

**The Effects of Contagion during the Global Financial Crisis in
Government-Regulated and Sponsored Assets in Emerging Markets**

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

by

Edgardo Cayón

in

Finance Discipline Group
UTS Business School
University of Technology, Sydney
PO Box 123 Broadway
NSW 2007, Australia

December 1, 2014

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Edgardo Cayón

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to all the people who have accompanied me during this journey. Especially to my principal supervisor, Susan Thorp, whose support and infinite patience during these three years of incessant dropping by her office with the numerous questions and doubts made the present work possible. To my alternate supervisor, Dirk Baur, for insisting on the importance of keeping the “flow” of the main idea of the paper in the mind of the reader. To Eliza Wu and Nadima El-Hassan for helping me with casual academic jobs that helped me to further refine my data-research skills.

Finally, and foremost, to my beautiful wife Natalia and my son Edgardo for accompanying me during these three years and whose love and support made possible what seemed impossible. To my best friend, Julio Sarmiento, for insisting on the Australian Ph.D. option and giving us shelter while looking for living quarters in Sydney. To my dad for his support and the additional burden of taking care of my affairs in Colombia, and to my sister for making errands each time she came to this side of the world.

Abstract

The effects of financial contagion during the Global Financial Crisis (GFC) have been extensively studied in the finance literature. One of the key issues is the devastating effect of the crisis on wealth and asset prices. However, an important difference between this crisis and past crises was the relatively small and short duration of the effects of the crisis on emerging markets. Of particular interest was the resilience of government-regulated and -sponsored assets such as pension funds, state-owned enterprises, and international and local-currency government bonds. This thesis contributes to the literature on the effects of financial contagion by analysing four cases of government-regulated and -sponsored assets during different episodes of the GFC. The second chapter analyses contagion from US equity markets to emerging-market autarchic assets (Colombian private pension funds) during different episodes of the GFC. In this paper we test for contagion via changes in correlation between financial asset returns and via additional volatility spillovers. We propose a DCC-GJR GARCH framework where the S&P 500 is the source of transmission of contagion to the autarchic asset. We find no evidence of contagion measured as significant changes in correlation during the first two phases of the crises. In Chapter 3 we extend our analysis to government-sponsored assets (state-owned enterprises (SOEs)) and argue that these assets account for a substantial and increasing fraction of global foreign direct investment. While emerging-economy SOEs are often vehicles for state-directed economic growth policy, the performance of SOEs compared with private enterprises is an open question, particularly during crisis periods. We estimate a four-factor model of SOE returns of the BRIC economies for the period 2000–12 and show that certain SOEs offered some protection to investors during the financial crisis of 2007–09. We use quantile regressions since this approach is robust to the presence of outliers and their impact on the

factors during crisis periods. The results obtained in this chapter provide empirical evidence for the special role of the state in protecting and stabilising state-owned enterprises. In Chapter 4 we analyse the effects of the GFC on government bonds. For this objective we use propensity matching estimation to measure the effect of the GFC on sovereign spreads using data from 43 countries. We estimate general underlying factor models allowing for multiple channels of contagion transmission then use estimates to select matching non-crisis benchmarks for nine portfolios of sovereign bonds. We found no significant changes in spreads on portfolios of local-currency emerging-market debt during the GFC. Finally, in Chapter 5 we use high-frequency Colombian government bond data and perform an event study on high-frequency data to measure the effect of the news originating from the GFC via a market-transmission mechanism. In order to avoid confounding effects, we compare the impact of news originating from the GFC with global, regional, and local news. Our results make an interesting contribution to understanding the extent of the resilience of emerging markets under the postulates of the coupling/decoupling hypothesis and market integration.

Keywords: emerging markets, global financial crisis, regulation, stated-owned enterprises, pension funds, government bonds.

JEL classification: C5, G1, G2, G14, G15, G28, G38

Contents

| | | |
|------------------|--|-----------|
| Chapter 1 | Introduction..... | 1 |
| 1.1. | Can financial autarchy prevent contagion? The case of Colombian pension funds during the subprime, financial, and sovereign debt crises..... | 2 |
| 1.2. | The performance of state-owned enterprises in BRIC countries during the GFC..... | 3 |
| 1.3. | Testing for differences in sovereign spreads during the GFC using propensity-matching estimators..... | 4 |
| 1.4. | The effects of the GFC on Colombian local-currency bond prices: an event study..... | 4 |
| Chapter 2 | Can financial autarchy prevent contagion? The case of Colombian pension funds during the subprime, financial, and sovereign debt crises | 6 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 6 |
| 2.2 | Background: pension funds | 9 |
| 2.3 | Data and summary statistics | 13 |
| 2.4 | Contagion model..... | 20 |
| 2.5 | Results | 23 |
| 2.6 | Contagion from US stock market factor..... | 26 |
| 2.7 | Contagion from bond market factors..... | 28 |
| 2.8 | Quantile regression | 30 |
| 2.9 | Conclusion..... | 33 |
| Chapter 3 | The performance of state-owned enterprises in BRIC countries during the GFC | 35 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 35 |
| 3.2 | Data | 39 |
| 3.3 | Model..... | 41 |
| 3.4 | Results | 45 |
| 3.4.1 | Results of the four-factor model linear regression..... | 45 |
| 3.4.2 | Results of the four-factor model quantile regressions..... | 50 |
| 3.4.3 | Results of the cross-sectional regression | 64 |
| 3.5 | Conclusion..... | 68 |
| Chapter 4 | Testing for differences in sovereign spreads during the GFC using propensity-matching estimators | 70 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 70 |
| 4.2 | Common determinants of sovereign spreads..... | 73 |
| 4.2.1 | Variables and data description | 73 |
| 4.2.2 | Factor model | 79 |
| 4.3 | Proposed empirical method for testing differences in spreads during the GFC | 92 |
| 4.4 | Results | 98 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 4.5 Conclusions | 105 |
| Chapter 5 The effects of the GFC on Colombian local-currency bonds prices: an event study | 108 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 108 |
| 5.2 Data | 110 |
| 5.3 Method..... | 119 |
| 5.4 Results | 125 |
| 5.5 Conclusion | 142 |
| Chapter 6 Conclusion | 144 |
| 6.1 Future research | 147 |
| Appendix A..... | 149 |
| Appendix B..... | 150 |
| Appendix C..... | 154 |
| Appendix D..... | 156 |
| Chapter 7 Bibliography..... | 158 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 2.1: Evolution of investments by asset class in Colombian private pension funds (CPF) and for pension funds in the Latin American region (LAC), 2005–2009. | 12 |
| Table 2.2: Descriptive statistics: annualised daily returns to Colombian private pension funds (CPF), February 2, 2005, to August 31, 2011..... | 15 |
| Table 2.3: Descriptive statistics: sub-sample..... | 18 |
| Table 2.4: Tests for contagion: US stock market to Colombian pension fund (CPF), LAC stocks and USD/Colombian peso exchange rate..... | 27 |
| Table 2.5: Tests for contagion: emerging-market bond index and European bond index to Colombian pension funds (CPF)..... | 29 |
| Table 2.6: Tests for contagion by quantile: US stock market to Colombian pension fund (CPF), LAC stocks and USD/Colombian peso exchange rate..... | 32 |
| Table 3.1: Number of SOEs and comparables by GICS industry sector | 41 |
| Table 3.2: Results of the four-factor linear regression model..... | 46 |
| Table 3.3: Crisis effect by sector | 49 |
| Table 3.4: Quantile regression estimates for weekly and monthly returns – CAPM (MKTPREM)..... | 51 |
| Table 3.5: Quantile regression estimates for weekly and monthly holding periods – small minus big factor (SMB)..... | 53 |
| Table 3.6: Quantile regression estimates for weekly and monthly holding periods – high minus low factor (HML)..... | 55 |
| Table 3.7: Quantile regression estimates for weekly and monthly holding periods – momentum factor (MOM) | 57 |
| Table 3.8: Quantile regression estimates for weekly and monthly holding periods – subprime crisis..... | 59 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 3.9: Quantile regression estimates for weekly and monthly holding periods – credit crunch crisis | 61 |
| Table 3.10: Quantile regression estimates for for weekly and monthly holding periods – sovereign debt crisis..... | 63 |
| Table 3.11: Cross-sectional excess returns fixed effects weekly | 66 |
| Table 3.12: Cross-sectional excess returns fixed effects monthly | 66 |
| Table 4.1: Descriptive statistics sovereign spreads (monthly)..... | 77 |
| Table 4.2: Determinants and their relation with sovereign spreads | 81 |
| Table 4.3: Panel regression estimates | 84 |
| Table 4.4: Logistic regression estimates for calculating propensity scores | 93 |
| Table 4.5: Average effect on sovereign spreads on portfolios..... | 100 |
| Table 4.6: Robustness checks for ATET on portfolios | 104 |
| Table 5.1: Historical COP turnover value SEN interdealer local-bond currency market, 1999 to August 2014..... | 112 |
| Table 5.2: Descriptive statistics for selected issues of Colombian peso government bonds | 115 |
| Table 5.3: Global Financial Crisis timeline and timestamp of events in Eastern Standard Time (EST) | 117 |
| Table 5.4: Number of global, regional, and local macroeconomic announcements from 2007 to 2013 and level of surprise | 121 |
| Table 5.5: Summary of significant results obtained from the market model..... | 125 |
| Table 5.6: Effect of macroeconomic surprises on Colombian bonds | 127 |
| Table 5.7: Average effect of the surprises in global, regional, and local news in Colombian bond prices | 135 |
| Table 5.8: Significant effects of GFC events on Colombian bonds abnormal returns..... | 137 |
| Table 5.9: Differences in abnormal returns between GFC events and pre-crisis, crisis, and post-crisis macroeconomic news | 140 |

List of Figures

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1: Average investment limits by asset class in private pension funds in Latin America countries (LAC) and Colombia, 2010..... | 10 |
| Figure 2: Evolution of foreign- and local-currency holdings of pension funds in Latin America countries (LAC) and Colombia (Col), 2005–2009..... | 11 |
| Figure 3: Conditional variance decomposition, Colombian pension fund (CPF) returns..... | 24 |
| Figure 4: Conditional variance decomposition, Colombian pension fund (CPF) returns..... | 25 |
| Figure 5: Conditional variance decomposition, Colombian pension fund (CPF) returns..... | 26 |