USE OF PORTFOLIOS IN ASSESSING COMPETENCY IN APPLIED LEARNING OF MULTIMEDIA

LIU Sai Lok

Doctor of Education

October 2009

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Education, University of Technology, Sydney, 2009.

Certificate

I certify that this thesis has not been submitted for any degree and is not being submitted as part of candidature for any other degree.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me, and that any help that I have received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge my indebtedness to those who had helped me with the thesis. First and foremost, I would like to express my profound gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Tony Holland for his constant guidance, encouragement and patience with this thesis. Dr. Holland's careful reading and insightful suggestions on all the drafts is the reason that the thesis could be more complete.

I would like to thank Dr. Jim Athanasou and Dr. Robert Pithers. Their constructive suggestions and comments have made a lot of contribution to the development of the thesis. In addition, the gratitude also goes to other staff of the Faculty of Education of the University of Technology, Sydney, for their supporting the completion of this degree. I am also grateful to all my classmates for their supports and encourages.

I am deeply indebted to all the teachers and students involved in the study. Without their participation, it would have been difficult to carry out the study. My genuine thanks go to my colleagues who helped me to collect the data. Their assistance in consolidating the data was also greatly appreciated.

Finally, my wholehearted thanks to my wife, Ruby, and our two daughters, Winki and Winsome, for their patience and understanding such that I could concentrate on writing the thesis. Without their constant support, this thesis would not have been completed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Chapter INTRODUCTION1 |
|--|
| New Academic Structure for Senior Secondary Education |
| Applied Learning in the New Senior Secondary Education |
| The Origin of the Study |
| Significance of the Study |
| Aims of the Study |
| Research Questions |
| Methodology |
| Overview of the Thesis |
| Overview of the Thesis12 |
| Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW14 |
| |
| Development of Applied Learning of Multimedia |
| Assessing Competency |
| Assessment for Applied Learning |
| Authentic Assessment |
| Portfolios and Competency Assessment |
| Portfolio Assessment |
| Advantages of Portfolio Assessment |
| Problems Encountered in the Portfolio Assessment |
| Portfolio Assessment and Attitudinal Change |
| Teachers' Role in Using Portfolio Assessment for Applied Learning 48 |
| Teacher Professional Development |
| Summary |
| |
| Chapter 3 METHODOLOGY55 |
| December Occasions |
| Research Questions |
| Research Design |
| Subjects of the Study 60 |

| Methods of Data Collection6 | 51 |
|--|----|
| Summary6 | 66 |
| | |
| Chapter 4 RESULTS OF STUDY I | 8 |
| | |
| Participants' Profile and Motivation of Learning Multimedia6 | 59 |
| Homogeneity of the Two Groups of Students | 16 |
| Results of Students' Achievement | 16 |
| Summary of Findings on Students' Achievement | 31 |
| Results of Students' Learning Experience and Attitude | 32 |
| Summary of Findings on Students' Learning Experience and Attitude9 | 1 |
| Summary9 |)2 |
| | |
| Chapter 5 RESULTS OF STUDY II |)4 |
| Participants' Profile9 |)5 |
| Teachers' Experience with the Use of Portfolios | 9 |
| Teachers' Perceptions of the Use of Portfolios for Assessment | |
| Summary of Findings on Teachers' Perceptions | |
| Teachers' Professional Development Needs for Portfolio Assessment1 | |
| Summary of Findings on Teachers' Professional Development Needs1 | |
| Summary1 | |
| | |
| Chapter 6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION | 24 |
| Discussion. 1 | 25 |
| Summary of Findings | |
| Limitations of the Study | |
| Suggestions for Future Research | |
| Conclusion. 1 | |
| Conclusion | 40 |
| DEEEDENGES | 10 |

| APPEN | NDICES | .162 |
|-------|---|------|
| | | |
| | Appendix A: Course End Survey for Applied Learning Courses offered by | |
| | Vocational Training Council | 163 |
| | Appendix B: Questionnaire Survey on Applied Learning of Multimedia | |
| | (Student) | 166 |
| | Appendix C: Satisfaction Questionnaire for Applied Learning | 168 |
| | Appendix D: Questionnaire Survey on Applied Learning of Multimedia | |
| | (Teacher) | 170 |
| | Appendix E: Interview Guide Ouestions | 174 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 | Multimedia courses offered by local institutions | 17 |
|------------|--|----|
| Table 2.2 | A comparison of authentic and traditional assessment | 33 |
| Table 2.3 | Herrington and Herrington's (1998) essential elements of authentic | |
| | assessment | 34 |
| Table 2.4 | The five dimensions for the successful delivery of COS courses | |
| | (EMB, 2006) | 49 |
| Table 4.1 | Distribution of survey questionnaires by cohort | 70 |
| Table 4.2 | Participants by gender | 70 |
| Table 4.3 | Participants by age | 71 |
| Table 4.4 | Geographical location of participants' school | 71 |
| Table 4.5 | Medium of instruction of participants' school | 72 |
| Table 4.6 | Participants' IT exposure at home | 72 |
| Table 4.7 | Responses on the learning motivation of multimedia for 2006-08 | |
| | cohort | 73 |
| Table 4.8 | Responses on the learning motivation of multimedia for 2007-09 | |
| | cohort | 74 |
| Table 4.9 | t-value for the top five learning motivation of the two cohorts | 75 |
| Table 4.10 | Assessment scheme of Module I by cohort | 77 |
| Table 4.11 | Assessment scores of the Module I from 2006-08 cohort | 78 |
| Table 4.12 | Assessment scores of the Module I from 2007-09 cohort | 78 |
| Table 4.13 | t-value for the continuous assessment and test | 79 |
| Table 4.14 | Correlation interpretation of portfolio and other assessment scores | 80 |
| Table 4.15 | Distribution of survey questionnaires by cohort | 82 |
| Table 4.16 | Responses on the learning experience of Module I for 2006-08 | |
| | cohort | 83 |
| Table 4.17 | Responses on the learning experience of Module I for 2007-09 | |
| | cohort | 84 |
| Table 4.18 | t-value for the curriculum design of Module I of the two cohorts | 85 |
| Table 4.19 | t-value for the assessment of Module I of the two cohorts | 86 |
| Table 4.20 | t-value for the improvement of responsibility and overall satisfaction | |
| | of the two cohorts | 87 |

| Table 4.21 Responses on the learning experience on portfolio assessment for | | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|
| 2007-09 cohort | 88 | | | |
| Table 4.22 Responses on the learning attitude towards portfolio assessment for | | | | |
| 2007-09 cohort | 89 | | | |
| Table 4.23 Responses on assessment criteria for 2007-09 cohort | 90 | | | |
| Table 5.1 Participants by gender | 96 | | | |
| Table 5.2 Participants by age | 96 | | | |
| Table 5.3 Participants' highest educational degrees earned | 97 | | | |
| Table 5.4 Participants' qualifications in education | 97 | | | |
| Table 5.5 Participants' years of teaching experience | 98 | | | |
| Table 5.6 Participants' years of teaching experience of applied learning | 98 | | | |
| Table 5.7 Participants' years of industrial working experience | 99 | | | |
| Table 5.8 Participants' experience in constructing portfolio | 100 | | | |
| Table 5.9 Participants' experience in portfolio-related training | 100 | | | |
| Table 5.10 Responses on purposes of the construction of portfolio | 101 | | | |
| Table 5.11 Participants' opinion in constructing portfolio | 102 | | | |
| Table 5.12 Reponses on the benefits expected from the use of portfolios for | | | | |
| assessment | 104 | | | |
| Table 5.13 Reponses on the problems expected from the use of portfolios for | | | | |
| assessment | 106 | | | |
| Table 5.14 Reponses on the appropriateness of different assessment methods | 108 | | | |
| Table 5.15 Reponses to constraints found important in conducting portfolio | | | | |
| assessment | 113 | | | |
| Table 5.16 Reponses to factors that helped to conduct portfolio assessment | 115 | | | |
| Table 5.17 Reponses to professional development needs for using portfolios for | | | | |
| assessment | 117 | | | |

ABSTRACT

With a view to be integrated into the new senior secondary curriculum from 2009/10 school year, Applied Learning (formerly known as Career-oriented Studies) courses have been piloting for senior secondary students in Hong Kong since 2003. The purpose of this research was to explore the impact of the implementation of portfolio assessment as an authentic assessment method in an applied learning of multimedia course. Specifically, the study had to answer questions of *what* is the improvement of the students' achievement, *what* are the changes in learning attitude and satisfaction of the participants, *how* can portfolios provide evidence of the students' competency, and *what* are the needs of professional development of teachers using portfolio assessment in applied learning.

To evaluate the impact of portfolio implementation, the study collected data from both students and teachers to answer the research questions concerning students and teachers respectively. Both quantitative and qualitative research methods were utilized for the study and relevant research on competence, portfolio and authentic assessment provided the primary theoretical context.

Despite the finding that the introduction of portfolio assessment as one of the assessment methods did not significantly improve the students' achievement, the main contribution of this study is that it was evident that completing a portfolio benefits applied learning students and their portfolio compilation process can lead to an enhancement in student motivation towards learning. The results of the study also provided support that portfolios can be a form of authentic assessment for applied learning.

The study found that portfolios can improve teacher understanding of competency and its assessment which led to a more professional approach by the teachers involved. Finally, the results suggested that teacher professional development should have a significant impact on the portfolio implementation. In order for this form of assessment to be effective, teachers must be trained in the various aspects of the approach.

This study contributed to the literature of new senior secondary education, specifically the development of applied learning courses. To the extent that this study helped identify perceptions of the portfolio assessment by students and teachers, this study also contributed to the teaching and learning using portfolios. It is hoped that the encouraging findings of this study would shed light on the multifaceted benefits of portfolio assessment and would provide sound justifications for its integration into the applied learning curriculums.