

# **Low Cycle Fatigue Estimation of Welded Butt Joints and Weld Treatments Subjected to Cyclic Bending**

*by*

**Ali Gharizadeh**

*A dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of*

***Master of Engineering***



University of Technology Sydney

**October 2014**

**Faculty of Engineering & Information Technology**

**School of Civil and Environmental Engineering**

## **CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources a literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student

## ABSTRACT

In this report a procedure to estimate fatigue life for welded joints under bending load has been presented. The methodology of fatigue life prediction used in this investigation is based on strain-life method. The research carried out within the project develops a method for determination of plastic stress and strain distribution in welded parts by using three-dimensional solid cubic finite elements.

The first part of the research deals with fatigue analysis of a welded butt joint in a cantilever type flat plate under periodic bending load. Elasto-plastic finite element analysis has been carried out for this joint using software ANSYS. The local plastic stress and strain obtained from ANSYS were used in Morrow's modified Manson-Coffin equation and Smith-Watson-Topper damage equation to estimate the number of cycles the weld can tolerate.

One of the most important and influential phenomena in fatigue life prediction is residual stress. The presence of residual stresses in welded parts can noticeably affect fatigue behaviour. Tensile Residual stress generated during the welding process is inevitable. Therefore, applying residual stress as an initial condition in finite element analysis is an important part of the modelling. The stress and strain distributions at critical regions caused by combination of residual stress and loading were obtained for chosen specimens and subsequently used to determine the fatigue life. The effect of residual stress on fatigue life and positive improvement of weld durability against cyclic loads by eliminating of residual stress or converting tensile stress to compressive stress in high cycle fatigue cases are well known and clear but these effects in low cycle cases are less clear and known. The finite element modellings undertaken in this study show that although the presence of tensile residual stress has a significant effect on fatigue life in high cycle cases, in low cycle fatigue situations, it is almost unimportant and negligible. This finding reveals that any effort and cost to eliminate the residual stress or improve it, when the low cycle fatigue is the main problem, would be unnecessary and uneconomical.

The stress concentration is another phenomenon that effects on fatigue life. Any irregularity, discontinuity or abrupt changes in cross section such as holes, notches or angels could change stress distribution so that the common stress equations no longer work to describe the stress field at these locations. Real stress due to stress concentration is higher than nominal stress calculated by regular methods. Stress concentration factor is directly related to the geometry. The materials protruded outside the base plate at the weld zone produce sharp angles between weld material and base plate material which is the main reason of the stress concentration. By removing the material protruding outside the base plate to eliminate the discontinuity angels, it could be assumed that the stress concentration factor has been eliminated and the stress has been reduced, subsequently, the fatigue life has been improved. Grinding is a very common weld finishing and treating method that removes the stress concentration sources.

Comparison between regular weld and grinding finite element models reveals that the stress concentration factor has important effects on both high-cycle and low-cycle fatigue situations so that improving the stress concentration condition by using a grinding process can significantly increase the fatigue life.

In order to compare and validate the predicted fatigue lives by numerical modellings with the experimental data, a series of laboratory tests were performed. Therefore, a fatigue testing set-up was prepared. This set-up consists of a cantilever type flat plate set on a frame and the whole assembly is then installed on a shake table. A loading arm installed to a fixed frame next to the shake table, generated constant amplitudes of bending deflection on the specimens. The experimental testing included two parts. Firstly, fatigue tests were performed for five different loading situations applied on the regular weld specimens. Secondly, the same loading situations were used for welded specimens ground by hand disk grinder. To achieve an acceptable statistical accuracy three samples for each loading were tested. The experimental tests show a good agreement with computational models for regular weld specimens but contrarily, in ground specimen cases the opposite results were observed which would be discussed in the body of the thesis.

## **PUBLICATION BASED ON THIS RESEARCH PROGRAM**

- **“Investigation of Residual Stress Effect on Fatigue Life of Butt Weld Joints Subjected to Cyclic Bending”**, A. Gharizadeh, B. Samali, A. Saleh, 5th Asia Pacific Congress on Computational Mechanics (APCOM2013) 11th-14th Dec. 2013, Singapore. (accepted and presented)
- **“Effect of weld treatments to improve residual stress and stress concentration on fatigue strength”**, A. Gharizadeh, B. Samali, International Journal of Fatigue. (under review)
- **“Experimental and Numerical Study of low cycle Fatigue Life for Welded Butt Joints and Weld Treatments Subjected to Cyclic Bending”**”, A. Gharizadeh, B. Samali, International Journal of Fatigue. (under review)

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I would like to mention my deepest and most sincere gratitude to my supervisor Professor Bijan Samali for his continuous guidance, encouragement and sharing valuable times throughout the work.

I also wish to thank the staff of the School of Civil and Environmental Engineering especially the staff of Structures Laboratory for their sincere co-operation during this research work.

I am deeply indebted to my parents for their love, encouragements and supports.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

Abstract .....	ii
Publication based on this research program.....	iv
Acknowledgment .....	v
Table of content.....	vi
List of tables.....	viii
List of figures .....	ix
Notation.....	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Preamble .....	1
1.2 Background.....	3
1.3 Objectives of this research .....	4
1.4 Project plan and time table.....	5
1.5 Thesis Overview .....	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	7
2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2 Fatigue analysis methodology.....	7
2.2.1 Stress-life method .....	8
2.2.2 Strain-life method .....	9
2.2.3 Linear-Elastic Fracture Mechanics (LEFM) method .....	12
2.3 Residual stress in welded joints .....	15
2.3.1 Neuber Rule for contribution of residual stress in actual total stress.....	19
2.3.2 Residual stress relief.....	21
2.4 Stress Concentration and Stress Distribution near the Weld Area .....	22
2.4.1 Stress concentration improvement.....	31
2.5 Finite Element Modelling .....	32
2.6 Material properties .....	34
CHAPTER 3 NUMERICAL SOLUTION FOR A BUTT WELD JOINT .....	37
3.1 Introduction.....	37
3.2 Modelling Approach .....	37
3.3 Finite Element Analysis using ANSYS .....	39

3.3.1	Element type.....	39
3.3.2	Material properties.....	39
3.3.3	Model geometry.....	44
3.3.4	Residual stress modelling.....	54
3.4	Loading in presence of residual stress for regular weld shape model.....	59
3.5	Loading in absence of residual stress for regular weld shape.....	65
3.6	Loading in presence of residual stress for ground weld model.....	68
3.7	Results and Discussion.....	70
3.7.1	The Effect of Residual Stress on Fatigue life.....	70
3.7.2	The Effect of Stress Concentration on Fatigue life.....	76
<b>CHAPTER 4 EXPERIMENTAL FATIGUE TESTS.....</b>		<b>82</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	82
4.2	Testing conditions and specimens preparation.....	82
4.3	Equipment and Test Set-up.....	84
4.4	Regular Weld Fatigue Tests.....	87
4.5	Ground flush specimens fatigue Tests.....	90
4.6	Test Results and Discussion.....	92
<b>CHAPTER 5 COMPARISON OF NUMERICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS.....</b>		<b>94</b>
5.1	Introduction.....	94
5.2	Comparison between numerical modelling and experimental data for regular weld.....	94
5.3	Comparison between numerical modelling and experimental data for ground flush specimens ...	97
<b>CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH.....</b>		<b>99</b>
6.1	Conclusion.....	99
6.2	Recommendation for future work.....	100
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>		<b>101</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1: Chemical Properties of steel AS/NZS 3679.1-300 grade.....	39
Table 3-2: Mechanical properties of steel AS/NZS 3679.1-300 grade.....	40
Table 3-3: Mechanical properties for material produced by E6013 electrode.....	41
Table 3-4: Fatigue parameters for steel AS/NZS 3679.1-300 grade.....	41
Table 3-5: Simulation data for five meshed models with different element edge length subjected to 1 N bending load.....	53
Table 3-6: Comparison of data generated from the simulation of regular weld.....	63
Table 3-7: Fatigue life for the regular weld in presence of residual stress estimated from ANSYS simulation data by the Morrow equation and Smith–Watson–Topper Equation.....	64
Table 3-8: Comparison of data generated by simulations of regular weld shape model when the residual stresses are relieved.....	66
Table 3-9: Fatigue lives for regular weld in absence of residual stress estimated from ANSYS simulations data and by Morrow equation and Smith–Watson–Topper Equation.....	67
Table 3-10: Comparison of data generated by simulations of ground weld shape.....	69
Table 3-11: Fatigue lives for a ground weld shape specimen estimated from ANSYS simulations data and by Morrow equation and Smith–Watson–Topper equation.....	69
Table 3-12: Comparison between stress data of regular weld model and stress relief model generated by ANSYS.....	74
Table 3-13: Comparison between strain data of regular weld model and stress relief model generated using ANSYS.....	75
Table 3-14: Comparison between stress data of regular weld models and ground models generated by ANSYS.....	79
Table 3-15: Comparison between strain data of regular weld model and ground model generated using ANSYS.....	80
Table 3-16: Comparison between fatigue life estimations for regular weld models and ground models generated by Eqs. (2-5) and (2-6).....	81
Table 5-1: Experimental and numerical load-deflections.....	95

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: An S-N diagram plot of the results of fatigue test for a ferrous metal (Shigley et al., 2004) .....	8
Figure 2-2: True stress–true strain hysteresis loops (Shigley et al., 2004) .....	9
Figure 2-3: log-log graph of true-strain amplitude related to the fatigue life for hot-rolled SAE 1020 steel. (Shigley et al., 2004) .....	10
Figure 2-4: a) Different mean stress with the same cycle amplitude b) effect of various mean stress level on strain amplitude (Malik, 2006) .....	11
Figure 2-5: Fatigue crack growth curve ( $da/dN$ vs. $\Delta K$ ) (Shigley et al., 2004) .....	13
Figure 2-6: Geometry of single-pass butt-welds. (Teng et al., 2003) .....	16
Figure 2-7: Longitudinal residual stress distribution along the X-direction.(Teng et al., 2003) .....	17
Figure 2-8: Longitudinal residual stress distribution along the Y-direction. (Teng et al., 2003) .....	17
Figure 2-9: Transverse residual stress distribution along the Y-direction. (Teng et al., 2003) .....	18
Figure 2-10: Transverse residual stress distribution through the thickness.(Teng et al., 2003) .....	18
Figure 2-11: The relationship between the notch tip elastic and corresponding elastic-plastic stress and strain response according to Neuber’s (1946) rule .....	20
Figure 2-12: Neuber rule in the presence of the residual stress (Kahvaie-Zad, 2005)....	21
Figure 2-13: Stress state at the weld toe region .....	23
Figure 2-14: Stress distribution through thickness and longitudinal direction near the weld toe for welded specimen subjected to axial and bending load. ....	25
Figure 2-15: Weld geometry parameters.....	27
Figure 2-16: Hou (2007) specimen geometry and stress contour plot of cruciform welded joint subjected to axial tensile load .....	29
Figure 2-17: Stress concentration factor along the weld toes for four sides of a cruciform welded joints subjected to axial loading (Hou, 2007).....	30
Figure 2-18: Probability density histogram of stress concentration factor appearing in weld lines (Hou, 2007).....	31
Figure 2-19: Crack initiation zone (Hobbacher, 2008) .....	35
Figure 3-1: The weld zone and AS/NZS 3679.1-300 grade material properties .....	42
Figure 3-2: Stress-Strain behaviour after unloading .....	42
Figure 3-3: Typical hysteresis loop in the stress-strain curve for fully reversible loading .....	43

Figure 3-4: Total stress -total strain for multi-linear kinematic hardening (ANSYS 14.5 Document).....	43
Figure 3-5: Test set up used by Baik et al. (2011) to study of fatigue life for a welded specimen subjected to bending .....	45
Figure 3-6: 3D finite element solid model of a butt weld joint.....	45
Figure 3-7: Initial meshing.....	46
Figure 3-8: X direction Stress distribution around the weld toe .....	46
Figure 3-9: Changing the mesh size along the plate width (Z direction).....	47
Figure 3-10: Stress and strain distribution along the attachment line of the weld toe for two types of meshing .....	48
Figure 3-11: Typical stress distribution near the weld zone of a welded joint subjected to bending.....	49
Figure 3-12: A schematic of mesh size effect on the stress concentration factor.....	49
Figure 3-13: Stress distribution around the weld toe for the two geometries .....	50
Figure 3-14: Five types of meshing to investigate the effect of cross section meshing size. The elements edge length at the weld toe is (a) 0.50 mm, (b) 0.40 mm, (c) 0.31 mm, (d) 0.25 mm and (e) 0.20 mm. ....	52
Figure 3-15: Stress concentration factor vs element edge length at the weld toe .....	54
Figure 3-16: Residual stress contour for regular weld produced by applying the initial strain ( $\epsilon_z$ ) of 0.004 at the base plate surface's elements near the weld toe (1 mm depth and 7 mm length) .....	55
Figure 3-17: Residual stress contour for ground weld produced by applying the initial strain ( $\epsilon_z$ ) of 0.004 at the base plate surface's elements near the weld toe (1 mm depth and 7 mm length) .....	56
Figure 3-18: Transverse residual stress distribution along the X-direction generated in ANSYS.....	57
Figure 3-19: Longitudinal residual stress distribution along the X-direction generated in ANSYS.....	58
Figure 3-20: Transverse residual stress distribution along the Z-direction generated in ANSYS.....	58
Figure 3-21: Longitudinal residual stress distribution along the Z-direction generated in ANSYS.....	59
Figure 3-22: Bending moment per unit width versus deflection .....	60
Figure 3-23: Stress-Strain ( $\sigma_x$ - $\epsilon_x$ ) hysteresis loop for a 70 mm cyclic deflection.....	61
Figure 3-24: An enlarged portion of hysteresis loop around the maximum stresses and strains .....	62

Figure 3-25: Hysteresis loops in the critical element at the base plate surface and plane of symmetry generated by bending load for regular weld shape in presence of residual stress.....	63
Figure 3-26: Stress distribution along the weld toe for deflection amplitude of 50 mm	65
Figure 3-27: Strain distribution along the weld toe for deflection amplitude of 50 mm	65
Figure 3-28: Hysteresis loops in the element at the base plate surface and plane of symmetry generated by bending load for regular weld shape in the absence of residual stress.....	66
Figure 3-29: Hysteresis loops in the element at the base plate surface and plane of symmetry generated by bending load for ground weld shape in the presence of residual stress.....	68
Figure 3-30: Longitudinal stress distributions of residual stress, external loading stress and a combination of external loading stress and residual stress.....	71
Figure 3-31: Hysteresis loops for cyclic bending with deflection of 40 mm.....	72
Figure 3-32: Hysteresis loops for cyclic bending with deflection of 90 mm.....	72
Figure 3-33: Comparison between maximum stresses of regular weld model and residual stress relief model for different loadings.....	73
Figure 3-34: Comparison between maximum strains of regular weld model and residual stress relief model for different loadings .....	73
Figure 3-35: Low cycle fatigue life estimation by two equations for two conditions of regular weld model and residual stress relief model.....	76
Figure 3-36: Hysteresis loops for cyclic bending with deflection of 40 mm.....	77
Figure 3-37: Hysteresis loops for cyclic bending with deflection of 90 mm.....	77
Figure 3-38: Comparison between maximum stresses of regular weld model and ground model for different loadings.....	78
Figure 3-39: Comparison between maximum strains of regular weld model and ground model for different loadings.....	78
Figure 3-40: Low cycle fatigue life estimation by two equations for two conditions of regular weld model and ground model.....	81
Figure 4-1: Welding preparation and fit-out.....	83
Figure 4-2: Welded joints specimen .....	83
Figure 4-3: Common test set-up for bending fatigue test .....	84
Figure 4-4: Test set up prepared for the current study.....	85
Figure 4-5: Shake table limitation chart.....	86
Figure 4-6: Load cell.....	87
Figure 4-7: Reversible displacement input .....	88

Figure 4-8: Displacement and loading amplitudes, (a1) displacement amplitude at the test start, (a2) loading amplitude at the test start, (b1) displacement amplitude at the middle of the test, (b2) loading amplitude at the middle of the test, (c1) displacement amplitude at the end of the test, (c2) loading amplitude at the end of the test and (d) peak loads vs number of cycles.....	88
Figure 4-9: Fully developed fatigue crack along the weld toe.....	89
Figure 4-10: Weld quality in the middle and at the end of specimens.....	90
Figure 4-11: Fully developed fatigue crack along the weld toe in a ground flush specimen.....	91
Figure 4-12: Major defects and porosities in welds material that led to ignoring some specimen test results.....	91
Figure 4-13: Maximum bending loads in each cycle applied on regular weld specimens .....	92
Figure 4-14: Maximum bending loads in each cycle applied on ground flush specimens .....	93
Figure 4-15: Failure cross section.....	93
Figure 5-1: Load-deflection graph .....	95
Figure 5-2: Numerical and experimental data comparison for regular weld specimens	96
Figure 5-3: Semi-analytical and experimental data comparison for ground flush specimens.....	97
Figure 5-4: Comparison of experimental result for regular weld and ground flush .....	98

## NOTATION

The symbols used in this report, including their definitions, are listed below.

$\epsilon_e$	Amplitude of the elastic component
$\epsilon_p$	Amplitude of the plastic component
$\sigma'_f$	Fatigue strength coefficient
$\epsilon'_f$	Fatigue ductility coefficient
$E$	Modulus of elasticity
$N$	Number of cycles to failure
$b$	Fatigue strength exponent
$c$	Fatigue ductility exponent
$\Delta\epsilon$	Total strain range
$\Delta\sigma$	Total stress range
$\sigma_m$	Mean stress
$S$ or $\sigma_n$	Nominal stress
$\sigma_{max}$ or $\sigma_{peak}$	Maximum stress
$\sigma_{min}$	Minimum stress
$\sigma_r$	Residual stress
$\epsilon_r$	Residual strain
$e$	Nominal strain
$K_I$	Stress intensity factor
$\Delta K_I$	Stress intensity range per cycle
$\beta$	Stress intensity modification factor
$a$	Crack length
$C$	Strain-strengthening coefficient (empirical material constants)

$m$	Strain-strengthening exponent (empirical material constants)
$a_i$	Initial crack size
$a_c$	Final (critical) crack size
$K_t$	Theoretical stress concentration factor
$K_\sigma$	Local stress concentration factor
$K_\varepsilon$	Local strain concentration factor
$K_w$	Notch stress concentration factor
$K_{t,hs}$	Hot spot stress concentration factor
$\sigma_{hs}$	Hot spot stress
$\sigma_{hs}^m$	Pure axial hot spot stress
$\sigma_{hs}^b$	Pure bending is hot spot stress
$K_{t,hs}^m$	Axial stress concentration factor
$K_{t,hs}^b$	Bending stress concentration factor
$K_w$	Notch SCF due to the weld profile
$\theta$	Local weld angle
$\rho$	Weld toe radius
$t$	Plate thickness
BM	Bending moment per unit width
F	Bending load
l	Length
w	Width