Climate change is likely to affect the pattern of some disasters in the Pacific, and therefore the organisations and systems involved in disaster response. The aim of this research was to provide recommendations to policy makers and practitioners in the Pacific and Australian disaster response sectors on current adaptive capacity of Pacific island countries to climate related disasters (e.g. tropical cyclones), and identify the resources, policies and systems needed in the coming years to enhance this capacity. A further aim was to inform improved planning and more effective response through analysis of the Australian disaster response system and related organisations’ capacity, role and obligations to assist Pacific island countries (PICs) in times of disaster.

This Policy Brief is part of a series, presenting research conducted in 2012 which focused on how the immediate humanitarian needs following disasters are met by various stakeholders, both in the affected country and those offering support from outside and the capacity of these systems to adapt under a changing climate. This Policy Brief provides recommendations aims for Regional stakeholders on policy related issues. Others in the policy brief series focus on Australian and PIC National stakeholders.

A qualitative research methodology was used, which prioritised stakeholder participation and end-user engagement. The research was guided by a conceptual framework (presented as Figure 1) which was developed to frame the scope and concepts associated with the research. The concept of ‘adaptive capacity’ was used to assess both the resilience of individual organisations and the robustness of the broader system of disaster response. Specific determinants of adaptive capacity were used to assess the ‘disaster response system’ (DRS), comprised of actors and agents from government and non-government sectors, and the governance structures, policies, plans and formal and informal networks that support them. Four case study countries (Fiji, Cook Islands, Vanuatu and Samoa – see Figure 2) were chosen for deeper investigation of the range of issues present in the Pacific.

“Pacific island countries are inherently vulnerable to climate change given their small size, topography, insularity and remoteness and limited disaster mitigation capacity”.

---

Figure 1. Conceptual Framework

---

1. Climate changes – the form of disasters (e.g. tropical cyclones) altered patterns due to climate change

2. Adaptive capacity of the disaster response system (DRS) to immediate humanitarian needs affects the nature and extent of the...

3. Disaster impacts on the population on the DRS

4. Learning: about how the DRS operates and functions which leads to improvements in...

5. Key determinants of adaptive capacity which leads to adjustments to...

---

1. ‘Adaptive capacity’ describes the ability of a system to adjust to climate change (including climate variability and extremes), to moderate potential damages, to take advantage of opportunities, or to cope with the consequences. (IPCC TAR, 2001)
The research team drew upon background literature as well as interviews and workshops with numerous Australian, New Zealand and Pacific island stakeholders from the disaster, climate change, health and development sectors to draw out key challenges, gaps and complexities present in Pacific disaster response.

Examples of organisations contributing to the research (through participation in interviews and workshops) include:

**Interviews from Australia:**
- AusAID and other Australian government disaster response organisations
- Australian NGOs including Humanitarian Partnership Agreement organisations
- Australian Red Cross
- Faith based disaster response organisations

**Interviews from the Pacific:**
- National Disaster Management Offices
- Ministries of Health
- Ministries of Finance and Foreign Affairs
- Pacific and international NGOs
- Red Cross Societies of the Pacific
- Faith based disaster response organisations
- Regional organisations including SPC/SOPAC
- United Nations agencies (WHO, UNOCHA, UNDP)

**WHAT WERE THE KEY RESEARCH FINDINGS?**

The research found that the following elements supported **adaptive capacity** of the disaster response system, both from the Australian and Pacific perspectives.

**Elements supporting adaptive capacity:**

- High levels of trust and relationships between key individuals
- Strength in both formal and informal communication, relationships and partnerships
- Strong inter-organisational mechanisms to facilitate exchange and sharing
- Recognition of critical coordination role of national disaster management offices
- Coordinated disaster assessments
- Clear Pacific national policy and supporting mechanisms for requesting overseas assistance
- Participation of a wide range of stakeholders in planning and decision making, including both traditional leaders and churches
- Ongoing disaster risk reduction efforts to reduce vulnerability

**Adaptive capacity** was found to be constrained by a number of factors:

- Limited local human resources for health and disaster response across all four case study countries, both in times of disaster response and in day-to-day operations.
- Insufficient engagement between health ministries and other response organisations
- Gap in the provision of psychosocial support
- Limited capacity of Australian medical services to meet the needs faced in the field during disasters
- Limited recognition for Pacific in-country systems, capacity and governance structures during times of disaster by external agencies
- Lack of a future focus to incorporate uncertainty and changing risk into planning processes.

The following section presents key recommendations for Regional organisations which address the need to take into account uncertainty, and other factors constraining adaptive capacity.
Recommendations for Pacific Regional disaster response organisations:

1) Regional organisations need to recognise and support regional networks and formal and informal relationships. Such recognition and support will improve adaptive capacity through building trust and capacity, and the efficient flow of information in times of disaster. In particular:

- Regional and donor organisations (such as SPC/SOPAC, WHO WPRO, UNOCHA, UNDP, and UNICEF) to recognise and facilitate regular interaction and meetings that maintain regional links and networks with PIC DRS organisations. Such meetings should be coordinated at a regional level and based on agreed agendas and outputs, and ensure stakeholder inclusion and attendance is based on relevance of issues being discussed.

2) Review and update policy on meeting disaster response needs:

- Regional PIC development partners to support PICs in the development of a strategic plan to ensure that adequate consideration/provisions are made to meet the specific psychosocial needs of the affected population and disaster response personnel.

3) Disaster response training and capacity building to be undertaken through a coordinated and collaborative approach:

- Regional DRS organisations (e.g. SOPAC, UNOCHA, WHO WPRO), to better coordinate a consistent approach to capacity building, technical up-skilling and training programmes relating to disaster and health response. This is to include needs based content and systematic selection of participants and may include discussion on how to effectively consolidate regional training programs to address the human resources for health capacity issues.

4) Regional DRS organisations to provide support for NDMOs as the key coordinating bodies for disaster response and disaster risk reduction

- Provide support for PIC DRS to develop clear national policies and SOPs to ensure timely requests for international assistance, through a consultative process with relevant national and regional stakeholders.

- Regional disaster response stakeholders to engage in discussion with PIC DRS (mainly Ministry of Health) to ensure in-coming support is appropriate and that medical personnel are duly registered and meet in-country accreditation requirements, and that clear accessible guidelines are in place to guide this process.

- Regional DRS organisations such as OCHA/PHT to support the NDMO to ensure that systems are in place for a structured post-disaster debrief that encourages feedback of lessons learned from all agencies into national policy and planning processes.

5) Recognise the potential impact of climate change on disasters, and incorporate a more strategic planning outlook to disaster response with a view to increasing adaptive capacity

- Donors and development partners to support PICs in embracing a strengthened ‘future’ focus for disaster response to enable incorporation of changing risk to shift the mentality towards one which acknowledges capacity challenges and uncertainty associated with climate change. This is likely to involve strengthening DRR initiatives which incorporate planning for uncertainty as a means to strengthen adaptive capacity.

Additional research outputs:

- Full research report: “Understanding the Pacific’s adaptive capacity to emergencies in the context of climate change”
- Country reports for Samoa, Cook Islands, Vanuatu and Fiji
- Projected climate change impacts in the Pacific
- Policy Brief Series (includes recommendations for Australian, Regional & Pacific National stakeholders)
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