.....   | 127        |
| 4.4.3. 25 °C environment.....   | 134        |
| 4.4.4. Foodstuff concentrations, correlation data and the effect of temperature.....  | 140        |
| 4.4.5. Statistical Analysis.....  | 144        |
| 4.5. Discussion.....  | 144        |
| 4.6. Conclusion.....  | 148        |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>5. Analysis of blowfly larvae exposed to different concentrations of amitriptyline in an uncontrolled natural environment.....</b> | <b>150</b> |
| 5.1. Abstract.....  | 150        |
| 5.2. Introduction.....  | 151        |
| 5.3. Method.....  | 154        |
| 5.3.1. Chemicals.....   | 154        |
| 5.3.2. Flies.....   | 154        |
| 5.3.3. Preparation of liver/agar.....   | 155        |
| 5.3.4. Introduction of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> and <i>Calliphora stygia</i> .....  | 155        |
| 5.3.5. Sample collection.....   | 158        |
| 5.3.6. Sample preparation.....  | 158        |
| 5.3.7. MS-MS analysis.....  | 158        |
| 5.3.8. Statistical analysis.....  | 158        |
| 5.4. Results.....   | 159        |
| 5.4.1. Temperature range.....   | 159        |
| 5.4.2. <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> .....   | 160        |
| 5.4.3. <i>Calliphora stygia</i> .....   | 166        |
| 5.4.4. Foodstuff concentrations and correlation data.....   | 172        |
| 5.4.5. Statistical Analysis.....  | 173        |
| 5.5. Discussion.....  | 174        |
| 5.6. Conclusion.....  | 178        |

6. Analysis of blowfly larvae exposed to a stimulant and antidepressant..... 180

6.1. Abstract.....180

6.2. Introduction.....181

6.3. Method..... 183

6.3.1. Chemicals.....183

6.3.2. Flies.....184

6.3.3. Preparation of matched matrix standard solutions.....184

6.3.4. Preparation of liver/agar..... 185

6.3.5. Introduction of *Lucilia cuprina*.....187

6.3.6. Sample collection.....187

6.3.7. Sample preparation..... 187

6.3.8. LC-MS-MS analysis..... 187

6.3.9. Statistical analysis.....197

6.4. Results.....197

6.4.1. Calibration.....197

6.4.2. Larval growth analysis.....198

6.4.3. Drug analysis..... 202

6.4.4. Statistical analysis.....208

6.5. Discussion.....208

6.6. Conclusion.....211

7. Final Conclusions and Future Work..... 213

8. References..... 220

## List of Abbreviations

|        |  |
|--------|--|
| Ami    | Amitriptyline                          |
| [Ami]  | Amitriptyline concentration            |
| Caff   | Caffeine                               |
| [Caff] | Caffeine concentration                 |
| ECD    | Electron capture detector              |
| DAD    | Diode array detector                   |
| e.g.   | For example                            |
| ESI    | Electro-spray ionisation               |
| FDA    | Food and Drug Administration           |
| FID    | Flame Ionisation Detector              |
| FPD    | Flame photometric                      |
| g      | Grams                                  |
| GC     | Gas chromatography                     |
| hrs    | Hours                                  |
| HPLC   | High performance liquid chromatography |
| Ka     | acid dissociation constant             |
| kg     | Kilograms                              |
| L      | Litre                                  |
| LC     | Liquid chromatography                  |
| LD50   | Dose that kills 50% of test subjects   |
| LLE    | Liquid-liquid extraction               |



|       |  |
|-------|--|
| LOQ   | Limit of quantification                                |
| LLOQ  | Lower limit of quantification                          |
| LOD   | Limit of detection                                     |
| m     | metre  |
| M     | Moles  |
| mg    | Milligrams   |
| min   | Minutes  |
| MISPE | Molecularly Imprinted Solid Phase Extraction           |
| mL    | Millilitres  |
| mm    | Millimetres  |
| MS    | Mass spectrometry                                      |
| MS-MS | Tandem mass spectrometry                               |
| m/z   | Mass to charge ratio                                   |
| n     | Number of samples or tests                             |
| n.a   | Not applicable   |
| n.d   | Not detected   |
| NMR   | Nuclear magnetic resonance                             |
| NPD   | Nitrogen phosphorous detector                          |
| p     | Probability ratio                                      |
| PFPA  | Pentafluoropropionic acid                              |
| pKa   | logarithmic measure of the acid dissociation constant  |
| pg    | picogram   |
| pH    | cologarithm of the activity of dissolved hydrogen ions |

|       |                               |
|-------|-------------------------------|
| PMI   | Post mortem interval          |
| ppm   | Parts per million             |
| ng    | Nanograms                     |
| μL    | Microlitres                   |
| R     | Correlation coefficient       |
| rpm   | Revolutions per minute        |
| s     | Seconds                       |
| SIM   | Selected ion monitoring       |
| SLM   | Supported liquid membrane     |
| SPE   | Solid-phase extraction        |
| SPME  | Solid-phase micro extraction  |
| spp   | Species                       |
| TCD   | Thermal conductivity detector |
| TLC   | Thin layer chromatography     |
| TMEDA | Tetramethylethylenediamine    |
| UV    | Ultraviolet                   |
| V     | Volts                         |
| ~     | approximately                 |
| °C    | Celsius                       |
| μg    | Micrograms                    |
| %     | Percent                       |

## List of Figures

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 1 – Photograph of a <i>Calliphora stygia</i> larva in its third instar.....  | 14 |
| Figure 2 – Close-up photographs of a <i>Calliphora stygia</i> larva in its third instar .....   | 14 |
| Figure 3 – Diagram of the alimentary (digestive) system shown as it lies in a third instar larva (Hewitt, 1929).....  | 19 |
| Figure 4 – Photograph of the digestive tract of third instar larva, removed from a dissected <i>Calliphora vicina</i> larva (Greenberg, 2002) .....   | 20 |
| Figure 5 – The chemical structure of amitriptyline (drawn by Daniel Kenny).....   | 23 |
| Figure 6 – Diagram showing the inhibition of the reuptake of the neurotransmitters norepinephrine or serotonin by tricyclic antidepressants. Drawing by Dr Costa Conn, University of Technology Sydney, unpublished ..... | 24 |
| Figure 7 – Flow chart showing the metabolic pathways for amitriptyline (Levine and Anderson, 1999).....   | 25 |
| Figure 8 – Flow chart summarising the general procedures for extraction of analytes from solid and liquid biological matrices (Levine and Anderson, 1999).....  | 33 |

Figure 9 – Flow diagram showing preparation of amitriptyline matched matrix standards..... 71

Figure 10 – Amitriptyline MS-MS fragmentation.....77

Figure 11 – d<sub>6</sub>-Amitriptyline MS-MS fragmentation..... 77

Figure 12 – MS-MS spectrum of amitriptyline.....78

Figure 13 – MS-MS spectrum of d<sub>6</sub>-amitriptyline..... 79

Figure 14 – Diagram showing larval sampling from amitriptyline doses liver/agar cubes.....93

Figure 15 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (boiling ethanol treatment); larvae removed after two days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline.....97

Figure 16 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (freezing treatment); larvae removed after two days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline.....100

Figure 17 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (boiling ethanol treatment); larvae removed after four days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 104

Figure 18 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (freezing treatment); larvae removed after four days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.02 5mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline.....107

Figure 19 – Mass of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (at 15 °C) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline.....124

Figure 20 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (at 15 °C) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 125

Figure 21 – Mass of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (at 20 °C) on the three replicates of liver/agar



|  |     |
|--|-----|
| foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... | 131 |
|--|-----|

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Figure 22 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (at 20 °C) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... | 132 |
|--|-----|

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Figure 23 – Mass of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (at 25 °C) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... | 137 |
|--|-----|

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Figure 24 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (at 25 °C) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... | 138 |
|--|-----|

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Figure 25 – Correlation between food concentrations of amitriptyline (second replicates from 20 °C experiment) and subsequent larval concentrations (example taken from Table 24)..... | 142 |
|--|-----|

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Figure 26 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> larvae (mean of nine samples) after progressive feeding intervals in 15 °C, 20 °C and 25 °C on liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250mg of amitriptyline.....   | 143 |
| Figure 27 – Photograph of the experimental set-up for the garden exposure of larvae feeding on the amitriptyline dosed liver agar cubes.....  | 156 |
| Figure 28 – Close-up photographs of the experimental set-up for the garden exposure of larvae feeding on amitriptyline dosed liver agar cubes.....  | 157 |
| Figure 29 – Mass of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline.....            | 163 |
| Figure 30 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... | 164 |
| Figure 31 – Mass of <i>Calliphora stygia</i> larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of  |     |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline.....   | 169 |
| Figure 32 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... | 170 |
| Figure 33 – MRM chromatogram for analysis of amitriptyline (1.93), d <sub>6</sub> -amitriptyline (1.93), caffeine (2.14) and diphenylamine (2.46).....  | 190 |
| Figure 34 – Diphenylamine MS-MS fragmentation.....  | 191 |
| Figure 35 – Caffeine MS-MS fragmentation.....   | 191 |
| Figure 36 – Amitriptyline MS-MS fragmentation.....  | 192 |
| Figure 37 – d <sub>6</sub> -Amitriptyline MS-MS fragmentation.....  | 192 |
| Figure 38 – MS-MS spectrum of diphenylamine.....  | 193 |
| Figure 39 – MS-MS spectrum of caffeine.....   | 194 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Figure 40 – MS-MS spectrum of amitriptyline.....   | 195 |
| Figure 41 – MS-MS spectrum of d <sub>6</sub> -amitriptyline.....   | 196 |
| Figure 42 – Mass of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline/cafeine.....  | 201 |
| Figure 43 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline and caffeine..... | 205 |
| Figure 44 – Concentrations of caffeine per mg of <i>Lucilia cuprina</i> larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline and caffeine.....      | 206 |

## List of Tables

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 1 – Principal insect groups that invade human cadavers, as grouped by Megnin (1894) according to the stage of decomposition in which each group arrives.....                   | 5  |
| Table 2 – Summary of toxicology data in a series of amitriptyline related deaths (Levine and Anderson, 1999).....  | 26 |
| Table 3 – Summary of literature reviewed by the author pertaining to the analysis of drugs (primarily amitriptyline and other antidepressants) in human samples/matrices..           | 37 |
| Table 4 – Summary of literature reviewed by the author pertaining to experiments with drugs and blowflies.....   | 46 |
| Table 5 – Electrospray MS-MS conditions.....   | 76 |
| Table 6 – Calibration curve equations, correlation coefficients and uncertainty values in slope and intercept for water standards and larval and pupal matrix matched standards..... | 79 |
| Table 7 – Accuracy, precision and recovery values at 0.010 µg/mL, 0.050 µg/mL and 0.500 µg/mL in larval and pupal matrices.....  | 82 |



Table 8 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mL of sample homogenate after progressive feeding intervals on liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.12 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline.....83

Table 9 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae after progressive feeding intervals on liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g, 0.025 mg/g, 0.125 mg/g and 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 83

Table 10 – Quantity of amitriptyline mixed into agar suspension prior to boiling and approximate concentrations of amitriptyline that should result in subsequently produced liver/agar cubes..... 91

Table 11 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (boiling ethanol treatment); larvae removed after two days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 95

Table 12 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (boiling ethanol treatment); larvae removed after two days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.025 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 96

Table 13 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (boiling ethanol treatment); larvae removed after two days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 96

Table 14 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (freezing treatment); larvae removed after two days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 98

Table 15 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (freezing treatment); larvae removed after two days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.025 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 99

Table 16 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (freezing treatment); larvae removed after two days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 99

Table 17 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (boiling ethanol treatment); larvae

removed after four days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked  
with 0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 102

Table 18 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of  
three samples) after progressive storage intervals (boiling ethanol treatment); larvae  
removed after four days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked  
with 0.025 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 103

Table 19 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of  
three samples) after progressive storage intervals (boiling ethanol treatment); larvae  
removed after four days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked  
with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 103

Table 20 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of  
three samples) after progressive storage intervals (freezing treatment); larvae removed  
after four days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with  
0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 105

Table 21 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of  
three samples) after progressive storage intervals (freezing treatment); larvae removed  
after four days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with  
0.025 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 106

Table 22 – Concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive storage intervals (freezing treatment); larvae removed after four days of feeding from three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 106

Table 23 – Quantity of amitriptyline mixed into agar suspension prior to boiling and approximate concentrations of amitriptyline that should result in subsequently produced liver/agar cubes..... 116

Table 24 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 15 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline.....120

Table 25 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 15 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.025 mg/g of amitriptyline.....121

Table 26 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 15 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.125 mg/g of amitriptyline.....122



Table 27 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 15 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline.....123

Table 28 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 20 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline.....127

Table 29 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 20 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.025 mg/g of amitriptyline.....128

Table 30 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 20 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.125 mg/g of amitriptyline.....129

Table 31 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 20 °C

environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 130

Table 32 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 25 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 134

Table 33 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 25 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.025 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 135

Table 34 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 25 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.125 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 135

Table 35 – Mass of larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in 25 °C environment) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 136



Table 36 – Target and measured concentrations (in three replicates for each temperature) of amitriptyline in artificially produced foodstuffs for the rearing of larvae.....140

Table 37 – Correlation between the measured concentrations in the amitriptyline spiked foodstuffs and larval concentrations at each sampling period..... 141

Table 38 – Minimum and maximum temperature recorded every 24 hrs during the various stages of the outdoor experiment..... 159

Table 39 – Mass of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 160

Table 40 – Mass of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.025 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 161

Table 41 – Mass of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.125 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 161

Table 42 – Mass of *Lucilia cuprina* larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 162

Table 43 – Mass of *Calliphora stygia* larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 166

Table 44 – Mass of *Calliphora stygia* larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.025 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 167

Table 45 – Mass of *Calliphora stygia* larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals (in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.125 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 167

Table 46 – Mass of *Calliphora stygia* larvae and concentrations of amitriptyline per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals

(in fluctuating temperature) on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline..... 168

Table 47 – Target and measured concentrations (in three replicates for each species) of amitriptyline in artificially produced foodstuffs for the rearing of *Lucilia cuprina* and *Calliphora stygia* larvae.....172

Table 48 – Correlation data between the measured concentrations in the amitriptyline spiked foodstuffs and larval concentrations at each sampling period.....173

Table 49 – Quantity of amitriptyline/caffeine mixed into agar suspension prior to boiling and approximate concentrations of amitriptyline that should result in subsequently produced liver/agar cubes..... 186

Table 50 – Precursor and product ions..... 188

Table 51 – Electrospray MS-MS conditions.....188

Table 52 – Calibration curve equations, correlation coefficients and uncertainty values in slope and intercept for larval and pupal matrix matched standards.....198

Table 53 – Mass of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline and caffeine..... 199

Table 54 – Mass of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.025 mg/g of amitriptyline and caffeine..... 199

Table 55 – Mass of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.125 mg/g of amitriptyline and caffeine..... 200

Table 56 – Mass of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline and caffeine..... 200

Table 57 – Concentrations of amitriptyline and caffeine per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.000 mg/g of amitriptyline/caffeine..... 203

Table 58 – Concentrations of amitriptyline and caffeine per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.025mg/g of amitriptyline/caffeine..... 203

Table 59 – Concentrations of amitriptyline and caffeine per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.125mg/g of amitriptyline/caffeine.....204

Table 60 – Concentrations of amitriptyline and caffeine per mg of larvae (mean and standard error of three samples) after progressive feeding intervals on the three replicates of liver/agar foodstuffs spiked with 0.250 mg/g of amitriptyline/caffeine.....204