

Structural Behaviour of Timber Concrete Composite Connections and Floors utilising Screw Connectors

A thesis submitted in fulfilment
of the requirement for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

By

Farzad Moshiri



Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology Sydney (UTS)

December 2014

To My Beloved Wife:

Azi

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

(Farzad Moshiri)

Sydney, December 2014

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to those who have supported me throughout my study and helped make this PhD research possible.

First of all, I am extremely grateful to my principal supervisor, Prof Keith Crews, for supporting me during the past five years. Keith has been supportive and has given me the freedom to pursue various projects without objection. He has also provided insightful discussions about the research.

I will forever be thankful to Dr Rijun Shretsha. Rijun has been helpful in providing advice many times during my journey. His encouragement, guidance and unfailing assistance throughout this study are deeply appreciated. I also thank my former co-supervisor, Dr Hamid Valipour, for his help and teaching while he worked at UTS. I am also very grateful to Dr Christophe Gerber for his scientific advice and knowledge and many insightful discussions and suggestions.

I also have to thank Prof Bijan Samali, the former head of school of Civil and Environmental Engineering at the University of Technology, Sydney for his helpful career advice, outstanding guidance, motivation, wisdom and caring support provided throughout my PhD.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial assistance provided by Structural Timber Innovation Company (STIC). Also, the financial assistance provided by University of Technology Sydney (UTS) as International Research Scholarship, and all administrative and technical support provided by the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at UTS is appreciated.

I wish to acknowledge my colleagues, especially those involved in this project such as Nima Khorsandnia, Zhinus Zabihi, Rajendra Rijal, Matthew Holmes and Mulugheta Hailu for providing generous help during this study.

Special thanks to the UTS laboratory staff (Rami Haddad, Peter Brown, David Dicker, David Hopper and other lab assistants) for their great efforts in providing technical support and assistance for all of my experimental tests. I would also like to thank final

year students who completed their final year theses as part of the STIC project (Chris Garvan, Jarod Wakefield, Adam Iverach, Benjamin Chen, Tim Kurniadi, Zhuoran Chen and Angus Lumsden) for their support in completing the tests.

A special thanks to my family. Words cannot express how grateful I am to my brothers, my sister, my mother, and father for all of the sacrifices that they have made on my behalf. Their steadfastness and hardworking spirit was always a source of inspiration for me to aim high and to pursue my dreams. Their prayer for me was what sustained me thus far. I would also like to thank all of my friends who supported me in writing, and incited me to strive towards my goal.

This last word of acknowledgment I have saved for my beloved wife Azi, who has been with me all these years and has made them the best years of my life. Thanks a lot and I always love you.

“In your light I learn how to love. In your beauty, how to make poems. You dance inside my chest where no-one sees you, but sometimes I do, and that sight becomes this art.”

— Rumi

Farzad Moshiri

December 2014

ABSTRACT

The traditional materials such as reinforced concrete and structural steel have been widely used in the construction market. These construction materials produce a large quantity of greenhouse gases as a by-product. An environmentally sustainable solution to decrease the production of greenhouse gases is creation of composites with other materials such as timber to reduce the amount of steel and concrete used in construction.

Timber-concrete composites (TCC) structures, extends upon this by combining timber and concrete in order to form a composite structural member that utilises the properties of both materials. Since the 1990s, Timber Concrete Composite (TCC) floors have been gaining wider recognition as being a viable and effective alternative to both reinforced concrete and traditional timber floors. TCCs are a structural form whereby a concrete slab is fixed to a timber joist at the interface using a suitable shear connector which transfers shear forces and impedes slip between concrete and timber. Hence, the strength, stiffness, location and number of shear connectors used at the composite interface are the key factors in determining the composite action, the strength and stiffness of a TCC system. TCC exploits the mechanical properties of each material favourably with the concrete in compression and the timber in tension. TCCs have several advantages over full timber construction, including improved strength (double), stiffness (triple), vibration control, fire performance and thermal and sound insulation. TCCs also have advantages compared to full concrete construction, including a much higher load capacity per unit of self-weight and a lower embodied energy.

Mechanical fasteners for example screw and dowel TCC connectors are relatively simple and easy to install, cost effective and structurally efficient connectors with lower labour requirement. With these considerations, mechanical fasteners can be preassembled in prefabrication or cast in situ TCC solutions. Hence, application of mechanical fasteners in TCCs overcomes the drawbacks of alternative connection such as notch type connection and reduces the time required to construct a TCC system.

This research investigates the experimental parametric study on the effect of different types of high-performance concrete on mechanical properties of TCC connections and

floors using locally available materials in Australia to evaluate their potential for use in the construction market. A parametric study of mechanical fasteners such as crossed SFS VB, crossed SPAX and coach screws connections in different lengths (short and long SFS VB), angles ($\pm 30^\circ$, $\pm 45^\circ$ and $\pm 60^\circ$) to the connection face and a number of crossed SFS VB and SPAX at 45° series utilising 17mm plywood formwork interlayer and different types of concrete was carried out. Hence, the effects of connector type, inclination angle and length of screw and existence of plywood interlayer on mechanical properties of the TCC connections were investigated. Moreover, two innovative TCC shear connection systems were put forward and assessed for their suitability as a substitute or replacement for existing connection systems using push-out test.

The application of high-performance concrete such as light-weight concrete and self-consolidating concrete provides a great deal of benefits in TCC technology to minimize the dead-load on the timber component or increase the concrete workability and accelerate the process of pouring. Such weight reduction and increased workability may be favourable in the renovation of old timber floors. The use of TCC technology is also advantageous in new multi-storey buildings for aspects such as prefabrication and mitigation of excess dead load – leading to saving on foundation and walls and/or column sizes.

This research investigates the effect of different types of high-performance concrete on the mechanical properties of TCC connections and floors using locally available materials in Australia to evaluate their potential for use in construction market.

Push-out test was used to determine the mechanical properties and failure modes of shear connections and once the mechanical properties of connection type were identified, full-scale TCC modules utilising different types of shear connector and concrete properties were subjected to four-point bending tests. Hence, the predictions of full beam behaviour using the connection properties were validated and the effect of shear connection and concrete type on structural behaviour of an entire floor was investigated.

Literature reports a significant lack of information on analytical closed-form equations to predict the strength and stiffness of TCC connections utilising vertical and inclined fasteners to be used in the design of timber composite beams.

This study reviewed the methodology of available analytical models for prediction of the strength and serviceability stiffness of vertically inserted single timber to timber and TCC shear connections and validated their accuracy using the experimental push-out test results. Moreover, an analytical strength model based on some adjustment to EYM to predict the strength of TCC connections utilising single and crossed screws inclined to the timber grain was proposed. This research also presented a model for the stiffness of TCC connections using crossed inclined screws. The Winkler theory of beam on elastic foundation proposed was extended to derive the serviceability slip modulus of TCC connections with inclined screws which were loaded in tension and compression.

In addition, a 3-D FE model has been put forward to simulate different TCC connections such as single and multiple wood screws and inclined coach screw utilising the commercial FE analysis software ANSYS. The findings of this part demonstrate that by using a simple numerical model, the behaviour of TCC connections can be accurately modelled and can therefore be used for parametric study of changes in end distance, edge distance, member thickness, screw diameter, screw length and number of screws.

LIST OF CONTENTS

Certificate Of Original Authorship	i
Acknowledgement.....	ii
Abstract	iv
List of Contents	vii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables.....	xxi
Nomenclature	xxiii
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Back ground	1
1.2 Structural Timber Innovation Company (STIC).....	2
1.3 Research Objectives	3
1.4 Scope of the study	4
1.5 Research Methodology	5
1.6 Significance of the research work.....	7
1.7 Structure of the thesis.....	9
2 Review of Fundamental Behaviour of TCC Systems.....	12
2.1 Introduction.....	12
2.2 History of TCC	13
2.2.1 General.....	13
2.2.2 In Australia	14
2.3 Advantages of TCC solutions	15
2.4 Construction methods	17
2.4.1 Wet method in rehabilitation of existing timber floor	17
2.4.2 Semi prefabrication.....	19
2.4.3 Prefabrication.....	20
2.5 Fundamental Behaviour of a Timber-concrete composite system.....	21
2.5.1 Beam design.....	23
2.5.2 Connection design based on deformation control.....	31
2.6 Influence of connections	32
2.6.1 Connection stiffness.....	34

2.6.2	Ductility	34
2.6.3	Inclined and crossed fasteners	35
2.6.4	Embedment depth	37
2.6.5	Spacing and positioning.....	38
2.6.6	Connector shape.....	39
2.6.7	Effect of timber and concrete dimensions	39
2.7	Influence of high-performance concrete properties on TCC connections	40
2.8	Conclusions.....	46
3	Review of Connection types for TCC	48
3.1	Introduction.....	48
3.2	Connection classification and case studies	48
3.2.1	Van der Linden (1999) case studies.....	49
3.2.2	Piazza et al. (2000) case studies.....	51
3.2.3	Ceccotti (2002) case studies	51
3.2.4	Lukaszewska et al. (2008) case studies	52
3.2.5	Deam et al. (2008) case studies	53
3.2.6	Dias et al. (2011) case studies.....	53
3.2.7	Fernandez-Cabo et al. (2012) case studies.....	54
3.3	Connection types.....	54
3.3.1	Dowel type fastener	54
3.3.2	Proprietary devices	56
3.3.3	Continuous glued in metallic solutions.....	59
3.3.4	Notches & fasteners.....	62
3.3.5	Adhesives connections.....	64
3.4	Comparison of shear connections	65
3.5	Conclusions.....	66
4	Material properties.....	67
4.1	Introduction.....	67
4.2	Concrete	67
4.3	Timber (LVL)	70
4.4	Timber (Plywood).....	71
4.5	Shear connection.....	71
4.5.1	SFS VB screw	73

4.5.2	SPAX screw	74
4.5.3	Wood screw (type 17).....	75
4.5.4	Coach screw	75
4.5.5	L profile and three small SPAX screws at 45°	76
4.5.6	U profile and three small SPAX screws at 45°	76
4.6	Conclusions.....	78
5	Connection push-out tests.....	79
5.1	Introduction.....	79
5.2	Experimental push-out test programme.....	80
5.3	Test preparation and connection description	81
5.3.1	Test set-up, test procedure and data recording	83
5.3.2	Stiffness analysis.....	85
5.3.3	Ductility	87
5.3.4	Failure mode analysis	88
5.3.5	Mathematical model for responses of the test series	88
5.3.6	Interlayer.....	89
5.4	Push-out test results	90
5.5	Discussion.....	91
5.5.1	Effect of inclination angle of screws	91
5.5.2	Effect of screw type	94
5.5.3	Effect of Interlayer.....	95
5.5.4	Effect of concrete properties.....	100
5.5.5	Effect of Light-weight concrete (LWC) type	104
5.5.6	Alternative connection design	107
5.6	Conclusion	108
5.6.1	Effect of screw type (SFS VB and SPAX screws)	108
5.6.2	Effect of inclination angle.....	109
5.6.3	Effect of light-weight concrete type	109
5.6.4	Effect of interlayer	109
5.6.5	Alternative connection design	110
6	Experimental flexural test.....	111
6.1	Introduction.....	111
6.2	TCC design	112

6.3	Experimental program	113
6.3.1	Timber modular systems.....	113
6.3.2	TCC modules	114
6.3.3	Experimental set-up	117
6.3.4	Instrumentation	118
6.3.5	Test methodology	121
6.4	Experimental results and discussion	121
6.4.1	Serviceability tests of timber-only modules	122
6.4.2	ULS test of timber-only modules.....	123
6.4.3	Serviceability tests of TCC modules	126
6.4.4	ULS test of TCC modules.....	127
6.4.5	Global and apparent stiffness of TCC modules	129
6.4.6	Failure modes of TCC modules	130
6.4.7	Residual deflection due to self-weight and shrinkage	134
6.5	Conclusions.....	135
7	Analytical models of TCC modules	137
7.1	Introduction.....	137
7.2	Comparison of experimental and analytical results	138
7.2.1	Live-load capacities at SLS	138
7.2.2	Live-load capacities at ULS.....	139
7.2.3	Deflection and degree of composite action (DCA) at SLS.....	140
7.2.4	Stiffness of composite module at SLS and ULS.....	141
7.3	Effect of connector and concrete types on effective stiffness of TCC modules	144
7.4	Conclusions.....	146
8	Analytical models of TCC connector	148
8.1	Introduction.....	148
8.2	Strength model of TCC connection	149
8.2.1	Strength model of vertical fastener	149
8.2.2	Comparison of analytical and experimental strength results	153
8.2.3	Strength model of inclined fastener	157
8.2.4	Comparison of analytical and experimental strength of inclined screws	163
8.3	Stiffness model of TCC connection	168

8.3.1	Stiffness models of vertically inserted fastener	168
8.3.2	Foundation modulus models	173
8.3.3	Comparison of models and experimental results	174
8.3.4	Stiffness of inclined screw based on Winkler theory	176
8.3.5	Comparison of models and experimental results	179
8.3.6	Stiffness of inclined screw based on Tomasi et al. (2010)	183
8.3.7	Comparison of models and experimental results	184
8.4	Conclusion	185
9	Finite Element models of TCC	188
9.1	Introduction	188
9.2	Finite element analysis of TCC SYSTEMS	189
9.3	Finite element analysis of TCC systems in literature	190
9.4	Mathematical procedure	191
9.5	Test specimen configuration	192
9.6	Element type	192
9.6.1	SOLID45	193
9.6.2	SOLID65	193
9.7	Constitutive relations and material properties	195
9.7.1	Timber	195
9.7.2	Steel	198
9.7.3	Concrete	199
9.8	Finite element mesh definition	201
9.9	Conditions of symmetry, supports and loading	201
9.10	Characteristics of Contact element	202
9.11	Model verification	203
9.12	Results and discussion	203
9.12.1	Single and multiple wood screws	204
9.12.2	Inclined coach screw	211
9.12.3	Glue and glue in combination with normal coach screw	213
9.12.4	Stiffness verification of the numerical models	217
9.13	Conclusion	218
10	Effect of screw spacing on shear connections properties	220
10.1	Introduction	220

10.2	Multiple-fastener connection	221
10.3	Group effect of the multiple fasteners	222
10.3.1	Eurocode 5.....	223
10.3.2	Germany code DIN1052 (2004).....	223
10.3.3	Australian Standards AS1720.1 (2010).....	224
10.3.4	Canadian Standard Association CSA086-01 (2001)	224
10.3.5	The National Design Specification for wood construction, US NDS (1997)	224
10.4	Stiffness of multiple screw connection	226
10.5	Push-out test.....	226
10.6	Push-out test results and discussion.....	228
10.7	Strength analysis	229
10.8	Stiffness and ductility analysis	231
10.9	Failure mode analysis	234
10.10	Mathematical expression for the load-slip response.....	236
10.11	Conclusions.....	238
11	Conclusions	241
11.1	Conclusions.....	241
11.1.1	Experimental part	241
11.1.2	Analytical part	244
11.2	Recommendations for future study.....	248
	References	250
	Appendices.....	261
	Appendix A (chapter 4).....	262
	Appendix B (chapter 5)	275
	Appendix C (chapter 6)	320
	Appendix D (chapter 8).....	331

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1 Floor self-weight (g) versus span (l) for a service load of 2.5kN/m^2 (a) timber structure, (b) TCC structure, (c) all concrete structure (Ceccotti 2002).....	15
Figure 2-2 (a) Beam type floor and (b) slab type floor (Frangi et al. 2003)	19
Figure 2-3 (a) Semi-prefabricated TCC (Yeoh 2010) and (b) and (c) prefabricated slab (Lukaszewska 2009)	21
Figure 2-4 Degrees of composite action (a) full composite, (b) partial composite and (c) no composite action (Yeoh et al. 2011)	23
Figure 2-5 Internal and external loads applied on TCC system.....	25
Figure 2-6 Stress distribution of a partially composite, T shape TCC beam.....	27
Figure 2-7 Stress distribution of a partially composite, box TCC module	27
Figure 2-8 The effect of connector rigidity on TCC beam (Frangi et al. 2003)	30
Figure 2-9 Typical elastic-plastic load-slip of shear connector (Frangi et al. 2003)	30
Figure 2-10 Load-slip of varying angles (Symons et al. 2010a).....	36
Figure 2-11 Non-dimensional stiffness of a vertical screw (\hat{K}) as a function of the non-dimensional embedment depth (\hat{t}) (Symons et al. 2010b)	38
Figure 2-12 TCC slip behaviour as a function of fastener spacing (Dias et al. 2011) ...	38
Figure 2-13 Beam span-combination factor of various stiffness (Van der Linden 1999)	39
Figure 2-14 Mass per unit volume and use classification of LWC (ACI213R 1987).....	43
Figure 3-1 Different connection systems (a) Lukaszewska (2009), Van der Linden (1999), (c) Piazza et al. (2000), (d) Bathon et al. (2000), (e) Dias (2005), (f) Deam et al. (2008) and (g) Steinberg et al. (2003).....	49
Figure 3-2 TCC connectors (Ceccotti 2002; Lukaszewska 2009; Van der Linden 1999)	52
Figure 3-3 Load-slip of (a) steel mesh and (b) steel tube and notch (Lukaszewska 2009)	52
Figure 3-4 Load-slip responses for common TCC connectors (Dias et al. 2011).....	53
Figure 3-5 Hilty connectors: (a) Hilti HBV, (b) Hilti-Tubular, (c) INSA-Hilti and (d) load-slip graph (Martin et al. 1999).....	56

Figure 3-6 (a) Timber I joist with the web acting as a connector and (b) Sleeved steel connector (Gurkšnys et al. 2005).....	57
Figure 3-7 Load-slip of sleeved connector (Gurkšnys et al. 2005).....	57
Figure 3-8 (a) HSB flooring system, (b) shear test arrangement, (c) HSB dimensions and HSB connector (Fernandez-Cabo et al. 2012)	59
Figure 3-9 Load-slip diagram of the HSB connectors (Fernandez-Cabo et al. 2012)	59
Figure 3-10 TCC specimen setup of perforated steel plate (Miotto et al. 2011)	61
Figure 3-11 Load-slip diagram and failure of the connector (Frangi et al. 2003)	63
Figure 3-12 Trapezoidal (T series) and triangular (B Series) notches	64
Figure 4-1 (a) 28-day compressive strength versus mass per unit volume and (b) MOE versus mass per unit volume of different mixes	69
Figure 4-2 Different screw connection(a) medium coach, (b) long SFS , (c) short SFS, (d) SPAX, (e) small SPAX screws and (f) type17 wood screw used in the experiment	72
Figure 4-3 SFS VB-48-7.5(a) x100mm (b) x165 threaded parts	73
Figure 4-4 Long SFS screws installed at an angle of (a) $\pm 30^\circ$, (b) $\pm 45^\circ$ and (c) $\pm 60^\circ$...	74
Figure 4-5 Crossed SPAX screws installed at an angle of (a) $\pm 30^\circ$, (b) $\pm 45^\circ$ and (c) $\pm 60^\circ$	74
Figure 4-6 Geometry of wood screws.....	75
Figure 4-7 (a) Coach screw dimensions, (b) and (c) TCC specimen utilising coach screw	75
Figure 4-8 (a) L connector profile (b) L profile and SPAX screws, (c) and (d) dimensions of TCC specimen utilising L connector	76
Figure 4-9 U connector (a) dimensions (b) and (c) UniStrut profile and (d) TCC specimen	77
Figure 5-1 Typical geometry and components of specimens: (a) cross-section of specimen without interlayer (WI series), (b) cross-section of specimen with interlayer (I series) (c) plan and (d) 3D view TCC Specimen (in mm).....	82
Figure 5-2 Set-up of a specimen in the test rig: (a) front and (b) back views.....	83
Figure 5-3 Schematic drawing:(a) front and (b) elevation views of test set up	84
Figure 5-4 Loading regime in compliance with EN26891 (1991).....	84
Figure 5-5 Idealised load-slip curve from push-out test based on EN26891 (1991)	85
Figure 5-6 Different slip values at yield, F_{max} and ultimate points used in ductility	88

Figure 5-7 Analytical graphs of (a) CC SFS series, (b) CC SPAX series and.....	90
Figure 5-8 Analytical graphs of (a) EPS LWC SFS series, (b) EPS LWC SPAX series	90
Figure 5-9 Analytical graphs of crossed SFS and SPAX screws Scoria LWC series.....	91
Figure 5-10 Analytical graphs of (a) HSC and (b) SCC series.....	91
Figure 5-11 Graphs showing the effect of inclination angle of (a) SFS and (b) SPAX screws utilising CC and EPS LWC2000 on their load-slip responses.....	92
Figure 5-12 Stiffness comparison of shear series utilising different concrete and angles	92
Figure 5-13 Strength and ductility of shear series with different concrete and angles ...	93
Figure 5-14 Graphs showing the effect of screw and inclination angle on (a) CC and (b) EPS 2000 series	94
Figure 5-15 Serviceability and ultimate stiffness comparison of SFS and SPAX screws	94
Figure 5-16 Strength and ductility comparison of the SFS and SPAX screws series.....	95
Figure 5-17 Serviceability and ultimate stiffness comparison of the WI and I series ...	97
Figure 5-18 Graphs showing that the strength and ductility comparison of the series with and without an interlayer	98
Figure 5-19 Effect of compressive strength of concrete on serviceability slip modulus of TCC (a) SFS WI45 , (b) SFS I45 series, (c) SPAX WI45 and (d) SPAX I45 series	100
Figure 5-20 Effect of compressive strength of concrete on ultimate slip modulus of TCC (a) SFS WI45 , (b) SFS I45 series, (c) SPAX WI45 and (d) SPAX I45 series	101
Figure 5-21 Effect of compressive strength of concrete on strength of TCC (a) SFS WI45 , (b) SFS I45 series, (c) SPAX WI45 and (d) SPAX I45 series.....	102
Figure 5-22 Effect of compressive strength of concrete on ductility of TCC (a) SFS WI45 , (b) SFS I45 series, (c) SPAX WI45 and (d) SPAX I45 series.....	104
Figure 5-23 Stiffness comparison of different series using different LWC and CC.....	105
Figure 5-24 Strength and ductility comparison of the test series utilising different LWC	105
Figure 5-25 CC inclined coach scrw, L and U connector series.....	108
Figure 6-1 (a) Timber-timber and (b) TCC modules designed for non-residential floor	112
Figure 6-2 TCC beam lay-out	115

Figure 6-3 Propped TCC form works: (a) before and (b) after concrete pouring.....	116
Figure 6-4 Simply-supported supporting condition: (a) pinned and (b) roller supports	117
Figure 6-5 The layout of specimens: (a) the timber-only and (b) TCC modules.....	117
Figure 6-6 The lay-out of four-point bending test (in mm)	117
Figure 6-7 Load frame, hydraulic jacks, load cells and TCC specimen	118
Figure 6-8 Load cell supports: (a) pinned and (b) roller	118
Figure 6-9 Location of LVDTs for deflection and slip measurement.....	119
Figure 6-10 Cross-sectional geometry and arrangement of strain gauges for timber-only	120
Figure 6-11 Cross-sectional geometry and arrangement of strain gauges for TCC.....	120
Figure 6-12 The LVDTs and strain gauges: (a) on top (b) underneath the module.....	120
Figure 6-13 Stress distribution in the LVL- module corresponding to $0.4F_{max}$, in ($\mu\epsilon$)	123
Figure 6-14 Load-mid span deflection responses of timber-only modules.....	123
Figure 6-15 Local buckling of web underneath load cell at timber module 2	125
Figure 6-16 Lateral buckling of web (a) and (b) near mid-span and (c) underneath load cell in timber module 3	126
Figure 6-17 Stress distribution in the TCC module corresponding to $0.4F_{max}$, in ($\mu\epsilon$). 126	
Figure 6-18 Stress distribution in the TCC module corresponding to F_{max} , in ($\mu\epsilon$).....	127
Figure 6-19 Load-mid span deflection responses of TCC modules.....	127
Figure 6-20 (a) Failed tensile screw, (b) bent screw in compression and (c) sound one	131
Figure 6-21 Failure of the first six pairs of SFS screws.....	132
Figure 6-22 Sound pairs of SFS screws in TCC CC modules (a) 1 and (b) 3	132
Figure 6-23 Uplift of the concrete slab relative to the timber joist.....	132
Figure 6-24 Localised failure of concrete at shear connectors in TCC LWC2 module	132
Figure 6-25 Lateral buckling of top part of LVL webs with localised crushing in concrete around connections at mid-span for TCC LWC2 modules	133
Figure 6-26 Load in each jack vs load in connector of first three pairs of screws in LWC	133
Figure 6-27 Load in each jack vs load in the virtual connector at the beam-ends.....	134
Figure 7-1 Experimental and analytical SLS live-load capacity of test series.....	138

Figure 7-2 Experimental and analytical ULS live-load capacity of CC and LWC series	139
Figure 7-3 Comparison of experimental and theoretical responses of TCC CC series	143
Figure 7-4 Comparison of experimental and theoretical responses of TCC LWC series	143
Figure 7-5 Shear bond coefficient, γ vs. span, l for CC test series	144
Figure 7-6 Shear bond coefficient, γ vs. span, l for EPS LWC test series	145
Figure 7-7 Shear bond coefficient, γ vs. span, l for HSC, SCC and Scoria LWC series	145
Figure 7-8 Effective bending stiffness vs. shear bond coefficient, γ	145
Figure 8-1 Six possible failure modes for timber-timber connections (Dias 2005).....	151
Figure 8-2 Failure modes of TCC connections (a) crushing of timber (mode (a)), (b) formation of one plastic hinge (mode(d)) and (c) two plastic hinges in timber (mode(f)).....	153
Figure 8-3 Failure modes versus embedded depth of wood screw based on Eurocode 5	155
Figure 8-4 Failure mode vs. diameter of wood screw based on Eurocode 5	155
Figure 8-5 Failure modes vs. non-dimensional embedded depth of screw in compliance with Johansen model.....	156
Figure 8-6 Failure modes versus non-dimensional embedded depth of screw in compliance with Eurocode 5 Comparing the experimental data of single wood screw connections and the failure maps, the non-dimensional failure maps particularly, the one using Eurocode 5 model agrees with the experimental strength and dominant failure mode as a combination of shear failure and the formation of plastic hinges in the screw. Further verification using more experimental data is required to make a comprehensive statement.	156
Figure 8-7 (a) Lateral displacement of screw and (b) screw withdrawn from timber 160	
Figure 8-8 (a) Single plastic hinge and (b) double plastic hinges of screw	161
Figure 8-9 Failure map and strength of inclined crossed SPAX screws.....	166
Figure 8-10 Failure map and strength of inclined crossed long SFS screws	167
Figure 8-11 Failure map and strength of inclined crossed short SFS screws	167
Figure 8-12 Failure map and strength of inclined single coach screw.....	167

Figure 8-13 Stiffness model of vertically inserted fastener in TCC (a) undeformed, (b) deformed fastener and (c) internal forces of fastener	172
Figure 8-14 Stiffness model of (a) vertical and (b) inclined fastener TCC connection with rigid behaviour of concrete and (c) internal forces in element of inclined fastener.....	177
Figure 8-15 Analytical model vs. experimental results of CC SFS series.....	181
Figure 8-16 Analytical model vs. experimental results of CC SPAX series	181
Figure 8-17 Analytical model vs. experimental results of EPS LWC2000 SFS series	181
Figure 8-18 Analytical model vs. experimental results of EPS LWC2000 SPAX series	182
Figure 9-1 Geometric properties of SOLID45 Wood (ANSYS 2010)	193
Figure 9-2 Geometric properties of SOLID65 concrete (ANSYS 2010)TARGE170 and CONTA173.....	194
Figure 9-3 Geometric properties of TARGA170 and CONTA173 (ANSYS 2010).....	194
Figure 9-4 Constitutive model utilised for timber in compression	196
Figure 9-5 Constitutive model utilised for steel fastener	198
Figure 9-6 Constitutive model utilised for conventional concrete.....	199
Figure 9-7 (a) Symmetry in plan and section and (b) support condition of TCC models	201
Figure 9-8 Mesh of single wood screw model TCC connection model.....	204
Figure 9-9 Mesh of four wood screw model spaced at 50mm.....	204
Figure 9-10 Mesh of four wood screw model spaced at 100mm.....	205
Figure 9-11 Mesh of four wood screw model spaced at 150mm.....	205
Figure 9-12 Experimental (black) and FEM (red) results of single wood screw test series	206
Figure 9-13 Experimental (black) and FEM (red) results of 4 WS-50mm test series ..	206
Figure 9-14 Experimental (black) and FEM (red) results of 4 WS-100mm test series	206
Figure 9-15 Experimental (black) and FEM (red) results of 4 WS-150mm test series	207
Figure 9-16 (a) FEM displacement in y direction and (b) failure of single wood screw	207
Figure 9-17 Normal stress in y direction at last sub-step of single wood screw model	208
Figure 9-18 (a) FEM displacement in y direction and (b) exp. failure of 4 WS 50mm	208

Figure 9-19 Normal stress in y direction at last sub-step of 4 WS 50mm model	208
Figure 9-20 (a) FEM displacement in y direction and (b) exp. failure of 4 WS 100mm	209
Figure 9-21 Normal stress in y direction at last sub-step of 4 WS 100mm model	209
Figure 9-22 (a) FEM displacement in y direction and (b) exp. failure of 4 WS 150mm	209
Figure 9-23 Normal stress in y direction at last sub-step of 4 WS 150mm model	210
Figure 9-24 Contact condition in (a)single and four screws at (b)50, (c)100 and (d) 150	210
Figure 9-25 Mesh of 45° inclined coach screw TCC connection model	211
Figure 9-26 Experimental and FEM results of inclined coach screw test series	211
Figure 9-27 FEM displacement in x direction and (b) failure of inclined coach screw	212
Figure 9-28 Normal stress in x direction at last sub-step of inclined coach screw model	212
Figure 9-29 Contact condition of inclined coach TCC connection.....	213
Figure 9-30 Geometry of epoxy glue TCC connection combined with coach screw ...	214
Figure 9-31 Mesh of (a) glue TCC connection and (b) coach screw and glue models.	214
Figure 9-32 Experimental and FEM results of glued connection test series.....	214
Figure 9-33 Experimental and FEM results of glued 12mm coach screws series	215
Figure 9-34 (a) FEM displacement in y direction and (b) exp. failure of epoxy glue ..	216
Figure 9-35 Normal stress in y direction at last sub-step of epoxy glue model.....	216
Figure 9-36 (a) FEM displacement in y direction and (b) exp. failure of epoxy glue combined with coach screw	216
Figure 9-37 Normal stress in y direction of epoxy glue combined with coach screw ..	217
Figure 10-1 Failure modes for bolted connections (after Jorissen (1998)).....	221
Figure 10-2 Effective number of fasteners proposed by different codes	225
Figure 10-3 Single WS specimen: (a) cross-section, (b) plan view (in mm).....	227
Figure 10-4 4WS spaced at 50mm specimen: (a) cross-section, (b) plan view (in mm)	227
Figure 10-5 4WS spaced at 100mm specimen: (a) cross-section, (b) plan view (in mm)	227
Figure 10-6 4WS spaced at 150mm specimen: (a) cross-section, (b) plan view (in mm)	227

Figure 10-7 Load-slip respons of different test series.....	228
Figure 10-8 Strength comparison for different test series.....	230
Figure 10-9 Load carrying capacity per screw-spacing of the test series	230
Figure 10-10 Serviceability and ultimate stiffness ratios based on EN26891 (1991) ..	233
Figure 10-11 Opened specimens and failure mode of single wood screw.....	234
Figure 10-12 Opened specimens and failure mode of four wood screws at 150mm....	235
Figure 10-13 Opened specimens and failure mode of four wood screws at 100mm....	235
Figure 10-14 Opened specimens and failure mode of four wood screws at 50mm.....	235
Figure 10-15 Concrete crushing in different series.....	236
Figure 10-16 Load-slip responses (black lines) and mathematical expression (red lines) of (a) single WS, (b) 4WS at 50mm, (c) 4WS at 100mm and (d) 4WS at 150mm series	238

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1 Summary of the strength and stiffness of reviewed shear connectors.....	66
Table 4-1 Concrete mix designs.....	68
Table 4-2 Fresh properties of different types of concrete	68
Table 4-3 Hardened properties of different types of concrete	69
Table 4-4 Timber Design Properties	70
Table 4-5 Characteristic values of structural plywood F17 AS1720.1 (2010)	71
Table 4-6 Characteristic dimensions and material properties of the screws.....	72
Table 5-1 Experimental test series	81
Table 5-2 Differences in specimens and test configuration for different investigations	95
Table 6-1 Global stiffness, K and apparent stiffness, EI of the timber modules at SLS	122
Table 6-2 Strength and deflection at F_{max} capacity of the timber modules.....	124
Table 6-3 Global stiffness, K and apparent stiffness, EI of the timber modules at ULS	124
Table 6-4 Stiffness in timber-only modules tested before and after TCCs' ULS test ..	125
Table 6-5 theoretical and experimental apparent stiffness at SLS for timber modules	125
Table 6-6 Strength and deflection of TCC modules at ultimate loading	129
Table 6-7 Global stiffness, K and apparent stiffness, EI of the TCC modules	130
Table 6-8 TCC modules survey results.....	134
Table 7-1 Experimental and analytical deflections and DCA at SLS of different series	140
Table 7-2 Experimental and analytical deflections and DCA at ULS of different series	140
Table 7-3 Apparent stiffness of TCC CC series using Eurocode 5 EN (2004b).....	141
Table 7-4 Apparent stiffness of TCC LWC series using Eurocode 5 EN (2004b).....	141
Table 7-5 Theoretical full and non-composite and experimental apparent stiffness	142
Table 8-1 Comparison of analytical and experimental results of single wood screw TCC	154
Table 8-2 Material properties of TCC connections used in the model	163
Table 8-3 Summary of push-out test results and predictions of different test series	164

Table 8-4 Summary of material properties of TCC connection with wood screw	175
Table 8-5 Summary of experimental and analytical data of serviceability stiffness	175
Table 8-6 Summary of mechanical properties of TCC connection used in the model .	180
Table 8-7 Experimental and analytical stiffness results of SFS and SPAX screws.....	180
Table 8-8 Experimental and analytical stiffness results of SFS and SPAX screws.....	184
Table 9-1 Finite element used in FE modelling of the specimen (in mm).....	192
Table 9-2 Finite element used in FE modelling of the specimen.....	193
Table 9-3 Linear orthotropic properties of the timber (LVL)	197
Table 9-4 Plastic properties of the timber (LVL).....	197
Table 9-5 Steel fastener ptoperties	198
Table 9-6 Coefficients and strength properties of the concrete used in the model	200
Table 9-7 Comparison of experimental and numerical serviceability slip modulus.....	218
Table 10-1 Minimum spacing and edge and end distances of screw (d is outer diameter)	226
Table 10-2 The load carrying capacity for different test series	229
Table 10-3 Load carrying capacity per screw in the multiple fastener series.....	231
Table 10-4 Slip moduli of different series based on EN26891 (1991)	231
Table 10-5 Slip moduli of different series based on the modified method.....	232
Table 10-6 Ductility of the test series with different spacing	233

NOMENCLATURE

a	Unknown parameter used in mathematical model
a	Distance between support and load in four-point bending test ($l/3$)
a_1	Fastener spacing
a_2	Fastener spacing between rows
$a_{3,t}$	End distance in a row (loaded end)
$a_{3,c}$	End distance in a row (loaded edge)
$a_{4,t}$	Edge distance in a row (loaded edge)
$a_{4,c}$	Edge distance in a row (unloaded edge)
a_1	Distance between centroids of concrete floor and effective TCCs
a_2	Distance between centroids of timber webs and effective TCCs
a_3	Distance between centroids of timber flange and effective TCCs
A	Section area
A	Section area of steel fastener
A_t	Section area of timber member
Anal.	Analytical
AS	Australian Standards
A_a	Cross-sectional area of the fastener at the timber-concrete interface
b	Unknown parameter used in mathematical model
b_2	Width of the LVL web
B_i	Constant coefficients based on the compatibility conditions
b_w	Web width

b_{ef}	Effective width in TCC section
c	Unknown parameter used in mathematical model
CC	Conventional concrete
CoV	Coefficient of variation
$\cos\alpha$	Cosine function of angle α
$\cosh\alpha$	Hyperbolic cosine function of angle α
d	Diameter of screw including thread
dx	Part of a screw of length
d_1	Major diameter of screw
d_2	Core diameter of screw
d_{ef}	Effective diameter equal to 0.75 times the fastener diameter
d_k	Head diameter of screw
d_s	Shank diameter of screw
D_N	Theoretical fully non-composite deflection
D_1	Measured deflection for partially composite action
D_C	Theoretical full-composite deflection
D_f	Ductility as the ratio of ultimate to yield deformations
D_u	Ductility as the ratio of slip at maximum load to yield slip
DCA	Degree of composite action in composite system
DLWC	Dry light-weight concrete
e/d	End distance to diameter ratio of fasteners
E	Napier's constant
E	Modulus of Elasticity
EC5	Eurocode 5
E_c	Modulus of elasticity of concrete
$E_{c,m}$	Mean Modulus of Elasticity of concrete
E_s	Modulus of elasticity of steel shear connector
E_t	Modulus of elasticity of timber
E_x	Elastic modulus in the tangential direction
E_y	Elastic modulus in the longitudinal direction
E_z	Elastic modulus in the radial direction
$E_{\tau x}$	Tangent moduli (tensile and compressive) in the x direction
$E_{\tau y}$	Tangent moduli (tensile and compressive) in the y direction

$E_{\tau z}$	Tangent moduli (tensile and compressive) in the z direction
$E_{t,\alpha}$	Modulus of elasticity of timber in parallel and perpendicular directions
E_T	Tangent modulus
EI	Apparent stiffness of timber composite module
EI_{eff}	Effective bending stiffness of timber composite module
EPS	Expanded polystyrene
EPS LWC	Expanded polystyrene light-weight concrete
Exp.	Experiment/experimental
EYM	European yield model
f	Vector of surface load
F	Shear force in the connector
FE	Finite element
F_p	Shear force in the connector parallel to the grain
F_t	Shear force in the connector transverse to the grain
$f_{a,0}$	Withdrawal strength of screw inserted parallel to the grain
$f_{a,\alpha}$	Withdrawal strength of screw inserted at an angle of α to the grain
$f_{ax,k}$	Characteristic withdrawal strength perpendicular to the grain
f_b	Bending stress
$f(i)$	Load capacity of failure mode i
f_m	Bending strength of the timber flange in TCC section
f_t	Tension strength of the timber flange in TCC section
f_c	Uniaxial crushing stress of concrete
f_t	Uniaxial tensile cracking stress of concrete
f_{t-t}	Failure load at interface of timber-timber connection
f_c	Compression strength of the timber flange in TCC section
f_{cb}	Biaxial crushing stress of concrete
f_1	Biaxial crushing stress under the ambient hydrostatic stress state
f_2	Uniaxial crushing stress under the ambient hydrostatic stress state
$f_{c,m}$	Mean compressive strength of concrete
$f_{c,i}$	Mean compressive strength of concrete at age of i day ($i=3,7$ and 28)
$f_{ct,sp,i}$	Mean indirect tensile strength of concrete at age of i day ($i=3,7$ and 28)
f_p	Stress in compression perpendicular to grain
$f_{h,\alpha}$	Embedment strength of timber at an angle of α to the grain

$f_{h,d}$	Designed embedment strength of timber
$f_{h,0}$	Embedment strength of timber parallel to the grain
$f_{h,0,k}$	Characteristic embedment strength of timber parallel to the grain
$f_{h,i,k}$	Characteristic embedment strength in timber member i
$f_{h,k}$	Embedment strength of the timber and mechanical fastener
f_u	Ultimate tensile stress of fastener
$f_{c,w}$	Design compressive strength of the timber web in TCC section
f_m	Flexibility matrix of the beam on the elastic foundation
f_s	Stress in longitudinal shear LVL hySPAN project
$f_{t,w}$	Design tensile bending strengths of the timber web in TCC section
f_v	Design planar shear strength of the web in TCC section
f_y	Tangent modulus
f_b	Bending strength of plywood
f_c	Stress in compression in the plane of the sheet in plywood
f_p	Stress in compression normal to the plane of the sheet in plywood
f_s	Shear stress of plywood
f_t	Tension strength of plywood
$F_{ax,\alpha}$	Axial withdrawal load capacity of inclined fastener
$F_{ax,Rk}$	Characteristic axial withdrawal capacity of the fastener
F_{ave}	Average load applied to the module at third-spans
$F_{LoadCell}$	Applied load in each loadcell
F_{lat}	Elastic forces acting perpendicular to the axis of fastener
F_{ax}	Elastic forces acting parallel to the axis of fastener
F_{est}	Estimated maximum load
F_{max}	Load carrying capacity
$F_{multiple}$	Load carrying capacity of the multiple fasteners
F_{single}	Characteristic load carrying capacity of single fastener
F_{ser}	Lateral load at SLS
F_u	Ultimate tensile capacity of screw
$F_{V,Rk}$	Characteristic load-carrying capacity per shear plane per fastener
FRC	Fibre reinforced concrete
Full C.	Full composite
FKN	Normal contact stiffness factor in ANSYS

FKT	Contact stiffness factor in ANSYS
FTOLN	Allowable penetration in ANSYS
h_1	Depth of the concrete slab
h_2	Depth of the timber web
h_3	Depth of the timber bottom flange
h_{ef}	Effective depth in box shape TCC section
$h_{f,c}$	Depth of compression flange in box shape TCC section
$h_{f,t}$	Depth of tension flange in box shape TCC section
HPC	High-performance concrete
HSC	High-strength concrete
I	Second moment of area of TCC section
I_s	Second moment of area of steel connector
I	With interlayer
I_c	Second moment of area for concrete slab
I_t	Second moment of area for timber joist
G	Modulus of Rigidity
G	Permanent action (self-weight or 'dead load')
G_{xy}	Shear modulus in the xy plane
G_{yz}	Shear modulus in the yz plane
G_{xz}	Shear modulus in the xz plane
G_{txy}	Tangent modulus (shear) corresponding to the xy plane
G_{tyz}	Tangent modulus (shear) corresponding to the yz plane
G_{txz}	Tangent modulus (shear) corresponding to the xz plane
J_i	Parameter of member i in stiffness model proposed by Kuenzi (1955)
kN	Kilo newton
k	Foundation modulus of material
k_1	Smearred slip modulus of shear connection
k_d	Minimum of $d/8$ and 1
K	Slip modulus of shear connection
K	Global stiffness of the timber composite module
K	Stiffness matrix
K_i	Parameter of member i in stiffness model proposed by Kuenzi (1955)
K_s	Serviceability slip modulus of shear connection

$K_{s,0.4}$	Serviceability slip modulus of shear connection
$K_{u,0.6}$	Ultimate slip modulus of shear connection
$K_{u,0.8}$	Slip modulus of shear connection corresponding to $0.8F_{\max}$
K_{\perp}	Stiffness of connector for lateral loading
K_{\parallel}	Stiffness of connector for withdrawal loading
\hat{K}	Non-dimensional stiffness by Symons, Persaud and Stanislaus (2010a)
k_c	Lateral instability factor in design of timber composite in compression
k_c	Foundation modulus of concrete
k_t	Foundation modulus of timber
k_p	Foundation moduli of timber parallel to the grain
k_t	Foundation moduli of timber transverse to the grain
LVDT	Linear variable differential transformer
l	Span length of the beam
L	Screw length
L_i	Parameter of member i in stiffness model proposed by Kuenzi (1955)
l_{ef}	Penetration length of the threaded part for mechanical fastener, $t-d$
$L - L_s$	Threaded length of screw
LVL	Laminated veneer lumber
LWC	Light-weight concrete
mm	Millimetres
MPa	Mega Pascal
M	Bending moment transferred between timber and concrete in TCCs
M_1	Internal moment on the free end of the beam on the elastic foundation
M_{exp}	Experimental bending moment obtained from four-point bending test
M_u	Bending moment due to factored loads in TCCs
M_y	Yield moment of fastener
$M_{y,Rk}$	Characteristic fastener yield moment
MOE	Modulus of elasticity
MOR	Module of rupture
n	Number of fastener
n_{ef}	Effective number of fastener
N	Shear force transferred between timber and concrete
N	Shape function matrix

$N_{d,i}$	Design capacity of connection
Non C.	Non-composite
NSW	New South Wales
NZS	New Zealand Standards
p	Thread pitch of screw
PVA	Polyvinyl alcohol-fibres
q	Shear flow of the TCC section
q_m	Matrix of actual displacements corresponding to the redundant
q_{om}	Matrix of actual displacements of the redundant action, due to the loads
Q_k	The 5 th percentile strength
Q	First moment of area for concrete slab in TCC section
Q	Imposed action for each occupancy class
Q_k	Characteristic capacity of screw
Q_m	Matrix of redundant
R	Sample correlation coefficient
R	Collapse load in TCC connection
RH	Relative humidity
s	Connectors spacing
S	Surface
S_F	Part of the surface
s_e	Connectors spacing at the beam-ends
s_m	Connectors spacing at
$\sin\alpha$	Sine function of angle α
$\sinh\alpha$	Hyperbolic sine function of angle α
SCC	Self-consolidating concrete
SFRC	Steel fibres reinforce concrete
SG	Strain gauge
SLS	Serviceability limit state
STIC	Structural Timber Innovation Company
$t(x)$	Shear flow along the beam length
t	Timber thickness or the embedded length of fastener in timber
t	Traction vector
t_1	Head side thickness of fastener in a single shear connection

t_2	Point side penetration in a single shear connection
$t_{0.1}$	Value in standard for $(n - 1)$ degrees of freedom and a probability of 0.1
T	Temperature
$\tan\alpha$	Tangent function of angle α
t/d	Slenderness ratio (fastener depth/fastener diameter)
\hat{t}	Non-dimensional embedment (embedment depth/fastener diameter)
TCC	Timber-concrete composite
u	Displacement vector
u_d	Small slip design in design of TCC shear connector
UEA	Unequal angle
UTS	University of Technology, Sydney
ULS	Ultimate limit state
V	Shear force transferred between timber and concrete in TCC connector
V	Volume
V_1	Internal load acting on the free end of the beam on the elastic foundation
V_b	Maximum shear force at beam-end in design of TCC connectors
$V(x)$	Shear force in the cross-section of TCC along the beam
V_{sd}	Design shear force at connection in TCC modules
W_{eq}	Equivalent uniformly distributed load
W_E	External work done by the external force in a TCC connection
W_I	Internal energy in a TCC connection
WI	Without interlayer
x	Length parameter of fastener
y	Elastic transverse deformations of a connection on elastic foundation
$y_{5\text{percent}}$	5 th percentiles of the lower probability limit
y_{ij}	Deformation array in flexibility matrix of the beam
$y(x)$	Elastic deformations of a timber connection at point x along the fastener
y_{10}	Slip at interface of materials
Z	Foundation depth
π	pi
π	Total potential energy
β	Ratio between embedment strength of timber members
β	Factor as a function of the fastener's diameter to spacing ratio

β	Ratio of k_v/k_p
β	coefficient in Canadian standard
θ	Inclination angle of fastener to the vertical direction ($90 - \alpha$)
α	Inclination angle of fastener to the horizontal direction ($90 - \theta$)
θ_{ij}	Rotation array in flexibility matrix of the beam
θ_{20}	Rotation at interface of materials
δ	Mid-span deflection of a simply supported composite beam
δ_{\perp}	Perpendicular component of slip in shear connector
δ_{\parallel}	Parallel components of slip in shear connector
Δ_p	Slip component parallel to the grain
Δ_t	Slip component transverse to the grain
Δ	Slip at interface of timber composite connection
Δ_{ax}	Axial displacement of fastener
Δ_{lat}	Slip at the interface of the concrete and timber in a TCC connection
σ	Standard deviation
σ	Stress
σ_h^a	Ambient hydrostatic stress state of concrete
σ_x	Yield stresses (tensile and compressive) in the x direction
σ_y	Yield stresses (tensile and compressive) in the y direction
σ_z	Yield stresses (tensile and compressive) in the z direction
σ_i	Axial stress in TCC section
$\sigma_{b,i}$	Bending stress in TCC section
$\sigma_{i,b}$	Total normal stresses in TCC section
$\sigma_{f,c,max}$	Extreme fibre flange design compressive stress in TCC section
$\sigma_{f,t,max}$	Extreme fibre flange design tensile stress in TCC section
$\sigma_{w,c,d}$	Design compressive stress of the timber web in TCC section
$\sigma_{w,t,d}$	Design tensile stress of the timber web in TCC section
$\sigma_{f,c}$	Mean flange design compressive stress in TCC section
$\sigma_{f,t}$	Mean flange design tensile stress in TCC section
$\sigma_{2,N}$	Tensile and bending stresses of timber in TCC section
$\sigma_{2,M}$	Bending stresses of timber in TCC section
σ_y	Shear yield stress of the fastener

σ	Yield stress
ε	Strain
γ	Shear bond coefficient
γ_v	Material safety factor
λ_i	Parameter of member i in stiffness model proposed by Kuenzi (1955)
v	slip at interface of composite materials
v	Axial deformations of a connection on elastic foundation
v_0	Axial deformations of a connection on elastic foundation at ($x=0$)
v_i	Slip measurement at specified points
v_y	Elastic deformation of connector
v_u	Slip corresponding to maximum load at connection
v_f	Deformation associated to load-drop (80% of the maximum load)
ν	Poisson's ratio
ν_{xy}	Poisson's ratio in the xy plane
ν_{yz}	Poisson's ratio in the yz plane
ν_{xz}	Poisson's ratio in the xz plane
τ_{mean}	Design shear stress in TCC section
$\tau_{2,\text{max}}$	Maximum shear stress in the web of a box shape TCC section
τ_{xy}	Shear yield stress in the xy plane
τ_{yz}	Shear yield stress in the yz plane
τ_{xz}	Shear yield stress in the xz plane
ρ	Mass per unit volume
ρ_k	Characteristic mass per unit volume of timber
ρ_m	Mean mass per unit volume of timber
Φ	Capacity factor
2-D	Two dimensional
3-D	Three dimensional