UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY SCHOOL OF THE ENVIRONMENT

Intercontinental patterns in intertidal biodiversity

Hannah B. Lloyd

MASTER OF SCIENCE

SUPERVISED BY DR PAUL GRIBBEN, DR PAT HUTCHINGS & DR BOB CREESE

2015



CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to I would like to express my sincerest gratitude to my primary supervisor Dr. Paul Gribben. Your dedicated support through this thesis (and my honours thesis) has helped me to develop my skills and confidence well beyond what I thought I was capable of. To my co-supervisors, Dr. Pat Hutchings, Dr. Bob Creese and (unofficially) Dr. Tim Glasby, thank you for your direction, support, and time throughout the project. I would like to acknowledge the Sydney Institute of Marine Science (SIMS) Horizon Foundation Fellowship, which funded this research. In particular, I would like to thank Tracey Stegall of the Horizon foundation and Peter Steinberg of SIMS for awarding me the generous scholarship. I would also like to acknowledge UTS for supporting me financially, without which I couldn't have completed my degree, and the many staff at UTS who provided me with support and advice.

An enormous thank you to the many generous volunteers that assisted on this project, in particular, Cecile Ross and Camille Lloyd for extensive support in the field and lab, and Nadia Vitlin for her long hours identifying invertebrates. In addition, I would like to thank field volunteers Kerrie Lloyd, Elizabeth Lloyd-James, Simeon James, and Steve James, and volunteers at the Australian Museum Caitlin Austin, Catriona Lamberton, Tristan Varman, and Rebecca Jaensch. Thank you to those who provided me with academic advice and in kind support during the project, including Dr. Richard Taylor, Dr. Tom Trnski, Dr. Des Beechey, Dr. Francesco Criscione, Dr. Winston Ponder, Dr. Jeff Wright, and also Pam Brown. Thank you to Dr. Zhi Huang of National Environmental Research Program Marine Biodiversity Hub and Geoscience Australia for providing data. I would also like to thank the Australian Museum for support and resources, as well as the Auckland Museum, the Leigh Marine Lab, and Edward Percival Field Station for helping me in New Zealand. Finally, to my wonderful family, Mum, Dad, Camille and Gabriel, thank you so much for your encouragement and immense support throughout my studies, you are remarkable.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
LIST TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
ABSTRACT	viii
CHAPTER ONE.	
Introduction	2
Biodiversity and spatial scale	2
Habitat-forming species as drivers of biodiversity	4
Present study	6
CHAPTER TWO.	
Introduction	12
Methods	15
Study area	15
Study organisms	16
Field survey	17
Environmental conditions	18
Data analysis	19
Results	23
Spatial-temporal patterns	23
Spatial drivers	29
Abiotic drivers	30
Discussion	31
CHAPTER THREE.	
Introduction	38
Methods	43
Field Survey	43
Species identification	43
Data analysis	44
Results	46
Discussion	55

CHAPTER FOUR.

Discussion	62
Thesis overview	62
Conservation applications	63
LITERATURE CITED	68
APPENDIX	81

LIST TABLES

 Table 1. Coordinates (decimal degrees) of study sites, including 18 sites along the

 east coasts of Australia and New Zealand______16

 Table 2. Results of PERMANOVA for individual habitats in summer and winter;

 investigating the influence of Country and Site (Country) on Hormosira banksii and

 Coralline biomass, and Site on Sargassum spp. biomass and Cystophora spp. length

 ______25

 Table 3. Results of PERMANOVA for individual habitats in summer and winter;

 investigating the influence of and Site (Country) and Site on multivariate habitat

 traits______27

 Table 4. DISTLM models for individual habitats investigating the influence of spatial variables on multivariate algal traits
 29

 Table 6. Results of PERMANOVA full spatial model showing significant interactions between Country and Habitat and Site (Country) and Habitat on multivariate community assembly.

 48

Table 7. Results of PERMANOVA for individual habitats investigating the influence of Country, and Site(Country) on multivariate community assembly_____53

 Table 8. DISTLM models for individual habitats investigating the influence of individual habitat traits and spatial variables on multivariate community assembly

 55

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Study area including 18 sites along the east coasts of Australia (10 site	es)
and New Zealand (8 sites)	17
Figure 2. Univariate trait patterns of (A) <i>H. banksii</i> (B) Coralline and (C) Sargassi	ım
spp. biomass (g), and (D) <i>Cystophora</i> spp. frond length (cm)	24
Figure 3. Invertebrate abundance (site mean ±SE) within each habitat at each site in	n
Australia and New Zealand	1 6
Figure 4. Invertebrate species richness (site mean ±SE) within each habitat at each	
site in Australia and New Zealand	1 7

"Climate is what we expect, weather is what we get"

- Mark Twain

ABSTRACT

Biogenic habitats are important conservation management tools across all ecosystems. The role of the traits of biogenic habitats (e.g. biomass) in facilitating biodiversity is well documented, particularly at local scales. However, patterns in habitat morphology can vary across broad spatial scales, which may have consequences for associated biodiversity. Moreover, biodiversity itself can vary with a range of spatially distributed environmental conditions (e.g. latitude) independent of habitat. However, little is known about how habitat-heterogeneity and spatial scale interact to determine biodiversity. To quantify the value of specific habitat-forming species we must consider: (1) how the morphology of habitats vary throughout their distribution, and (2) how spatially distributed abiotic conditions contribute to diversity patterns - whether indirectly via altering habitat traits, or by directly altering diversity patterns. The first aim of this study was to quantify variation in the morphology of a suite of temperate rocky-intertidal habitats (macroalgae) at multiple spatial scales (e.g. country, latitude, site). The second aim was to identify how changes in algal morphology and abiotic conditions influence the diversity of their associated invertebrate communities across the same spatial scales. To achieve this, I investigated patterns of algal traits and associated biodiversity in four intertidal macrophytes; Hormosira banksii and Coralline (Australia and New Zealand), Sargassum spp. (Australia only), and Cystophora spp. (New Zealand only). In total, I sampled 18 sites spanning over 2,000 km, along two coastlines, sharing similar latitudes in Australia (n=10) and New Zealand (n=8). I used PERMANOVA and DISTLM (distance based linear models) to investigate the influence of spatial proxies (latitude, exposure, vertical shore height) and abiotic conditions (e.g. sea surface temperature, air temperature) on multivariate algal traits. The same analyses were used to investigate the influence of habitat identity, habitat traits (length, biomass, patch size, percentage cover) and spatial proxies (country, latitude, longitude, site exposure, vertical shore height) on multivariate community assembly. The macro-algae occurring in both countries (*H. banksii* and Coralline) varied most strongly at large scales (e.g. latitude). Both large and small spatial scales

(latitude vs. exposure, shore height) were important to *Cystophora* spp. in New Zealand, whereas in Australia, *Sargassum* spp. varied mostly at small-scales (exposure, shore height). Habitat identity was the strongest predictor of biodiversity with each habitat housing its own unique community. However, habitat-diversity relationships varied across multiple spatial scales, and the relative importance of each scale was particular to individual habitats. Thus, in order to conserve biodiversity and possibly ecosystem function, conservation strategies should aim to maintain high habitat diversity and consider both idiosyncratic spatial variation in habitat traits and the additive effects of environmental conditions on habitats and their associated biodiversity.