

ASSESSING DEVELOPMENT  
IN AND THROUGH VISUAL ARTS:  
CASE STUDIES OF FIVE HONG KONG PRESCHOOLERS

CHEUNG LAI HA

EDD 2005 Hong Kong Cohort

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences

University of Technology, Sydney

In fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Education

2014



## **CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Production Note:

Signature of Student: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 28 February 2014

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I am grateful for my supervisors' guidance and patience in the whole process of my study. Dr. Kitty teRiele helped me to start the thesis, Prof. Rosemary Johnston guided me to finish it, and Associate Professor Liam Morgan promised to be the co-supervisor in the later stage of the study.

I am greatly thankful for the support of the Hong Kong Institute of Education and the school team members of HSBC Early Childhood Learning Centre, including Dr. Chan Wai Ling (the school superintendent), Miss Kwan Shan (the principal), Miss Yip Choi Ling (the class teacher). Miss Yip's help in the process was a crucial factor for the successful collection of relevant data. Special thanks have to be given to the five children and their parents who helped to provide valuable information for this study.

I send my heartfelt thanks to the chief editor, Dr. Michael Dilena, who provided positive support and valuable comments to help me going through the last stage of my study. I also convey my gratitude to the professional assistance from my editors, Miss Alexandra Green and Mrs. Chan Law Lai Chun.

And, I am grateful for the support of my sisters, mother, friends and colleagues.

It is great to have them in my life!

## Abstract

Facing the request on school quality assurance requested by the Hong Kong Education Bureau, the children's development is one of the four domains in assessing the quality of a pre-primary institution. However, assessing young children's aesthetic performance is regarded as a difficult task. This thesis aims to investigate what young children's development is in and through the visual arts, and how it can be assessed. It also aims to examine the validity of the *Hong Kong Performance Indicators (Pre-primary Institutions): Domain on Children's Development* in assessing children's development in visual arts by comparing with the findings found. This qualitative research assumes that children's development is individualized and holistic, not limits in aesthetic and creative areas in the visual arts activities, but also includes the physical, cognitive, emotional, social, and cultural areas reflected in portfolios. The assumption on holistic child development in visual arts is supported by Lowenfeld (1957), Fox and Schirrmacher (2012).

Case study on five four-year-old children was conducted for seven months in an early childhood institution. Direct observation on a 30-minute visual arts activity was recorded weekly in digital format. The data were analyzed and categorized in a form of electronic portfolio, served as evidences of the children's development. The children's various areas of development were presented with reference of assessment frameworks suggested by Lowenfeld, Fox and Schirrmacher. Different growth rates and patterns of children's development are found among the five children. And, the portfolio assessment can be regarded as one of the appropriate method for assessing aesthetic development as well as development in other aspects in early childhood

settings.

The study is the first in-depth research in assessing pre-school young children's development in and through visual arts activities in Hong Kong. It may serve as a reference for preschool teachers in assessing children's holistic development in and through visual arts, as well as a study on the validity of the Hong Kong Performance Indicators on children's aesthetic development (EMB & SWD 2003).

**Key words:**

assessment, visual arts, performance indicators, preschooler, early childhood, case study, portfolios

## **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

EDB / ED / EMB, Education Bureau

The Education Bureau (EDB) is responsible for education policies in Hong Kong. The Education Department (ED) and Education and Manpower Bureau (EMB) merged to become the new Education and Manpower Bureau (EMB) on 1 January 2003; and it was renamed to Education Bureau (EDB) in 2007.

SWD, Social Welfare Department

The Social Welfare Department (SWD) is responsible for social welfare policies including the family and child care service in Hong Kong. Children aged under three are within its scope of service.

CDC, Curriculum Development Council

The Curriculum Development Council is an advisory body appointed by the Chief Executive of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region to give recommendations to the government on all matters relating to curriculum development for the school system from kindergarten to sixth form. Its membership includes heads of schools, practising teachers, parents, employers, academics from tertiary institutions, professional from related field or related bodies and representative from the Hong Kong Examinations Authority, as well as officers from the Education Department.

Pre-primary education

Pre-primary education in Hong Kong refers to the stage of education before primary school for children who are generally aged 0-6.

### Preschooler

The preschoolers or young children normally aged 2-6 in Hong Kong pre-primary institutions. The preschoolers in this study are aged four.

### Visual arts

It refers to the creation or products of drawing, painting, design, sculpture, etc.

### Children's talk

It refers to the child's talk to himself, his peers, teachers, and others in this study. It may be sounds, exclamations, conversations, discussion, analysis, comments, praises, a talk on one's own artwork or others' work in art appreciation activities.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title page

Certificate of original authorship ... i

Acknowledgements ... ii

Abstract and keywords ... iii

Glossary of terms ... v

Table of contents ... vii

List of figures ... xv

### **CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION ... 1**

1.1 An introduction to the development of early childhood education in Hong Kong ... 2

1.1.1 Problems arising from demands for “School Improvement” and “Quality Assurance” ... 4

1.1.2 Intensification of pressure on quality assurance exercises due to the Pre-primary Education Voucher Scheme 2007 ... 7

1.1.3 Problems related to the practicability of Performance Indicators ... 9

1.1.4 The government’s support for schools using Performance Indicators ... 11

1.1.5 An in-depth study of aesthetic development as reflected in the Performance Indicators ... 15

1.2 The definition of key terms ... 24

1.2.1 Early childhood education ... 25

1.2.2 Visual arts ... 28

1.3 Research questions ... 30

1.4 Overview of the structure of the dissertation ...30

1.5 Contributions to the field ... 31

1.6 Limitations of the research ...32

### **CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW ... 33**

2.1 An introduction to Hong Kong school quality assurance with an emphasis on assessing child development ... 34

2.2 Understanding the nature of art ... 42

2.2.1 The inter-disciplinary nature of art ... 42

2.2.2	Visual arts in the integrated curriculum and their relationship to other areas of child development ...	43
2.3	Conceptualizing aesthetics ...	44
	<i>Aesthetic definitions ...</i>	<i>44</i>
	<i>Aesthetic response ...</i>	<i>46</i>
	<i>Aesthetics in education ...</i>	<i>47</i>
	<i>Summary ...</i>	<i>48</i>
2.4	Conceptualizing creativity ...	49
	<i>Conclusions: the concepts of creativity applied in this research ...</i>	<i>63</i>
2.5	Investigative methodologies in the study of young children’s development ...	64
2.5.1	Defining observation, evaluation and assessment ...	66
	<i>Observation ...</i>	<i>66</i>
	<i>Evaluation and assessment ...</i>	<i>67</i>
2.5.2	Why are children assessed and not assessed? ...	69
2.5.3	Defining performance indicators ...	73
2.5.3.1	The limitations of performance indicators ...	74
2.6	Insights from curriculum guides and assessment documents from Hong Kong and other locations ...	75
2.7	Understanding children’s aesthetic and artistic development ...	84
2.7.1	The lack of clear definitions for aesthetic/artistic development ...	84
2.7.2	Research on aesthetic development ...	88
2.7.3	Research on artistic development ...	92
2.7.3.1	The developmental approach ...	93
2.7.3.2	The cognitive approach / visual literacy ...	96
2.7.3.3	The U-shaped curve of artistic development ...	98
2.7.3.4	Conclusion ...	99
2.8	Assessing children’s artistic development ...	101
2.8.1	The evaluation chart specifically designed for the Hong Kong Performance Indicators ...	102
2.8.2	The holistic approach ...	102
2.8.3	Art specialized evaluation ...	103
2.8.4	General artistic process ...	104
2.8.5	Summary of visual arts assessment ...	105
2.9	Ways to assess the child development in the visual arts ...	113
2.9.1	Authentic assessment ...	114
2.9.2	Portfolios ...	115
2.10	Ways to understand child development from artwork ...	117
2.10.1	The critical approach: Feldman’s four stages of art criticism ...	118

- 2.10.2 Children's talk ... 119
- 2.10.3 Pluralism ... 120
- 2.11 Conclusion of the Chapter ... 122

### **CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS ...124**

- 3.1 Overall research approach ... 124
  - 3.1.1 Research questions ... 124
  - 3.1.2 The relationship between the research methodology and the nature of assessment in visual arts ... 124
  - 3.1.3 Qualitative research method ... 126
- 3.2 Research design ... 127
  - 3.2.1 Qualitative case study ... 127
  - 3.2.2 Criticisms of the qualitative case study ... 129
  - 3.2.3 Different types of case studies ... 131
    - 3.2.3.1 Intrinsic case study ... 132
    - 3.2.3.2 Multiple case study ... 132
    - 3.2.3.3 Instrumental case study ...133
  - 3.2.4 Participants and setting ... 135
    - 3.2.4.1 The choice of the age group ... 135
    - 3.2.4.2 The number of cases chosen ... 136
    - 3.2.4.3 The choice of the target group ... 138
  - 3.2.5 Strategies for data collection ... 141
    - 3.2.5.1 Observation of children ... 143
      - 3.2.5.1.1 The types of observation chosen ... 145
      - 3.2.5.1.2 The recording of observation ... 146
      - 3.2.5.1.3 The limitations of observation ... 146
    - 3.2.5.2 Artifacts and documents ... 147
    - 3.2.5.3 Interviews with the class teacher ... 148
  - 3.2.6 The duration and capacity of data collection ... 149
- 3.3 The data processing ... 150
  - 3.3.1 The formation of database from observation ... 150
  - 3.3.2 A coding system and the data processing on observation recorded in digital videos ... 151
  - 3.3.3 Critical incidents, event sampling and visual records ... 157
  - 3.3.4 Field notes and transcription from interviews on the class teacher ... 158
  - 3.3.5 Rating scale ... 159
- 3.4 Methods of verification ... 162
  - 3.4.1 Validity ... 162

3.4.1.1	Internal validity ...	163
3.4.1.2	Triangulation ...	164
3.4.1.2.1	Methodological triangulation ...	165
3.4.1.2.2	Time triangulation ...	166
3.4.1.2.3	Investigator triangulation ...	167
3.4.1.3	Reactivity ...	168
3.4.1.4	Halo effect ...	169
3.4.1.5	The role of a researcher ...	170
3.4.2	Reliability ...	173
3.4.2.1	Protocol ...	175
3.5	Data interpretation ...	177
3.5.1	Analytic strategies and techniques ...	177
3.5.2	Constructivist approach in data interpretation ...	178
3.6	Ethical considerations ...	179
3.6.1	Approval from the UTS Human Research Ethics Committee ...	180
3.6.1.1	The right, privacy and dignity of participants: the school ...	182
3.6.1.2	The right, privacy and dignity of participants: the teacher...	183
3.6.1.3	The right, privacy and dignity of participants: students' parents	... 184
3.6.1.4	The right, privacy and dignity of participants: children ...	186
3.6.2	The interpretation of research findings ...	187
3.7	Conclusion ...	188

## **CHAPTER 4 ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION ... 189**

4.1	What should be assessed in and through early childhood visual arts activities?	... 189
4.1.1	Key concepts of aesthetics that can be assessed ...	189
4.1.2	Key concepts of creativity that can be assessed ...	192
4.1.3	Summary of children's development from different approaches of art Assessment ...	192
4.2	How well do the Hong Kong Performance Indicators (Pre-primary Institutions) assess children's development in the visual arts? ...	200
4.3	How can child development be assessed in and through visual arts activities in the local Hong Kong context? ...	204
4.3.1	Ways to assess child development in the visual arts ...	205
4.3.2	Individual child development in and through visual arts activities ...	209
4.3.2.1	Lok ...	209
4.3.2.1.1	Lok's physical development ...	209

4.3.2.1.2	Lok's cognitive development ...	214
4.3.2.1.3	Lok's emotional development ...	216
4.3.2.1.4	Lok's social development ...	216
4.3.2.1.5	Lok's creative development ...	218
4.3.2.1.6	Lok's aesthetic development ...	220
4.3.2.1.7	Lok's cultural development ...	221
4.3.2.1.8	Lok's personal development ...	222
4.3.2.1.9	Lok's overall development ...	222
4.3.2.2	Yeung ...	223
4.3.2.2.1	Yeung's physical development ...	223
4.3.2.2.2	Yeung's cognitive development ...	226
4.3.2.2.3	Yeung's emotional development ...	229
4.3.2.2.4	Yeung's social development ...	230
4.3.2.2.5	Yeung's creative development ...	232
4.3.2.2.6	Yeung's aesthetic development ...	233
4.3.2.2.7	Yeung's cultural development ...	235
4.3.2.2.8	Yeung's personal development ...	236
4.3.2.2.9	Yeung's overall development ...	236
4.3.2.3	Ka ...	237
4.3.2.3.1	Ka's physical development ...	237
4.3.2.3.2	Ka's cognitive development ...	240
4.3.2.3.3	Ka's emotional development ...	247
4.3.2.3.4	Ka's social development ...	248
4.3.2.3.5	Ka's creative development ...	249
4.3.2.3.6	Ka's aesthetic development ...	250
4.3.2.3.7	Ka's cultural development ...	251
4.3.2.3.8	Ka's personal development ...	251
4.3.2.3.9	Ka's overall development ...	252
4.3.2.4	Ting ...	253
4.3.2.4.1	Ting's physical development ...	253
4.3.2.4.2	Ting's cognitive development ...	254
4.3.2.4.3	Ting's emotional development ...	256
4.3.2.4.4	Ting's social development ...	256
4.3.2.4.5	Ting's creative development ...	258
4.3.2.4.6	Ting's aesthetic development ...	261
4.3.2.4.7	Ting's cultural development ...	262
4.3.2.4.8	Ting's personal development ...	262
4.3.2.4.9	Ting's overall development ...	263

4.3.2.5	Chole ...	263
4.3.2.5.1	Chole's physical development ...	264
4.3.2.5.2	Chole's cognitive development ...	267
4.3.2.5.3	Chole's emotional development ...	268
4.3.2.5.4	Chole's social development ...	268
4.3.2.5.5	Chole's creative development ...	271
4.3.2.5.6	Chole's aesthetic development ...	273
4.3.2.5.7	Chole's cultural development ...	275
4.3.2.5.8	Chole's personal development ...	275
4.3.2.5.9	Chole's overall development ...	275
4.3.3	Discussion: Can a rating scale show an individual child's development?	... 276
4.4	Summary of the chapter ...	279
4.4.1	The implications of scholarly work, other curricula and assessment documents on what to assess child development in and through the visual arts ...	280
4.4.1.1	More areas of child development can be assessed ...	280
4.4.1.2	The limitations of the Hong Kong Performance Indicators ...	281
4.4.1.3	Conceptualizing aesthetics and creativity - the two key concepts in children's aesthetic development of the Hong Kong Performance Indicators ...	281
4.4.1.4	Ways to assess child development in the visual arts ...	282
4.4.2	A complete example to show how to assess and present a child's development through portfolio assessment and the holistic approach in local Hong Kong context ...	283
4.4.3	Individual children's development ...	284
4.4.3.1	Yeung ...	284
4.4.3.2	Lok ...	284
4.4.3.3	Ka...	285
4.4.3.4	Ting ...	286
4.4.3.5	Chole ...	287
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS AND LIMITATIONS ... 289</b>		
5.1	Introduction ...	289
5.2	Summary of findings ...	290
5.2.1	Summary of findings from the review of literature ...	290
5.2.2	Summary of findings from the five case studies - Individual children's	

	development ...	292
5.3	Conclusions ...	295
5.3.1	Conclusions relating to education policy ...	295
5.3.2	Conclusions relating to educational practice ..	297
5.3.2.1	Portfolio assessment and observation as appropriate means of assessment ...	297
5.3.2.2	More areas of child development in and through visual arts other than aesthetic and creative development ...	298
5.3.2.3	Using the holistic approach of art assessment to present various areas of child development ...	299
5.3.2.4	Different patterns of development among the five children	... 300
5.3.2.5	Free creation as the most resourceful evidence of child development ...	301
5.3.2.6	A gender difference between boys' and girls' choices of subject ...	302
5.3.2.7	Physical development as a pre-requisite for visualizing aesthetic and creative development in the visual arts? ...	303
5.3.2.8	Variations in children's creativity between disciplines ...	303
5.3.3	Conclusions relating to research ...	304
5.3.3.1	Insufficient research on art assessment and the Hong Kong Performance Indicators ...	304
5.3.3.2	The importance of a resourceful and retrievable database for a valid qualitative case study ...	304
5.3.3.3	No single or simple way to guide teachers in assessing child development in and through visual arts ...	306
5.4	Implications ...	307
5.4.1	Implications relating to education policy ...	307
5.4.2	Implications relating to educational practice ...	308
5.4.2.1	Two ways to respond to the requirements of the Hong Kong Performance Indicators ...	309
5.4.2.2	Encouraging children to talk on their own artwork and observing their behaviours in the process ...	311
5.4.2.3	Further profession development for teachers in art criticism and assessment ...	311
5.4.3	Implications relating to educational research ...	313
5.5	Contributions of this research ...	313
5.6	Limitations of this research ...	314

- 5.6.1 Difficult to draw grand generalization from a small sample size ... 314
- 5.6.2 Uncertainty on the acceptance of the assessment methods and concepts on aesthetics and creativity used in this research ... 315
- 5.6.3 A discrepancy between assessing child development in a doctoral study and in classroom practice ... 316
- 5.6.4 Video recording in qualitative case studies: A labour intensive research approach ... 318
- 5.7 Further recommendations ... 319
  - 5.7.1 More research on the validity of the Performance Indicators and assessment of young children artistic and aesthetic development ... 319
  - 5.7.2 Recommendations for ECE practitioners on how to assess child development in and through the visual arts ... 319
  - 5.7.3 Considering to include children, parents or other professionals in The assessment ... 321
  - 5.7.4 Sharing the research findings ... 322
- 5.8 Overall conclusion ... 322

## **Appendices**

- Appendix 1.1 Children’s developmental milestones in the Hong Kong *Performance Indicators (Pre-primary Institutions): Domain on children’s development* ... 324
- Appendix 2.1 Ways of seeing visual arts leading to various approaches of artistic development and focus of assessment ... 325-326
- Appendix 2.2 Hong Kong Evaluation chart for child development (aesthetic) ...327
- Appendix 2.3 Lowenfeld’s evaluation chart (Pre-schematic stage) ... 328
- Appendix 2.4 Art portfolio assessment scale (Althouse et al.) ... 329
- Appendix 3.1 Interview questions for the class teacher ... 330
- Appendix 3.2i-ii The schedule of visits on Miss Yip’s K2 (AM) class ... 331-332
- Appendix 3.3i-iv The record of activities and children’s attendance record ... 333-336
- Appendix 3.4 The video analysis (excerpt) ... 337-339

## **Bibliography ... 340 - 358**



## List of figures

Figure 1.1	Quality Assurance Framework in Hong Kong School Education	5
Figure 1.2	Performance Indicators (Pre-primary Institutions)	6
Figure 1.3	The overall structure of Performance Indicators in Domain IV: children’s development	9
Figure 1.4	Guide to using the Pre-primary Performance Indicators in learning and teaching	12
Figure 1.5	Hong Kong Performance Indicators (Pre-primary Institutions): Domain of children’s development – aesthetic development	16
Figure 1.6	Possible responses to Performance Indicators (aesthetic development)	18
Figure 1.7	Terms used for educational services before formal schooling	25
Figure 2.1	Comparison of the personality traits of creative people generally and children specifically	56
Figure 2.2	The characteristics of originality in various approaches to creativity	60
Figure 2.3	Four C Model of Creativity	61
Figure 2.4	The characteristics of the three purposes of assessment and impacts on the research	72
Figure 2.5	The comparison between the Hong Kong Performance Indicators (Pre-primary Institutions) and other curricula and assessment documents	80
Figure 2.6	A comparison of different perspectives on aesthetic development	86
Figure 2.7	The relationship among the general concepts of aesthetics, aesthetic development in the Hong Kong Performance Indicators, and the scope of this research	100
Figure 2.8	Elaborated student evaluation grid (adopted from Eisner)	103
Figure 2.9	Comparison of different approaches on art assessment	106
Figure 2.10	A summary of children’s performance from different approaches of visual arts assessment	107-111
Figure 2.11	The visual arts assessment framework for young children	113
Figure 2.12	A drawing on paper by Ruby aged 3 years and 9 months; approx. A4	117
Figure 3.1	The functions and relationship of different types of case studies	134

Figure 3.2	The digital database of all visual arts activities	152
Figure 3.3	A colour print of photos in a folder of A10 and remarks	153
Figure 3.4	Assessment framework of child development	154
Figure 3.5	The coding system in the video analysis	155
Figure 3.6	The transference of photos from activity folder to personal folder	157
Figure 3.7	The visual arts assessment framework for young children used in this study	160
Figure 3.8	The protocol of the research	176
Figure 3.9	Photos in "Rainbow Bridge". A girl (L) said, "I want to make a red Chinese drum for dancing." 2011	185
Figure 4.1	The holistic approach for assessing child development in visual arts	200
Figure 4.2	Lok working on his first guitar (A1)	210
Figure 4.3	Lok working on his monster (A4)	211
Figure 4.4	Lok' mask (A7) and train (A6)	211
Figure 4.5	Lok's long train (A10)	212
Figure 4.6	Lok's collage of a house (A17) and a train in clay work (C8)	213
Figure 4.7	Lok's simple train in A6 and long train in A10	214
Figure 4.8	Lok's hanger, a product of problem-solving (A20 & A21)	219
Figure 4.9	Lok's aesthetic development reflected in his artwork	220
Figure 4.10	Lok's artwork that show cultural development	221
Figure 4.11	Yeung's physical development as demonstrated in his artwork	224
Figure 4.12	Yeung's physical development shown in clay work	225
Figure 4.13	Yeung's dinosaur cars in the Guangzhou Zoo (A6)	226
Figure 4.14	A6_M2U 064_0355_Yeung was indicating the four sharp pointed spikes at the end of a stegosaurus by showing 2 fingers from each hand	227
Figure 4.15	A8_Yeung's mask with colourful teeth	229
Figure 4.16	A13_Yeung said that he wanted to make a bank for his mother who liked money	230
Figure 4.17	Yeung's social development	231
Figure 4.18	Yeung's aesthetic development reflected in artwork, Group A	234
Figure 4.19	Yeung's aesthetic development reflected in artwork, Group B	235
Figure 4.20	Ka's physical development reflected in artwork, Group A	237
Figure 4.21	Ka's physical development reflected in artwork, Group B	238
Figure 4.22	Ka's guitars (A1 & A2)	240

Figure 4.23	Ka's Chinese lion head (A5)	242
Figure 4.24	Ka's dinosaurs (A17)	243
Figure 4.25	Ka's dinosaur aeroplane (A21)	244
Figure 4.26	Ka's climbing net and flying train (A10)	245
Figure 4.27	Ka's copying and creativity in the composition of geometric shapes (A11)	249
Figure 4.28	Ka's butterfly trampoline (A6)	250
Figure 4.29	Ting's guitar compared with classmates' artwork from Activity A1	253
Figure 4.30	Ting's physical development through artwork	254
Figure 4.31	Ting's creative development through artwork, part 1	258
Figure 4.32	Ting's creative development through artwork, part 2	260
Figure 4.33	Chole's physical development reflected in artwork	264
Figure 4.34	Chole's dependence on others in art production	266
Figure 4.35	Chole's social development reflected in the process	269
Figure 4.36	A10_Chole's 5-leg rainbow dog	271
Figure 4.37	Chole's creative development reflected in artwork	273
Figure 4.38	Chole's aesthetic development reflected in artwork	274
Figure 4.39	The rating of five children in the visual arts assessment framework	278
Figure 4.40	The developmental pattern of children's growth in the visual arts	279
Figure 5.1	The possible responses to the Performance Indicators (aesthetic development)	310

