"This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: WHITLEY, R. J., MACINNIS-NG, C. M. O., HUTLEY, L. B., BERINGER, J., ZEPPEL, M., WILLIAMS, M., TAYLOR, D. and EAMUS, D. (2011), Is productivity of mesic savannas light limited or water limited? Results of a simulation study. Global Change Biology, 17: 3130–3149.

which has been published in final form at doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02425.x This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving."

1 2 3 4	PUBLISHED IN GLOBAL CHANGE BIOLOGY 2011 vol 17, p 3130-3149  Is productivity of mesic savannas light limited or water limited? Results of a simulation study
5	of a simulation study
6	Rhys J. Whitley <sup>1</sup> , Catriona M.O. Macinnis-Ng <sup>1,2</sup> , Lindsay B. Hutley <sup>3</sup> , Jason Berringer <sup>4</sup> ,
7	Melanie Zeppel <sup>1,5</sup> , Mathew Williams <sup>6</sup> , Daniel Taylor <sup>1</sup> , Derek Eamus <sup>1,7</sup>
8	<sup>1</sup> Plant Functional Biology and Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney,
9	Australia
10	<sup>2</sup> Current address: School of Environment, University of Auckland, New Zealand
11	<sup>3</sup> School of Science & Primary Industries, Charles Darwin University, Australia
12	<sup>4</sup> School of Geography and Environmental Science, Monash University, Australia
13	<sup>5</sup> Current address: Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, Australia
14	<sup>6</sup> School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, UK
15	
16	<b>Keywords:</b> Savannas, GPP; evapotranspiration; SPA modelling
17	<sup>7</sup> Corresponding author: Derek.Eamus@uts.edu.au; tel +(61) 2 9514 4154; FAX +(61) 2
18	9514 4079
19	
20	Running title: Savanna GPP and evapotranspiration
21	

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

#### **Abstract**

A soil-plant-atmosphere model was used to estimate gross primary productivity (GPP) and evapotranspiration (ET) of a tropical savanna in Australia. This paper describes model modifications required to simulate the substantial C4 grass understory together with C3 trees. The model was further improved to include a seasonal distribution of leaf area and foliar nitrogen through ten canopy layers. Model outputs were compared to a five year eddy covariance dataset. Adding the C4 photosynthesis component improved the model efficiency and root-mean-squared error (RMSE) for total ecosystem GPP by better emulating annual peaks and troughs in GPP across wet and dry seasons. The C4 photosynthesis component had minimal impact on modelled values of ET. Outputs of GPP from the modified model agreed well with measured values, explaining between 79–90% of the variance and having a low RMSE (0.003-0.281 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). Approximately 40% of total annual GPP was contributed by C4 grasses. Total (trees and grasses) wet season GPP was approximately 75-80% of total annual GPP. Light-use-efficiency (LUE) was largest for the wet season and smallest in the dry season and C4 LUE was larger than that of the trees. A sensitivity analysis of GPP revealed that daily GPP was most sensitive to changes in leaf area index (LAI) and foliar nitrogen  $(N_f)$  and relatively insensitive to changes in maximum carboxylation rate  $(V_{cmax})$ , maximum electron transport rate  $(J_{max})$  and minimum leaf water potential  $(\psi_{min})$ . The modified model was also able to represent daily and seasonal patterns in ET, (explaining 68– 81% of variance) with a low RMSE (0.038-0.19 mm d<sup>-1</sup>). Current values of N<sub>f</sub>, LAI and other parameters appear to be co-limiting for maximising GPP. By manipulating LAI and soil moisture content inputs, we show that modelled GPP is limited by light interception rather than water availability at this site.

T .		-		4 •	
Int	r	M	116	111	าท
111	LΙ	Ju	uι	u	,,,,

Globally, savannas cover an area larger than that of wet tropical rainforest and contribute approximately 30% of the gross primary productivity of all terrestrial ecosystems (Kanniah *et al.* 2009). They are continentally and globally important to the C cycle, are major determinants of regional water budgets and have high conservation, social, cultural and economic value (Eamus and Prior, 2001). Savannas have a discontinuous tree canopy above a continuous herbaceous layer, predominantly comprised of C4 grasses.

Savannas cover approximately 25% of the Australian continent (Hutley *et al.* 2000) and consequently make a significant contribution to Australia's carbon budget. Savannas of northern Australia experience a monsoonal climate with a distinct wet season (accounting for approximately 95% of the rainfall) and a dry season when grasses are absent. Despite this, C4 grasses make a significant contribution to the annual carbon cycle of savannas and approximately three quarters of the annual carbon flux of north Australian savannas occurs during the wet season (Chen *et al.* 2003) when the grasses often account for more than half of the total leaf area index (Eamus *et al.* 2001, Hutley *et al.* 2005). Similarly, stable isotope analysis suggests that approximately 40% of the annual net primary productivity of a savanna in Brazil may have originated from C4 grasses, even though the LAI of grasses was only 0.4 and 0.2 for the wet and dry seasons respectively (Miranda *et al.* 1997).

While there have been many studies using the eddy covariance method to quantify carbon and water fluxes at savanna sites (Hutley *et al.* 2000, Eamus *et al.* 2001, Hutley *et al.* 2005),

there is no widely available detailed mechanistic model currently available to accurately describe diurnal, seasonal and annual variation in gross primary productivity of ecosystems comprised of mixed C3 (trees and shrubs) and C4 (grasses) species. Indeed there is an urgent need to examine the patterns and drivers of savanna productivity because such information is central to understanding and predicting the response of savannas to climate change; to improve land and water management practices; and to inform policy initiatives in relation to C sequestration, woody thickening (Kanniah et al. 2009; Macinnis-Ng et al. 2010) and fire management (Beringer et al. 2007). The soil-plant atmosphere (SPA) model of Williams et al. (1996) is one of the most widely and successfully applied land surface exchange models and has been tested and validated across a range of diverse ecosystems, including Arctic tundra (Williams et al. 2001), Brazilian tropical rainforests (Williams et al. 1998; Fisher et al. 2007) and a temperate Australian woodland (Zeppel et al. 2008). The SPA model is a mechanistic model that predicts, amongst other parameters, carbon and water fluxes, leaf water relations and changes in soil moisture. However, it has not been applied to savannas because the productivity sub-model uses only the C3-photosynthesis model of Farquhar et al. (1980) and therefore cannot account for the behaviour of the C4 grass layer. In this paper we describe the changes required to incorporate C4 metabolism into the SPA model. This modified SPA model allows us to quantify ecosystem dynamics and to investigate the physiological mechanisms underlying observed behaviour.

88

89

90

91

92

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

Seasonality is a significant factor influencing productivity and water use of tropical ecosystems. For instance, an increase in the soil-root hydraulic resistance during the dry season caused seasonal reductions in carbon and water fluxes in a Brazillian rain forest (Williams *et al.* 1998). Wet season productivity in savannas creates a carbon sink of between

0.1 and 0.2 mol C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>, but photosynthetic activity declines during the dry season and the ecosystem may become a net source of C at this time (Chen et al. 2003). Seasonality in productivity is often attributed to stomatal closure and leaf loss during the dry season (Eamus and Prior, 2001) but gas exchange is limited by reduced  $g_s$  in the afternoon in both seasons at the Howard Springs site used in this study (Eamus et al. 1999; 2000). Soil water content and root access to moisture must be accurately modelled for successful prediction of annual C fluxes and evapotranspiration because soil water availability and atmospheric water content interact with g<sub>s</sub> (Thomas and Eamus 1999; Thomas et al. 2000; Ju et al. 2006). Coupling between seasonal rainfall patterns (and therefore soil water content) and productivity has been observed at the leaf (Eamus et al. 1999), canopy (Eamus et al. 2001) and ecosystem scales (Chen et al. 2003; Eamus 2003). The test of the modified SPA model, therefore, will be its ability to reflect observed trends in water and carbon flux across seasons. We chose to model GPP and evapotranspiration because changes in these fluxes in a savanna are strongly affected by (a) seasonal changes in total site LAI arising (principally) from changes in the grass understorey; (b) daily changes in soil and atmospheric water content; and (c) hourly changes in ambient conditions. Consequently modelling these fluxes provides an assessment of the behaviour of the model across a wide temporal scale.

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

The aims of this study were to first, modify the SPA model to incorporate both C3 and C4 photosynthesis; second, validate the modified model with five years of ecosystem GPP and ET field-data for a savanna site; third investigate intra- and inter-annual variation in GPP of a savanna; fourth, evaluate the sensitivity of GPP to a number of abiotic and biotic factors, with particular reference to the question: are the parameter values displayed by this vegetation optimised for maximising GPP; fifth, present an annual carbon and water budget for the

savanna that is disaggregated amongst seasons and C3 and C4 vegetation; and sixth, determine whether GPP is limited by water availability or energy capture at this site.

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

117

118

### Materials and methods

Study site

Eddy covariance (EC) data spanning five years (2001-2005) were collected near Howard Springs (131°5'E, 12°30'S), approximately 35 km southeast of Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia. A full description of the site, species and EC methodologies are contained in Hutley et al. (2000) and Eamus et al. (2001). In summary, vegetation at the site is representative of a mesic open forest savanna with an overstorey dominated by *Eucalyptus* tetrodonta (F.Muell.) and E. miniata (Cunn. ex Schauer), forming a discontinuous canopy of about 50% cover. These two species account for approximately 90% of the tree basal area of 8-10 m<sup>2</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>. Overstorey LAI varies seasonally because of the presence of brevi- semi- and fully deciduous species, while the dominant evergreen species maintain canopy fullness throughout the dry season (Williams et al. 1996). The understorey includes semi-deciduous and deciduous small trees and shrubs but is dominated by C4 grasses such as annual Sorghum spp. and Sarga spp. and the perennial grass Heteropogon triticeus. Climate is characterised as wet-dry-tropical with highly seasonal rainfall and distinct wet (November to April inclusive) and dry (May to October inclusive) seasons. The wet season accounts for approximately 95% of the average annual rainfall of 1750 mm. Mean daily maximum temperatures remain above 30°C throughout the year, irrespective of season.

138

#### 140 Eddy covariance data

141 The eddy covariance technique (Baldocchi et al. 1988) was used to calculate flux variables at 142 30 min time intervals. The instruments were mounted on a 23 m flux tower within a plot of open-forest savanna approximately 340 ha in size. The slope was less than 1° and the fetch 143 144 was homogenous in all directions (>1 km). Wind speed and direction was measured with a 3-145 D sonic anemometer (Campbell Scientific Inc. model CSAT3, Logan Utah, USA) and CO<sub>2</sub> 146 and H<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were measured at 10 Hz with an LI-7500 open-path CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O analyser (Licor 147 Inc., Lincoln NE, USA). CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were corrected for fluctuations in air density due to 148 sensible and latent heat fluxes (Webb et al. 1980). Half-hourly values of rainfall, air 149 temperature, relative humidity, and net radiation were measured at the same height as the flux 150 data. Soil moisture at 10 cm depth was measured on a daily time-step. Soil moisture at this 151 depth is strongly correlated with deeper soil moisture during the wet season and reflects the decline in soil moisture as the dry season progresses. Soil moisture values are a strong 152 153 determinant of canopy gas fluxes in savannas of the Northern Territory (Eamus and Prior 154 2001). Missing or invalid flux data were gap-filled using either linear interpolation (for gaps 155 shorter than 3 hrs), or an artificial neural network (ANN) (for extended gaps; Beringer et al. 156 2007). Gross primary production (GPP) was calculated as the sum of net  $CO_2$  flux ( $F_c$ ) and 157 ecosystem respiration  $(R_e)$ .  $R_e$  was assumed to be equivalent to night-time  $F_c$  under adequate 158 wind speed conditions (where friction velocity values were greater than 0.15 m s<sup>-1</sup>). Values of 159  $F_c$  collected under low wind speed conditions were excluded to avoid underestimation of  $R_e$ 160 161 due to inadequate turbulent mixing (Baldocchi et al. 2000, Mäkelä et al. 2006, Beringer et al. 2007). Using the ANN, daytime values of  $R_e$  were calculated from values of temperature and 162 163 soil moisture.

## The Soil-Plant-Atmosphere model

The SPA model simulates canopy exchanges of carbon and water at 30 minute resolution for multiple (up to 10) foliage layers. Model inputs include meteorological data, biophysical parameters for vegetation and soil characteristics, and are described in Table 1. The model was used in the form as described by Zeppel *et al.* (2008), and further explanation on the subroutines of SPA may be found in Williams *et al.* (1996, 1998, 2001). Modifications have been made to the calculation of assimilation to include a C4 photosynthesis model, to describe understorey grass layers. In previous SPA applications a single phenology has been applied to all canopy layers. In the present study, the seasonal dying and regeneration of understorey grasses and the largely evergreen overstory leaf area are dynamic and independent, as is the allocation of foliar nitrogen to each canopy layer. Both of these modifications to SPA are described below.

### C4 photosynthesis model

The simplified C4 photosynthesis model as described by Collatz *et al.* (1992) was used to determine the C4 net assimilation rate ( $A_n^{C4}$ , µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Gross photosynthesis is given as a function of the incident quantum flux density and CO<sub>2</sub> intercellular partial pressure, in the form of two nested quadratic equations. The first quadratic equation describes the flux determined by Rubisco activity and RuP<sub>2</sub> regeneration, and is given as:

$$\theta_{cj}M^2 - (V_{cmax} + \alpha_{rf}Q_p)M + V_{cmax}\alpha_{rf}Q_p = 0$$
 [1]

where,  $\theta_{cj}$  is a parameter describing the transition between light-limited and Rubisco limited  $CO_2$  flux,  $\alpha_{rf}$  (µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) is a combined constant describing the intrinsic quantum yield, and

fraction of absorbed photons used by the reaction process,  $V_{\rm cmax}$  (µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) is the
maximum C4 Rubisco carboxylation rate and M (µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) is the CO<sub>2</sub> flux determined by
both Rubisco and light-limited photosynthetic capacities and Q<sub>p</sub> is incident quantum flux
density. Equation 1 is therefore solved for M as follows:

$$M = \frac{V_{cmax} + \alpha_{rf}Q_p \pm \sqrt{\left(V_{cmax} + \alpha_{rf}Q_p\right)^2 - 4\theta_{cj}V_{cmax}\alpha_{rf}Q_p}}{2\theta_{cj}}$$
 [2]

191 Overall net C4 assimilation rate is similarly determined through a quadratic expression,

which includes the Rubisco and light-limited capacities described above as well as the CO<sub>2</sub>

limited flux rate, and is expressed as:

$$\beta A_g^2 - (M + C_i k_T) A_g + M C_i k_T = 0$$
 [3]

195 who

where  $\beta$  is a parameter describing the co-limitation between light, Rubisco and CO<sub>2</sub> limited flux,  $C_i$  (µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) is the intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of the mesophyll cells,  $k_T = k_p - L/C_i$  (mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and describes the interactions of  $k_p$ , a first-order rate constant for PEP carboxylase with respect to the ratio between  $C_i$  and L; the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> leakage from the bundle sheath to the intercellular air spaces of the mesophyll.  $A_g$  is the gross C4 photosynthetic rate, and is found by solving Equation 3 for  $A_g$ , given as:

$$A_{g} = \frac{M + C_{i}k_{T} \pm \sqrt{(M + C_{i}k_{T})^{2} - 4\beta MC_{i}k_{T}}}{2\beta}$$
 [4]

$$A_n^{C4} = A_g - R_d$$
 [5]

Additionally parameters  $V_{cmax}$ ,  $k_T$  and  $R_d$  are all affected by variation in leaf temperature, and so  $Q_{10}$  temperature functions were used to modify these values accordingly (Collatz *et al.* 1992).

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

203

204

205

# LAI and foliar nitrogen matrix

The model contains 10 canopy layers. Given the significant contribution of the grass to total LAI (slightly larger than that of the overstorey LAI during the wet season), we define five of the layers as grass (C4) and five of the layers as shrubs and trees (C3). Each grass layer was 0.3 m deep (a total height of 1.5 m) and the tree layers were each 2.5 m deep, taking the total canopy height to 14 m. Seasonal variations in leaf area of each canopy layer were incorporated into the phenology input file of the model. LAI of the overstorey was measured each month for a year using the Adelaide technique (O'Grady et al. 2000). Understorey LAI was also measured monthly using a direct harvest method of 21 x 1m<sup>2</sup> quadrats at three locations at Howard Springs with all grasses and woody saplings sampled. Allometric relationships were developed for each species of grass and woody sampling describing the relationship between plant biomass and leaf area to derive LAI (Hutley and Williams, unpub. data). The understorey leaf area values ranged from 1.1 to 0.05 during the wet and dry seasons respectively and the overstorey values were between 1.2 and 0.6 (Fig. 1). Measured ground data were compared to monthly MODIS LAI values for the whole canopy for each of the sample years. There were some small differences between years in total (overstorey and understorey) LAI detected in the remote sensing but preliminary analysis showed that the small changes in LAI caused a less than 2% difference in annual carbon and water fluxes in the model. For this reason, we used the same LAI matrix for each year and focused on capturing the seasonal changes in the leaf phenology file, rather than the inter-annual

changes. This approach allowed for a realistic distribution of leaf area between the C4 and C3 components of the canopy throughout the year.

The majority of the reduction in LAI occurred in the understorey, during the onset of the dry season (from March onwards). Before rains arrive, the overstorey begins flushing with new leaves in October and then the grasses return from November (Palmer *et al.* 2008). The overstorey layers reflect the seasonal patterns of the four phenological types described by Williams *et al.* (1997). We assigned an evergreen phenology to the top two canopy layers (9 to 11.5 m and 11.5 to 14 m canopy height) because the two dominant tree species are evergreen. Consequently the LAI of these layers remains reasonably constant throughout the year, while the bottom three canopy layers of the overstorey (1.5 to 4 m, 4 to 6.5 m and 6.5 to 9 m) incorporated components of the brevi-deciduous, semi-deciduous and fully deciduous species and the LAI values declined slightly during the dry season, as shown in Williams *et al.* (1997).

Foliar nitrogen concentrations were determined from the literature for similar sites and species. Myrtaceous species may typically have a leaf N concentration of 1.7 g m<sup>-2</sup> leaf area (Prior *et al.* 2004) and the C4 grass *Sorgham bicolor* typically may have a leaf N concentration of 1.6 g m<sup>-2</sup> leaf area (Ghannoum *et al.* 2005). Based on maximum LAI of 2.35 and assuming the overstorey and understorey values were 1.2 and 1.15 respectively, the maximum total foliar N concentration was 3.88 g m<sup>-2</sup> ground area. The minimum total foliar N concentration in the dry season was approximately 0.7 g m<sup>-2</sup> ground area. We incorporated some seasonal reductions in foliar N on a leaf area basis consistent with Prior *et al.* (2004). The foliar N matrix for the 10 canopy layers was based on the LAI matrix (Fig. 1b,c).

251 Model performance

For this study we also include several statistical tests in order to analyse the performance of the SPA model estimates against the measured EC data. In addition to using the coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) and root-mean-square error (RMSE) we have also used model efficiency (ME), given as:

$$ME = 1 - \frac{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum (y_i - \bar{y}_i)^2}$$
 [6]

which estimates the proportion of variance of the EC data explained by the 1:1 line (Medlyn et al. 2005). The ME can range between  $-\infty$  and 1, where a ME = 1 corresponds to a 'perfect' match between modelled and measured data, a ME = 0 indicates that the model predictions are as accurate as the mean of the measured data, and a ME < 0 dictates that the measured mean is a better predictor than the model.

### **Results**

*Meteorology* 

Darwin and its environs are characterised by a distinct bi-modal wet season. Ninety five percent of the annual rainfall occurs between the start of November and the end of March (Fig. 2). However, there were significant rainfall events in July, 2001, May, 2004, and October (2001, 2004 and 2005), as is commonly observed in long-term records. There was also significant inter-annual variability in annual total rainfall for the years 2001 – 2005, with 2003 receiving the most (2467 mm) and 2005 receiving the least (1219 mm). The long-term average annual rainfall for the site is 1750 mm.

Maximum solar radiation levels showed little variation between the wet season (typically 900 – 1100 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and dry season (typically about 800 W m<sup>-2</sup>), reflecting the high latitude of the site and the absence of cloud during the dry season (Fig. 2). Mean daily vapour pressure deficit peaked in the dry season and was typically between 3.0 and 4.5 kPa at this time (Fig. 2). In the wet season, VPD was generally lower and was predominantly within the range 1 – 2.5 kPa in the absence of rain.

Seasonal and annual patterns in GPP and ET

Values of ecosystem GPP derived from eddy covariance measurements were largest in the wet season, typically within the range 4.0-8.0 gC m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3). Only rarely did GPP decline below 3.0 gC m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> in the wet season. Day-to-day variation in GPP in the wet season closely reflected patterns in rainfall and solar radiation. From approximately mid-March through to September, ecosystem GPP declined approximately exponentially to a minimum of 1-2.0 gC m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. The start of the increase in GPP following the dry season minima generally began between mid-October and the early November (Fig. 3).

The SPA model was able to replicate the seasonal trends in GPP (Fig. 3). Including C4 photosynthesis greatly improved SPA's ability to simulate wet season GPP (Fig. 3a-b). While the model underestimated GPP for October to April each year when C3 photosynthesis was used for all canopy layers (Fig. 3a), incorporating the C4 subroutine for the grass layers improved congruity between EC and model values (Fig. 3b). This is supported by improved ME and RMSE values for GPP outputs when the C4 subroutine was active (Table 2). As was observed in EC derived estimates, maximum GPP occurred in the wet season and ranged

between 4 and 8 gC m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> whilst minimum values of calculated GPP occurred in September. 296 297 The SPA model estimated the minimum value of GPP to be approximately 2 gC m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. 298 Across all five years of study, there was a significant positive relationship between modelled 299 and observed GPP (Fig. 4, Table 2). The  $R^2$  for the regression ranged from 0.79 (2004) to 300 301 0.90 (2002), whilst the model efficiency (ME) ranged from 0.44 (2004) to 0.80 (2002) (Table 2). For large values of GPP (> 6 gC m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) modelled values of GPP were over-estimated by 302 up to 17 % of observed values. In contrast, for small values of GPP (< 3 gC m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) the 303 model tended to underestimate the values derived from EC measurements by up to 20% (Fig. 304 305 4). When data for all years were pooled, the regression of SPA versus observed GPP yielded an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.86, a model efficiency of 0.70 and a slope of 0.83 (Fig. 4, Table 2). 306 307 308 Seasonal and annual patterns of evapotranspiration (ET) closely matched those observed in 309 GPP (Fig. 3). However, the C4 subroutine made very little difference to congruity between EC and model values (Fig. 3c-d, Table 2). Maximum rates of ET were observed in the wet 310 season and ranged from 3-7 mm d<sup>-1</sup>, followed by an approximately exponential decline to a 311 dry season minima of 1-2 mm d<sup>-1</sup>. SPA was able to replicate these patterns closely; the  $R^2$  for 312 313 the regression ranged from 0.67 (2005) to 0.81 (2002), whilst the model efficiency (ME) ranged from 0.44 (2005) to 0.65 (2002) (Fig. 4, Table 2). When all years were pooled the 314 regression of SPA versus observed ET yielded an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.75, a model efficiency of 0.53 and a 315 316 slope of 0.83 (Fig. 4, Table 2). 317 318 319 320

Intra-daily variation in GPP and ET across wet and dry seasons

To examine diel patterns of ET and GPP across the year, observed or modelled values of ET and GPP were binned across all 5 years for each hourly value across each day of the month to produce a composite 12 month plot (Fig. 5). Clearly apparent are the daily patterns in ET and GPP, with peak values occurring around midday, and the seasonal decline in maximum values of ET and GPP as the dry season progresses from April through October. Similar patterns in hourly ET and GPP were observed for EC and modelled data with modelled values generally falling within the 95% confidence range of observed data.

Partitioning total ET and GPP into C3 and C4 components

Using SPA model outputs it was possible to partition landscape carbon and water fluxes between the C3 overstorey and C4 understorey components. During the wet season total GPP ranged between 2-8 gC m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and the C3 tree and C4 grass understorey each accounted for approximately 50% of the total flux (Fig 6; SPA simulation). In contrast, the rate of water use of the C4 understorey only accounted for approximately 23-35% of the total water flux in the wet season, which exhibited peak values of approximately 5-6 mm d<sup>-1</sup>. During the dry season, when the grasses were absent, all C and water fluxes were accounted for by the C3 component of the vegetation as there was no leaf area or biomass to support any fluxes from the C4 grasses (Fig 6).

Annual sums of GPP and ET across seasons and across years

Annual total GPP and water use values were calculated for the EC data and the SPA outputs for each year (Fig 7a, b). Total GPP for the EC observations and SPA outputs ranged from 1409 to 1560 gC m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> and 1440 to 1501 gC m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> respectively across the 5 years (Fig. 7a). Wet season GPP accounted for between 74 and 81% (EC data) or 80 to 83% (SPA outputs) of the annual total. Total annual water use ranged from 1052 mm to 1213 mm (EC data) or 1181 mm to 1352 mm (SPA output) (Fig. 7b). Wet season water use accounted for 73 to 80% (EC data) or 71 to 76% (SPA) of the annual total.

It is not possible to partition the carbon and water fluxes between the C3 and C4 components of the vegetation using EC data. However, our modified SPA is able to generate outputs for the two vegetation types independently (Fig 7c, d). During the wet season, the proportion of the total GPP that was accounted for by the C3 component was about 43% across the five years and about 18% of GPP was contributed by the overstorey in the dry season (Fig. 7c). During the dry season, there was no active C4 vegetation present therefore the contribution of C4 grasses to total annual GPP was approximately 38%. Proportions of transpiration for wet season overstorey, wet season understorey and dry season over storey were 54, 17 and 29% respectively (Fig. 7d). Therefore, 83% of total annual transpiration was used by overstorey trees but only 62% of total ecosystem GPP was accounted for by C3 trees (Fig. 7c, d).

The relative contribution of changes in soil moisture and LAI to patterns in ET and GPP

In order to assess the relative importance of seasonal changes in either LAI or soil moisture content on carbon and water fluxes, two simulations were used. In the first, LAI was kept

constant at the peak wet season value for the entire year and soil moisture fluctuations occurred as normal in this simulation. In the second, soil moisture content was kept high at wet season values for the entire year but LAI showed normal seasonal cycles.

When LAI was kept constant all year both GPP and ET remained high all year, showing no significant decline in the dry season, despite significant declines in the water content of the upper soil profile during the dry season (Fig 8a). In contrast, when soil moisture content was kept artificially high all year and LAI declined as normal in the dry season, the normal dry season declines in ET and GPP were observed (Fig 8b). There were only very small differences in the patterns of GPP and ET for the control simulation (normal pattern of seasonal change in LAI and soil moisture content) and this second simulation.

Sensitivity analyses of factors affecting GPP and ET

GPP and ET are sensitive to many biotic factors, including foliar N concentration, LAI and many others. Using SPA we doubled and halved the value of many factors and compared average daily GPP and ET for one representative year (2003; Fig. 9). Of all the factors examined, seven are presented here. Doubling the foliar N concentration of all layers resulted in a small increase (about 15%) in GPP and this was apparent for only a small part of the year (April to July). In contrast, halving the foliar N concentration caused a larger decline (10 – 25%) for most, but not all, of the year (Fig. 9b). A similar response of GPP was observed when LAI was halved or doubled, although the magnitude of the decline when LAI was halved was larger (up to 50% decline) than that when foliar N was reduced (Fig. 9c). When LAI and foliar N were doubled or halved in tandem (Fig. 9a) GPP increased by between 30% and

100% (when doubling the values) or declined by 5% to 30% when foliar N and LAI were halved. In contrast to these significant responses in GPP to changes in LAI and foliar N, significant increases in whole plant hydraulic conductance ( $G_{plant}$ ), minimum leaf water potential,  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  had a very small impact on GPP (Fig. 9d, e, f, g). Halving the value of these 4 parameter values had a much larger impact on GPP than doubling their values (Fig 9d, e, f, g). ET was less sensitive to variation in these factors than GPP (Fig. 9). Halving or doubling of foliar N and LAI had no impact on ET (Figs. 9b, a), although ET did decline or increase when foliar N and LAI values were altered in tandem (Fig. 9a). ET was sensitive to changes in  $G_{plant}$  and minimum leaf water potential (Fig. 9d, e) but insensitive to changes in  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  (Fig. 9f, g).

Micro-meteorological drivers of GPP and ET

A comparison of the responses of hourly assimilation  $(A_n)$  and transpiration  $(E_t)$  to solar radiation  $(R_s)$ , vapour pressure deficit (VPD), soil moisture content and LAI in 2003 is presented in Fig. 10. Data are separated by season and canopy layer for clear interpretation of the patterns.  $A_n$  increased curvi-linearly with increasing  $R_s$  but there was a significant decline in maximum values of  $A_n$  in the dry season (Fig 10a, b). Maximum  $E_t$  vales were similar for wet and dry seasons for C3 canopy layers (Fig. 10c, d). C3 and C4 responses of  $A_n$  to  $R_s$  were similar in the wet season (Fig. 10a) while C4 canopy layers had a much lower rate of  $E_t$  during the wet season compared to C3 canopy layers (Fig. 10c). Small increases in VPD from very low levels of VPD resulted in increases in  $A_n$  in the wet season but when VPD exceeded 1-2 kPa, any further increase reduced  $A_n$  in both C3 and C4 canopy layers (Fig. 10e). There was very little response to VPD during the dry season (Fig. 10f). For C3 canopy layers,  $E_t$  increased as VPD increased up to about 1.5 kPa, then  $E_t$  declined when VPD increased

further during the wet season (Fig. 10g). The C3 layers had a higher  $E_t$  peak than C4 layers (Fig. 10g) and  $E_t$  did not peak in the dry season until VPD reached about 2.5 kPa (Fig. 10h). Soil moisture content did not impact on  $A_n$  nor  $E_t$  for either canopy layer in either season (Fig. 10i-l), however, as LAI increased during a season,  $A_n$  and  $E_t$  increased to a plateau (Fig. 10m-p) for C3 and C4 canopy layers.

We used to SPA outputs to estimate water-use-efficiency (WUE) and light-use-efficiency (LUE) of the C3 overstorey and C4 understorey separately (Table 3). Water-use-efficiency was always larger in the wet season than the dry season by between 18 and 64%. The WUE of the understorey was always larger than that of the overstorey, typically by a factor of 2.8 - 3 times. Similarly whole-canopy LUE for the overstorey was always 10 - 26% larger in the wet season than the dry season and whole-canopy LUE for the understorey was always approximately 66% larger than the LUE for the overstorey (Table 3).

### Discussion

GPP and the modified SPA model

The initial aim of this work was to successfully incorporate provision for a C4 grass layer within the SPA model, thereby allowing its application to savannas and other ecosystems with a significant C4 component. Adding the C4 photosynthetic sub-model and LAI/foliar N matrix allowed us to significantly improve wet- and dry-season estimates of GPP. Thus, the slope of the regression of measured and modelled GPP without the C4 capability (excluding C4 photosynthesis routine and LAI/foliar N matrix) was 1.31 and the model efficiency was 0.29 (data not shown). In contrast, the slope of this regression when the model included the C4 capability was 0.83 and model efficiency increased to 0.70, indicating a significant

improvement in model performance. The RMSE was also significantly improved when the C4 capability was added (RMSE values 0.0057 and 1.185 with or without C4 and phenology matrix included, respectively). This improvement was not only because of the incorporation of the C4 photosynthetic pathway for the grass understorey but also because of the capture of seasonal dynamics of LAI and foliar N, especially for grass layers. With only a C3 photosynthetic sub-model GPP was underestimated by 25-30 % during the wet season (data not shown).

Variation in the ability of SPA to accurately reflect intra-annual variation (Table 2) may result from the application of a single common LAI and foliar N dynamic across all years, rather than having an individual input of LAI and foliar N for each year but preliminary analysis showed using remotely sensed LAI data specific for each year changed annual fluxes by no more than 2%. Despite use of a single dynamic for LAI and foliar N for all years, for most of the range of GPP values (1.0 to 7 gC m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) the difference between observed and modelled was less than 10%. Similarly good correlations between observed and modelled hourly values of GPP were obtained in both the wet and dry seasons (Fig 5), further indicating that at this shorter time-scale the SPA model was able to describe diurnal patterns in GPP.

Primary drivers of seasonal changes in GPP

GPP of this savanna site was smallest at the end of the dry season and largest in the mid-and late wet seasons. There are three principal causes of this variation. First, seasonality of changes in LAI of the savanna (trees and grasses) was a principle cause of the decline in GPP observed at the end of the wet season. The annual grasses lose 100% of leaf area by May of each year and approximately 10% of the woody species present are also dry-season

deciduous (Williams *et al.* 1997). A further 15% are dry season semi- or brevi-deciduous (Williams *et al.* 1997). Second, the decline in soil moisture of the upper soil profile (upper 1 m) that occurs throughout the dry season is associated with a decline in stomatal conductance and photosynthetic rate of the trees (Prior *et al.* 1997a; Eamus and Cole 1997). This response is clearly evident in Figs 5 and 7 where peak rates of GPP were up to 60% smaller in the dry season than the wet season. The third cause of the decline was the increase in vapour pressure deficit that occurred in the dry season compared to the wet season (Fig. 2). A decline in stomatal conductance and leaf-scale photosynthesis in response to seasonal increases in VPD have been observed previously (Prior *et al.* 1997b) at this site. An influence of declining soil moisture content and diurnal changes in VPD are also present in the wet season but the magnitude of the impact tends to be smaller in the wet season than the dry season because of the interactive effect of VPD with soil moisture (Thomas *et al.* 1999). Although some studies have shown seasonal variations in GPP may be strongly temperature dependent (Mäkelä *et al.* 2006), we found minimal impact of temperature variation on GPP because the range of daytime temperature change was relatively small at this site.

*Inter-annual variation of site GPP and contribution of C4 photosynthesis* 

The savanna at Howard Springs was a net sink for carbon annually across all five years.

Measured annual totals of GPP estimated from eddy covariance ranged from 1409 - 1558 gC m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 7). The equivalent range from the SPA output was 1516 - 1618 gC m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>.

Such estimates compare well with remotely sensed estimates of GPP using MODIS GPP products 4.5 and 4.8 which predicted annual GPP for the same years as the present study to be in the range 1120 -1780 gC m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Kanniah *et al.* 2009). Even during the dry season the savanna maintained a positive carbon balance through having access to deep soil water stores

in the profile and regulating water-use through stomatal closure and adjustment of LAI (Eamus *et al.* 2000; Eamus *et al.* 2001; Kelley *et al.* 2007). Total wet season productivity contributed approximately 74 - 81% (EC and SPA data) of the total annual productivity because of the large contribution of the C4 grass layer to total ecosystem LAI and the large rates of photosynthesis of C4 grasses. C3 vegetation accounted for approximately 62% of the total annual GPP, despite the C3 vegetation having a substantial LAI for the entire year whilst the grasses were present for only 6 months of the year.

One hypothesis to explain inter-annual variation in GPP at this site is the large inter-annual variation in rainfall, both in terms of the total rainfall and the temporal distribution of rainfall across the year. However, no relationship was found between any measure of measured or modelled GPP (for example wet season or annual GPP) and any measure of precipitation (for example total precipitation, or wet or dry season length (P > 0.05)). In contrast, Leuning *et al.* (2005) and Pepper *et al.* (2008), found a positive relationship between rainfall and site productivity. However, the mean annual rainfall described by Leuning *et al.* (2005) is approximately one third ( $\sim$ 37 %) of that at Howard Springs and in Budyko's terminology (see Donohue *et al.* 2007 for discussion) would be considered to be a water limited site, whilst the NT savannas of the present study are energy, and not water, limited (see discussion below). For energy limited sites, small-to-moderate variations in total rainfall are unlikely to have significant effects on GPP. Furthermore the error in values of GPP calculated from EC data are also likely to be of the order  $\pm$ 150 gC m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (Hutley *et al.* 2000) which may account for much of the observed inter-annual variation in GPP.

The estimate of annual C4 grass GPP was approximately 5.7 tonnes C ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (Fig 7).

Assuming that half of GPP is lost as respiration (a commonly assumed ratio; Waring et al.,

1998; McMurtrie *et al.*, 2008) and half is sequestered to below-ground biomass, and assuming that half the dry weight of grass is C, this equates to a total grass dry biomass of 2.85 tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> available for combustion in savanna fires. This compares well with the range of fine fuel load (including fine woody debris) that accumulates at this site each year (1.58 - 4.26 t) dry mass ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>; Beringer *et al.* 2007).

## Light- use-efficiency derived from SPA

Light-use-efficiency of the overstorey canopy was larger in the wet season than the dry seasons for all years (Table 3) as has been observed in leaf-scale measurements in tropical woodlands previously (Fordyce *et al.* 1995; Eamus and Cole 1997) and in eddy covariance data (Hutley *et al* 2001). The three causes of this response are first, increased VPD in the dry season, which can both decrease stomatal conductance and hence C flux but also increase transpiration (Fordyce *et al.* 1995; Eamus and Cole 1997; O'Grady *et al.* 1999; Eamus *et al.* 1999); second, increased leaf temperature to supra-optimal values (Prior *et al.* 1997b); and third, a decline in soil moisture which causes decreased stomatal conductance. The (C4) understorey exhibited a much larger (by 66%) LUE than the C3 overstorey, reflecting the CO<sub>2</sub> concentrating mechanism, high assimilation rate and lower stomatal conductance typically seen in C4 plants compared to C3 plants (Collatz *et al.* 1992).

### Evapotranspiration and WUE

The strong positive correlation between measured and observed rates of daily ET for all years (Fig 4, Table 2) indicates that the inclusion of the C4 photosynthetic sub-routine was able to capture water fluxes of the grass canopy as well as the C fluxes. Similarly the range in

estimates of total annual ET from the SPA model (1181 – 1352 mm) was comparable to that observed in the EC data (1052 - 1213 mm). Wet season ET accounted for 71 – 80% (EC and SPA data) of the annual total ET and the C3 component of the landscape generally accounted for 76 – 78% of the wet season total ET. These relativities in GPP and ET for the C3 and C4 components of the landscape resulted in much larger water-use-efficiencies (WUE) for the grasses (typically more than 3 times larger WUE for the grasses) than the trees (Table 3). This reflects the smaller stomatal conductance and larger photosynthetic rates of C4 grasses compared to C3 trees.

Sensitivity analysis of model biophysical parameters

A sensitivity analysis on the model's vegetation parameters revealed that GPP was very sensitive to changes in LAI and total foliar N ( $N_f$ ). Increasing LAI alone, whilst keeping  $N_f$  constant resulted in an asymptotic responses of GPP. As LAI increased and  $N_f$  remained constant, the concentration of N within each leaf declined (a fixed amount of N was being spread across an increasingly large leaf area). Consequently net assimilation became limited through limitation in the rate of RuBP carboxylation. Conversely, when  $N_f$  was increased with a constant LAI, a similar asymptotic response of GPP was observed as the canopy became saturated with N and light interception limited GPP. It is noteworthy that the plateau in GPP occurred at the level of LAI and  $N_f$  corresponding to 100% of the current value. This suggests that the canopy LAI and  $N_f$  are currently co-limited and hence have reached optimality. Optimality is defined here as having arisen through evolution such that vegetation displays parameter values that maximise the C gain for a given investment of resources (for instance foliar N). This is similar to the definition used by Schymanski *et al.* (2007). The maximal (wet season) LAI value found to be optimal in our approach was 2.2 - 2.5 which

agrees well with the value of 2.5 derived by Schymanski *et al.* (2007, 2008) using a formal optimality model. The biophysical parameters  $G_{plant}$ ,  $\Psi_{min}$ ,  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$ , were also found to have current values that appear to be optimal, whereby the maximum rate of GPP was attained at values of these parameters that correspond to the observed (100%) value for each parameter. Thus, doubling the parameter value did not, in any instance, significantly increase GPP whilst halving the parameter value caused a small decline in GPP.

Energy versus water limited savanna productivity

The GPP and ET of arid and semi-arid sites are clearly water limited, whilst those of mesic sites are energy limited. All catchments can be shown to be somewhere along a continuum between extremely water limited and extremely energy limited sites (Budyko 1974; Donohue et al. 2007). Budyko (1974) developed a framework for modelling ET based on the relationship of available water and energy, and constrained by the limits of these two variables. We have used this conceptual framework to ask: are these savannas energy or water limited? Given the annual high rainfall and the long dry season without rain, the answer is not intuitively obvious.

When the SPA model was run for one year with a fixed LAI all year (set to wet season values for both the C3 and C4 components) but with real-time observed variation in soil moisture and other meteorological drivers, daily values of GPP (and ET) remained high all year at values closely matching observed wet season values of GPP (Fig 8). Thus dry season declines in GPP (and ET) were not observed. This is in marked contrast to the alternative scenario, where a fixed (high) soil moisture content was maintained all year and normal variations in C3 and C4 LAI were imposed. When this occurred, daily rates of GPP and ET closely matched the observed values throughout the year. We conclude that this savanna site is

limited by the amount of solar radiation intercepted by the canopy. The site is not water limited, despite the presence of a 6 month dry season every year. This is in contrast to the generally accepted view that savanna function and productivity are limited by water availability (Kanniah *et al.* 2009) but is consistent with other findings in this study. The lack of a relationship between annual GPP and any measure of rainfall at this site described above indicates the unlikelihood of water limitation. Furthermore, sensitivity analysis revealed that minimum leaf water potential and whole plant hydraulic conductance (both determinants of water availability in the plant) had to be reduced to unrealistically low values for there to be any impact on GPP (Fig. 9) suggesting GPP is unresponsive to water availability. Finally, seasonal responses of hourly leaf-scale carbon and water fluxes were unresponsive to soil moisture but were bound by values of radiation, VPD and LAI (Fig. 10).

Model outputs unaffected by artificially wet soil and the lack of a relationship between GPP and rainfall or soil moisture are supported by sapflow measurements of tree transpiration at the same site. Rates of sap flux remained constant throughout the year, with no seasonal decline during the dry season (Hutley *et al.* 2000). Furthermore, these trees did not suffer significant water stress during the dry season as leaf water potentials remained high, despite 6 months without rain (Duff *et al.* 1997). The constant rate of transpiration and lack of extreme water stress together with late dry season canopy flushing was attributed to deep tree roots (estimated to be >6m by Cook *et al.* 1998) extracting water from the capillary fringe of the water table as it declined during the dry season (Hutley *et al.* 2000). The interaction of the depth of rooting of eucalypts and the large water storage capacity of the soil within the root zone (Cook *et al.* 1998) provides a consistent water supply for trees when precipitation is scarce. Finally, Cernusak et al (2011) conclude that leaf-scale photosynthesis of *Eucalyptus* and *Corymbia* tree species in these north Australian savannas was not light saturated at full sunlight, which strongly supports our conclusion that the system is light limited.

It is noteworthy that the annual grasses at this site are genetically predestined to die each year, irrespective of soil moisture content (ie the decline in grass LAI is not in response to declining soil moisture content). It is further noteworthy that irrigation of this savanna into the dry season had very little impact on the LAI of the overstorey (Myers *et al.* 1998). However, exclusion of fires for several years causes an increase in tree LAI which results in an increase in measured GPP due to increased light capture and hence enhanced C uptake (Williams *et al.* 2004, Beringer *et al.* 2007). Therefore, hydrological (Cook *et al.* 1998) and ecophysiological (Duff *et al.* 1997, Hutley *et al.* 2000, Beringer *et al.* 2007) data from the site support the model finding that productivity of the vegetation is not limited by water availability (as this is available in deep stores throughout the year). We conclude that the alternative hypothesis, that LAI limits interception of light which limits the maximum GPP, and that the largest driver of the change in savanna LAI is driven by seasonal change in C4 grass LAI, is supported by the analyses presented and the outputs of the modelling.

### **Conclusions**

Modelling C4 photosynthesis is important if we wish to correctly estimate savanna gas exchange. Furthermore, it is critical that seasonal variation in LAI and foliar N content of savanna grasses also be included. The modified SPA model containing an accepted representation of C4 metabolism was able to capture both wet and dry season fluxes of carbon and water of a north Australian savanna. The understorey C4 grasses contributed approximately 38% to total savanna GPP and total wet season GPP accounted for approximately 80% of total annual GPP. Modelled values of GPP agreed well with the eddy-covariance derived estimates of GPP, explaining between 80–87% of the variance and having a low RMSE. Several canopy parameter values (including LAI, foliar N, and G<sub>plant</sub>) appeared

to be co-limiting, such that large increases in allocation of resources to any one of these attributes did not result in large increases in GPP. Counter-intuitively, we conclude that GPP at this site is limited by light interception rather than water availability despite a long and pronounced dry season each year.

643	References
644 645	Baldocchi DD, Finnigan J, Wilson K, Paw UKT, Falge E (2000) On measuring net
646	ecosystem carbon exchange over tall vegetation on complex terrain. Boundary-Layer
647	Meteorology, <b>96</b> , 257-291.
648	Baldocchi DD, Hicks BB, Meyers TP (1988) Measuring biosphere-atmosphere exchanges of
649	biologically related gases with micrometeorological methods. Ecology, 69, 1331-
650	1340.
651	Beringer J, Hutley LB, Tapper NJ Cernusak LA (2007) Savanna fires and their impact on net
652	ecosystem productivity in North Australia. Global Change Biology, 13, 990-1004.
653	Budyko MI, (1974) Climate and Life, New York, Academic Press.
654	Cernusak LA, Hutley, LB, Beringer J, Holtum, JAM and Turner BL.(2011) Photosynthetic
655	physiology of eucalypts along a sub-continental rainfall gradient in north Australia.
656	Agricultural and Forest Meteorology (2011). Doi:10.1016/j.agformet.2011.01.006.
657	Chen X, Hutley LB, Eamus D (2003) Carbon balance of a tropical savanna of northern
658	Australia. <i>Oecologia</i> , <b>137</b> , 405-416.
659	Collatz JG, Ribas-Carbo M, Berry JA (1992) Coupled Photosynthesis-Stomatal Conductance
660	Model for Leaves of C <sub>4</sub> Plants. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology, 19, 519-538.
661	Cook PG, Hatton TJ, Pidsley D, Herczeg AL, Held A, O'Grady A, Eamus D (1998) Water
662	balance of a tropical woodland ecosystem, northern Australia: a combination of
663	micro-meteorological, soil physical and groundwater chemical approaches. Journal of
664	Hydrology, <b>210</b> , 161-177.
665	Donohue RJ, Roderick ML, McVicar TR (2007) On the importance of including vegetation
666	dynamics in Budyko's hydrological model. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 11,
667	983-995.
668	Duff GA, Myers BA, Williams RJ, Eamus D, O'Grady A, Fordyce IR (1997) Seasonal
669	patterns in soil moisture, vapour pressure deficit, tree canopy cover and pre-dawn

670	water potential in a Northern Australian savanna. Australian Journal of Botany, 45,
671	211-224.
672	Eamus D (2003) How does ecosystem water balance affect net primary productivity of
673	woody ecosystems? Functional Plant Biology, 30, 187-205.
674	Eamus D, Cole S (1997) Diurnal and seasonal comparisons of assimilation, phyllode
675	conductance and water potential of three Acacia and one Eucalyptus species in the
676	wet-dry tropics of Australia. Australian Journal of Botany, 45, 275-290.
677	Eamus D, Prior L (2001) Ecophysiology of trees of seasonally dry tropics: Comparisons
678	among phenologies. Advances in Ecological Research, 32, 113-197.
679	Eamus D, Hutley LB O'Grady AP (2001) Daily and seasonal patterns of carbon and water
680	fluxes above a north Australian savanna. Tree Physiology, 21, 977-988.
681	Eamus D, Myers B, Duff G, Williams D (1999) Seasonal changes in photosynthesis of eight
682	savanna tree species. Tree Physiology, 19, 665-671.
683	Eamus D, O'Grady AP, Hutley L (2000) Dry season conditions determine wet season water
684	use in the wet-dry tropical savannas of northern Australia. Tree Physiology, 20, 1219-
685	1226.
686	Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic
687	CO <sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C <sub>3</sub> Species. <i>Planta</i> , <b>149</b> , 78-90.
688	Fisher RA, Williams M, Lola da Costa A, Malhi Y, da Costa RF, Almeida S, Meir P (2007)
689	The response of an Eastern Amazonian rain forest to drought stress: results and
690	modelling analyses from a throughfall exclusion experiment. Global Change Biology,
691	<b>13</b> , 1-18.
692	Fordyce IC, Duff GA, Eamus D (1995). The ecophysiology of Allosyncarpia ternata in
693	northern Australia: tree physiognomy, leaf characteristics and assimilation at
694	contrasting sites. Australian Journal of Botany, 43, 367-377.

695	Ghannoum O, Evans JR, Chow WS, Andrews TJ, Conroy JP, von Caemmerer S (2005)
696	Faster rubisco is the key to superior nitrogen-use efficiency in NADP-malic enzyme
697	relative to NAD-malic enzyme C-4 grasses. Plant Physiology, 137, 638-650.
698	Hutley LB, O'Grady AP, Eamus D (2000) Evapotranspiration from eucalypt open-forest
699	savanna of northern Australia. Functional Ecology, 14, 183-194.
700	Hutley LB, Leuning R, Beringer J, Cleugh HA (2005) The utility of the eddy covariance
701	techniques as a tool in carbon accounting: tropical savanna as a case study. Australian
702	Journal of Botany, <b>53</b> , 663-675.
703	Ju W, Chen JM, Black TA, Barr AG, Liu J, Chen B (2006) Modelling multi-year coupled
704	carbon and water fluxes in a boreal aspen forest. Agricultural and Forest
705	Meteorology, <b>140</b> , 136-151.
706	Kanniah KD, Beringer J, Hutley LB, Tapper NJ, Zhu X (2009) Evaluation of collection 4 and
707	5 of the MODIS GPP product and algorithm improvement at a tropical savanna site in
708	northern Australia. Remote Sensing of Environment, 113, 1808-1822.
709	Kelley G, O'Grady AP, Hutley LB, and Eamus D (2007) A comparison of tree water use in
710	two contiguous vegetation communities of the seasonally dry tropics of Australia:
711	the importance of site water budget to tree hydraulics. Australian Journal of Botany,
712	55, 700-708.
713	Leuning R, Cleugh HA, Zegelin SJ, Hughes D (2005) Carbon and water fluxes over a
714	temperate Eucalyptus forest and a tropical wet/dry savanna in Australia:
715	measurements and comparison with MODIS remote sensing estimates. Agricultural
716	and Forest Meteorology, 129, 151-173.
717	Macinnis-Ng C, Zeppel M, Williams M, Eamus D (2011) Applying a SPA model to examine
718	the impact of climate change on GPP of open woodlands and the potential for woody
719	thickening. Ecohydrology, 2. In Press. DOI 10.1002/eco.138

720	Mäkelä A, Kolari P, Karimäki J, Nikinmaa E, Perämäki M, Hari P (2006) Modelling five
721	years of weather-driven variation of GPP in a boreal forest. Agricultural and Forest
722	Meteorology, <b>139</b> , 382-398.
723	McKenzie N, Jacquier D, Isbell R, Brown K (2004) Australian Soils and Landscapes. CSIRC
724	Publishing. Melbourne. 416 pp.
725	McMurtrie RE, Norby RJ, Medlyn BE, Dewar RC, Pepper DA, Reich PB, Barton CVM.
726	2008. Why is plant-growth response to elevated CO <sub>2</sub> amplified when water is
727	limiting, but reduced when nitrogen is limiting? A growth-optimisation hypothesis.
728	Functional Plant Biology, <b>35</b> : 521–534.
729	Medlyn BE, Robinson A, Clement R, McMurtrie RE (2005) On the validation of models of
730	forest CO <sub>2</sub> exchange using eddy covariance data: some perils and pitfalls. Tree
731	Physiology, <b>25</b> , 839-857.
732	Miranda AC, Miranda HS, Lloyd J et al. (1997) Fluxes of carbon, water and energy over
733	Brazilian cerrado: An analysis using eddy covariance and stable isotopes. Plant Cell
734	and Environment, <b>20</b> , 315-328.
735	Myers BA, Williams RJ, Fordyce I, Duff, GA, Eamus D (1998) Does irrigation affect leaf
736	phenology in deciduous and evergreen trees of the savannas of northern Australia?
737	Australian Journal of Ecology, 23, 329–339
738	O'Grady AP, Eamus D, Hutley LB (1999) Transpiration increases during the dry season:
739	patterns of tree water use in eucalypt open-forests of northern Australia. Tree
740	Physiology, <b>19</b> , 591–598
741	O'Grady AP, Chen X, Eamus D, Hutley LB (2000) Composition, leaf area index and standing
742	biomass of eucalypt open forests near Darwin in the Northern Territory, Australia.
743	Australian Journal of Botany, 48, 629-638.

744	Palmer A, Fuentes S, Taylor DT et al. (2008) The use of pre-dawn leaf water potential and
745	MODIS LAI to explore seasonal trends in the phenology of Australian and southern
746	African woodlands and savannas. Australian Journal of Botany, 56, 557-563.
747	Pepper DA, McMurtrie RE, Medlyn BE, Keith H, Eamus D (2008) Mechanisms linking plant
748	productivity and water status for a temperate Eucalyptus forest flux site: analysis over
749	wet and dry years with a simple model. Functional Plant Biology, 35, 493-508.
750	Prior L, Eamus D, Duff GA (1997a) Seasonal and diurnal patterns of carbon assimilation,
751	stomatal conductance and leaf water potential in Eucalyptus tetrodonta saplings in a
752	wet-dry savanna in northern Australia. Australian Journal of Botany, 45, 241 - 258.
753	Prior L, Eamus D, Duff GA (1997b) Seasonal trends in carbon assimilation, stomatal
754	conductance, pre-dawn leaf water potential and growth of Terminalia ferdinandiana, a
755	deciduous tree of northern Australia. Australian Journal of Botany, 45, 53-69.
756	Prior L, Bowman DMJS, Eamus D (2004) Seasonal differences in leaf attributes in Australian
757	tropical tree species: family and habitat comparisons. Functional Ecology, 18, 707-
758	718.
759	Schymanski SJ, Roderick ML, Sivapalan M, Hutley LB, Beringer J (2007) A test of the
760	optimality approach to modelling canopy properties and CO2 uptake by natural
761	vegetation. Plant Cell and Environment, 30, 1586-1598.
762	Schymanski SJ, Sivapalan M, Roderick ML, Beringer J, Hutley LB (2008) An optimality-
763	based model of the coupled soil moisture and root dynamics. Hydrology and Earth
764	System Sciences, <b>12</b> , 913-932.
765	Thomas DS, Eamus D (1999) The influence of predawn leaf water potential on stem
766	hydraulic conductivity and foliar ABA concentrations and on stomatal responses to
767	atmospheric water content at constant Ci. Journal of Experimental Botany, 50, 243-
768	251.

769	Thomas DS, Eamus D, Bell D (1999) Optimization theory of stomatal behaviour II. Stomatal
770	responses of several tree species of north Australia to changes in light, soil and
771	atmospheric water content and temperature. Journal of Experimental Botany, 50, 393-
772	400.
773	Thomas DS, Eamus D, Shanahan S (2000) Studies on the influence of season, drought and
774	xylem ABA on stomatal responses to leaf-to-air vapour pressure difference of trees of
775	the Australian wet-dry tropics. Australian Journal of Botany, 48, 143-153.
776	Waring RH, Landsberg JJ, Williams M. 1998. Net primary production of forests: a constant
777	fraction of gross primary production? Tree Physiology 18: 129–134.
778	Webb EK, Pearman GI, Leuning R (1980) Correction of flux measurements for density
779	effects due to heat and water vapour transfer. Quarterly Journal of the Royal
780	Meteorological Society, 106, 85-100.
781	Williams M, Rastetter EB, Fernandes DN et al. (1996) Modelling the soil-plant-atmosphere
782	continuum in a Quercus-Acer stand at Harvard Forest: the regulation of stomata
783	conductance by light, nitrogen and soil/plant hydraulic properties. Plant, Cell and
784	Environment, <b>19</b> , 911-927.
785	Williams RJ, Myers BA, Muller WA, Duff GA, Eamus D (1997) Leaf phenology of woody
786	species in a north Australian tropical savanna. Ecology 78, 2542-2558.
787	Williams M, Malhi Y, Nobre A, Rastetter E, Grace J, Pereira M (1998) Seasonal variation in
788	net carbon exchange and evapotranspiration in a Brazilliam rain forest. Plant Cell and
789	Environmnet, <b>21</b> , 953-968.
790	Williams M, Rastetter EB, Shaver GR, Hobbie JE, Carpino E, Kwiatkowski BL (2001)
791	Primary production of an arctic watershed: an uncertainty analsysis. Ecological
792	Applications, 11, 1800-1816.

793	Williams RJ, Hutley LB, Cook GD, Russell-Smith J, Edwards A, Chen XY (2004) Assessing
794	the carbon sequestration potential of mesic savannas in the Northern Territory,
795	Australia: approaches, uncertainties and potential impacts of fire. Functional Plant
796	Biology, <b>31</b> , 415-422.
797	Zeppel MJB, Macinnis-Ng CMO, Palmer A et al. (2008) An analysis of the sensitivity of sap
798	flux to soil and plant variables assessed for an Australian woodland using a soil-plant
799	atmosphere model. Functional Plant Biology, 35, 509-520.
800	
001	
801	

## **Tables**

Table 1. Values used in SPA for this study.

SPA model input values indicating the name, symbol, units, value used and whether the data were measured or estimated for the study site.

Parameter/Variable	Symbol	Units	Value	Source
Ambient atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentration	Ca	mmol mol <sup>-1</sup>	374	Measured, this study.
Canopy layer capacitance	$C_n$		5000	Williams <i>et al</i> . 1996
Canopy hydraulic conductivity	$G_{plant}$	mmol m <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> MPa <sup>-1</sup>	3.5	Zeppel et al. 2008
Layer height of soil	Н	m	0.2 to 1.6 m depth, then 1.6 to 6.4 m	Site estimate
Leaf Area Index	LAI	$m^{-2} m^2$	0.6 to 2.35	MODIS LAI product and measured values
Proportion of LAI in top layer	$L_{\text{top}}$		0.125	Estimated using Weibull cumulative distribution function
Areal concentration of leaf N	N	g m <sup>-2</sup> ground area	3.84	Prior <i>et al.</i> 2004 and Ghannoum <i>et al.</i> 2005
Proportion of total canopy N in top layer	$N_{\text{top}}$		0.125	Estimated, this study
Fine root radius	$r_r$	M	0.0001	Measured, this study.
Air temperature	$T_a$	°C	Variable	Measured, this study.
Leaf temperature	$T_{i}$	°C	Variable	Measured, this study.
C3 RuBP carboxylation capacity	$C3 V_{cmax}$	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	73.6	Zeppel et al. 2008
C3 Maximum electron transport rate	$C3 J_{max}$	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	129.8	Zeppel et al. 2008
C4 RuBP carboxylation capacity	C3 V <sub>cmax</sub>		47.0	Ghannoum <i>et al</i> . 2005
Transition between light-limited and RuBisCO limited CO <sub>2</sub> flux	$\theta_{cj}$	0.83	unitless	Collatz et al. 1992
Intrinsic quantum yield	$\alpha_{rf}$	0.067	mol mol <sup>-1</sup>	Collatz et al. 1992
Co-limitation between light, RuBisCO and CO <sub>2</sub> limited flux	β	0.93	unitless	Collatz et al. 1992
First order rate constant	$k_{\mathrm{T}}$	0.7	$mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	Collatz et al. 1992
$\delta A/\delta g_s$ threshold for stomatal opening	I	%	1.0007	Williams <i>et al</i> . 1996

Minimum sustainable leaf water potential	$\Psi_{lmin}$	MPa	-2.5	Kelley et al. 2007
Soil water potential	$\Psi_{ m s}$	MPa	-0.5	Pre-dawn leaf
				water potential,
				this study
% soil clay content in top 10 cm		%	5.0	McKenzie et al.
				2004
% soil sand content in top 10 cm		%	45.0	McKenzie et al.
				2004
Draincheck – field capacity as fraction of		fraction	0.5	Zeppel et al. 2008
total porosity				
Latitude		0	12°29.712	Measured, this
		_	'S	study.
Dimension of leaves		$m^2$	0.08	Prior <i>et al</i> . 1997a.
Root resistivity		MPa s g	100	Estimated, this
		mmol <sup>-1</sup>		study.
Root biomass		g	1930	Chen et al. 2003
Rooting depth		m	6.4	Chen et al. 2003

Table 2: Model performance for each year and the total dataset for gross primary productivity and evapotranspiration reported as  $R^2$ , model efficiency (ME), root-mean-square error (RMSE), slope and y-intercept. Values of ME range from  $-\infty$  to 1, where 1 represents a perfect match between measured and modelled values. Units of RMSE are g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for GPP and mm d<sup>-1</sup> for ET.

Simulation							
Type	Year	Output	$\mathbb{R}^2$	ME	<b>RMSE</b>	Slope	Intercept
C3 only	2001	GPP	0.86	0.62	0.5788	1.04	0.45556
		ET	0.78	0.58	0.1843	0.9	0.15756
	2002	GPP	0.88	0.58	0.7753	1.29	-0.19748
		ET	0.82	0.65	0.1437	0.86	0.36164
	2003	GPP	0.88	0.71	0.3870	1.26	-0.50405
		ET	0.75	0.47	0.0604	0.72	1.00741
	2004	GPP	0.79	0.43	0.6450	0.88	1.06609
		ET	0.76	0.50	0.1212	0.73	1.10041
	2005	GPP	0.88	0.54	0.8887	1.25	0.02909
		ET	0.69	0.45	0.0221	0.81	0.65499
	All	GPP	0.85	0.59	0.6550	1.14	0.18159
		ET	0.76	0.54	0.0336	0.81	0.64933
Mixed C3/C4	2001	GPP	0.87	0.67	0.0875	0.75	0.90186
		ET	0.77	0.58	0.1205	0.93	0.13424
	2002	GPP	0.90	0.80	0.1124	0.95	0.31099
		ET	0.81	0.65	0.0656	0.89	0.32019
	2003	GPP	0.88	0.74	0.2806	0.89	0.15795
		ET	0.73	0.46	0.1309	0.74	0.99381
	2004	GPP	0.79	0.44	0.0025	0.64	1.47203
		ET	0.76	0.49	0.1929	0.76	1.06808
	2005	GPP	0.87	0.73	0.2248	0.91	0.60362
		ET	0.67	0.44	0.0500	0.83	0.63642
	All	GPP	0.86	0.70	0.0057	0.83	0.69562
		ET	0.75	0.53	0.0376	0.83	0.62319

Table 3: Using SPA outputs, annual and seasonal water and C fluxes, water-use-efficiency and light-use-efficiency have been partitioned between the C3 overstorey and the C4 understorey. ET = Evapotranspiration (other water fluxes are transpiration rates only). Over = Overstorey; Under = understorey. By definition, the grass understorey is absent in the dry season and hence doesn't have C and water flux values.

		Annual			Wet Season			Dry Season					
	Year	ET	Over + under	Over	Under	ET	Over + under	Over	Under	ET	Over + under	Over	Under
Water Use (mm)	2001	1243	805	674	131	926	571	440	131	317	234	234	0
	2002	1352	914	754	160	1021	670	510	160	331	244	244	0
	2003	1181	803	673	130	850	555	425	130	331	248	248	0
	2004	1320	867	727	140	941	591	451	140	378	276	276	0
	2005	1307	881	733	148	968	634	486	148	339	247	247	0
Carbon uptake (gC m <sup>-2</sup> )	2001		1440	869	567		1186	635	567		254	254	0
	2002		1465	902	571		1204	641	571		261	261	0
	2003		1501	942	573		1202	643	573		299	299	0
	2004		1498	943	564		1202	650	564		293	293	0
	2005		1475	909	575		1214	648	575		261	261	0
WUE (mmol $CO_2$ mol <sup>-1</sup> $H_20$ )	2001		2.68	1.93	6.49		3.12	2.15	6.49		1.63	1.63	0
	2002		2.40	1.79	5.35		2.70	1.89	5.35		1.60	1.60	0
	2003		2.80	2.10	6.61		3.25	2.97	6.61		1.81	1.81	0
	2004		2.59	1.95	6.04		3.05	2.16	6.04		1.59	1.59	0
	2005		2.51	1.86	5.83		2.87	2.00	5.83		1.59	1.59	0
LUE (mol CO <sub>2</sub> mol <sup>-1</sup>	2001		0.2011	0.1640	0.3046	·	0.2206	0.1807	0.3046		0.1424	0.1424	0

photon)				
	2002	0.2046 0.1703 0.3068	0.2240 0.1824 0.3068	0.1463 0.1463 0
	2003	0.2096 0.1778 0.3078	0.2236 0.1830 0.3078	0.1676 0.1676 0
	2004	0.2092 0.1780 0.3030	0.2236 0.1850 0.3030	0.1642 0.1642 0
	2005	0.2060 0.1716 0.3089	0.2258 0.1844 0.3089	0.1463 0.1463 0

## Figure legends

Figure 1: Seasonal patterns in (a) total leaf area index (LAI); (b) C3 (tree) and C4 (grass) LAI (the same pattern was applied to all years); and (c) the vertical distribution of LAI through the entire savanna canopy during February when LAI was at its peak. Data were derived from MODIS images and on-ground measurements to create smoothed representations of wet and dry season variation in leaf area index.

Figure 2: Meteorological data measured at the eddy-covariance tower at Howard Springs for all years. Values shown are (a) short-wave solar radiation and maximum daily vapour pressure deficit; (b) maximum and minimum air temperatures; (c) soil moisture content and rainfall (vertical bars).

Figure 3: Annual patterns in ecosystem GPP (top panels) and evapotranspiration and transpiration (bottom panels) for the years 2001-2005 for eddy covariance outputs ( $\Diamond$ ) and SPA model outputs (+). The shaded sections represent the dry season each year. All EC data represent total landscape fluxes but SPA model outputs are either the original C3-only SPA formulation ((a) and (c)) or the modified model with both C3 (tree) and C4 (grass) photosynthetic pathways incorporated ((b) and (d)).

Figure 4: Comparisons of measured (eddy covariance) and modelled values of evapotranspiration (a-f) and gross primary productivity (GPP, g-l). Each point represents a daily measurement each year or all years combined. Linear regressions and the 1:1 line are displayed in each plot. See Table 2 for R<sup>2</sup> values for each plot.

Figure 5: Hourly patterns in (a) evapotranspiration (ET) and (b) gross primary productivity (GPP) for binned values of the year 2003. For each month, hourly values across each day were binned to demonstrate seasonal changes in diel patterns. Eddy covariance data are represented by circles and SPA model outputs are shown in as a solid black line. The grey shading represents the 95% confidence range of values measured with eddy covariance.

Figure 6: Modelled daily values of (a) gross primary productivity and (b) transpiration for the C3 (tree) canopy (o), and C4 understorey (x) from 2001 to 2005. The shaded region represents the dry season of each year.

Figure 7: Seasonal (wet and dry season) values of (a) gross primary productivity and (b) evapotranspiration for years 2001 to 2005 for eddy covariance and SPA model outputs. Model outputs of GPP and transpiration are also disaggregated into C3 (overstorey) and C4 (understorey) components for wet and dry seasons in (c) and (d).

Figure 8: A comparison of model outputs of GPP and transpiration for two contrasting scenarios. In the first scenario, (a) leaf area index (LAI) was kept constant all year and soil water content (SWC) varied seasonally according to observed values in a single (2003) year. In the second scenario (b) soil moisture was kept high throughout the year and LAI showed the standard seasonal decline in the dry season. The grey shading represents the dry season. In both scenarios, model outputs were compared with the output from the "normal simulation" where both LAI and soil moisture content varied seasonally.

Figure 9: Sensitivity analysis demonstrating the consequence of varying (a) foliar N and leaf area index (LAI) simultaneously; (b) foliar N or (c) LAI separately; (d) whole plant hydraulic conductance ( $G_{plant}$ ), (e) minimum leaf water potential ( $\psi_{min}$ ), (f) maximum carboxylation rate ( $V_{cmax}$ ) and (g) maximum electron transport rate ( $J_{max}$ ) on daily gross primary productivity (GPP) and evapotranspiration (ET). Values for each parameter were reduced or increased relative to the standard control simulation, defined as 100% (see Table 1 for actual parameter values used in control simulations).

Figure 10: Seasonal responses of hourly values of assimilation  $(A_n)$  and transpiration  $(E_t)$  to solar radiation (a-d), vapour pressure deficit (e-h), soil moisture content (i-l) and LAI (m-p) for the wet

and dry seasons of 2003. Data were separated into C3 (o) and C4 (x) components of the vegetation. Lines shown are the 95% confidence boundaries for C3 (dashed) and C4 (solid) canopy layers.



















