

# **THE DETERMINATION OF INTERNATIONAL ENTRY STRATEGY**

---

**Weiqliang Tang**

A thesis submitted to the University of Technology, Sydney in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**18 February 2015**

## **CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 18 February 2015

## ABSTRACT

Choosing an international entry strategy is one of the crucial decisions in global strategy. This choice is important because it has significant implications for the firm's operational efficiency and overall performance, and impacts what organizational forms and location decisions are made in the future. Although factors influencing this choice have been researched for decades, a theoretically agreed structure with clear empirical support has yet to be established. Much of the extant literature investigates the entry mode choice in a piecemeal manner, intertwining theories (e.g., internalization theory, institutional theory, the resource based view, the eclectic theory, and international process theory) while making use of a varied mixture of weakly comparable data sources (i.e., panel data, survey data, and qualitative interviews or case studies) and examining the factors at different levels of analysis (i.e., country, industry, and firm).

This doctoral research argues that one of the reasons that our understanding of entry mode choice has not advanced as quickly or as parsimoniously as possible is our failure to understand entry mode as a choice made by the managers. For example, some studies involve the manager solely as a representative of the firms (e.g., a type of “key” information about the firms). The managers *per se* are hardly considered to be decision-makers as their individual decision-making models are never investigated directly.

The goal of this research is firstly to review the extant literature statistically to determine what the research domain actually knows about this choice and then to advance on this by modelling international entry strategy at the level of the managers who make the decisions. This suggests interdependent research stages: a meta-analysis and a series of decision making experiments. The former synthesizes the diverse and inconsistent conclusions from previous studies and provides well-defined factors for the experimental designs. The latter tests whether the factors matter in making the decisions for decision-makers. Together these two stages allow the doctoral research to gain a more valid picture on international entry strategy and advance global strategy research by examining the importance of managerial models in determining strategic decisions.

In sum, this doctoral research brings into the global strategy literature a more behavioural focus as well as a window on the role of the managers as a critical source of

heterogeneity in strategic decisions and outcomes. Using meta-analytic structural equation modelling and random utility theoretic choice experimentation, this research is a significant advance on models that assume that a singular generalizable model of international entry strategy operates. This work helps advance the use of experimental approaches that directly examine managerial trade-offs in a manner that can account for many factors that are otherwise unavailable to those using secondary data sources and in a manner that avoids many of the problems associated with survey based approaches.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Being in a position to spend nearly four years in pursuit of a Ph.D. degree in Sydney is a tribute to the support I have received from my wife and my daughter throughout the years leading up to my entry in the doctoral program, and the years it took to exit. The support extended much beyond the difficult decision of leaving a pleasant and decent life in Beijing in 2011. It included my wife's forbearance to allow me the latitude to conduct research without household disturbance, unconditionally upholding my demand for time in studying; and my daughter's endurance to see me away every morning and patience to wait for me back late at every night, strengthening me to complete this doctoral research for high-quality outcomes. Together these have given me the power and energy to hold fast to the ground expanding my paths in academia, and any successes I might have stem directly from these contributions.

Whatever experience and knowledge I brought with me to the doctoral journey were augmented and forged by the inspiration and encouragement of my doctoral supervisor, Professor Timothy Devinney, during my tenure in the Ph.D. program. Despite his move to the University of Leeds in the middle of my candidature, his contributions were many and included help with his broad and profound knowledge, and rigorous and logical way of thinking, which motivated my analytical reasoning and polished my research skills. Acquiring the contributions of Professor Devinney solely within the capacity of doctoral supervision would have been sufficient, but when packaged together, the knowledge, research skills, academic writing ability, and good sense of publishing academic works to which I gained access were more than I could reasonably foresee during my first meeting with Professor Devinney in Beijing in 2009.

The valuable parts of my time in the doctoral journey were not limited to learning from my doctoral supervisor. Throughout my candidature, I benefited from Professor Grahame Dowling and Professor Jenny Edwards. The former inspired me with his brilliant ideas that can be found scattered across the interdependent studies of this thesis. The latter, Professor Edwards, always provided me help, whenever I asked for advice on a piece of academic writing or confusion about academia, or anything else. Also, I benefited early in the journey from coursework delivered by Doctor Paul Wang at the

University of Technology Sydney, Professor Moshe Ben-Akiva at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and Professor Denzil Fiebig, Professor Andreas Ortmann, Doctor Ben Greiner, Doctor Rachida Ouyse, and Doctor Yanan Fan at the University of New South Wales. In the later stage of doctoral program, Professor Peter Buckley at the University of Leeds helped me to clarify the theoretical framework in this thesis. Without these local and global contributions, successful completion of this doctoral program would have been much more difficult.

While the development of methodology and theory in this thesis can be attributed to my interactions with other academics, the on-schedule completion of this program would have been impossible without the financial support of the doctoral program through the UTS President's Scholarship and the UTS International Research Scholarship; the research grants from the UTS Businesses School and the Marketing Discipline Group, and my work as a research assistant for projects funded by the Australian Research Council.

In addition to these supports I am indebted to, the experimental data which would have been impossible to obtain without the support of Professor Shutao Cai and Associate Professor Tiemin Wang in the Guanghua School of Management at the Peking University, and the generous provision of time by EMBA/MBA alumni of Guanghua School of Management, Kellogg School of Management, and UTS Business School.

Also, the whole journey would have been incomplete without colleagues and friends, such as Doctor Ralf Wilden, Doctor Chelsea Wise, and Daphne Freeder, who made the office area within the Centre of Management and Organization Studies such a pleasant and productive working environment.

Finally, at the time of concluding this seemingly long but actually short journey, I believe that the help and support I have received from others in going through the Ph.D. program has allowed me to enhance the capabilities necessary to be a new academic, and these can be successfully applied in my future endeavours.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b><i>CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP</i></b> .....	<b><i>ii</i></b>
<b><i>ABSTRACT</i></b> .....	<b><i>iii</i></b>
<b><i>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</i></b> .....	<b><i>v</i></b>
<b><i>TABLE OF CONTENTS</i></b> .....	<b><i>vii</i></b>
<b><i>LIST OF FIGURES</i></b> .....	<b><i>x</i></b>
<b><i>LIST OF TABLES</i></b> .....	<b><i>x</i></b>

### *Preface*

<b><i>THE DETERMINATION OF INTERNATIONAL ENTRY STRATEGY</i></b> .....	<b><i>1</i></b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b><i>1</i></b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b><i>1</i></b>
<b>2. THEORY AND HYPOTHESES</b> .....	<b><i>4</i></b>
2.1. Study 1: What determines international entry strategy? .....	<b><i>4</i></b>
2.2. Study 2: What matters to decision-makers who choose international entry strategy? .....	<b><i>6</i></b>
<b>3. METHODOLOGY</b> .....	<b><i>8</i></b>
3.1. Pre-Study Research.....	<b><i>8</i></b>
3.2. Study 1: Meta-Analysis .....	<b><i>8</i></b>
3.3. Study 2: Choice Experiment .....	<b><i>9</i></b>
<b>4. CONTRIBUTION</b> .....	<b><i>10</i></b>
<b>Endnotes</b> .....	<b><i>11</i></b>
<b>References</b> .....	<b><i>11</i></b>

### *Pre-study*

<b><i>AN ASSESSMENT OF META-ANALYSES IN INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS</i></b> .....	<b><i>15</i></b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b><i>15</i></b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b><i>15</i></b>
<b>2. AN APPROACH OF QUANTITATIVE LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b><i>18</i></b>
2.1. Rationale of Meta-Analysis .....	<b><i>18</i></b>
2.2. Meta-analytic Procedure .....	<b><i>20</i></b>
2.3. Limitations .....	<b><i>31</i></b>
<b>3. METHODOLOGY</b> .....	<b><i>33</i></b>
<b>4. RESULTS</b> .....	<b><i>34</i></b>

4.1. Findings .....	34
4.2. Topics.....	39
4.3. Procedures.....	42
4.4. Journals .....	46
<b>5. DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>47</b>
5.1. Implications .....	47
5.2. Conclusion .....	51
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Appendix 1 .....</b>	<b>57</b>

*Study 1: Meta-Analysis*

<b><i>WHAT DETERMINES THE DEGREE OF COMMITMENT IN FIRMS’ INTERNATIONAL ENTRY STRATEGY? CAPABILITY AND OPPORTUNITY: A STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELING META- ANALYSIS .....</i></b>	<b><i>61</i></b>
<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>2. THEORY AND HYPOTHESES.....</b>	<b>65</b>
2.1. Theoretical Background.....	65
2.2. Capability.....	67
2.3. Opportunity.....	69
<b>3. DATA AND METHODS .....</b>	<b>73</b>
3.1. Data Collection .....	73
3.2. Latent Constructs and Manifest Measures.....	75
3.3. Model Estimation.....	79
<b>4. RESULTS .....</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>5. DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>89</b>
5.1. Contribution and Implications .....	89
5.2. Limitations and Future Research Directions .....	92
<b>6. CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Appendix 2 .....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>SUPPORTING INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>105</b>
Supplementary Appendix A: List of Studies Included in the Meta-analysis .....	105
Supplementary Appendix B: Two-Stage Meta-Analytic Structural Equation Modelling: Random-effects Model.....	115
Supplementary Appendix C: Bivariate Meta-analysis.....	120

*Study 2: Experiment*

<b><i>THE CHOICE OF INTERNATIONAL ENTRY STRATEGY: WHAT REALLY MATTERS TO DECISION MAKERS?</i></b> .....	<b>124</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>124</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>124</b>
<b>2. THEORY AND HYPOTHESES</b> .....	<b>127</b>
2.1. Theoretical Background.....	127
2.2. Research Hypotheses .....	130
<b>3. METHODS AND RESEARCH DESIGN</b> .....	<b>135</b>
3.1. Methodology.....	135
3.2. Research Design .....	139
3.3. Data Collection .....	141
3.4. Variables and Models .....	146
<b>4. RESULTS</b> .....	<b>149</b>
<b>5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</b> .....	<b>154</b>
5.1. Contributions and Implications.....	154
5.2. Limitations and Future Research Directions .....	156
5.3. Conclusion .....	157
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>157</b>
<b>References</b> .....	<b>158</b>
<b>Appendix 3</b> .....	<b>163</b>

*Epilogue*

<b><i>FINDINGS AND FUTURE RESEARCH</i></b> .....	<b>167</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>167</b>
<b>2. A SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</b> .....	<b>168</b>
<b>3. CONTRIBUTION</b> .....	<b>169</b>
<b>4. LIMITATION AND FUTURE RESEARCH</b> .....	<b>170</b>
<b>References</b> .....	<b>171</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Conceptual Framework.....	3
Figure 2 Meta-Analyses Published by IB Journals .....	35
Figure 3 IB Meta-Analyses Published by Year.....	35
Figure 4 Hypotheses Tested in the Study 1.....	72
Figure 5 Structural Equation Model.....	103
Figure 6 Hypotheses Tested in the Study 2.....	135
Figure 7 An Example of Part of the DCE Choice Set.....	140
Figure 8 An Example of BWS Choice Set.....	164
Figure 9 BWS Scores .....	165

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Major Issues in Meta-Analytic Study .....	20
Table 2 Review of Meta-Analyses Published in the Top-5 IB Journals.....	36
Table 3 Summary of Major Issues in Meta-Analyses Published in the Top-5 IB Journals .....	48
Table 4 Summary of Major Issues across Two Time Periods .....	49
Table 5 Meta-Analyses in Top 5 IB Journals between 2004 and 2012 .....	57
Table 6 Coding Examples of Dependent Variable.....	75
Table 7 The Number of Studies and the Accumulative Sample Size .....	81
Table 8 Pooled Correlation Coefficients and Population Variability .....	83
Table 9 TSSEM Results for Testing All Hypotheses Simultaneously.....	87
Table 10 Summary of Bivariate Meta-analysis.....	122
Table 11 Twelve Factors Included in the DCE Experiment .....	138
Table 12 Descriptive Statistics of Participants.....	143
Table 13 Mean Probabilities for Choosing-All and Choosing-None.....	150
Table 14 Multinomial Logit Models .....	151
Table 15 Factors in Best Worst Scaling Experiment .....	163
Table 16 Multivariate Probit Model.....	166