

**EXPOSING
THE COMPLEX REALITIES
OF
NURSING
UNRESPONSIVE PATIENTS' PAIN
IN
INTENSIVE CARE**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the
degree

Doctor of Philosophy

from

The University of Technology
Sydney

by

Nerilee Baker RN, BHealthSc (Nursing) CSU-Riverina

Faculty of Nursing, Midwifery and Health

2009

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student

0.2 Acknowledgments - *valuing the help*

The following people have impacted greatly on the production of this thesis.

During the years of this study, my supervisors, Professor Jackie Crisp and Professor Jill White have dialogued with me, given intellectual and sustaining support, and kept me focused on the goal of completion.

Earlier in the candidature, Professor Sue Nagy provided a beautiful space to meet and assisted my progress with conversations and feedback.

My work associates listened, questioned, told me their opinions and endured my laptop and piles of paper around me on quiet night duty shifts. Especially those nurses who were willing participants in this study shared their words to allow me to see their practice and use their story in order to improve understanding. More recently nurses asking, "Have you finished yet?" spurred me to extend the necessary culminating writing effort.

My fellow post-graduate research students raised my awareness of other nursing issues and methodologies, offered valuable feedback, and generally encouraged my progress through the support of knowing that I was not alone in this endeavour.

The hospital medical library was invaluable in providing an excellent literature search and retrieval service. Other interested thinkers responded to conversations about my study by helping me to track down relevant literature.

Time to devote to this work was given to me by my parents when they cared for my son Braden and daughter Emmalene. They were generous and patient. My mother also provided considerable help in assisting me with the transcription of taped conversations between myself and the participating nurses.

My son and daughter have, up to now, only experienced their mum in 'finishing thesis' mode. I am grateful for their acceptance of my student role and their patience towards me. Now we can have *fun* together.

My hospital generously released me for a block of study leave in addition to a secondment in the nursing research unit which allowed me time to write. UTS gave me a grant to relieve me of some of my paid employment to help with completion. Space and the use of a computer were also provided by UTS at Broadway and the Child and Adolescent Practice Development Unit at Sydney Children's Hospital during the course of undertaking this study.

My parents were tremendously supportive. Sadly they both passed away during my candidature. Nevertheless, they always believed that I would persevere until the end and would have had great joy in celebrating the day of completion with me. My family, friends and colleagues have given me many kind words of encouragement, let their email accounts hold backups, read drafts of this thesis and offered comments and constructive criticism which greatly helped to refine my arguments and presentation.

To all of you, thank you.

I want to acknowledge as a believer

“the LORD is my strength”

Psalm 18:2

0.3 Contents – *showing the way***page****Prelude**

0.1 Certificate of Authorship	ii
0.2 Acknowledgments - <i>valuing the help</i>	iii
0.3 Contents - <i>showing the way</i>	v
0.4 Figures	xiv
0.5 Tables	xv
0.6 Abstract - <i>glimpsing the whole</i>	xvi
0.7 My story in two voices - <i>questioning my practice</i>	xviii

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Thesis preview - <i>beginning the approach</i>	1
1.1.1 The research question	3
1.2 Investigator background - <i>my story as researcher</i>	3
1.2.1 About thinking that unconscious patients' pain might be knowable	4
1.2.2 About only patients themselves knowing their own pain experience	5
1.2.3 About complexity of competing priorities	6
1.2.4 About valuing nursing and external confidence	8
1.2.5 About sensitivity and seniority	9
1.2.6 Summary	10
1.3 Context of topic - <i>locating the problem</i>	11
1.3.1 About patients, ICU, technology and pain	12
1.3.2 About patients' inability to communicate	13
1.3.3 About nurses working within team priorities	14
1.3.4 About the negative consequences of uncertainty	17
1.3.5 Summary	18
1.4 Thesis overview – <i>providing the map</i>	19

2.0 Literature

2.1 Literature review – <i>seeking the known</i>	24
2.1.1 About accepted definitions of pain	25
2.1.2 About concepts and theories of pain	26
2.1.2.1 <i>Affect theory</i>	27
2.1.2.2 <i>Specificity theory</i>	27
2.1.2.3 <i>Pattern theory</i>	28
2.1.2.4 <i>Gate control theory</i>	29
2.1.2.5 <i>Endogenous mechanisms of pain inhibition theory</i>	30
2.1.2.6 <i>Pain reflexes</i>	30
2.1.2.7 <i>Loeser pain model</i>	30
2.1.2.8 <i>Pain and cognition</i>	33
2.1.3 About the relationship between nursing models and pain	34
2.1.3.1 <i>Medical model</i>	34
2.1.3.2 <i>Orem's model</i>	35
2.1.3.3 <i>Roper's model</i>	35
2.1.3.4 <i>Roy's model</i>	35

2.1.3.5	<i>FANCAP</i>	35
2.1.4	About pain assessment options	36
2.1.4.1	<i>Complexity of pain experience</i>	36
2.1.4.2	<i>Pain experience through cultural learning and language</i>	36
2.1.4.3	<i>Experiencing pain in relation to anxiety, attention and control</i>	37
2.1.4.4	<i>Connecting meaning and past experience to pain</i>	38
2.1.4.5	<i>The inaccuracy of independent nursing assessment of pain</i>	40
2.1.4.6	<i>Lack of documentation</i>	41
2.1.4.7	<i>The ICU pain and sedation chart</i>	43
2.1.5	Nursing pain assessment in ICU	44
2.1.5.1	<i>Using the Loeser model to frame up pain assessment in ICU</i>	50
2.1.6	About nurses working with doctors	50
2.1.7	About pain management options	52
2.1.8	Summary	54

3.0 Methodology

3.1	Journey to methodology – <i>finding the words</i>	56
3.1.1	About understanding the inclusion of philosophical notions	57
3.1.2	About starting my thinking within the empirical paradigm	58
3.1.3	About the idea of mapping nurses making decisions	60
3.1.4	About valuing the human complexity around objective measurement	61
3.1.5	About considering human interactions and experience within the interpretivist paradigm	62
3.1.6	About seeking core social processes using grounded theory	63
3.1.7	About wanting width in addition to the depth of grounded theory	64
3.1.8	About understanding individual experience through phenomenology	64
3.1.9	About the method of phenomenological research	66
3.1.10	About credibility and trustworthiness	67
3.1.11	About holding onto complexity in a debate on essence	69
3.1.12	About explanatory and expressive forms of knowledge	72
3.1.13	About the way narrative addresses complexity	75
3.1.14	About a critical methodological stance	76
3.1.15	About the universal nature of storytelling	77
3.1.16	About nursing's love of stories	79
3.1.17	Summary	80
3.2	Refinement of methodology - <i>following the narrative</i>	82
3.2.1	About defining words used	85
3.2.2	About selecting from the variety of narrative analysis methods	87

3.2.3	About the structural narrative analysis of Labov and Waletzky	87
3.2.4	About the linguistic analysis of Gee	90
3.2.5	About coherence of life stories by Agar and Hobbs	93
3.2.6	About the collective story of Richardson	95
3.2.7	About the concept of co-creation of narratives by Mishler	98
3.2.8	About the folktale story structure of Johnson and Mandler	101
3.2.9	About the expressive responses of Reason and Hawkins	103
3.2.10	About the narrative thinking of Ricoeur	107
3.2.11	Summary	109
3.3	Positioning of methodology – <i>finding the story</i>	110
3.3.1	About claiming consistency despite using elements from seemingly diverse narrative researchers	112
3.3.2	About what constitutes a story	115
3.3.2.1	<i>Ricoeur's mimesis1 – prefiguration</i>	115
3.3.2.2	<i>Performance – oral speech event</i>	115
3.3.2.3	<i>Anecdote</i>	116
3.3.2.4	<i>Personal narrative</i>	116
3.3.2.5	<i>Collective story</i>	116
3.3.2.6	<i>Co-created story</i>	116
3.3.2.7	<i>Metanarrative</i>	117
3.3.3	About the nature of the link between stories and life	117
3.3.3.1	<i>Ricoeur's mimesis2 – configuration</i>	118
3.3.3.2	<i>Constitutive/constitute</i>	118
3.3.3.3	<i>Temporality and emplotment</i>	123
3.3.3.4	<i>Oral and written language</i>	127
3.3.3.5	<i>Interpretation of meaning</i>	131
3.3.3.6	<i>Truth claims</i>	134
3.3.4	About the influence of the listener on stories	137
3.3.4.1	<i>Ricoeur's mimesis3 – refiguration</i>	138
3.3.4.2	<i>Dialectics and dialogue</i>	139
3.3.5	About internal trustworthiness	143
3.3.5.1	<i>Ricoeur's four dimensions of text</i>	143
3.3.5.2	<i>Researcher's obligations</i>	145
3.3.6	Summary	146

4.0 Method

4.1	Data collection – <i>welcoming the stories</i>	148
4.1.1	About inviting expression	148
4.1.2	About wanting storied words	148
4.1.2.1	<i>Rehearsing moved me from 'interview' to 'conversation'</i>	149
4.1.3	About wanting my colleagues' words	149
4.1.3.1	<i>Using familiarity to gain deeper understandings</i>	150
4.1.3.2	<i>Using diversity to gain wider understandings</i>	150
4.1.3.3	<i>Introducing the storytellers</i>	151
4.1.4	About wanting trustworthy words	153
4.1.4.1	<i>Recording my position before listening to participants</i>	153
4.1.4.2	<i>Contributing as participant-researcher</i>	153

4.1.4.3	<i>Inviting nurses respectfully to ensure an ethical study</i>	154
4.1.4.4	<i>Time lag between inviting participants and recording conversations</i>	155
4.1.4.5	<i>Locating the place of conversation to ensure comfort</i>	155
4.1.4.6	<i>Acknowledging limitations due to contrived nature of research conversations</i>	155
4.1.4.7	<i>The interference of my perceived status</i>	156
4.1.4.8	<i>Freezing the fluidity of movement when recording conversations</i>	157
4.1.5	About receiving nurses' words	157
4.1.5.1	<i>Using an interview prompt – the tension between conversation and research</i>	158
4.1.5.2	<i>Confusion around the word 'purposive' for which there was not shared understanding</i>	159
4.1.5.3	<i>Ending made to conversations by participants to ensure completeness</i>	159
4.1.6	About transcribing – turning talk to text	160
4.1.7	About using and storing the information confidentially	161
4.1.8	Summary	162
4.2	Analysis – <i>working the stories</i>	162
4.2.1	About analysing the text	164
4.2.1.1	<i>Familiarity with nurses' words through reading and listening</i>	164
4.2.1.2	<i>Creating individual flow diagrams led to visualising a metaphor</i>	164
4.2.1.3	<i>Locating and formatting anecdotes</i>	165
4.2.1.4	<i>Analysing anecdotes</i>	165
4.2.1.5	<i>Moving from anecdotes to whole text as narrative</i>	167
4.2.1.6	<i>Including myself as contributor</i>	168
4.2.1.7	<i>Coding for content and meaning</i>	169
4.2.2	About structuring the findings	171
4.2.2.1	<i>Writing the collective story in Labov and Waletzky's structure</i>	171
4.2.2.2	<i>Structuring the collective story under Johnson and Mandler's episodic framework</i>	172
4.2.2.3	<i>Condensing the collective story</i>	172
4.2.2.4	<i>Reception of the collective story</i>	173
4.2.2.5	<i>Exemplary nursing stories</i>	174
4.2.3	About responding expressively	175
4.2.3.1	<i>Expressive inquiry</i>	176
4.2.3.2	<i>Nurses' metaphors in poetry</i>	176
4.2.3.3	<i>The freezing of stories through writing</i>	177
4.2.4	Ensuring trustworthiness	177
4.2.4.1	<i>Impact of myself as researcher on the research process</i>	178
4.2.5	Summary	181

5.0 Findings

5.1	Metaphor – <i>exposing the dark</i>	182
5.1.1	About metaphors	182

5.1.2	The dark room metaphor	183
5.1.2.1	<i>Is it a room?</i>	184
5.1.2.2	<i>Is it dark? [uncertainty]</i>	184
5.1.2.3	<i>Do we go in or not? [connection]</i>	184
5.1.2.4	<i>What do we see? [best guess]</i>	184
5.1.2.5	<i>Getting things out of our satchel [constraints]</i>	185
5.1.2.6	<i>Developing the concept of 'seeing' in the darkness</i>	185
5.1.2.7	<i>Developing the concept of the satchel</i>	187
5.1.3	Attempting to expand the metaphor	188
5.1.4	Metaphorical story	189
5.1.5	Summary	189
5.2	Collective story – <i>creating the blend</i>	190
5.2.1	The collective story	190
5.2.2	Collective tensions	198
5.2.3	Summary	199
5.3	Poem – <i>expressing the pain</i>	199
5.3.1	About poetry	199
5.3.2	The poem	200
5.3.3	Responses to the poem	201
5.3.4	Summary	201
5.4	Focus – <i>presenting the issues</i>	202
5.4.1	About the 'nursing process'	202
5.4.1.1	<i>Nurses are individuals</i>	202
5.4.1.2	<i>Nurses are human</i>	203
5.4.1.3	<i>What nurses can see</i>	204
5.4.1.4	<i>What nurses think they should do</i>	204
5.4.1.5	<i>What nurses believe they can do</i>	205
5.4.1.6	<i>Uncertainty</i>	205
5.4.2	About expanding on concepts from the dark room metaphor	208
5.4.2.1	<i>The darkness</i>	208
5.4.2.2	<i>Entering the room</i>	208
5.4.2.3	<i>The silhouette</i>	209
5.4.2.4	<i>The nurses' satchel</i>	209
5.4.2.5	<i>Filling the satchel</i>	209
5.4.2.6	<i>The contents of the satchel</i>	210
5.4.2.7	<i>Accessing the satchel</i>	210
5.4.2.8	<i>Dispensing satchel contents</i>	211
5.4.2.9	<i>Lighting the room</i>	212
5.4.3	About choosing key issues to discuss	212
5.4.4	About stories and counter-stories	215
5.4.5	About the context, characters and action of the story	216
5.4.5.1	<i>Spotlight on the setting – 'there' – where the action takes place</i>	217
5.4.5.2	<i>Spotlight on the patient – 'there' – the silent character</i>	217
5.4.5.3	<i>Spotlight on the nurse – 'yourself' – the leading character</i>	218
5.4.5.4	<i>Spotlight the action – 'put' – seeing then balancing</i>	219

5.4.6	About coloured spheres of interactions	220
5.4.6.1	<i>Blue sphere – the world of nurses</i>	221
5.4.6.2	<i>Red sphere – the world of doctors</i>	222
5.4.6.3	<i>Yellow sphere – the world of the patient and family</i>	222
5.4.6.4	<i>Purple intersection – doctors (red) and nurses (blue) interacting</i>	222
5.4.6.5	<i>Orange intersection – doctors (red) and patients (yellow)</i>	222
5.4.6.6	<i>Green intersection – nurses (blue) and patients and family (yellow)</i>	222
5.4.6.7	<i>Brown murk – considering pain</i>	223
5.4.7	About ‘seeing’, ‘doing’ and ‘coping’ in an environment of uncertainty	224
5.4.8	About uncertainty and coping	228
5.4.9	About the final focus	230
5.4.9.1	<i>Head (epistemology)</i>	230
5.4.9.2	<i>Heart (ontology)</i>	232
5.4.9.3	<i>Voice (story)</i>	233
5.4.9.4	<i>What difference does this study make?</i>	234
5.4.10	Summary	234

6.0 Interlude

6.1	Pausing – <i>linking the concepts</i>	236
6.1.1	The layout of concepts	237
6.1.1.1	<i>Dialectic to synthesis via dialogue</i>	238
6.1.1.2	<i>Constitutive or constitute</i>	238
6.1.1.3	<i>The linkages to ‘head’</i>	239
6.1.1.4	<i>The linkages to ‘heart’</i>	239
6.1.1.5	<i>The linkages of the six human needs</i>	239
6.1.1.6	<i>Certainty/uncertainty [head]</i>	239
6.1.1.7	<i>Growth/contribution [voice]</i>	239
6.1.1.8	<i>Significance/connection [heart]</i>	240
6.1.2	Example of cure/comfort	240
6.1.2.1	<i>Linking to ‘head’ and ‘constitutive’</i>	240
6.1.2.2	<i>Linking to ‘heart’ and ‘constitutive’</i>	240
6.1.2.3	<i>Linking to ‘head’ and ‘constitute’</i>	240
6.1.2.4	<i>Linking to ‘heart’ and ‘constitute’</i>	241
6.1.3	Global linkages	241

7.0 Synthesis

7.1	Pain care - <i>seeking certainty in ‘seeing’ and ‘doing’</i>	242
7.1.1	About conceptualising pain	243
7.1.2	About our pain assessment options [seeing the silhouette]	243
7.1.2.1	<i>Assuming pain from physical signs [paradox of other causes of physical signs]</i>	244
7.1.2.2	<i>Assuming pain from restlessness [paradox of calm patients in pain]</i>	245
7.1.2.3	<i>Assuming pain from nociception [paradox of inflicting pain]</i>	247
7.1.2.4	<i>Nurses imagining themselves in patients’ situations [paradox of nurses instead focussing on patient recovery]</i>	251

7.1.2.5	<i>Assuming pain from the input from relatives [paradox of needy relatives distracting attention from patients]</i>	251
7.1.3	About the goal of intensive patient care	252
7.1.4	About our pain management options [the contents of our satchels]	255
7.1.4.1	<i>Pharmacology</i>	257
	(a) Analgesia	260
	(b) Sedation	261
7.1.4.2	<i>Touch</i>	261
	(a) Position	262
	(b) Turning	263
	(c) Hygiene	264
	(d) Massage	265
7.1.4.3	<i>Talk</i>	267
	(a) Comfort talk	267
	(b) Preparatory talk	268
7.1.4.4	<i>Sleep</i>	269
7.1.4.5	<i>Alternative therapies</i>	270
	(a) Aromatherapy	270
	(b) Imagery/music	270
7.1.5	About a way to decrease uncertainty by making the patients more awake	271
7.1.6	Summary	272
7.2	Epistemology – <i>‘thinking’ through uncertainty</i>	273
7.2.1	About nurses’ uncertainty in relation to pain [the darkness]	274
7.2.1.1	<i>The concept of uncertainty</i>	275
7.2.2	About the expectation of certainty	278
7.2.3	About gaining certainty through learning [filling our satchels]	279
7.2.3.1	<i>Accurate pain measurement</i>	279
7.2.3.2	<i>The place of guidelines</i>	281
7.2.3.3	<i>Learning from experience</i>	281
7.2.3.4	<i>Learning from role models</i>	285
7.2.3.5	<i>Learning from formal education</i>	285
7.2.3.6	<i>Learning from research</i>	290
7.2.3.7	<i>‘Knowing the patient’</i>	293
7.2.3.8	<i>Intuition</i>	294
7.2.3.9	<i>Continuity of care</i>	297
7.2.3.10	<i>Practice development</i>	298
7.2.3.11	<i>Voicing</i>	299
7.2.4	About acknowledging uncertainty through sharing	299
7.2.4.1	<i>Decision making</i>	300
7.2.4.2	<i>Advocacy</i>	302
7.2.4.3	<i>Collaboration</i>	304
7.2.4.4	<i>Voicing</i>	310
7.2.5	Summary	311
7.3	Ontology – <i>‘feeling’ within uncertainty</i>	312
7.3.1	About expecting to feel certain	313
7.3.1.1	<i>Difficulty</i>	314
7.3.1.2	<i>Stress of being watched</i>	315

7.3.1.3	<i>Conflict of weighing up</i>	315
7.3.1.4	<i>Frustrating</i>	316
7.3.1.5	<i>Anguish at patients in pain</i>	317
7.3.1.6	<i>Inadequate</i>	317
7.3.1.7	<i>Coping</i>	318
7.3.2	About the certainty of significance [not entering the room]	323
7.3.2.1	<i>Significance from doctors</i>	323
7.3.2.2	<i>Significance from comfort as a nursing mandate</i>	325
7.3.2.3	<i>Comfort as the measure of a 'good' nurse</i>	326
7.3.2.4	<i>The caring mandate as the basis of connection</i>	327
7.3.2.5	<i>The justice mandate as the ideal of connection</i>	329
7.3.2.6	<i>Voicing</i>	331
7.3.3	About certainty through connection [entering the room]	332
7.3.3.1	<i>Ways of achieving connection</i>	334
	(a) Knowing pathophysiology	334
	(b) Nurses linking to similar experiences	334
	(c) Knowing the person of patients through relatives	335
	(d) Contact time	335
	(e) Trying to 'see' or 'do' more	335
7.3.3.2	<i>Distance as the balance to connection</i>	338
7.3.4	About nursing within complexity	342
7.3.4.1	<i>Voicing</i>	344
7.3.5	Summary	345
7.4	Storying – <i>'telling' of practice</i>	345
7.4.1	About the concept of 'voice'	346
7.4.2	About the power of story	348
7.4.3	About the space for stories	349
7.4.3.1	<i>Facilitating a formal storytelling space</i>	352
	(a) Constitutive/head	353
	(b) Constitutive/heart	355
	(c) Constitute/head	357
	(d) Constitute/heart	359
	(e) Expressive sharing and responding	360
7.4.4	Summary	360

8.0 Conclusion

8.1	Ending – <i>'knowing' the practice</i>	362
8.1.1	About the contribution of the thesis	363
8.1.1.1	<i>The exposure of the complex realities around nursing unresponsive patients' pain in ICU</i>	364
8.1.1.2	<i>The synthesis of the expressive and explanatory dialectic into 'voice'</i>	366
8.1.1.3	<i>The creation of an original eclectic narrative methodology that dealt with real practice</i>	366
8.1.1.4	<i>The exposure of the complex realities of the research process</i>	367
8.1.1.5	<i>The exposure of the complex interplay of life on the research process</i>	367

8.1.1.6	<i>The entering into the academic dialogue in an accessible way for clinical nurses</i>	367
8.1.1.7	<i>The conceptualisation of the dialectical narrative situatedness schema</i>	368
8.1.1.8	<i>The plan of implementation of the dialectical narrative situatedness approach to address nursing practice development</i>	368
8.1.2	About the significant outcomes of the study	368
8.1.2.1	<i>Outcomes for nurses</i>	358
8.1.2.2	<i>Outcomes for patients and relatives</i>	369
8.1.2.3	<i>Outcomes for doctors</i>	369
8.1.2.4	<i>Outcomes for the university</i>	369
8.1.3	An expressive conclusion	370
Postscript		
9.1	My poem in two voices – <i>answering my question</i>	372
Appendices		
A	Consent form - <i>gaining the authorisation</i>	373
B	Bibliography related to narrative analysis - <i>laying the foundation</i>	374
C	Interview prompt - <i>forming the focus</i>	378
D	Transcription sample - <i>texting the sounds</i>	380
E	Collective story in one episode - <i>creating one episode</i>	384
F	Outline for collective story - <i>establishing the structure</i>	423
G	Flow diagrams - <i>outlining the flow</i>	427
H	Nurses' metaphors - <i>seeing the images</i>	429
I	Analysis of an anecdote - <i>signifying the structure</i>	431
J	Writing under Labov's headings - <i>structuring the meaning</i>	434
K	Attempting fiction - <i>enlivening the story</i>	438
L	Philosophical options - <i>positioning the thinking</i>	444
M	Quantitative to qualitative - <i>shifting the thinking</i>	445
N	Exemplary stories - <i>showcasing the nurses</i>	446
O	Pain and Sedation chart - <i>recording the assessment</i>	457
P	Arranging the codes under Labov structure - <i>structuring the codes</i>	458
Q	Coding notes - <i>organising the codes</i>	472
R	Nerilee's view - <i>recording my position</i>	478
S	Analysis of Interview two - <i>content and narrative</i>	481
T	Each interview as one narrative - <i>analysing each narrative</i>	486
U	Collective story of one shift - <i>storying one shift</i>	494
V	Interpretive notes - <i>noting my thoughts</i>	498
W	Collective story in four episodes - <i>creating four episodes</i>	499
X	The original poem - <i>expressing a poem</i>	568
Y	Authentic words - <i>revisiting the poem</i>	569
Z	Summary of Interview one - <i>summarising the conversation</i>	570
References		571

List of figures

	<i>Page</i>
Figures are numbered [chapter].[section].[figure in sequence for that section]	
Figure 2.1.1. Transmission of pain sensation	32
Figure 2.1.2 Descriptive pain words	37
Figure 3.1.1 Paths of explanation and expression	74
Figure 3.2.1 Simple attempt at visualising folktale story structure	102
Figure 3.2.2 Complex attempt at visualising folktale story structure	103
Figure 3.3.1 Depiction of the movement of time in relation to telling of experience in the form of 'story'	123
Figure 4.2.1 Passing on wisdom schema	164
Figure 5.4.1 Nurses' seeing	206
Figure 5.4.2 Nurses' doing	207
Figure 5.4.3 Relationship between issues	213
Figure 5.4.4 Coloured spheres of interactions	220
Figure 5.4.5 Seeing/doing/coping in uncertainty	225
Figure 5.4.6 Flow diagram of issues	227
Figure 6.1.1 A dialectical narrative situatedness schema	237
Figure 7.2.1 Uncertainty in 'knowing'	273
Figure 7.2.2 Certainty in 'knowing'	278
Figure 7.2.3 Growing more certain in 'knowing'	279
Figure 7.2.4 Growing through listening	299
Figure 7.2.5 Contributing our uncertainty in 'knowing'	299
Figure 7.2.6 Contributing though telling	310
Figure 7.3.1 Uncertainty in 'being'	312
Figure 7.3.2 Certainty in 'being'	313
Figure 7.3.3 Growing more significant in 'being'	323
Figure 7.3.4 Growing through listening	331
Figure 7.3.5 Contributing our connection in 'being'	332
Figure 7.3.6 The nurse/patient relationship continuum	333
Figure 7.3.7 Contributing through telling	344
Figure 7.4.1 Top right quadrant	353
Figure 7.4.2 Lower right quadrant	355
Figure 7.4.3 Top left quadrant	357
Figure 7.4.4 Lower left quadrant	359

List of tables

	<i>page</i>
Tables are numbered [chapter].[section].[table in sequence for that section]	
Table 2.1.1 Loeser pain model	31
Table 2.1.2. Three pain approaches	41
Table 2.1.3 Reviewed pain tools	42
Table 2.1.4 Pain assessment using the Loeser model	50
Table 3.1.1 Addressing trustworthiness in interpretive research	68
Table 3.1.2 Strategies to ensure trustworthiness	68
Table 3.1.3 Husserl's phenomenological concepts	69
Table 3.1.4 Comparison between phenomenology and narrative analysis	75
Table 3.1.5 Advantages of Narrative analysis as methodology	76
Table 3.2.1 Scope of narrative studies	83
Table 3.2.2 Influence of seven approaches by narrative researchers	84
Table 3.2.3 Narrative glossary	85
Table 3.2.4 Gee's perspectives	92
Table 3.2.5 Comparison of nurses' and physicians' stories of ethical reasoning	98
Table 3.2.6 Ricoeur's dimensions of text	107
Table 3.3.1 Comparison of seven approaches by narrative researchers	113
Table 3.3.2 Comparison of analysis using narrative or anecdote	138
Table 3.3.3 My theoretical position	146
Table 4.2.1 Mead's points of introspection	179
Table 4.2.2 Usher & Bryant's reflective checking	180
Table 5.1.1 Possible tools in the satchel	188
Table 5.2.1 Points of tension in the collective story	198
Table 5.4.1 Viability of pain care guidelines	211
Table 5.4.2 Exploration of key issues for discussion	213
Table 5.4.3 The good/bad dichotomy	216
Table 5.4.4 Exploring interaction between spheres	221
Table 5.4.5 Robbins' six human needs in dialectic pairs	229
Table 7.1.1 Hierarchy of Pain Assessment Techniques	244
Table 7.1.2 Pain management options	256

0.6 Abstract - *glimpsing the whole*

The experience of pain is individual and subjective and only accessible to another by means of communication. Consequently assessing and managing the pain of a patient can be one of the most complex and elusive objectives of nursing practice. This is particularly the situation when critically ill patients are unresponsive as uncertainty impacts on the nursing aim of providing consistent, quality pain care. For me, as an intensive care nurse, uncertainty seemed out of place in the technological world of intricate measurement in the intensive care unit. The research work presented within this thesis focuses on making sense of and dealing with this disparity.

This study embraces both expressive and explanatory means of discovering and conveying knowledge. Nurses' propensity for storytelling inspired the development of an original, eclectic narrative method; drawing on, and extending the work of a wide range of philosophers and theorists such as: Labov and Waletzky, Gee, Agar & Hobbs, Richardson, Mishler, Johnson and Mandler, Reason & Hawkins, Ricoeur and Hegel. The research processes are comprehensively detailed in order to make apparent the realities of undertaking such work, and of accommodating the delays created by ongoing life challenges. In addition, the thesis is presented in a way that increases its accessibility to nurses working in practice, balanced with the need to work within established academic processes and structures.

While one still hopes for accurate measures of pain in unresponsive patients, the study identifies and discusses the few pain cues that nurses 'see', and the limited pain management options nurses 'do'. Furthermore, the space between 'seeing' and 'doing', where nurses 'think' and 'feel', was filled with rich complexity. Stories emerged of: learning about pain, nursing intuition and 'knowing the patient', decision making, advocacy for patients, collaboration with doctors, emotional responses of feeling stressed, frustrated, anguished and inadequate, the nursing mandates of providing comfort, care and justice, distancing from or connecting with patients.

The innovative narrative situatedness schema arising from this research offers a visual map of the interrelatedness of the study's dialectic concepts. The narrative ideas of constitute/constitutive are juxtaposed with expression/explanation. Additionally, the philosophical views on epistemology/ontology, synthesised to 'voice', are dovetailed with Robbins' pairs of human needs; certainty/uncertainty, significance/connection, and

growth/contribution. Such complexity is contained within storytelling. The study promotes a new valuing of an old skill in highlighting the role of storytelling to further nursing practice development. This revealing of the complexity of nursing provides a major step towards the ongoing enhancement of patient care.

0.7 My story in two voices - questioning my practice

Below is a verbatim transcription showing my voice as a clinician. I was sharing with another nurse in conversation about the event that launched the journey that culminated in this thesis.

Nerilee (Registered ICU nurse)

I guess my perspective was like... I'll tell you a story. When I first started there in the unit, 'cause I've only worked in our ICU and not other units, I looked after this man who was (this is one of the reasons why I've sort of gone in this direction and decided to do this research) this man, you know how we have guys from the park or from the street, who are derelict sort of people and they'd pulled the tube on him, and he didn't have a blood pressure, and I came on, and I was like, (I don't know how long I'd been there, a couple of months or something) and there was this morphine bolus written up for PRN and he'd been given it probably about two or three times in the last shift, and then when I was handed over, it had been given sort of four times in the last hour and handed over to me to give, you know, quite frequently cause he was supposed to die in the next however long, and he had a blood pressure zero and I thought, "Oh well, what am I supposed to do here, am I supposed to give a whole lot of morphine?", and he wasn't moving, you'd turn him over and he didn't.. nothing, just nothing. So I asked the person in charge and she said "I'll leave that up to you." (Laugh) It was like, "Oh, thanks a lot." and so I didn't, I don't know whether I gave it hourly or something and he went to the ward and he didn't die straight away. But then I did a pain subject in my conversion degree and that had nothing about these sort of patients, its all 'pain is what the patient says it is' type of thing, therefore the reverse is true, that comfort is what the patient says it is, and yeah what if they can't say so, then I decided to do this.

I must admit I have a real problem with that sort of thing as well, you know, the old, - It's okay for awake patients, like that definition I think, but you know 90% of our patients can't talk (laugh) or indicate or anything, so I thought I'd do, or I actually went through all the literature and there's hardly anything in the literature as well, so that's why I thought I'd research it, but I decided to talk to nurses, 'cause it's pretty hard to talk to the patients afterwards, or during or afterwards, and anyway what they can remember is not necessarily what happened.

(excerpt from interview 2: page 10, line 28 - page 11, line 33)

Below is a written piece showing my voice as an academic student. I was writing for my supervisors about the event that launched the journey that culminated in this thesis.

Nerilee (PhD candidate)

I was a novice to intensive care nursing and one evening shift I was assigned to a dying patient of low socio-economic status whose endotracheal tube had been removed earlier that day. He was unresponsive, breathing, with no measurable blood pressure. The medication chart contained a prescription for boluses of intravenous morphine to be given as necessary. I noted that during the previous shift morphine had been administered a few times but more frequently in the last hour and the nurse handing over to me suggested I give morphine frequently. I needed clarification on the indication for the frequency of giving morphine. I asked the nurse in charge of the shift who advised me to use my own discretion. I did not want to euthanase this man and yet I also did not want him to suffer. Having a firm belief in the autonomy of patients and the subjectivity of pain experience, I was uncomfortable with the lack of available assessment cues in unresponsive critically ill patients in intensive care, in either case, whether they were recovering or dying. During the nursing degree conversion course, I undertook a subject on pain, that addressed pain assessment and management in conscious patients, and subsequently a research subject in which I searched the current nursing literature for guidelines for pain assessment in comatose patients, but without success. I dismissed the idea of trying to gain an insight into patients' experiences of pain while unresponsive as I concluded that those able to convey their memories subsequent to being a patient in intensive care would be few and this information would exclude those unable to either convey or remember, for example, the neurologically impaired, those receiving an amnesic agent, and those who had died. I also believed that the experience of pain at the time was more important than the ability to remember. Therefore, I turned my attention to the nurses I worked with, to gain insights from them, to see how they undertook pain assessment when patients were not able to tell of their experience. I continue to wonder what that man felt during my shift as he lay dying, receiving a bolus of morphine each hour along with the other routine hourly nursing activities I performed for his comfort.