



*University of Technology Sydney
School of Civil and environmental engineering
Centre for Built Infrastructure Research*

Title:

**Investigation on the Use of Crumb Rubber
Concrete (CRC) for Rigid Pavements**

By:

Iman Mohammadi

Thesis submitted for fulfilment of requirements for
the degree of Master of Engineering

June 2014

Certificate of Authorship/Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Iman Mohammadi

June 2014

Abstract

In many countries around the world, the adverse environmental impacts of stockpiling waste tyres have led to investigate alternative options for disposal of waste tyres. One option to reduce this environmental concern is for the construction industry to consume a high amount of recycled tyres accumulated in stockpiles.

There are different concerns regarding the introduction of rubber into concrete, which were addressed by previous studies. On the one hand, making a homogenous mix containing even distribution of rubber is a challenge. On the other hand, the severe reduction of concrete strength limits the rubber content. Moreover, replacing a portion of fine aggregates with low-stiffness rubber particles raises concerns regarding the generated shrinkage and cracking of rubberised concrete. This thesis investigates these concerns thoroughly and provides a comprehensive know-how of rubberised concrete characteristics, using crumb rubber.

In order to improve the strength of rubberised concrete different rubber treatment has been introduced by previous studies. A commonly applied rubber treatment method in the literature termed sodium hydroxide (NaOH) treatment has been assessed in this study. Numerous investigations examined using sodium hydroxide treatment of rubber. However, the level of improvement provided by different studies was not consistent. It was found that the sodium hydroxide treatment method is required to be optimised to achieve the most promising results. Two arrays of concrete specimens were prepared using different water cement ratios and a wide range of rubber contents. Then, the common fresh and hardened mechanical tests were conducted on the prepared samples. The results indicated that the duration of rubber treatment should be optimised based on concentration of the alkali solution and the type of recycled rubber. Consequently, the 24-hour treatment duration for crumb rubber resulted in the most suitable fresh and hardened concrete characteristics. Compared to untreated rubberised concrete, rubberised concrete produced with the optimised sodium hydroxide treated rubber, showed 25% and 5% higher compressive and flexural strength, respectively.

Based on a large number of tests, this research introduced a relationship between the strength of rubberised concrete and three key parameters including the water-cement

ratio (WC), the concrete age and the rubber content. Using this relationship enables concrete producers to have an accurate estimate of rubberised concrete strength.

In addition, this research investigated the effects of applying an innovative method of rubber treatment, named “water-soaking”. Unlike the current methods of adding rubber into a concrete mix, which are conducted in a dry process, this research trialled introducing of rubber particles into the concrete mix in a wet process. Conducting the required sets of fresh and hardened concrete tests, number of mix series with a variety of rubber contents and water-cement ratios were evaluated. In order to measure the effectiveness of the introduced method, the properties of concrete containing water soaked rubber were compared with concrete containing untreated rubber. It was revealed that applying the proposed method resulted in considerable improvement of fresh and hardened properties. Applying the water-soaked method resulted in 22% higher compressive strength, and the formation of stronger bonds between rubber particles and cement paste compared to concrete made with untreated rubber.

The effects of using recycled tyre rubber on shrinkage properties of rubberised concrete were evaluated. It was observed that adding rubber into a concrete mix led to minimise shrinkage cracks, if only an optimised content of rubber was applied. Therefore, the optimised rubber content was determined based on the mix design properties, the early-age tensile strength, and the results of plastic and drying shrinkage tests. Accordingly, the early-age mechanical strength tests, toughness test, bleeding test, and the plastic and drying shrinkage tests were conducted. A semi-automated image processing method of crack analysis was introduced in this research. Average cracks width, length, and area were determined accurately by applying the introduced method. In addition, the experimental data resulted from drying shrinkage tests of rubberised concrete were crosschecked with the results of numerical shrinkage formula provided in the Australian Standard AS3600. It was found that the provided relationship in the Australian Standard AS3600 is a valid measure for estimating the drying shrinkage of rubberised concrete.

By considering the shrinkage characteristic and the acceptable mechanical performance of rubberised concrete, this dissertation concludes that the most promising results could be achieved for samples prepared with water-cement ratios of 0.45 and 0.40, and rubber contents of 20% and 25%, respectively.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors A/Prof Hadi Khabbaz, and Dr Kirk Vessalas. This research would not have been completed without their guidance and continues support. I wish to thank Prof Vute Sirivivatnanon the assessor and Prof Bijan Samali the Head of School.

My appreciation is also extended to the UTS laboratory staff and the Manager Mr Rami Haddad for their great contribution with this research.

Finally, I wish to express my deep gratitude to my family for their support and encouragement.

LIST of Publications

During the course of this research, a number of publications have been made which are based on the work presented in this thesis. They are listed here for reference.

Mohammadi, I & Khabbaz, H 2013, “Challenges Associated with Optimisation of Blending, Mixing and Compaction Temperature for Asphalt Mixture Modified with Crumb Rubber Modifier (CRM)”, *Journal of Applied Mechanics and Materials*, Vol. 256, pp. 1837-1844

Mohammadi, I, Khabbaz, H & Vessalas, K 2014, “In-depth assessment of Crumb Rubber Concrete (CRC) prepared by water-soaking treatment method for rigid pavements”, *Journal of Construction and Building Materials*, Vol. 71, pp. 456-471

“Enhancing Mechanical Performance of Rubberised Concrete Pavements with Sodium Hydroxide Treatment” is submitted to the journal of “Materials and Structures (MAAS)”

“Shrinkage Performance of Crumb Rubber Concrete (CRC) Prepared by Water-Soaking Treatment Method for Rigid Pavements” is submitted to the journal of “Cement and Concrete Composites”

Table of Contents

Certificate of Authorship/Originality	I
Abstract	II
Acknowledgements.....	IV
LIST of Publications	V
Table of Contents	VI
List of Figures	IX
List of Tables.....	XIII
List of Notations and Symbols	XV
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Research Scope	2
1.2 Research Objectives, Significance and Innovations	5
1.2.1 Objectives	5
1.2.2 Significance	6
1.2.3 Innovations.....	7
1.3 Organisation and Thesis Layout	8
2. Literature Review	10
2.1 Application of Recycled Rubber in Concrete Pavements.....	11
2.2 Physical and Mechanical Properties of Rubberised Concrete	15
2.2.1 Fresh Properties of Crumb Rubber Concrete Pavement.....	15
Slump	17
Air Content.....	18
Mass per Unit Volume	20
2.2.2 Hardened Properties of Crumb Rubber Concrete Pavement	23
Compressive Strength	23
Modulus of Rupture	25
Modulus of Elasticity	26
2.3 Shrinkage Properties of Rubberised Concrete	29
2.3.1 Plastic Shrinkage Mechanisms	32
Capillary Pressure Mechanism.....	33
Movement of Interlayer Water Mechanism	35
2.3.2 Drying Shrinkage Mechanism	35

2.3.3	Measurement of Shrinkage	36
	Measuring Plastic Shrinkage.....	36
	Measuring of Drying Shrinkage.....	38
2.3.4	Shrinkage Cracking of Rubberised Concrete.....	38
2.4	Summary and Identifying Research Gaps	43
3.	Experimental Program.....	45
3.1	Research Materials.....	46
3.1.1	Shrinkage Limited Cement	46
3.1.2	Fine and Coarse Aggregates	49
3.1.3	Crumb Rubber.....	50
3.1.4	Admixtures (Water Reducer, Air Entrainer and Defoamer).....	53
3.1.5	Water.....	56
3.2	Identification of Mix Arrays.....	56
3.3	Research Specifications and Test Methods	59
3.3.1	Slump.....	60
3.3.2	Compacting Factor.....	61
3.3.3	Air Content	62
3.3.4	Mass per Unit Volume	62
3.3.1	Bleeding.....	63
3.3.2	Compressive Strength.....	63
3.3.3	Modulus of Rupture	64
3.3.4	Modulus of Elasticity.....	66
3.3.5	Cyclic Loading (Fatigue).....	67
3.3.6	Plastic Shrinkage.....	68
3.3.7	Drying Shrinkage.....	71
3.3.8	Toughness	72
4.	Results and Discussion	75
4.1	Introduction.....	76
4.2	Trial Mix Series	76
4.3	Treatment of Rubberised Concrete	81
4.3.1	Water-Soaking Method of Adding Rubber into Mix.....	81
	Introduction of Water-Soaking Treatment.....	81

Assessment of Water-Soaking Method.....	84
4.3.2 Treatment of Crumb Rubber with Sodium Hydroxide	89
Introduction of Sodium Hydroxide Treatment.....	91
Assessment and Optimisation of NaOH treatment Method	93
4.3.3 Selection of Rubber Treatment Method.....	103
4.4 Properties of Main Mix Series	105
4.4.1 Test Results and Discussion on Fresh Properties	106
Slump and Compacting Factor.....	106
Air Content.....	106
Bleeding.....	107
Mass per Unit Volume	109
4.4.2 Test Results and Discussion on Hardened Properties.....	109
Compressive Strength	110
Modulus of Rupture	118
Modulus of Elasticity	122
Cyclic Loading (Fatigue)	124
Toughness	125
4.4.3 Test Results and Discussion on Shrinkage Properties	126
Plastic Shrinkage.....	126
Drying Shrinkage.....	128
5. Conclusions.....	132
5.1 Conclusions.....	133
5.2 Recommendations for Future Investigations	138
References	140

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Estimated breakdown of the number of waste tyres (Atech Group 2001)	12
Figure 2.2: Main types of recycled rubber used in cementitious products (a) chipped rubber, (b) crumb rubber and (c) ash rubber	13
Figure 2.3: Effect of rubber content and rubber particle size on the slump value (after Khatib & Bayomy 1999).....	17
Figure 2.4: Effect of rubber content and water-cement ratio on slump value (after Gesoglu & Guneyisi 2007).....	18
Figure 2.5: Effect of rubber content and rubber particle size on the air content (Khatib & Bayomy 1999).....	19
Figure 2.6: Effect of rubber content and rubber particle size on the MPV (after Khaloo et al. 2008)	21
Figure 2.7: Mass per unit volume of rubberised mixes with different water-cement ratios and rubber content (after Gesoglu & Guneyisi 2007).....	21
Figure 2.8: Effect of rubber content and rubber particle size on the compressive strength (Youssf & Elgawady 2013)	24
Figure 2.9: Effect of rubber content on flexural strength at different testing ages (after John & Kardos 2011).....	26
Figure 2.10: Effect of rubber content and size on the modulus of elasticity (after Ho et al. 2009)	27
Figure 2.11: Effect of rubber content and concrete age on MOE (after John & Kardos 2011) ..	28
Figure 2.12: Influence of aggregate and cement contents of the mix on plastic shrinkage (after Neville & Brooks 2010).....	30
Figure 2.13: Plastic shrinkage cracking, a typical defect of pavement slabs (PCA 2001).....	32
Figure 2.14: The change of capillary water pressure (adopted from Soroka 1979).....	34
Figure 2.15: Plastic shrinkage mechanism (adopted from Newman & Choo 2003).....	34
Figure 2.16: Different stages of the interlayer water vs. RH conditions (adopted from Wittmann 1982).....	35
Figure 2.17: Mix series with equal flexural strength and different ultimate strain (Tongaroonsri & Tangtermsirikul 2008)	40
Figure 2.18: Decrease of tensile capacity during early ages (Byfors 1980; Emborg 1989).....	41
Figure 3.1: The effect of crumb rubber addition on strength gaining pattern (Khatib & Bayomy 1999)	48
Figure 3.2: Rubber added to water (a) instantly after mixing, (b) after 24 hours; rubber added to a water plus defoamer (c) instantly after mixing, (d) after 24 hours.....	51

Figure 3.3: Specific gravity of crumb rubber particles determined by using different measurement methods.....	52
Figure 3.4: Typical mould used for the slump test (AS1012.3.1).....	60
Figure 3.5: Schematic patterns for different types of concrete slump	61
Figure 3.6: Standard compacting factor test apparatus (AS 1012.3.2)	61
Figure 3.7: Typical apparatus used for measuring air content (AS 1012.4.2)	62
Figure 3.8: Schematic diagram of the compressive strength test.....	64
Figure 3.9: Typical arrangement for the Modulus of rupture test.....	65
Figure 3.10: The compressometer arrangement for measuring the longitudinal strain.....	66
Figure 3.11: The loading pattern of cyclic test, (after Pindado et al. 1999).....	67
Figure 3.12: Early-age plastic shrinkage testing box (ASTM C1579).....	69
Figure 3.13: (a, b, c and d) process of quantifying the cracks on the surface of samples, (e) the interface of the VBA plug-in running on an AutoCAD software platform	70
Figure 3.14: Drying shrinkage (AS 1012.13) setting, (a) moulds and (b) measurement setting	72
Figure 3.15: Typical arrangement of 3rd point bending test (ASTM C1609)	72
Figure 3.16: Typical load-deflection chart (ASTM C1609)	73
Figure 4.1: WC=0.35 (a) zero-slump for mix, which was not workable, (b and c) introducing of WR admixture, resulted in shear-slump, segregation and lack of cohesiveness.....	79
Figure 4.2: WC =0.45 (a) adjusted to the slump of 60±10 mm, (b) addition more WR caused a higher slump up to 100 mm without segregation, shear or collapse slump	79
Figure 4.3: Wet procedure of introducing water soaked rubber into concrete mix.....	82
Figure 4.4: Stages of preparation water soaked treated rubber (a) just after mixing 50% of CR particles are floating, (b) after 12 hours 5% of crumb rubber is floating, (c) after 24 hours less than 1% of crumb rubber is floating	83
Figure 4.5: Effect of soaking rubber (a) instantly mix of rubber and water is full of air bubbles, (b) after 24 hours most of the air bubbles were released from the mix.....	84
Figure 4.6: Rubber distribution through 300 mm height cut samples; Real images (left), Processed images (right) arrows show high concentration of rubber particles at top layer of sample prepared with 50% of rubber	86
Figure 4.7: Face of samples containing 30% of rubber after flexural test, (a) using water soaked rubber, (b) using untreated rubber.....	88
Figure 4.8: Samples at different treatment duration (a) after 20 minutes, (b) after 2 hours, (c) after 24 hours, (d) after 48 hours, (e) after 7 days.....	92
Figure 4.9 SEM micrographs of crumb rubber surface taken in the scale of 200µm (a) no treatment, (b) after 20 minutes, (c) after 2 hours, (d) after 24 hours, (e) after 48 hours, (f) after 7 days	93

Figure 4.10 Determining the optimum time of treating rubber based on concrete fresh properties (a) slump, (b) compacting factor, (c) air content and (d) mass per unit volume.....	95
Figure 4.11 Optimising the duration of rubber treating based on the hardened properties.....	97
Figure 4.12 Effect of rubber treatment duration on the ultimate tensile strain capacity.....	98
Figure 4.13 Effect of rubber treatment duration at (a) 24-hour treatment duration more shredded crumb rubber observed, (b) at 20-minute treatment duration more pulled out crumb rubber observed.....	99
Figure 4.14 (a) No rubber: conical-shear failure mode; (b) 30% 24-hour NaOH treated rubber: shear failure mode with limited tensile cracks (c) 30% untreated rubber: less shear failure mode and more splitting-tensile failure mode, formation large number of parallel cracks in tensile failure mode.....	102
Figure 4.15: The required water-reducer for achieving 60±10 mm slump number.....	106
Figure 4.16: AC of mix series increased by the increase of rubber content.....	107
Figure 4.17: (a) Quantity of bleed water and (b) calculated bleeding percent index vs. concrete pavement mix limits.....	107
Figure 4.18: The bleeding index of samples with the same 28day strength of 32MPa.....	108
Figure 4.19: (a) AC and (b) MPV of mix series vs. different rubber contents.....	109
Figure 4.20: Effect of aging on compressive strength of CRC (a) WC=0.40, (b) WC=0.45....	111
Figure 4.21: Effect of addition rubber on ratio of compressive strength over concrete unit weight, (a) samples with WC=0.40, (b) samples with WC=0.45.....	111
Figure 4.22: Effect of rubber on the concrete cracking and failure mode, (a and d) no rubber, the conical failure mode; (b and e) 20% rubber content, the conical-shear failure mode and (c, f and g) 40% rubber content, the splitting-tensile bottom and formation of parallel cracks.....	112
Figure 4.23: (a) 20% rubber content: scatter distribution of rubber particles throughout the concrete matrix, (b) 50%, (c) 60% and (d) 70% of rubber content: arrows show the higher rubber content results in the formation rubber-rubber connection.....	113
Figure 4.24: Ratio of compressive and flexural strength to the control samples (a) WC=0.40 and (b) WC=0.45.....	119
Figure 4.25: Ratio of the flexural strength over the compressive strength (a) WC=0.40, (b) WC=0.45.....	119
Figure 4.26: Flexural deflection of samples at (a) WC=0.40, (b) WC=0.45.....	120
Figure 4.27: Crack propagation in concrete samples (a) gradual crack propagation and no sharp tip of crack of rubberised concrete sample with 30% rubber content, (b) typical cracking propagation pattern of plain concrete samples with a sharp crack tip.....	120
Figure 4.28: Typical sudden failure of samples without rubber under the ultimate load.....	121

Figure 4.29: (1 to 9) Cracking pattern of a sample with 20% rubber content, showing very slow crack propagation under the ultimate load.....	121
Figure 4.30: Ratio of modulus of elasticity (a) over compressive strength, WC=0.45, (b) over compressive strength, WC=0.40, (c) over flexural strength, WC=0.45, (d) over flexural strength, WC=0.40,.....	123
Figure 4.31: Numbers of cycles before failure of samples	124
Figure 4.32: Different WC ratios and rubber content vs. (a) total crack length (b) average crack width (c) maximum crack width, (d) total crack area	126
Figure 4.33: Total crack area and compressive strength vs. rubber content	127
Figure 4.34: (a) Time the first crack observed on concrete slabs and (b) the calculated crack reducing ratio (<i>CRR</i>).....	128
Figure 4.35: (a) The measured drying shrinkage and (b) The mass loss of samples kept in the standard drying condition	129
Figure 4.36: The 21 days drying shrinkage of samples with different rubber content.....	130

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Typical properties of recycled rubber commonly used in engineering products	13
Table 2.2: List of the factors affecting the workability of concrete mix (Mehta et al. 2006; Neville & Brooks 2010).....	16
Table 3.1: Properties of the used shrinkage limited cement vs. AS3972 requirements	46
Table 3.2: Pavement aggregate sieve analysis vs. Australian Standards requirements	49
Table 3.3: Typical Pavement constituent percentage introduced by Austroad	50
Table 3.4: Test series used for determining crumb rubber specific gravity	52
Table 3.5: Typical admixtures in different studied	55
Table 3.6: Different examined water-cement ratios in this research.....	56
Table 3.7: Properties of different trial mix series	57
Table 3.8: Constituents for mix series prepared for assessing rubber treatment methods	58
Table 3.9: Main mix series designations for unit volume of mix	58
Table 3.10: The list of the conducted tests for the main mix series in this research.....	59
Table 3.11: Concrete pavement requirements and the conducted tests in this research	60
Table 3.12: Standard ASTM1609 toughness test description and comparison.....	74
Table 4.1: The test results of the prepared trial mixes	78
Table 4.2: Comparison of trial mix test results with the concrete pavement criteria.....	80
Table 4.3: Mix series prepared for assessment efficiency of the “water-soaking” method	84
Table 4.4: Fresh properties of mix series using treated and untreated rubber	85
Table 4.5: Improvement in the compressive strength using various rubber treatment methods (Zheng et al. 2008).....	87
Table 4.6: Compressive and flexural strength test results using treatment methods	87
Table 4.7: Strength improvement of samples prepared with water-soaked treated rubber compared to untreated rubber	88
Table 4.8: NaOH treatment fresh and hardened property results for	100
Table 4.9: Improving effect of optimised treating rubber on strength	101
Table 4.10: The results of fresh properties for the main mix series.....	105
Table 4.11: Compressive test results at different testing ages (3 to 56-day)	110
Table 4.12: Comparison of experimental data and the calculated results from the introduced model	116
Table 4.13: Flexural test results at different testing ages (7 to 56-day).....	118
Table 4.14: Elastic modulus at 7, 28 and 56 days for different WC and rubber contents.....	122
Table 4.15: Cyclic test results for different mix series at the age of 56 days	124
Table 4.16: Toughness results conducted in accordance with the Standard ASTM C1609	125

Table 4.17: Experimental drying shrinkage results (AS1012.13) up to 56 day vs. the prediction of numerical model (AS3600)..... 131

List of Notations and Symbols

The symbols used in this report, including their definitions, are listed below:

Air Content	AC
Air Entraining Admixture	AEA
Gross Cross-Sectional Area of a Concrete Element	A_g
Untreated Rubber	ARR
Cement Concrete & Aggregates Australia	$CCAA$
Compacting Factor	CF
Crumb Rubber	CR
Crumb Rubber Concrete	CRC
Crack Reducing Ratio	CRR
Calcium Silicate Hydrate	$C-S-H$
Concrete Modulus of Elasticity	E_c
Characteristic Compressive Strength	f_c
Characteristic Compressive Strength at 28 days	$f_{c,28}$
Mean Compressive Strength of Concrete	f_{cm}
Mean Compressive Strength at Age of t	$f_{cm,t}$
Flexural Stress	f_{ctf}
Flexural Stress up to Net Deflection of L/150	$f_{T,150}^D$
Flexural Stress up to Net Deflection of L/600	$f_{T,600}^D$
Flexural Strength	f_P
Fibre Reinforced Concrete	FRC
Tensile Strength	f_t
Interfacial Transition Zone	ITZ
Linear Variable Differential Transducers	$LVDT$
Modulus of Elasticity	MOE
Modulus of Rupture	MOR
Mass per Unit Volume	MPV
Sodium Hydroxide	$NaOH$
Capillary Pressure	P_c
Peak Flexural Tensile Strength up to Net Deflection of L/150	P_{150}^D

Peak Flexural Tensile Strength up to Net Deflection of L/600	P^D_{600}
Peak Flexural Tensile Strength	P_P
Rubber Content	R
Radius of Menisci	r
Equivalent Flexural Strength Ratio up to a Net Deflection of L/150	$R^D_{T,150}$
Equivalent Flexural Strength Ratio up to a Net Deflection of L/600	$R^D_{T,600}$
Relative Humidity	RH
Styrene Butadiene Rubber	SBR
Specific Gravity	SG
Shrinkage Limited	SL
Stress Reduction Factor	SRF
Surface saturated Dry	SSD
Air Temperature	T_a
Concrete Temperature	T_c
Area Under the Load-Deflection Curve up to a Net Deflection of L/150	T^D_{150}
Area Under the Load-Deflection Curve up to a Net Deflection of L/600	T^D_{600}
Hypothetical Thickness of a Member	t_h
Exposed Perimeter of a Member	u_e
Water-Cement Ratio	WC
Water Reducer	WR
Surface Tension of Water	γ
Mid-Span Deflection	δ
Final Basic Drying Shrinkage Strain	ϵ^*_{csdb}
Final Autogenous Shrinkage	ϵ^*_{cse}
Shrinkage Strain of Concrete	ϵ_{cs}
Drying Shrinkage Strain	ϵ_{csd}
Chemical (autogenous) Shrinkage Strain	ϵ_{cse}
Shrinkage Strain	ϵ_s
Normal Compressive Stress	σ_{nom}
Tensile Stress	σ_t