
**THE INFLUENCE OF SOCIO-TECHNICAL FACTORS ON
KNOWLEDGE-SHARING TOWARDS INNOVATION IN SAUDI
ARABIA:
A KNOWLEDGE-BASED INNOVATION CAPABILITY PROSPECTIVE**

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD
OF THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

BY

FAHAD ASAAD AL. OTHMAN

Bachelor of Computer Systems Engineering, Master of Information Technology

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION

TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY

CITY CAMPUS

PhD Thesis 2014



This thesis is dedicated to my mother and my father, my wife, and my children

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 14//08/2014

Acknowledgement

First, and foremost, I would like to thank you Allah for everything you have bestowed upon me.

Sincere thanks go to my wife Hana and my children Lujin, Asaad and Abdullellah for their motivation, patience, and for sharing this journey with me. Also, I am very thankful to my beloved mother, father, brothers, sisters, father-in-law, and mother-in-law (may Allah bless his soul) for their continuous prayers and encouragement.

I am very grateful to my principle supervisor Prof. Igor Hawryszkiewicz for having been such a supportive supervisor. Right from the beginning, Prof Igor has been very enthusiastic, energetic and consistent in providing me guidance, encouragement, and unlimited mentoring support. I have been very fortunate to have him as my supervisor. I am also grateful for the generous support of my co-supervisor Dr. Kyeong Kang, who has provided me with invaluable knowledge and suggestions since the first day I met her and continuously throughout the course of my study. Both supervisors have always been my role models.

I would like to thank (HRH) Prince Khalid Bin Sultan AL-SAUD for his infinite support since I started my journey in Australia. A very special thanks to Dr. Yousef Seraj (King Saud University).

I would like to thank Eng. Ahmed Badhris, my friend since childhood who helped me to continue my studies here in Australia, Eng. Mohammed Abbad, Dr. Hassan Alhazmi (MOHE) and Dr. Mohammed Alnatheer (KACST), Eng. Abdullah Alsaab, Eng. Sultan Alsunaid, , Eng. Ahmed Alkhiari (YASSER), Mohammed Alattas, Osama Sohaib, Dr Moammed Khursheed (KACST), Dr.Amnah Abu Hajar for their invaluable comments and suggestions during the early stages of my study and help in the analysis of my survey questionnaire as well as Eng. Kusai Kaddura and Omar Besiso. Many thanks are also due to all the individuals and their respective organisations who willingly participated in the questionnaire surveys. Also I would like to thank to Belinda Glynn for providing editorial services, which included proofreading and advice on presentation and use of clear language.

The Ministry of Higher Education and Saudi Cultural Mission have provided the opportunity and support that enabled me to continue this degree. Last but not least, I would like to thank all of those friends who accompanied me on the PhD journey and who were there when I needed them.

Abstract

The Saudi Arabian Government has recognised the need for an alternative path to national development in the form of a knowledge-based economy (KBE). So that they can achieve this aim, it is important to understand the socio-technical enablers (STE) of a KBE initiative, particularly with regards to the phenomenon of knowledge-sharing. One of the key drivers of a knowledge-based economy KBE is innovation. A must-have core competency for the adopting organisation is the ability to manage their innovation capability.

A conceptual model developed in the thesis to investigate what is required to achieve that organisational innovation capability contains four construct domains: socio-technical enablers (STEF); diffusion of innovation (DOI) dimensions; knowledge-sharing processes (KSP); and organisational innovation capability (OIC). This thesis demonstrates the extent to which STE combine with the dimensions of the DOI to result in processes of knowledge-sharing. Ultimately, successful OIC will depend on the interrelation of these factors.

This empirical research study was conducted to provide a better understanding on the model interrelationships among the key constructs: knowledge-sharing, socio-technical factors, DOI adoption characteristics, KSP and OIC, within the Saudi Arabian organisational context. The key participants for this study are both managers and employees. Participants were selected from different levels in the organisation because it is very important to understand the current knowledge sharing processes underpinning innovation across the different layers and structures in the organisation. Moreover, including employees from both different levels relates to both top-down and bottom-up decision-making processes in Saudi organisations. As a result, the main objective of this study was to develop a research model that depicts the relationships between the enablers, processes and outcome constructs in Saudi Arabian firms.

To empirically validate the conceptual model, this research study deployed a sequential mixed method that incorporated both quantitative and qualitative approaches of analysis. Phase one of the research study employed a quantitative method to develop the conceptual model, based on the collected data from a questionnaire targeting Saudi Arabian firms from different sectors and industries. The quantitative method used

Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), a Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), and Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). EFA and CFA were carried out to find the suitable model structures and then SEM and correlation analysis were performed to assess and refine the conceptual model by evaluating the relationships and test the hypotheses between the constructs.

The results reveal three statistically significant relationships:

STF → KSP → OIC, which shaped an essential part of the final empirical model as the relationships among the Enablers → Processes → Outcomes.

Based on the phase one results, a qualitative research method was carried out as a second phase of the analysis phase, which supports the validity of the structural and measurement final model. Thus, explanatory case studies were conducted of six different Saudi Arabian organisations using semi-structured, face-to-face interviews with key personnel within the firms. The purpose out of this phase was to discover whether the empirical model can be validated by a sequential qualitative data collected from certain organisational work settings. This phase was accomplished through a technique called pattern matching, where the patterns of relationships between the constructs depicted in the empirical model was compared with those identified from the case studies.

The case studies all demonstrated overall good matches between the patterns of relationships uncovered from the case studies and the relationships hypothesised in the empirical model. These findings provided support for the validity of the research model in terms of representing the current phenomena of this research study. From these empirical findings, the study is able to offer a number of implications that are beneficial to Saudi Arabia's initiative towards adoption of KBE seeking to enhance the Saudi organisations to enable knowledge-sharing and enrich the company's OIC. Finally, future research directions were identified to extend the final results of the current research study.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgement	i
Abstract.....	ii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xi
1. Chapter 1	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Statement of Research Problem.....	2
1.3 Purpose of the Study	3
1.4 Research Objectives	4
1.5 Research Questions	4
1.6 Significance of the Study	5
1.7 Scope	5
1.8 Research Plan	6
1.8.1 Knowledge Compilation and Research Problems	6
1.8.2 The Development of the Research's Theoretical Model	7
1.8.3 Development of Questionnaire and Pilot Study.....	7
1.8.4 Data Collection.....	7
1.8.5 Data Analysis	8
1.8.6 Validation of the Data	9
1.8.7 Recommendations and Submissions.....	9
1.9 Thesis Layout.....	11
2. Chapter 2	14
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Saudi Arabia and a Knowledge-Based Economy	14
2.2.1 Socio-Technical Imperatives.....	16
2.2.2 The Knowledge-Based Economy Initiative.....	17
2.2.3 Saudi Arabia's Innovation Ecosystem	17
2.3 Socio-Technical Enablers.....	19
2.4 Towards Knowledge-sharing.....	20
2.4.1 Information, Knowledge Management and Innovation.....	20
2.4.2 Knowledge Management.....	20
2.4.3 Knowledge-sharing.....	21
2.4.4 Knowledge-sharing in Organisations.....	22
2.4.5 Knowledge-sharing Processes	24

2.4.6	Knowledge-sharing Enablers.....	25
2.4.6.1	Top Management Support	27
2.4.6.2	Rewards System	27
2.4.6.3	Interpersonal Trust.....	28
2.4.6.4	Information Systems Infrastructure	28
2.5	Towards Organisational Innovation Capability	29
2.5.1	Innovation	29
2.5.2	An Organisational Perspective on Innovation.....	32
2.5.3	Diffusion of Innovation.....	33
2.5.4	DOI Theory: The Innovation Characteristics Model.....	34
2.5.5	Organisational Determinants of Innovation.....	36
2.5.6	Organisational Innovation Capability	42
2.6	Summary of the Literature Review	44
3.	Chapter 3	45
3.1	Objectivism and Structure of the Chapter	45
3.2	Theoretical Development.....	45
3.2.1	A Model for Knowledge-sharing, Absorptive Capacity and Innovation Capability: An Empirical Study of Taiwan’s Knowledge-intensive Industries	46
3.2.2	Knowledge Management Enablers, Processes, and Organisational Performance: An Integration and Empirical Examination	47
3.2.3	Knowledge-sharing, Innovation and Firm Performance.....	48
3.3	Conceptual Model	57
3.4	Hypotheses Development.....	58
3.5	Research Design.....	60
3.5.1	Knowledge Compilation and Research Problems	61
3.5.2	Development of the Research Conceptual Model.....	61
3.5.3	Development of the Questionnaire and Pilot Study	61
3.5.4	Data Collection.....	62
3.5.5	Analysis Phase 1: Quantitative Data Analysis	62
3.5.5.1	Data Analysis Approach	62
3.5.6	Validation of the Data	63
3.5.7	Recommendations and Submissions.....	63
3.6	Research Design Instrumentation	65
3.7	Research Instrumentation	65
3.7.1	Measures	65
3.8	Survey Instrument	67
3.8.1	Top Management Support	67
3.8.2	IS Infrastructure.....	68

3.8.3	Interpersonal Trust	68
3.8.4	Reward System.....	69
3.8.5	Diffusion of Innovation characteristics of knowledge-sharing	69
3.8.6	Perceived Relative Advantages.....	70
3.8.7	Perceived Compatibility.....	70
3.8.8	Perceived Complexity	71
3.8.9	Knowledge-sharing Processes	71
3.8.10	Organisational Innovation Capability	72
3.9	Instrument Translation	73
3.9.1	Forward Translation	74
3.9.2	Subjective Evaluation.....	74
3.9.3	Pilot Test	74
3.10	Pilot Study	79
3.11	Sample and Sample Size.....	79
3.12	Data Collection	80
3.12.1	Survey Administration	80
3.13	Data Analysis Statistical Methods.....	80
3.13.1	Phase 1: Quantitative Data Analysis	80
3.13.1.1	Descriptive Data Analysis	81
3.13.1.2	Measurement Scale Analysis	81
3.13.1.3	Structural Equation Modelling.....	81
3.13.2	Phase 2: Qualitative Data Analysis	82
3.13.2.1	Analysis Approach.....	82
3.13.2.2	Case Study Design.....	82
3.13.3	Data Collection.....	83
3.13.4	Data Analysis	83
3.14	Summary of Chapter.....	84
4.	Chapter 4	85
4.1	Introduction	85
4.2	Questionnaire Survey and Respondents' Profiles	85
4.2.1	Questionnaire Survey	85
4.3	Respondents' Profiles	86
4.4	Preliminary Findings	91
4.4.1	General Assessment of Standard Deviations and Standard Errors of the Means 91	
4.4.1.1	Detailed Assessment Based on the Mean Values and their Effects.....	91
4.5	Chapter Summary.....	97
5.	Chapter 5	99

5.1	Introduction	99
5.2	Scale Reliability.....	99
5.2.1	Internal Consistency.....	100
5.2.2	Item-total Correlations.....	101
5.3	Exploratory Factor Analysis	105
5.3.1	Data Factorability	106
5.3.2	Factor Extraction and Rotation.....	107
5.3.3	Exploratory Factor Analysis Results.....	108
5.3.4	Summary of EFA Results	114
5.3.5	Test of Common Method Variance	116
5.4	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	116
5.4.1	Assessment of Model Fit and Estimation Methods.....	117
5.4.2	Assessment of Construct Validity and Unidimensionality.....	118
5.4.3	Confirmatory Factor Analysis Results	118
5.5	Chapter Summary.....	130
6.	Chapter 6	131
6.1	Introduction	131
6.1.1	Structural Equation Modelling Overview	132
6.2	Measurement Model Assessment.....	133
6.2.1	Measurement Model Specification and Assessment Criteria	133
6.2.2	Structural Model Assessment.....	134
6.2.3	Structural Model Results	135
6.2.4	Exploring the Mediating Role of the KSP Construct.....	139
6.2.5	Measurement Model Results.....	140
6.2.6	Final Structural Model Summary Discussion.....	141
6.3	Correlation Analysis Overview.....	143
6.3.1	Correlation Analyses (Influence of Knowledge-sharing Factors on Knowledge-sharing Processes).....	144
6.3.2	Testing the Influence of Knowledge-sharing Processes on Organisational Innovation Capability.....	146
6.3.2.1	Correlation Analyses	146
6.4	Chapter Summary.....	147
7.	Chapter 7	149
7.1	Introduction	149
7.2	Qualitative Validation of Research Model.....	149
7.3	Qualitative Data Analysis for Research Model Validation.....	150

7.3.1	Case Studies Profile	150
7.3.2	Within-case Analysis of Case Studies	153
7.3.3	Top Management Support Factor	155
7.3.4	IS Infrastructure Factor	155
7.3.5	Interpersonal Trust Factor	156
7.3.6	Reward Systems Factor.....	156
7.3.7	Knowledge-sharing Process - Donation Factor.....	156
7.3.8	Knowledge-sharing Process - Collection Factor	157
7.3.9	Organisational Innovation Capability	157
7.3.10	Cross-case Analysis of Case Studies.....	157
7.4	Discussion	160
7.4.1	Relationship between KSP and STF Constructs.....	160
7.4.2	Relationship between the KSP and OIC Constructs.....	163
7.5	Chapter Summary.....	164
8.	Chapter 8	166
8.1	Objectives and Structure of the Chapter	166
8.2	Revisiting the Research Aims, Research Model, Hypotheses and Questions	166
8.3	Discussion of the Major Research Findings	168
8.3.1	Quantitative Assessment.....	169
8.3.2	Socio-technical Factors	169
8.3.3	Organisation Innovation Capability	170
8.3.4	Qualitative Study Assessment	171
8.4	Study Contributions.....	171
8.4.1	Contributions to the Existing Body of Knowledge.....	172
8.5	Study Implications	173
8.6	Limitations of the Study and Recommendations for Future Research	174
8.7	Conclusion.....	175
	References	177
	Appendix A	187
	Appendix B	194
	Appendix C.....	198
	Appendix D	211

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Preliminary Outline of the Research Process.....	10
Figure 2-1: Behavioural Factors.....	25
Figure 2-2: Factors Affecting Organisational Creativity.....	36
Figure 2-3: Organisational Climate Moderates the Relationship between Context and Innovativeness.....	38
Figure 3-1: Knowledge-sharing, Absorptive Capacity and Innovation Capability in Taiwan’s Knowledge-intensive Industries Conceptual Framework.....	46
Figure 3-2: Knowledge Management Enablers, Processes.....	47
Figure 3-3: Knowledge sharing, innovation and firm performance	48
Figure 3-4: Development of a Critical Factors Model for the Knowledge Economy in Saudi Arabia.....	49
Figure 3-5: Knowledge-sharing and Firm Innovation Capability: An Empirical Study Model with Hypothesis.....	50
Figure 3-6: Knowledge-sharing and Firm Innovation Capability: An Empirical Study.....	50
Figure 3-7: Knowledge-sharing Behaviour and its Predictors Model.....	51
Figure 3-8: Knowledge-Sharing Behaviour, Antecedents and their Impact on the Individual Innovation Capability Framework.....	52
Figure 3-9: Effects of Socio-technical Factors on Organisational Intention to Encourage Knowledge-sharing.....	53
Figure 3-10: Proposed Conceptual Model and Hypotheses.....	60
Figure 3-11: Schematic Diagram of Research Design.....	64
Figure 3-12: Conceptual Model.....	74
Figure 4-1: Gender of Participants.....	87
Figure 4-2: Organisation by Industry.....	87
Figure 4-3: Type of Organisation	88
Figure 4-4: Education Level.....	88
Figure 4-5: Organisation Size (Number of Employees)	89
Figure 4-6: Employee’s Years of Experience.....	89
Figure 4-7: Job Titles.....	90
Figure 4-8: Level of Knowledge-Sharing.....	90
Figure 5-1: Model of the STF Constructs	121
Figure 5-2: Model of the DOI (Relative Advantages, Compatibility and Complexity) Constructs.....	123
Figure 5-3: Model of the Donation and Collection Constructs	125
Figure 5-4: Model of the Construct	128
Figure 6-1: Conceptual Model and Hypotheses.....	131
Figure 6-2: Two Key SEM Components.....	133
Figure 6-3: Structural Model with Hypotheses	134
Figure 6-4: Original Structural (Conceptual) Model As presented in AMOS....	135
Figure 6-5: Initial Structural Model with Standardised Path Coefficients after	138
Figure 6-6: First Round of the Structural Model as Presented in AMOS.....	139
the Second Round.....	141
Figure 6-7: Final Round Structural Model with Standardised Path.....	142

Figure 6-8: Detailed final model as shown in AMOS	142
Figure 7-1: Predicted Patterns of Relationships between Models Constructs....	159
Figure 8-1: Research Model.....	167

List of Tables

Table 2-1: A Classification of Knowledge.....	22
Table 2-2: Organisational Knowledge-sharing Approaches.....	23
Table 3-1: Critical Summary of the Models.....	55
Table 3-2: Top Management Support (TMS).....	68
Table 3-3: IS infrastructure (IS).....	68
Table 3-4: Interpersonal Trust (Trust).....	69
Table 3-5: Rewards System (Rew).....	69
Table 3-6: Perceived Relative Advantages.....	70
Table 3-7: Perceived Compatibility.....	70
Table 3-8: Perceived Complexity.....	71
Table 3-9: Knowledge-sharing process (Donation and Collection).....	72
Table 3-10: Organisation Innovation Capability.....	73
Table 3-11: The Alignment Among the Construct, Dependency, Research Question and Indicators of Existence.....	75
Table 4-1: Top Management Support Descriptive Statistics.....	92
Table 4-2: IS Infrastructure Descriptive Statistics.....	92
Table 4-3: Interpersonal Trust Descriptive Statistics.....	93
Table 4-4: Rewards System Descriptive Statistics.....	93
Table 4-5: Relative Advantage Descriptive Statistics.....	94
Table 4-6: Compatibility Descriptive Statistics.....	94
Table 4-7: Complexity Descriptive Statistics.....	95
Table 4-8: Knowledge-Sharing Process (Donation) Descriptive Statistics.....	96
Table 4-9: Knowledge-Sharing Process (Collection) Descriptive Statistics....	96
Table 4-10: Organisational Innovation Capability Descriptive Statistics.....	97
Table 5-1: Cronbach's Alphas of Measurement Scales.....	100
Table 5-2: STF-Top Management Support Variables.....	101
Table 5-3: STF-IS Infrastructure Variables.....	102
Table 5-4: STF-Interpersonal Trust Variables.....	102
Table 5-5: STF-Reward System Variables.....	103
Table 5-6: DOI-Relative Advantage Variables.....	103
Table 5-7: DOI-Compatibility Variables.....	103
Table 5-8: DOI-Complexity Variables.....	103
Table 5-9: KSP-Knowledge-sharing Donation Variables.....	104
Table 5-10: KSP-Knowledge-sharing Collection Variables.....	104
Table 5-11: OIC-OIC Variables.....	105
Table 5-12: KMO and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity.....	106
Table 5-13: Rotated Factor Loadings of the STF-Top Management Support.....	109
Table 5-14: Rotated Factor Loadings of the STF-IS Infrastructure.....	109
Table 5-15: Rotated Factor Loadings of the STF-Interpersonal Trust.....	110
Table 5-16: Rotated Factor Loadings of the STF-Reward Systems.....	110
Table 5-17: Rotated Factor Loadings of the DOI-Relative Advantage.....	111
Table 5-18: Rotated Factor Loadings of the DOI-Compatibility.....	111
Table 5-19: Rotated Factor Loadings of the DOI-Complexity.....	112
Table 5-20: Rotated Factor Loadings of the Knowledge-Sharing Process	112

(Donation).....	113
Table 5-21: Rotated Factor Loadings of the Knowledge-Sharing Process (Collection) Construct.....	113
Table 5-22: Rotated Factor Loadings of the Organisational Innovation Capability (OIC).....	113
Table 5-23: KMO and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity.....	115
Table 5-24: Results for Common Method Variance Test.....	116
Table 5-25: CFA Results for the STF Construct.....	119
Table 5-26: Results of the DOI (RA, Compatibility and Complexity) Constructs.....	122
Table 5-27: Results of the KSP (Donation and Collection) Constructs.....	124
Table 5-28: Results of the Donation, Collection and OIC Constructs.....	126
Table 5-29: CFA Results of the Constructs with the Fit Indices.....	129
Table 6-1: Measurement Model (First Round Results).....	136
Table 6-2: Measurement Model (Second Round Results).....	138
Table 6-3: Hypothesis Testing.....	141
Table 6-4: Correlation between KSF and KSP Constructs.....	145
Table 6-5: Correlations Between STF and KSP Factors/Variables.....	145
Table 6-6: Correlations between the KSP and OIC Constructs.....	146
Table 6-7: Correlations between KSP and OIC Variables.....	147
Table 7-1: Case study Profiles.....	152
Table 7-2: Within-case Analysis Results.....	154
Table 7-3: Classification of Effect Sizes for Relationships between Models'.. Constructs.....	158
Table 7-4: Cross-case Analysis Results.....	159

Glossary		
Abbreviation	Description	Definition
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	A type of factor analysis used in social research to test whether measures of a factor (or construct) are consistent with a researcher's understanding of the nature of that construct
DOI	Diffusion of Innovation	A theory developed by E.M. Rogers (1962) to explain the spread (diffusion) of an idea or product over time through a specific population or social system
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis	A technique in factor analysis where a statistical method is used to expose the structure underpinning a large set of variables
HRM	Human Resources Management	A mode of management that focuses primarily on employees and their importance to organisational outcomes
ICT	Information Communication Technology	Communication and telecommunication systems used to retrieve, store, transmit, and manipulate information
IS	Information Systems	A system comprising people and computers that processes or interprets information
IT	Information Technology	Computers and telecommunications systems for storing, retrieving, and sending information
KBE	Knowledge-based economy	An economic system based on the consumption and production of intellectual capital (knowledge)
KSP	Knowledge-sharing processes	The process of exchanging knowledge in the form of skills, experience, and/or understanding among a individuals with a common purpose
NIE	National Innovation Ecosystem	The economic dynamics of the relationship between individuals or organisations whose goal is to facilitate technology development and innovation
OIC	Organisational Innovation Capability	The capabilities of the organisation to deliver superior value to its customers through innovation
R&D	Research and Development	Industry /organisational initiatives to support innovation and the improvement and/or introduction of products and services
STF	Socio-technical enablers	Social systems combined with information technology support to support knowledge sharing
SEM	Structural Equation Modelling	The term used to refer to two computer based statistical fit software packages: PLS-PA and LISREL/AMOS
TPB	Theory of Planned Behaviour	A theory proposed by Icek Ajzen to explore the relationship between beliefs and behaviour
TMS	Top Management Support	The degree to which the top management support the organisational climate of knowledge-sharing by providing sufficient resources and influencing the employee willingness to share knowledge