

Evaluation of Static and Dynamic Behaviour of Fibre Reinforced Concrete Incorporating Polypropylene Fibres

by

Nassim Ghosni

A thesis submitted for the fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Masters of Engineering

2012



School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology, Sydney

Certificate of Authorship / Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in this thesis.

SIGNATURE OF CANDIDATE

Nassim Ghosni

Sydney, March 2012

ABSTRACT

This thesis represents a systematic study on the behaviour of special engineered concrete material and its behaviour in the structural elements. The approach of this research has been to create an innovative material which is beneficial to the improvement of the structures and infrastructure and at the same time produce an environmentally friendly concrete by using Fly ash and polymeric waste material. Using polypropylene (PP) fibre and Styrene Butadiene Latex (SB latex) polymer, different mix designs of fibre reinforced concrete (FRC), polymer modified concrete (PMC) and fibre reinforced polymer modified concrete (FRPMC) have been studied. At first stage of the project, material characteristics of each engineered concrete mix design is evaluated and tested. This initial study led to a comprehensive understanding of the behaviour of FRC, PMC and FRPMC using PP fibres and SB latex. Generally, using SB latex in the concrete mix without the addition of any anti-foaming agents or any other modifier, especially at higher percentages, lowers the mechanical properties of concrete. This phenomenon is of more attention where fibres are used together with the SB latex in the mix. In FRCs, the matrix mechanical characteristics are enhanced at specific percentages which led us to choosing some of the best mix designs for the structural studies. In terms of the dynamic properties of the concrete mix, for example the damping ratio, PMC and FRPMC showed good behaviour and as a result, from among many, one best mix has been chosen for the dynamic structural testings.

Two types of PP fibres have been used in this project namely the monofilament and fibrillated PP fibre with the length of 18 mm and 19 mm, respectively. Amongst the monofilament fibres, 0.25% volume of the mix showed promising results and between different percentages of fibrillated fibre, 1% fibre is chosen for the structural testing. Reinforced beams have been constructed to evaluate the structural behaviour under 4 point static loading and 3 point cyclic loading. Two types of mentioned FRCs as well as the two reference concrete mix designs were used for the static beam testing. With regards to the cyclic testing, again the two references as well as the two FRCs had been prepared and tested. At the same time a PMC beam and an FRPMC beam was prepared to be tested under the cyclic loading.

Results of the structural tests show that by adding higher percentage of the fibre to the mix, the ductility of the structural beam is enhanced more than in case of using lower

percentages. In the cyclic tests, each cycle has been evaluated separately and also all cycles together. Results indicate that where elastomeric materials are present in the mix i.e. PP and SB latex, the damping ratio of the first cycles are much higher than the conventional concrete. This is beneficial due to the serviceability loading areas. The principal conclusion can be that by adding higher percentage of fibres, the structural behaviour of concrete beam can be enhanced in terms of both static and dynamic characteristics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This thesis would not have been possible without the assistance, invaluable advice and support of my considerate principal supervisor *Prof. Bijan Samali*. It is a pleasure to thank those who helped in different stages of the project and paved the way and ensuring the successful completion of this work. The author would very much like to record her appreciation to *Dr Kirk Vessalas* for his valuable advice and support from the commencement of this project to the end as co-supervisor. I owe my deepest gratitude to *Mr. Rami Haddad* for his unfailing assistance and support in this research work as a friend, co-researcher and the laboratory manager. Utmost gratitude is also forwarded to *Dr Hamid Valipour* who lent his helping hand to lighten the path for me and assisted with improving my knowledge. Additionally, special thanks go to *Dr Georgius Adam* as a consultant to this project.

Furthermore the author would like to gratefully acknowledge the structures and concrete laboratory staff for helping in the experimental stages of the project. In particular *Mr. Peter Brown, Mr. David Dicker, Mr. David Hooper, Mr. Nima Farhang Vesali* and all others who helped at different stages of this work. I am obliged to many of my colleagues and friends who supported me and smoothed the progress of my research project. Special thanks must go to *Mr. Nima Khorsandnia, Mr. Amin Noushini, Mr Farhad Nabavi, Ms. Negin Sharifi* and all other dear and sincere friends.

Last but not the least, is my profound love to my parents for their love, support and encouragement. Without their understanding and advice none of this could have been possible. I want to thank them from the bottom of my heart and acknowledge that I believe without them I was not able to achieve most of the things I have in life. Hereby, I dedicate this thesis to them for being such great friends in my life.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT.....	III
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	V
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
TABLE OF FIGURES	XI
DEFINITIONS AND LIST OF NOTATIONS.....	XIII
PREFACE.....	1
1 INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 OVERVIEW.....	3
1.1.1 <i>Problem Description & Key Concepts</i>	3
1.1.2 <i>One Important Issue</i>	4
1.1.3 <i>One possible Solution</i>	5
1.2 PROJECT MATERIAL PROPERTIES & SPECIAL TERMS	6
1.2.1 <i>Scope</i>	6
1.2.2 <i>Portland cement (PC)</i>	6
1.2.3 <i>Fly Ash (FA)</i>	7
1.2.4 <i>Polypropylene Fibre (PP)</i>	8
1.2.5 <i>Styrene Butadiene Latex (SBLatex)</i>	9
1.2.6 <i>High –range Water Reducing Admixture (HWR)</i>	9
1.2.7 <i>Fine and Coarse aggregates</i>	10
1.2.8 <i>Water</i>	11
1.2.9 <i>Steel Bar</i>	12
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1 BACKGROUND	13
2.2 POLYPROPYLENE FIBRE (PP).....	14
2.3 STYRENE BUTADIENE LATEX (SB LATEX)	17
2.4 PREVIOUS RESEARCH ON FRESH PROPERTIES AND HARDENED PROPERTIES	19
2.4.1 <i>Workability (Slump Test)</i>	19
2.4.2 <i>Air Content (AC)</i>	19
2.4.3 <i>Compressive strength</i>	20
2.4.4 <i>FlexuralStrength(Modulus of Rupture)</i>	20
2.4.5 <i>Modulus of Elasticity (MOE)</i>	21
2.4.6 <i>Toughness</i>	22
2.4.7 <i>Dynamic Behaviour (Damping properties)</i>	23
2.4.8 <i>Some Other Properties</i>	26
2.5 PREVIOUS RESEARCH ON STRUCTURAL ASPECTS OF FRC AND FRPMC.....	26
2.5.1 <i>4 point static test</i>	26
2.5.2 <i>3 point cyclic test</i>	28

3	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND TESTING	31
3.1	OVERVIEW.....	31
3.2	METHODOLOGY.....	33
3.3	GENERAL.....	33
3.4	TESTING PROGRAM.....	33
3.5	TESTING PROCEDURE AND INSTRUMENTATION	35
3.6	MIX DESIGNS	43
4	DISCUSSION OF TEST RESULTS.....	46
4.1	PREFACE.....	46
4.2	POLYMER MODIFIED CONCRETE (PMC).....	46
4.3	FIBRE REINFORCED CONCRETE AND FRPMC.....	49
4.3.1	<i>Fresh Properties</i>	49
4.3.2	<i>Compressive strength</i>	53
4.3.3	<i>Flexural Strength (Modulus of Rupture)</i>	58
4.3.4	<i>Modulus of Elasticity (MOE)</i>	68
4.3.5	<i>Dynamic Properties</i>	74
4.3.5.1	Filtering the data.....	75
4.3.5.2	Specific Dynamic Characteristics	76
4.3.5.3	Test Results and Discussions	77
4.4	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND TEST RESULTS ON CONCRETE BEAMS.....	84
4.4.1	<i>Introduction</i>	84
4.4.2	<i>4point Static Tests</i>	86
4.4.2.1	Test Set up and Instrumentation.....	86
4.4.2.2	Test Results and Discussions	87
4.4.2.3	Hammer test.....	101
4.4.3	<i>3point Cyclic Test</i>	104
4.4.3.1	Strain in longitudinal reinforcement	104
4.4.3.2	Test Results and Discussions	104
5	FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS AND MODELLING.....	126
5.1	PREFACE.....	126
5.2	CONSTITUTIVE MODEL BASIS	126
5.3	CONCRETE STRESS STRAIN RELATIONSHIPS	130
5.4	STRESS FAILURE PRINCIPLE OF CONCRETE.....	133
5.5	MATERIAL STIFFNESS.....	134
5.6	FINITE ELEMENT MODELLING	134
5.7	MODELLING RESULTS	135
5.8	MODEL CORRECTION	138
5.9	MESH SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	140
6	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	142
6.1	GENERAL REMARKS	142
6.2	MECHANICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF MATERIAL.....	143
6.3	STATIC AND CYCLIC STRUCTURAL TESTS.....	144
6.4	FINITE ELEMENT MODELLING	145
6.5	ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS.....	146
6.5.1	<i>Preface</i>	146
6.5.2	<i>A model of vibration control with TMD</i>	147
6.5.3	<i>PP fibres costs in concrete</i>	148
7	REFERENCES	150

A. APPENDIX.....	158
B. APPENDIX.....	164
C. APPENDIX.....	190
D. APPENDIX.....	197
E. APPENDIX.....	243
F. APPENDIX.....	251

List of Tables

Table 1. Properties of PP fibres used	8
Table 2: Chemical analysis of PC and FA by x-ray fluorescence method.....	10
Table 3: Particle size distribution (sieving method) of fine aggregate	10
Table 4: Particle size distribution (sieving method) of 10 mm coarse aggregate	11
Table 5: Particle size distribution (sieving method) of 20 mm coarse aggregate	11
Table 6. Air Content Variation.....	19
Table 7. Literature on Compressive Strength of Concrete.....	20
Table 8. Literature on Modulus of Rupture	21
Table 9. Literature on Modulus of Elasticity	22
Table 10. Literature on Toughness.....	22
Table 11. Literature on Dynamic Behaviour.....	25
Table 12. Mix Proportions	35
Table 13: Raw material proportions of the control and PMC mixes	44
Table 14: Curing regimes for curing of PMC for 28 days	46
Table 15 : SB latex mixes 7 and 28 day compressive testing	47
Table 16 : SB latex mixes 28 day Modulus of Rupture	47
Table 17. Fresh Properties of Concrete mixes	50
Table 18: Flexural & Tensile Strength Calculation	62
Table 19: Example of Residual Strength & Toughness Calculation in FRC & FRPMC at 28 days	67
Table 20: Toughness Parameter	68
Table 21: MOE Theoretical and Comparative Results (AS3600)	72
Table 22: MOE Theoretical and Comparative Experimental Results (Noguchi et al. 2009)	73
Table 23: Material Dynamic Properties	78
Table 24: FRC and FRPMC Percent Increase Damping ratio comparative results	81
Table 25: Dynamic Modulus of Elasticity	82
Table 26: DMOE & MOE Comparison	83
Table 27: Beam reinforcement properties.....	84
Table 28: Beam concrete properties.....	84
Table 29: Hammer specification	86
Table 30: Accelerometer specification.....	86
Table 31: Bending stiffness of the uncracked section.....	97
Table 32: Bending stiffness at first crack.....	98
Table 33: Details of calculations for "EI" for mix "CF"	99
Table 34: Details of calculations for "EI" for mix "PM0.25%"	99
Table 35: Details of calculations for "EI" for mix "PF1%"	99
Table 36: Experimental beam frequencies	102
Table 37: Theoretical beam frequencies at uncracked section.....	103
Table 38: Theoretical beam frequencies at first crack	103
Table 39: Hysteresis loop calculations for Mix C.....	107

Table 40: Hysteresis loop calculations for Mix CF	108
Table 41: Hysteresis loop calculations for Mix PM0.25%	109
Table 42: Hysteresis loop calculations for Mix PF 1%	110
Table 43: Hysteresis loop calculations for Mix CL	111
Table 44: Hysteresis loop calculations for Mix CFL	112
Table 45: Hysteresis loop calculations for Mix PF 1%L	113
Table 46: Energy dissipated in the first cycles of reference beam under 3 point test....	125
Table 47: Material properties in FE modelling	135
TablesB1 to E2: Appendix	P.168-250

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Monofilament and Fibrillated Polypropylene Fibre	8
Figure 2: steel bar stress strain relationship of $\phi 12$	12
Figure 3. PP fibre Chain.....	14
Figure 4: Slump test	36
Figure 5: Air content test.....	36
Figure 6: Compressive strength test.....	37
Figure 7: Strain measurement in compression test	37
Figure 8: Flexural Strength test.....	38
Figure 9: Non-destructive hammer test.....	38
Figure 10: Modulus of elasticity test.....	39
Figure 11: 4 point bending tests on reinforced concrete beams.....	39
Figure 12: Linear variable differential transformers.....	40
Figure 13: Strain gauges on the concrete surface.....	40
Figure 14: Hammer test on reinforced beams	41
Figure 15: 3 point cyclic tests on reinforced beams.....	42
Figure 16: Beam supports	43
Figure 17: Compressive strength of control concrete and PMCs after 7 Days.....	48
Figure 18: Compressive strength of control concrete and PMCs after 28 Days.....	48
Figure 19: Slump VS HWR	52
Figure 20: Slump VS AC	52
Figure 21: 28 Day Compressive Strength of FA & SBR Latex.....	54
Figure 22: 56 Day Compressive Strength of FA & SBR Latex.....	54
Figure 23: 28 Day Compressive Strength of FRC	55
Figure 24: 56 Day Compressive Strength of FRC	55
Figure 25: 28 Day Compressive Strength of FRPMC	56
Figure 26: 56 Day Compressive Strength of FRPMC	56
Figure 27: Compressive Strength of 0.25% monofilament FRC.....	57
Figure 28: Compressive strength at different ages.....	58
Figure 29: Flexural Strength of FA & SBR Latex after 28 Days.....	60
Figure 30: Flexural Strength of FRC after 28 Days.....	60
Figure 31: Flexural Strength of FRPMC after 28 Days.....	61
Figure 32: Example of Parameter Calculations for First-Peak Load Equal to Peak Load	64
Figure 33: Example Graph used for Determination of Toughness (PF1%).....	65
Figure 34: Example Graph used for Determination of Toughness (PF1%).....	65
Figure 35: Material post peak behaviour	68
Figure 36: 28 Day modulus of elasticity	70
Figure 37. Sample Filtered Signal Data	75
Figure 38. Sample Plot from Exported Data to EXCEL.....	76
Figure 39: Damping ratio of PMC and conventional concrete	79
Figure 40: Damping ratio of FRC	80

Figure 41: Damping ratio of FRPMC	80
Figure 42: Reinforced beam test schematic	85
Figure 43: 4 point bending test schematic	85
Figure 44: 4 point bend test load deflection curve, Mix C.....	90
Figure 45: 4 point bend test load deflection curve, Mix CF	92
Figure 46: 4 point bend test load deflection curve, Mix PM0.25%	94
Figure 47: 4 point bend test load deflection curve, Mix PF1%	96
Figure 48: Typical cross sectional view of the beam.....	97
Figure 49: Schematic of 4 point bending test loading	98
Figure 50: Comparative Moment Curvature Graph.....	101
Figure 51: Schematic of accelerometers mounted on the beam.....	102
Figure 52: Definition of energy loss in a cycle of harmonic loading (Chopra)	105
Figure 53: Comparative damping ratios.....	116
Figure 54: Cyclic loading damping ratio, Mix C	118
Figure 55: Cyclic loading damping ratio, Mix CF.....	119
Figure 56: Cyclic loading damping ratio, Mix PM.25%.....	119
Figure 57: Cyclic loading damping ratio, Mix PF 1%.....	120
Figure 58: Cyclic loading damping ratio, Mix CL.....	120
Figure 59: Cyclic loading damping ratio, Mix CFL	121
Figure 60: Cyclic loading damping ratio, Mix PF1%L.....	121
Figure 61: 3 point tests, max deflection	122
Figure 62: 3 point tests, max loads.....	123
Figure 63: Energy dissipated within the first cycle in 3 point test.....	124
Figure 64: Components of plane stress state (ATENA handbook).....	127
Figure 65: Components of plane strain state(ATENA handbook).....	127
Figure 66: Rotation of reference coordinate axes(ATENA handbook)	128
Figure 67: Concrete uniaxial stress-strain diagram(ATENA handbook).....	130
Figure 68: Softening displacement law in compression(ATENA handbook)	132
Figure 69: Biaxial failure function for concrete (ATENA handbook).....	133
Figure 70: Modelling results of mix C	136
Figure 71: Modelling results of mix CF.....	136
Figure 72: Modelling results of mix PM0.25%	137
Figure 73: Modelling results of mix PF1%.....	137
Figure 74: Curve Correction in FE modelling of mix C	138
Figure 75: Curve Correction in FE modelling of mix CF	139
Figure 76: Curve Correction in FE modelling of mix PM0.25%.....	139
Figure 77: Curve Correction in FE modelling of mix PF1%.....	140
Figure 78: Mesh sensitivity modelling.....	141
Figure 79: 3D view of finite element model of the 60-storey benchmark building (Huang et al. 2011).....	147

Definitions and List of Notations

ζ_{eq} : Damping

ξ : Energy loss

A_s : area of the steel reinforcement

f'_c : Characteristic compressive strength

f_{ct} : uniaxial tensile strength

$f_{ct,f}$: flexural tensile strength

$f'_{ct,f}$: characteristic flexural tensile strength

f'_{ct} : characteristic uniaxial tensile strength

f_{600}^D : Residual strength at net deflection of L/600

f_y : Steel yield stress

I: cross sectional moment of inertia

LOP: Limit of Proportionality

M_n : Bending moment

P_{150}^D : Residual load at net deflection of L/150

P_{600}^D : Residual load at net deflection of L/600

$R_{T,150}^D$: Equivalent flexural strength

T_{150}^D : Area under the load vs. net deflection curve 0 to L/150

w_n : Fundamental frequency

AC: Air Content

ACI: American Concrete Institute

AS: Australian Standard

ASTM: American Society for Testing and Materials

CA: Coarse Aggregate

DMOE: Dynamic Modulus of Elasticity

EI: Bending stiffness

HWR: High Range Water Reducer

FA: Fly Ash

FRP: Fibre Reinforced Plastic

FS: Fine Sand

FRC: Fibre Reinforced Concrete

FRPMC: Fibre Reinforced Polymer Modified Concrete

L: Latex

LOP: Limit of Proportionality

MOE: Modulus of Elasticity

MOR: Modulus of Rupture

PP: Polypropylene

PC: Portland Cement

PMC: Polymer Modified Concrete

SDOF: Single Degree of Freedom

SB: Styrene Butadiene

SBR: Styrene Butadiene Rubber