

Forensic drug profiling: a tool for intelligence-led policing

by

Marie Morelato

A thesis submitted for the

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Science)

University of Technology, Sydney

2015

Certificate of authorship and originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all the information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

NAME: Marie Morelato

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere acknowledgements to my supervisors, Prof. Claude Roux, Dr. Alison Beavis, Dr. Mark Tahtouh, Prof. Paul Kirkbride and Prof. Olivier Ribaux, for whom I have great respect and without whom this project would not have been possible. A PhD project is full of challenges as it is a continuous learning experience and continuous self-improvement. Things rarely work in the first place and it can be frustrating at times. I was really lucky to obtain all the support needed throughout my entire candidature, both mentally and knowledge-wise. Although a PhD project is sometimes a lonely journey, I had the opportunity to collaborate with different institutions (in particular, the Australian Federal Police (AFP) and the University of Lausanne in Switzerland). These collaborations were, without doubt, the most beneficial outcomes of my PhD. I believe that my PhD is the result of this constant collaboration and flourishing of ideas that came from people having different backgrounds.

Despite their heavy work load, Prof. Claude Roux and Dr. Alison Beavis coped with my constant stress and hyperactivity. They managed to calm me down in moment of great distress. They believed in me which was very comforting and overwhelming. They also provided me with thorough feedback throughout my entire candidature, gave me the opportunity to attend national and international conferences and helped me shape my future career which is priceless. My fortnightly meetings with Dr. Alison Beavis and her constant availability (whatever time of the day or night) were greatly appreciated and valued. Dr. Mark Tahtouh provided me with the data and the operational perspective which was extremely precious. Although busy with his work, he always managed to provide me with his advice and practical knowledge. An operational perspective is essential in forensic science and his contribution was invaluable for the project. I also want to thank Prof. Paul Kirkbride, who believed in me at the start of the project and without whom I would not have been able to start. His constructive and positive feedback on my articles and my thesis were also of great value and increased my confidence. His support, Claude and Alison's support as well

as the AFP support in the application of the partnership grant was invaluable. Lastly, I would like to thank Prof. Olivier Ribaux from the University of Lausanne, who is a world leading mentor in the field of forensic intelligence and without whom, I would have never heard of forensic intelligence. Despite being on the other side of the world, his knowledge, critical thinking, contribution to the project and to the understanding of the area of forensic intelligence is unmeasurable.

Another acknowledgement goes to the AFP and its staff for their support in the project (i.e. partnership grant) and for providing me with the data.

I also want to thank my PhD colleagues for their constant support, presence and especially for dealing with my mood swings. It is also good to know that we are not alone in this journey. Furthermore, I particularly want to thank Katelynn Amanda Perrault and Aimee Elizabeth Lloyd, two of my closest friends, for their constant presence, smile, laugh and support.

Finally, I want to thank my loving family, in particular Daniele, Zeno, Mathieu, Luana and Andre, who have supported me my entire life even when I decided to move so far away from home. Their psychological support is indescribable. They have been present in the best and worst moments of my PhD and a single thank you is not enough to express my gratitude as they deserve much more than that.

Table of contents

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 FORENSIC DRUG PROFILING: A TOOL FOR INTELLIGENCE-LED POLICING	2
1.1.1 <i>Intelligence-led policing</i>	2
1.1.2 <i>The notion of intelligence</i>	4
1.1.3 <i>Forensic intelligence</i>	6
1.1.3.1 Tactical intelligence	8
1.1.3.2 Operational intelligence	8
1.1.3.3 Strategic intelligence	8
1.1.3.4 Summary.....	9
1.1.4 <i>The three roles of forensic science</i>	11
1.1.5 <i>Forensic intelligence: the current situation</i>	13
1.1.6 <i>Profiling of illicit drugs used in an intelligence-led perspective</i>	16
1.1.7 <i>Organisational situation in Australia</i>	18
1.2 ILLICIT DRUG SITUATION IN AUSTRALIA	21
1.2.1 <i>Amphetamine-type substances (ATS)</i>	22
1.2.2 <i>Production of MA and MDMA</i>	24
1.2.3 <i>MA history and production</i>	24
1.2.3.1 Chemical profiling of MA	27
1.2.4 <i>MDMA history and production</i>	28
1.2.4.1 Profiling of MDMA	30
1.2.5 <i>Chemical profiling techniques</i>	33
1.3 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT	39
1.3.1 <i>Aim of the project</i>	40
1.3.2 <i>Objectives of the project</i>	40
1.3.2.1 Assessment of the forensic process using the AFP as an organisational model	40

1.3.2.2	The use of MDMA and MA profiles in an intelligence perspective	41
1.3.2.3	To propose a general framework for forensic intelligence	43
CHAPTER 2: FORENSIC PROCESS USING THE AFP AS AN ORGANISATIONAL MODEL		45
2.1	INTRODUCTION	45
2.1.1	<i>Definitions</i>	46
2.2	THE AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL POLICE	47
2.3	DESCRIPTION OF EACH PHASE OF THE FORENSIC INTELLIGENCE PROCESS	48
2.3.1	<i>Drug problem</i>	48
2.3.2	<i>New seizures</i>	53
2.3.3	<i>Extraction of profiles and acquisition</i>	54
2.3.4	<i>Database and integration</i>	55
2.3.4.1	AIDIP database.....	56
2.3.4.2	ENIPID database.....	59
2.3.4.3	The use of database in an intelligence-led perspective	60
2.3.5	<i>Analysis</i>	61
2.3.6	<i>Dissemination/communication</i>	63
2.3.7	<i>Decision-making</i>	64
2.3.8	<i>Knowledge and impact</i>	65
2.4	CONCLUSION	66
CHAPTER 3: THE USE OF MDMA POLICE SEIZURES IN A DRUG INTELLIGENCE PERSPECTIVE.....		68
3.1	INTRODUCTION	68
3.1.1	<i>Definitions</i>	69
3.2	MDMA DATA.....	69
3.2.1	<i>The notion of linkage</i>	71
3.2.2	<i>Choice of characteristics</i>	73
3.2.3	<i>Chemometrics</i>	76

3.2.3.1	Choice of the pre-treatments and similarity measurements	76
3.2.3.2	Discrimination	80
3.2.3.3	ROC curves and estimation of the discrimination.....	82
3.3	METHOD.....	82
3.3.1	<i>Sampling and linking procedures</i>	84
3.4	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.....	85
3.4.1	<i>Selection of a reduced subset of compounds</i>	85
3.4.1.1	Organic impurities	86
3.4.1.2	Inorganic impurities	95
3.5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	100
3.5.1	<i>Separation of linked and unlinked populations</i>	100
3.5.1.1	Organic impurities	102
3.5.1.2	Inorganic impurities	102
3.5.1.3	Selection of the threshold value	104
3.5.1.4	General discussion on the separation obtained	108
3.5.2	<i>Operational intelligence</i>	112
3.6	CONCLUSION	113
CHAPTER 4: THE USE OF MA CHEMICAL PROFILING IN AN INTELLIGENCE PERSPECTIVE		117
4.1	INTRODUCTION	117
4.1.1	<i>Definitions</i>	118
4.2	MA DATA	119
4.3	METHOD.....	120
4.3.1	<i>Sampling and linking procedure</i>	120
4.3.2	<i>Inhomogeneity of MA seizures</i>	121
4.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	122
4.4.1	<i>Separation of linked and unlinked populations</i>	122
4.4.2	<i>Investigation of the inhomogeneity of MA seizures</i>	128

4.4.2.1	Homogeneity vs seizure weight	128
4.4.2.2	Homogeneity vs subgroup weight	129
4.4.2.3	Homogeneity vs country of provenance	131
4.4.2.4	Homogeneity vs number of subgroups.....	132
4.4.2.5	Homogeneity vs time of the seizure	133
4.5	GENERAL DISCUSSION	134
4.6	CONCLUSION	140
CHAPTER 5: A GENERAL FRAMEWORK FOR FORENSIC INTELLIGENCE.....		143
5.1	INTRODUCTION	143
5.1.1	<i>Definitions</i>	145
5.2	RATIONALE AND METHOD.....	146
5.3	INDUCTION OF A GENERAL FORENSIC INTELLIGENCE FRAMEWORK	147
5.3.1	<i>Basic forensic science principles to forensic intelligence processes.....</i>	<i>147</i>
5.3.2	<i>Relevant criteria in conceiving and operating a forensic intelligence system.....</i>	<i>149</i>
5.3.3	<i>The forensic intelligence process.....</i>	<i>150</i>
5.3.3.1	Detection and objects.....	151
5.3.3.2	Measurements and profiles.....	154
5.3.3.3	Comparison metric and scores	157
5.3.3.4	Evaluation and link values.....	161
5.3.3.5	Integration and memory.....	165
5.3.3.6	Analysis	168
5.3.3.7	Alternative information and intelligence products	171
5.3.3.8	Decision making and organisation	175
5.4	CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH FORENSIC INTELLIGENCE	176
5.5	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS.....	179
CHAPTER 6: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS		183
6.1	GENERAL DISCUSSION	183

6.2	FUTURE DEVELOPMENT.....	187
6.2.1	<i>The use of physical characteristics and new technologies</i>	<i>187</i>
6.2.2	<i>Investigation of MA batches known to come from a particular source.....</i>	<i>188</i>
6.2.3	<i>Continuation of the modelling and generalisation efforts</i>	<i>189</i>
	APPENDIX A: LIST OF IMPURITIES FOUND IN MA	192
	APPENDIX B: LIST OF IMPURITIES FOUND IN MDMA.....	197
	APPENDIX C: THE USE OF MDMA PHYSICAL DATA FOR INTELLIGENCE PURPOSES	202
	APPENDIX D: SELECTION OF A SUBSET NUMBER OF ORGANIC COMPOUNDS USING PCA	206
	APPENDIX E: ASSESSMENT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF THE STATISTICAL METHOD (MDMA)	213
	APPENDIX F: DISTRIBUTION OF LINKED AND UNLINKED POPULATIONS (MDMA SAMPLES).....	218

List of figures

Figure 1-1: Intelligence cycle [27]	5
Figure 1-2: Intelligence process as presented by Cartier [29]	6
Figure 1-3: The three roles of forensic science as suggested by Ribaux et al. [7]	12
Figure 1-4: Summary of the different roles of drug profiling [1]	18
Figure 1-5: Representation of the drug profiling process used at the AFP.....	20
Figure 1-6: Basic structure of ATS compounds [84].....	23
Figure 1-7: Most common routes of MA synthesis.....	26
Figure 1-8: Most common routes of MDMA synthesis [117, 119]	29
Figure 2-1: Formalisation of the forensic process applied to illicit drugs [41]	46
Figure 2-2: AFP organisation structure [162].....	48
Figure 2-3: International intelligence level	52
Figure 2-4: National intelligence level	52
Figure 2-5: Regional/local intelligence level	53
Figure 2-6: Organisation of the AIDIP database.....	56
Figure 2-7: Summary of the procedure.....	59
Figure 2-8: The four most common analysis dimensions	61
Figure 3-1: Simplification of the steps involved in the production of MDMA tablets.....	73
Figure 3-2: Common configuration obtained between linked and unlinked populations [158]	81

Figure 3-3: Separation of the dataset	83
Figure 3-4: Flow chart describing the methodology followed.....	84
Figure 3-5: Number of samples containing each impurity	87
Figure 3-6: Inter-variability of the different organic impurities in all samples (in green, impurities selected using the selection criteria; in blue, impurities selected based on Salouros research)	89
Figure 3-7: Intra-variability of the different organic impurities in seizure 1 (37 samples) (in green, impurities selected using the selection criteria; in blue, impurities selected based on Salouros research)	91
Figure 3-8: Intra-variability of the different organic impurities in seizure 2 (28 samples) and in seizure 3 (12 samples) (in green, impurities selected using the selection criteria; in blue, impurities selected based on Salouros research)	92
Figure 3-9: Number of specimens containing each element.....	96
Figure 3-10: Inter-variability of the different inorganic impurities in all samples.....	97
Figure 3-11: Intra-variability of the different inorganic impurities in seizure 1 (37 samples) and in seizure 2 (24 samples).....	98
Figure 3-12: Intra-variability of the different inorganic impurities in seizure 3 (12 samples)..	98
Figure 3-13: Comparison of the different metrics	101
Figure 3-14: Smoothed kernel distribution representing the scores (coefficients) obtained between samples from the linked population (grey) and samples from the unlinked population (black) using the organic impurities	102
Figure 3-15: Smoothed kernel distribution representing the scores (coefficients) obtained between samples from the linked population (grey) and samples from the unlinked population (black) using the inorganic impurities	103

Figure 4-1: Smoothed kernel distribution representing the scores (coefficients) obtained between samples from the linked population (grey) and samples from the unlinked population (black) using the organic impurities	125
Figure 4-2: Histogram representing the modified square cosine coefficients obtained between samples from the linked population (black) and samples from the unlinked population (grey) using the organic impurities (n is the number of correlations calculated).....	126
Figure 4-3: Boxplot representing the homogeneity of the seizure vs the MA weight of the seizure	129
Figure 4-4: Scatter plot representing the homogeneity of the subgroup vs the subgroup weight (lighter subgroups).....	130
Figure 4-5: Scatter plot representing the homogeneity of the subgroup vs the subgroup weight (heavier subgroups)	131
Figure 4-6: Boxplot representing the homogeneity of the seizure vs the source country	132
Figure 4-7: Boxplot representing the homogeneity of the seizure vs the number of subgroup	133
Figure 4-8: Boxplot representing the homogeneity of the seizure throughout time.....	134
Figure 5-1: Forensic intelligence abductive rationale from the observation of similarity of different objects features to a same cause or type of cause. Plain arrows depict causality; dashed arrows depict hypothetic reasoning based on assumptions.....	148
Figure 5-2: The forensic intelligence process. Boxes = stages or inputs/outputs; Italic = actions [4]	151
Figure 5-3: Distributions of intra-variability (grey) and inter-variability (black) scores using the squared Cosine function and normalised on a 100% scale for MDMA seizures (a) [2] and counterfeit Portuguese identity cards (b) ([156]).....	158
Figure 5-4: Tippett plots presenting the Log_{10} likelihood ratios for MDMA seizures (solid line) and counterfeit Portuguese identity cards (dashed line) according to the squared Cosine	

correlation metric. Red curves (on the right) represent likelihood ratios when the hypothesis of a link is true, while blue curves (on the left) represent likelihood ratios when the hypothesis of the absence of a link is true 160

Figure 5-5: Sequence between the acquisition of profiles and decision-making according to the deterministic (top) and Bayesian (bottom) evaluation framework. 163

Figure 5-6: Links (synthetic reasoning – building patterns, left hand side) – Trends (analytical reasoning – decomposing patterns, right hand side) 170

List of tables

Table 1-1: Summary of the main differences between evidence and intelligence	10
Table 1-2: List of impurities detected in MA.....	27
Table 1-3: List of impurities detected in MDMA.....	32
Table 2-1: Sampling procedure used for powders.....	58
Table 2-2: Differences between AIDIP and ENIPID databases.....	60
Table 3-1: Relevant characteristics of MDMA specimens used in the study.....	71
Table 3-2: Pre-treatments and similarity measurements (metrics) description	78
Table 3-3: List of inorganic impurities found in MDMA specimens.....	86
Table 3-4: Correlation between the 12 impurities selected using Rho Spearman	94
Table 3-5: Correlation between the 12 impurities selected using Pearson correlation.....	94
Table 3-6: Correlation between the 13 inorganic impurities using Rho Spearman.....	99
Table 3-7 : Results of the ROC curve (organic impurities)	104
Table 3-8: Results of the ROC curve (inorganic impurities)	105
Table 3-9: Results of the ROC curve (organic + inorganic impurities)	107
Table 3-10: Estimation of the discrimination for the different statistical combinations	108
Table 4-1: Profiling of MA	120
Table 4-2: List of inorganic impurities found in MA specimens.....	121
Table 4-3: Results of the ROC curve (organic impurities)	123
Table 4-4: Results of the ROC curve (inorganic impurities)	123

Table 4-5: Results of the ROC curve (organic + inorganic impurities) 124

Abbreviations

ACC	Australian Crime Commission
ACT	Australian Capital Territory
AFDL	Australian Forensic Drug Laboratory
AFP	Australian Federal Police
AGD	Attorney-General's Department
AIDDC	Australian Illicit Drug Data Centre
AIDIP	Australian Illicit Drug Intelligence Program
Al/Hg	Aluminium amalgam
APAAN	Alpha-phenylacetoacetonitrile
ATM	Automated teller machine
ATS	Amphetamine-type substances
AUC	Area under the curve
BIDFCAA	Building Illicit Drug Forensic Capacity Across Australia
BMK	Benzyl methyl ketone
2C-B	4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenethylamine
CE-DAD	Capillary electrophoresis-diode array detector
CHAMP	Collaborative harmonisation of methods for profiling of amphetamine type stimulants
CISC	Criminal Intelligence Service Canada
CMP	1-(1',4'-Cyclohexadienyl)-2-methylaminopropane
CNS	Central nervous system
DDU	Discrete dosage unit
DET	Detection error trade-off
EA	Elemental analysis
EER	Equivalent error rate
ENIPID	Enhanced National Intelligence Picture on Illicit Drugs
FN	False negative
FP	False positive
GBL	Gamma butyrolactone

GC-FID	Gas chromatography-flame ionisation detector
GC-MS	Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry
GIS	Geographical information system
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
ICP-AES	Inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy
ICP-MS	Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry
IDDR	Illicit Drug Data Report
IRMS	Isotopic ratio mass spectrometry
IT	Information technology
LC-ELSD	Liquid chromatography-evaporative light scattering detector
LC-FLD	Liquid chromatography-fluorescence detector
LC-MS/MS	Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry
LIMS	Laboratory information management system
LOD	Limit of detection
LR	Likelihood ratio
LRN	Laboratory reference number
MA	Methylamphetamine
MBDB	Methylbenzodioxolylbutanamine
MDA	3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine
MDMA	3,4-Methylenedioxymethylamphetamine
MDB	N-methyl-3,4-(methylenedioxy)benzylamine
MD-benzyl-MDMA	N-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenylmethyl)-N-[2-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)]-methylethyl]-N-methylamine
MD-DPIA	di-[1-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)-2-propyl]amine
MD-DPIMA	di-[1-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)-2-propyl]methylamine
MDEA	3,4-Methylenedioxyethylamphetamine
3,4-MD-P2P	3,4-Methylenedioxyphenyl-2-propane
MD-P2P-OH	3,4-Methylenedioxyphenyl-2-propanol
MD-P3B	3-(3,4-Methylenedioxyphenyl)-3-buten-2-one
NaBH ₄	Sodium borohydride
NaBH ₃ CN	Sodium cyanoborohydride

N-acetyl-MDA	N-acetyl-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine
N-acetyl-MDMA	N-acetyl-3,4-methylenedioxymethylamphetamine
NDPRAC	National Drug Precursor Risk Assessment Capability
N-formyl-MDA	N-formyl-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine
N-formyl-MDMA	N-formyl-3,4-methylenedioxymethylamphetamine
NGO	Non-governmental organisation
NIFS	National Institute of Forensic Science
NIM	National Intelligence Model
NMI	National Measurement Institute
NPS	New psychoactive substances
NSW	New South Wales
OTC	Over the counter
PCA	Principal component analysis
PMMA	Para-methoxymethylamphetamine
PMK	Piperonyl methyl ketone
P2P	1-Phenyl-2-propanone
PROMIS	Police Realtime online management information system
PtO	Platinum oxide
ROC	Receiver operating characteristics
STP/DOM	2,5-Dimethoxy-4-methylamphetamine
TN	True negative
TP	True positive
TT	Tablet type
UK	United Kingdom
UNIDCP	United Nations International Drug Control Programme
UNODC	United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
WA	Western Australia

Publications

M. Morelato, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, O. Ribaux, P. Kirkbride, C. Roux, *The use of forensic case data in intelligence-led policing: The example of drug profiling*, Forensic Science International 226 (2013) 1-9. **(Chapter 1)** [1].

M. Morelato, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, O. Ribaux, P. Kirkbride, C. Roux, *The use of organic and inorganic impurities found in MDMA police seizures in a drug intelligence perspective*, Science and Justice 54 (2014) 32-41. **(Chapter 3)** [2].

M. Morelato, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, O. Ribaux, P. Kirkbride, C. Roux, *The use of methylamphetamine chemical profiling in an intelligence perspective and the problem of homogeneity*, Forensic Science International 246 (2015) 55-64 **(Chapter 4)** [3].

M. Morelato, S. Baechler, O. Ribaux, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, P. Kirkbride, C. Roux, P. Margot, *Forensic intelligence framework – Part I: Induction of a transversal model by comparing illicit drugs and false identity documents monitoring*, Forensic Science International 236 (2014) 181-190. **(Chapter 5)** [4].

S. Baechler, **M. Morelato**, O. Ribaux, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, P. Kirkbride, P. Esseiva, P. Margot, C. Roux, *Forensic intelligence framework – Part II: Study of the main generic building blocks and challenges through the examples of illicit drugs and false identity documents monitoring*, Forensic Science International, 2015, Article in Press (doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2015.02.021) **(Chapter 5)** [5].

Conferences attended: presentations and workshop

M. Morelato, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, O. Ribaux, P. Kirkbride, C. Roux (2011). *Forensic drug profiling: a tool for intelligence-led policing*. AFP-UC-UTS Workshop, Canberra, Australia, 28-29 July 2011.

M. Morelato, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, O. Ribaux, P. Kirkbride, C. Roux (2011). *Forensic drug profiling: a tool for intelligence-led policing*. Forensic Intelligence National Workshop, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia, 13-14 October 2011.

M. Morelato, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, O. Ribaux, P. Kirkbride, C. Roux (2012). *The role of forensic drug profiling in intelligence-led policing: an Australian perspective*. European Academy of Forensic Science (EAFS), The Hague, The Netherlands, 20-24 August 2012.

M. Morelato, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, O. Ribaux, P. Kirkbride, C. Roux (2012). *Forensic drug intelligence: The Australian situation*. Ecole Doctorale en science forensiques et criminologie, Arolla, Switzerland, 27-29 August 2012 (poster).

M. Morelato, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, O. Ribaux, P. Kirkbride, C. Roux (2012). *The role of forensic drug profiling in intelligence-led policing: an Australian perspective*. 21st International Symposium on the Forensic Sciences, ANZFSS, Hobart, Australia, 23-27 September 2012.

M. Morelato, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, O. Ribaux, P. Kirkbride, C. Roux (2013). *Forensics or Forensic Science? Did we get it wrong and where to from here? / The role of forensic drug profiling in intelligence-led policing*. National Measurement Institute (NMI), Sydney, Australia, 24 April 2013.

C. Roux, **M. Morelato**, A. Beavis, E. Bruenisholz, O. Ribaux (2014). *Current intelligence research in forensic science*. Forensic Intelligence National Workshop, Canberra, Australia, 20 March 2014.

M. Morelato, S. Baechler, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, O. Ribaux, P. Kirkbride, P. Margot, C. Roux (2014). *The use of organic and inorganic impurities found in MDMA and MA police seizures in an intelligence perspective*. 22nd International Symposium on the Forensic Sciences, ANZFSS, Adelaide, Australia, 31 August-05 September 2014.

M. Morelato & S. Baechler, O. Ribaux, A. Beavis, M. Tahtouh, P. Kirkbride, P. Margot, C. Roux (2014). *Forensic intelligence framework: induction of a general and transversal model using a comparative approach*. 22nd International Symposium on the Forensic Sciences, ANZFSS, Adelaide, Australia, 31 August-05 September 2014.

S. Baechler, **M. Morelato**, C. Roux (2014). *Forensic intelligence: reshaping modern forensic science? A case-based approach to understand the challenges and opportunities*. 22nd International Symposium on the Forensic Sciences, ANZFSS, Adelaide, Australia, 31 August-05 September 2014 (workshop).

M. Morelato, S. Baechler, P. Margot, O. Ribaux, A. Ross, C. Roux (2014). *Forensic intelligence: reshaping forensic science? A practical approach to understand the challenges and opportunities*. 20th World Meeting of the International Association of Forensic Sciences, IAFS, Seoul, South Korea, 14-17 October 2014 (workshop).

Abstract

Forensic science is mainly focused on generating evidence for judicial proceedings. However, it has been recognised that a significant gap exists between the potential of forensic science and its actual use. The current situation still tends to restrain forensic scientists within their specialisation, reinforcing the concept of centralised laboratories distant from and with no direct connections to police organisations. A change of perspective is required in order to fully utilise the potential of forensic science at the earliest stages of the forensic process. This change is slowly happening but is still in its infancy. Vast information about the criminal environment and criminal activity exists and could potentially be used as a key element in an intelligence perspective.

This research focuses on the potential of forensic traces in an intelligence perspective. The study starts with a specific focus on the use of 3,4-methylenedioxymethylamphetamine (MDMA) and methylamphetamine (MA) profiles in an intelligence-led perspective. Using Australian Federal Police (AFP) case data, it was demonstrated that chemical profiling of illicit drugs can be used to go beyond simply refuting or confirming a connection between cases. It was shown that the use of only one profiling technique was adequate to obtain more timely intelligence products that could be used in an operational intelligence perspective. The process developed can be extended to other traces and further general developments are required to address persistent challenges to ensure the progress of the discipline as well as its widespread implementation in the future. A collaboration and comparative analysis was thus undertaken between two forensic intelligence approaches developed independently in Australia and in Europe regarding the monitoring of apparently very different kind of problems: illicit drugs and false identity documents. A general and multi-commodity model was proposed and it is believed that this model could guide the use of any forensic case data in an intelligence-led perspective.