University of Technology Sydney

Motivated Agents for Informed Bilateral Negotiation

A dissertation submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Computing Sciences

by

Paul Bogg

Sydney, Australia 2008



Abstract

The automation of contract negotiation has the potential to change the way B2C and B2B trade takes place. For all its promise however, contract negotiation between businesses (for instance, e-Procurement) is still not conducted automatically. The automation of contract negotiation for e-commerce trade is complicated by three factors. Firstly, the majority of contract negotiation is multi-issue. Secondly, dynamic and uncertain contextual information is typically crucial for decision making in the negotiation. Finally, business relationships that evolve from negotiation need to be accounted for.

One observation made is that research in automating negotiation has not adequately addressed the role that uncertainty plays in decision making. Further more, understanding the importance of information for reducing this uncertainty is fundamental to designing software that is capable of modeling and valuing relationships that evolve from negotiation.

In light of this, this dissertation proposes an architecture design for an agent that makes negotiation decisions based on the value of information that it gives away and receives, where this value is derived from the amount of uncertainty the information reduces. It is argued that an agent that values information in this way delivers superior performance in B2B-style negotiations than an agent that is not able to do so.

The performance of an agent constructed with this architecture is evaluated with a series of bilateral negotiation simulations. An assessment is made on the behaviour of this agent, and a comparison is made between a strategy where decisions are made based on information exchange, and a strategy where decisions are made based on a valuation on outcomes.

This architecture design is extended to a particular instance of B2B negotiation — integrative negotiation. In integrative negotiation, goals form part of the negotiation decision making apparatus. For the architecture design extension, this dissertation outlines integrative negotiation norms described by sociological research in real world negotiation. An agent constructed with this architecture is evaluated to assess its behaviour in real world B2B-style negotiations.

The dissertation concludes that, by modeling the uncertainty reduced by the communication of information, an agent is able to value the communicative interactions between itself and another agent. Business relationships are founded upon communication, and when an agent is capable of valuing its communicative interaction, then it is capable of modeling aspects of business relationships that evolve from negotiation.

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to Professor John Debenham for his continual advice and patience during my PhD candidature. It is an honour to have been given the opportunity to study under his tutelage. There have been so many lessons learnt over the past years, I am forever indebted. I would also like to thank Professor Simeon Simoff for assisting me in those early research days. His advice and ideas inspired me on many occasions. My thanks to the two of you for supporting me throughout the good, and the bad.

I am also grateful to the support that the University of Technology, Sydney has provided over the past years, not least of which in terms of scholarships. The PhD certainly would not have been possible without their assistance.

I would like to thank the Emarkets team for their support, in particular, Ante, Anton, and Les for their conversations in and around the office. I would also like to extend my thanks to the citizens of RedSquare, for also providing handy tips on all sorts of matters. I would like to give thanks to Dr. Yun Zhang for many words of wisdom and support.

I would like to express my thanks to Professor Graham Low and Dr. Ghassan Beydoun for their support over the last year or so. Working with them has provided a welcome distraction from the rigors of thesis writing.

I would also like to thank Professor Carles Sierra, Debbie Zhang, Ting Yu, Marc Esteva, Helmut Berger, Assoc. Professor Barry Jay, Professor Chengqi Zhang, and Peter Dalmaris, for the support that they have provided. Many thanks also to the

technical support and administrative staff at UTS.

I would like to express my appreciation of the coffee machine in 5.270. Also, to Minghui, for minding the office. Anton and I have been very grateful!

Lastly, I would like to thank my family for their endless support.

This "wu gui" has finally gotten there.

Table of Contents

Chapte	er 1	Introduc	tion								1
1.1	Terms	and Con	cepts								4
1.2	Real V	World Neg	gotiation Scenarios								9
	1.2.1	Example	e 1								9
	1.2.2	The imp	portance of managing information								10
	1.2.3	Example	2								12
	1.2.4	The imp	portance of goal information								14
1.3	Outlin	ne of this	dissertation								17
Chapte	er 2	Backgrou	and								19
2.1	The E	-commerc	ce Domain								20
2.2	E-com	merce Ne	gotiation								23
	2.2.1		gotiation Process								26
	2.2.2		inty and information								29
	2.2.3	Negotiat	tion Goals	o					,		33
	2.2.4		у								38
2.3	Appro	aches to	Automated Negotiation		2	9	0				39
	2.3.1	Game T	heory and Negotiation		e			3			42
		2.3.1.1	Cooperative and Non-Cooperative					6			43
		2.3.1.2	Complete and Incomplete Information								45
		2.3.1.3	Game-Theory-Based Agents								47
		2.3.1.4	Limitations								51
		2.3.1.5	Summary								54
	2.3.2	Informat	tion-Based Agency								55
		2.3.2.1	High-level view								56
		2.3.2.2	Contrast with Game Theory								59
		2.3.2.3	Limitations								64
		2.3.2.4	Summary			÷				٠	66
Chapte	er 3 - 1	Informat	ion-based Agency								68
3.1			f Information-Based Agency								72
3.2			Language and Process								

3.3	Interna	al agent mechanisms
	3.3.1	Proactive mechanism
	3.3.2	Reactive mechanism
	3.3.3	Strategic mechanism
3.4	Workin	ng considerations
	3.4.1	Consideration A
	3.4.2	Consideration B
	3.4.3	Consideration C
	3.4.4	Consideration D
3.5	Examp	ble
3.6	Summ	ary
Chapte	er 4 H	Evaluating Information-Based Agency 94
4.1		ng properties and variables
	4.1.1	Model Properties
	4.1.2	Negotiation Behaviour Properties
	4.1.3	Evaluation variables
4.2		ations
	4.2.1	Model properties of an agent
		4.2.1.1 Discussion
	4.2.2	Negotiation Behaviour Properties
		4.2.2.1 Discussion
4.3	Summ	ary
Chapte	er 5 /	An Integrative Negotiation Model
	er 5 A	An Integrative Negotiation Model
Chapte	er 5 An Int 5.1.1	An Integrative Negotiation Model
Chapte	er 5 An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2	An Integrative Negotiation Model
Chapte	er 5 An Int 5.1.1	An Integrative Negotiation Model
Chapte	er 5 An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3	An Integrative Negotiation Model
Chapte	er 5 An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5	An Integrative Negotiation Model
Chapte 5.1	er 5 An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5	An Integrative Negotiation Model
Chapte 5.1	er 5 An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 Agent	An Integrative Negotiation Model
Chapte 5.1	er 5 An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 Agent	An Integrative Negotiation Model
Chapte 5.1	er 5 An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 Agent	An Integrative Negotiation Model 122 egrative Negotiation Process 123 Goal representation 125 Goal exchange and adoption 126 Goal commitment and importance 129 Goal compromise 130 Summary 131 Architecture 132 Goal Representation 132 5.2.1.1 Goal types 134 5.2.1.2 Goal importance 135
Chapte 5.1	er 5 An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 Agent	An Integrative Negotiation Model 122 egrative Negotiation Process 125 Goal representation 126 Goal exchange and adoption 126 Goal commitment and importance 129 Goal compromise 130 Summary 131 Architecture 131 Goal Representation 132 5.2.1.1 Goal types 134 5.2.1.2 Goal importance 135 5.2.1.3 Goals and success 136
Chapte 5.1	er 5 An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 Agent	An Integrative Negotiation Model 122 egrative Negotiation Process 123 Goal representation 125 Goal exchange and adoption 126 Goal commitment and importance 129 Goal compromise 130 Summary 131 Architecture 132 Goal Representation 135 5.2.1.1 Goal types 134 5.2.1.2 Goal importance 135 5.2.1.3 Goals and success 136
Chapte 5.1	er 5 A An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 Agent 5.2.1	An Integrative Negotiation Model 122 egrative Negotiation Process 123 Goal representation 125 Goal exchange and adoption 126 Goal commitment and importance 129 Goal compromise 130 Summary 131 Architecture 132 Goal Representation 132 5.2.1.1 Goal types 134 5.2.1.2 Goal importance 135 5.2.1.3 Goals and success 136 5.2.1.4 Contingencies 138
Chapte 5.1	er 5 A An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 Agent 5.2.1	An Integrative Negotiation Model 122 egrative Negotiation Process 123 Goal representation 125 Goal exchange and adoption 126 Goal commitment and importance 129 Goal compromise 130 Summary 131 Architecture 131 Goal Representation 132 5.2.1.1 Goal types 134 5.2.1.2 Goal importance 135 5.2.1.3 Goals and success 136 5.2.1.4 Contingencies 138 Internal Agent Mechanisms 139
Chapte 5.1	er 5 A An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 Agent 5.2.1	An Integrative Negotiation Model 122 egrative Negotiation Process 123 Goal representation 125 Goal exchange and adoption 126 Goal commitment and importance 129 Goal compromise 130 Summary 131 Architecture 133 Goal Representation 135 5.2.1.1 Goal types 134 5.2.1.2 Goal importance 135 5.2.1.3 Goals and success 136 5.2.1.4 Contingencies 138 Internal Agent Mechanisms 138 5.2.2.1 Estimating Plan Success 140
Chapte 5.1	er 5 A An Int 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 Agent 5.2.1	An Integrative Negotiation Model 122 egrative Negotiation Process 123 Goal representation 125 Goal exchange and adoption 126 Goal commitment and importance 129 Goal compromise 130 Summary 131 Architecture 131 Goal Representation 132 5.2.1.1 Goal types 134 5.2.1.2 Goal importance 135 5.2.1.3 Goals and success 136 5.2.1.4 Contingencies 138 Internal Agent Mechanisms 138 5.2.2.1 Estimating Plan Success 140 5.2.2.2 Conditions for Goal Compromise 142

		5.2.2.6	Example							 		145
	5.2.3	Goal-Ori	iented Strate	egies						 		149
		5.2.3.1	Strategy fo	r compre	omise					 		150
		5.2.3.2	Example							 		151
5.3	Summ	ary								 	•	153
Chapte	er 6 H	Evaluatir	ng the Inte	grative	Nego	tiatio	n Me	odel				154
6.1	Definir	ng proper	ties and var	iables .						 		155
	6.1.1	Negotiat	ion behavior	ur prope	rties .					 		155
	6.1.2	Evaluati	on variables							 		157
6.2	Simula	itions								 		158
	6.2.1	Negotiat	ion behavio	ur						 		160
	6.2.2	Discussion	on									165
Chapte	er 7 (Conclusio	on									167
Chapte	er 8 I	Referenc	es									171
Appen	dix A											181
A.1	Model	Propertie	es									181
A.2	Negoti	ation Bel	naviour Prop	perties .						 		184
Appen	dix B											192

List of Tables

3.1	Translation table for agent α	87
4.1 4.2 4.3	Entropy and change in entropy	107 108
4.4	(EI) strategies, and different information variable assignments Summary of simulations where various observations were used in mod-	112115
6.1		162
6.2	Agent negotiating against agent simulations. Shows variations in \mathcal{P}_{bv} , \mathcal{P}_{imc} and \mathcal{P}_{inf} as a result of equitable information (EI) strategies, and different information variable assignments.	164
A.1 A.2	Market information where decay (γ) is $none, low$, and $high$ (normalised) Opposing negotiating agent's information where decay (γ) is $none, low$	
A.3	and $high$ (normalised)	183
A.4	\mathcal{P}_{inf})	185
A.5	where ξ is low. \mathcal{P}_{bv} is the bid variation, \mathcal{P}_{inf} is the mean entropy reduced, and \mathbb{H}_{tot} is the total entropy reduced (used to derive \mathcal{P}_{inf}) Summary of simulation runs for an <i>acceptability maximising</i> strategy,	186
A.6	where ξ is high. \mathcal{P}_{bv} is the bid variation, \mathcal{P}_{inf} is the mean entropy reduced, and \mathbb{H}_{tot} is the total entropy reduced (used to derive \mathcal{P}_{inf}) Summary of simulation runs for an equitable information strategy, where	187
	ξ is in the middle. \mathcal{P}_{bv} is the bid variation, \mathcal{P}_{inf} is the mean entropy reduced, and \mathbb{H}_{tot} is the total entropy reduced (used to derive \mathcal{P}_{inf})	188

A.7	Summary of simulation runs for an equitable information strategy, where	
	ξ is low. \mathcal{P}_{bv} is the bid variation, \mathcal{P}_{inf} is the mean entropy reduced, and	
	\mathbb{H}_{tot} is the total entropy reduced (used to derive \mathcal{P}_{inf})	189
A.8	Summary of simulation runs for an equitable information strategy, where	
	ξ is high. \mathcal{P}_{bv} is the bid variation, \mathcal{P}_{inf} is the mean entropy reduced, and	
	\mathbb{H}_{tot} is the total entropy reduced (used to derive \mathcal{P}_{inf})	190
A.9	Simulations for estimating negotiation behaviour require estimates of the	
	how certain the agent is that a contract is acceptable, and that a contract	
	is fair in a market place. The constraints used on the derivation of these	
	estimates are $\mathbb{P}(accept(\mathcal{X}, (3, \$13, 990))) = 0.97$ ("I'm nearly certain that	
	myself and my wife would be prepared to buy this car for \$13,900 with	
	a new set of tyres") and $\mathbb{P}(fair(\theta_s, (2, \$13, 500))) = 0.95$ ("I read in the	
	paper that this week, there's a same make/model with a new gearbox	
	for around \$13,500 — I'm 95% sure that it ought to be still there)	191
B.1	Simulations for goal-oriented acceptability maximising strategy. By =	
	offer variation, imc = intimacy rating, Hred = average entropy reduction,	
	Htot = total entropy reduction	193
B.2	Simulations for goal-oriented equitable information strategy. By = offer	
	variation, imc = intimacy rating, Hred = average entropy reduction,	
	Htot = total entropy reduction	194
B.3	Agent versus Agent: simulations for a goal-oriented equitable informa-	
	tion strategy. By = offer variation, imc = intimacy rating, Hred =	
	average entropy reduction, Htot = total entropy reduction	195
B.4	Agent versus Agent: simulations for a goal-oriented acceptability max-	
	imising strategy. By = offer variation, imc = intimacy rating, Hred =	
	average entropy reduction, Htot = total entropy reduction	196

List of Figures

2.1	Negotiation process by stages
2.2	Example of Information-Based Agency
4.1	Effect of decay on entropy for differing market information
4.2	Opposing trader offer sequence
4.3	Effect of decay on opposing trader information offer trace 10
4.4	Agreement space boundary (B is equivalent to ι)
5.1	Integrative negotiation process by stages